Underliverable envelope returned from the U.S. Post Office. 090245 - TP

Address is consistent with the Master Commission Directory or the Case Management System.

State of Florida 047J82004132 Jublic Service Commission \$00.610 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard 07/01/2010 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Mailed From 32399 **US POSTAGE** AM 9: 00 090245-TP FPSC-Commission Confrence Agenda Date issued - July 1,2010 RECEIVED-FPS Lloyd Moore 10 JUL 12 P.O. Box 618607 Orlando FL 32861 NIXIE CE 1 85 07/08/10 IRN TO SENDER IRABLE AS ADDRESSED ILE TO FORWARD NOT INABLE BC: 32399085099 *1974-00137-07-43 In the deterministic design of the deterministic determini DOOL MENT NO MEETING AT 06015 JUL 22 9 FPSC-COMMISSION OF ERL

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA

.

CONFERENCE DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, July 13, 2010, 9:30 a.m.

LOCATION: Betty Easley Conference Center, Joseph P. Cresse Hearing Room 148

DATE ISSUED: July 1, 2010

NOTICE

Persons affected by Commission action on certain items on this agenda may be allowed to address the Commission, either informally or by oral argument, when those items are taken up for discussion at this conference. These items are designated by double asterisks (**) next to the agenda item number.

To participate informally, affected persons need only appear at the agenda conference and request the opportunity to address the Commission on an item listed on agenda. Informal participation is not permitted: (1) on dispositive motions and motions for reconsideration; (2) when a recommended order is taken up by the Commission; (3) in a rulemaking proceeding after the record has been closed; or (4) when the Commission considers a post-hearing recommendation on the merits of a case after the close of the record. The Commission allows informal participation at its discretion in certain types of cases (such as declaratory statements and interim rate orders) in which an order is issued based on a given set of facts without hearing.

See Rule 25-22.0021, F.A.C., concerning Agenda Conference participation and Rule 25-22.0022, F.A.C., concerning oral argument.

Agendas, staff recommendations, vote sheets, transcripts, and conference minutes are available from the PSC Web site, http://www.floridapsc.com, by selecting *Agenda & Hearings* and *Agenda Conferences of the FPSC*. By selecting the docket number, you can advance to the *Docket Details* page and the Document Index Listing for the particular docket. If you have any questions, contact the Office of Commission Clerk at (850) 413-6770 or e-mail the clerk at Clerk@psc.state.fl.us.

Any person requiring some accommodation at this conference because of a physical impairment should call the Office of Commission Clerk at least 48 hours before the conference. Any person who is hearing or speech impaired should contact the Commission by using the Florida Relay Service, which can be reached at 1-800-955-8771 (TDD). Assistive Listening Devices are available in the Office of Commission Clerk, Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110.

The Commission Conference has a live video broadcast the day of the conference, which is available from the PSC's Web site. Upon completion of the conference, the video will be available from the Web site by selecting *Agenda and Hearings* and *Audio and Video Event Coverage*.

Table of Contents Commission Conference Agenda July 13, 2010

| 1** | Consent Agenda |
|---------|--|
| 2** | Docket No. 100164-EQ – Petition for approval of new standard offer for purchase of firm capacity and energy from renewable energy facilities or small qualifying facilities and approval of revised tariff schedule REF-1, by Gulf Power Company |
| 3** | Docket No. 100167-EI – Petition for approval of revisions to standard offer contract and rate schedules COG-1 and COG-2, by Tampa Electric Company4 |
| 4** | Docket No. 100168-EI – Petition for approval of amended standard offer contract, by Progress Energy Florida |
| 5** | Docket No. 100169-EI – Petition for approval of a renewable energy tariff and standard offer contract, by Florida Power & Light Company |
| 6 | Docket No. 090245-TP – Petition for limited designation as eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) by Virgin Mobile USA, L.P |
| 7**PAA | Docket No. 100335-TX – Request for waiver of carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, F.A.C., due to the transfer of customers from Global Crossing Telemanagement, Inc. to Global Crossing Local Services, Inc |
| 8** | Docket No. 100150-TL – 2011 State Annual certification of rural telecommunications carriers pursuant to 47 C.F.R. 54.314, High Cost Universal Service |
| 9**PAA | Docket No. 090507-TP – Petition for designation as eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) by T-Mobile South LLC |
| 10**PAA | Docket No. 090510-TP – Petition for designation as eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) by T-Mobile South LLC |
| 11**PAA | Docket No. 100289-PU – Request for authorization to maintain accounting records outside of the State of Florida, pursuant to Rules 25-6.015(1) and 25-7.015(1), F.A.C., by Florida Public Utilities Company |
| 12**PAA | Docket No. 100133-EI – Review of Florida Power & Light Company's current allowance for funds used during construction rate |
| 13**PAA | Docket No. 080366-GU – Petition for rate increase by Florida Public Utilities Company |
| 14** | Docket No. 100313-WS – Application for authority to collect non-sufficient funds charges, pursuant to Sections 68.065 and 832.08(5), F.S., by Utilities, Inc. |

ŧ

,

Table of Contents Commission Conference Agenda July 13, 2010

٠

| | CASE | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Consent Agenda | | |
| A) Application for C | Certificate to Provide Pay Telephone Service. | |
| DOCKET NO. | COMPANY NAME | _ |
| 100316-TC | Ginnie Springs Outdoors, LLC | |
| B) Request for Car Certificate. | ncellation of a Competitive Local Exchange Te | lecommunications |
| DOCKET NO. | COMPANY NAME | EFFECTIVE DATE |
| 100333-TX | Verizon Avenue Corp. | |
| | A) Application for C <u>DOCKET NO.</u> 100316-TC B) Request for Car Certificate. <u>DOCKET NO.</u> | Consent Agenda A) Application for Certificate to Provide Pay Telephone Service. <u>DOCKET NO.</u> <u>COMPANY NAME</u> 100316-TC Ginnie Springs Outdoors, LLC B) Request for Cancellation of a Competitive Local Exchange Telephone Certificate. |

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should approve the action requested in the dockets referenced above and close these dockets.

1

د

2**

| ITEM NO. | CASE |
|----------|------|
| | |

Docket No. 100164-EQ – Petition for approval of new standard offer for purchase of firm capacity and energy from renewable energy facilities or small qualifying facilities and approval of revised tariff schedule REF-1, by Gulf Power Company.

Critical Date(s): 12/01/10 (8 Month Effective Date)

Commissioners Assigned:All CommissionersPrehearing Officer:Administrative

Staff: RAD: Ellis GCL: Brown, Tan

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve the standard offer contract filed by Gulf Power Company?

Recommendation: Yes. The standard offer contract and related tariffs comply with Rules 25-17.200 through 25-17.310, F.A.C., and the Commission should approve it. **Issue 2:** Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation to approve the proposed standard offer contract and tariffs filed by Gulf, and no person whose substantial interests are affected requests a hearing to address this matter, then Docket No. 100164-EQ should be closed, and the standard offer contracts and tariffs filed by Gulf should be effective as of the date of the Commission's vote. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's order, the tariffs should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest. Potential signatories to the standard offer contracts may be subject to a request for hearing, and if a hearing is held, may subsequently be revised.

ITEM NO. CASE

3**

Docket No. 100167-EI – Petition for approval of revisions to standard offer contract and rate schedules COG-1 and COG-2, by Tampa Electric Company.

Critical Date(s): 12/26/10 (8-Month Effective Date

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Administrative

Staff: RAD: S. Brown GCL: M. Brown, Tan

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve the standard offer contract filed by Tampa Electric Company?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The standard offer contract and related tariffs comply with Rules 25-17.200 through 25-17.310, F.A.C.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation to approve the proposed standard offer contract and tariffs filed by Tampa Electric, and no person whose substantial interests are affected requests a hearing to address this matter, then Docket No. 100167-EQ should be closed, and the standard offer contracts and tariffs filed by Tampa Electric should be effective as of the date of the Commission's vote. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's order, the tariffs should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest. Potential signatories to the standard offer contract should be aware that Tampa Electric's tariffs and standard offer contracts may be subject to a request for hearing, and if a hearing is held, may subsequently be revised.

ITEM NO. CASE 4** Docket No. 100168-EI – Petition for approval of amended standard offer contract, by Progress Energy Florida.

Critical Date(s): 12/26/10 (8-Month Effective Date)

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Administrative

Staff: RAD: S. Brown GCL: M. Brown, Tan

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve the amended standard offer contract filed by Progress Energy Florida?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The standard offer contract and related tariffs comply with Rules 25-17.200 through 25-17.310, F.A.C.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation to approve the proposed standard offer contract and tariffs filed by Progress, and no person whose substantial interests are affected requests a hearing to address this matter, then Docket No. 100168-EQ should be closed, and the standard offer contracts and tariffs filed by Progress should be effective as of the date of the Commission's vote. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's order, the tariffs should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest. Potential signatories to the standard offer contracts may be subject to a request for hearing, and if a hearing is held, may subsequently be revised.

ITEM NO.

CASE

5**

Docket No. 100169-EI – Petition for approval of a renewable energy tariff and standard offer contract, by Florida Power & Light Company.

Critical Date(s): 12/26/10 (8-Month Effective Date)

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Administrative

Staff: RAD: S. Brown GCL: M. Brown, Tan

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve the standard offer contract filed by Florida Power & Light Company?

Recommendation: Yes. The standard offer contract and related tariffs comply with Rules 25-17.200 through 25-17.310, F.A.C.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation to approve the proposed standard offer contract and tariffs filed by FPL, and no person whose substantial interests are affected requests a hearing to address this matter, then Docket No. 100169-EQ should be closed, and the standard offer contracts and tariffs filed by FPL should be effective as of the date of the Commission's vote. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's order, the tariffs should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest. Potential signatories to the standard offer contracts may be subject to a request for hearing, and if a hearing is held, may subsequently be revised.

ITEM NO.

6

Docket No. 090245-TP – Petition for limited designation as eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) by Virgin Mobile USA, L.P.

CASE

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned:All CommissionersPrehearing Officer:Argenziano

Staff: RAD: Beard, Casey GCL: Tan

(Oral Argument Requested - Participation at Commission's Discretion)

Issue 1: Should Virgin Mobile's Request for Oral Argument be granted?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes, Virgin Mobile's Request for Oral Argument should be granted. Staff recommends allowing each side five minutes.

Issue 2: Should Virgin Mobile's Motion to Dismiss with prejudice Organize Now, Lloyd Moore and Gracie Fowler's Petition for Formal Proceeding be granted?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that the Commission grant Virgin Mobile's Motion to Dismiss, with prejudice. The Joint Petitioners' Petition for Formal Proceeding fails to adequately allege standing to proceed in this matter.

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes, if the Commission approves staff's recommendation, PAA Order No. PSC-10-0323-PAA-TP should be made final and the docket should be closed after time for appeal has run. If the Commission denies staff's recommendation, this docket should be set for hearing.

ITEM NO. CASE

7**PAA **Docket No. 100335-TX** – Request for waiver of carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, F.A.C., due to the transfer of customers from Global Crossing Telemanagement, Inc. to Global Crossing Local Services, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Administrative

Staff: RAD: Curry GCL: Evans

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should the Commission approve the request for waiver of carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, F.A.C., due to the transfer of customers from Global Crossing Telemanagement, Inc. to Global Crossing Local Services, Inc?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should approve the request for waiver of carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, F.A.C. Any waiver approved by the Commission should only apply to the specific set of customers identified in the petition. The Petitioners should be required to provide the Commission notification of the actual date when the transaction is consummated. If for any reason the transaction is not consummated, any waiver approved by the Commission shall be null and void. **Issue 2:** Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: The Order issued from this recommendation will become final and

effective upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest that identifies with specificity the issues in dispute, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order. If the Commission's Order is not protested, this docket should be closed administratively upon notification from the Petitioners that the transaction is complete.

ITEM NO.

CASE

8**

Docket No. 100150-TL – 2011 State Annual certification of rural telecommunications carriers pursuant to 47 C.F.R. 54.314, High Cost Universal Service.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Edgar

Staff: RAD: Polk, Casey GCL: Teitzman

Issue 1: Should the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) certify to the FCC and to the USAC that for the year 2011 Windstream Florida, Inc., Frontier Communications of the South, LLC, GTC, Inc. d/b/a FairPoint Communications, ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc., Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM, Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone, and Smart City Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom will only use the federal high-cost support they receive for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended?

Recommendation: Yes.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed and subsequent annual certifications of rural telephone companies should be addressed in a new docket.

ITEM NO.

CASE

9**PAA **Docket No. 090507-TP** – Petition for designation as eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) by T-Mobile South LLC.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Edgar

Staff: RAD: Polk, Casey, Fogleman GCL: Murphy

Issue 1: Should T-Mobile be granted ETC designation in the state of Florida? **Recommendation:** Yes. Staff recommends that T-Mobile's petition for ETC designation in the non-rural AT&T and Verizon wire centers listed in Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated June 30, 2010, be granted. If the Commission approves T-Mobile for ETC status, staff will review T-Mobile's commitment to Florida's Lifeline program during T-Mobile's annual certification for High-Cost Universal Service Funds. **Issue 2:** Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

ITEM NO.

CASE

10**PAA **Docket No. 090510-TP** – Petition for designation as eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) by T-Mobile South LLC.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned:All CommissionersPrehearing Officer:Edgar

Staff: RAD: Polk, Casey, Fogleman GCL: Murphy

Issue 1: Should T-Mobile be granted eligible telecommunications carrier status in certain rural telephone company study areas located entirely in T-Mobile's licensed area in the state of Florida?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that T-Mobile's petition for ETC designation in the rural wire centers of CenturyLink, Frontier, Indiantown, NEFCOM, TDS, Smart City, and Windstream, identified in Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated June 30, 2010, be granted. If the Commission approves T-Mobile for ETC status, staff will review T-Mobile's commitment to Florida's Lifeline program during T-Mobile's annual certification for High-Cost Universal Service Funds.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

| ITEM NO. | CASE |
|----------|---|
| 11**PAA | Docket No. 100289-PU – Request for authorization to maintain accounting records outside of the State of Florida, pursuant to Rules 25-6.015(1) and 25-7.015(1), F.A.C., by Florida Public Utilities Company. |
| | Critical Date(s): None |
| | Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Administrative |
| | Staff: APA: Prestwood GCL: Jaeger |
| | Issue 1: Pursuant to Rules 25-6.015(1) and 25-7.015(1), F.A.C., should the Commission authorize FPUC to keep certain accounting records out-of-state? Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should approve FPUC's request to keep |
| | certain records out-of-state. |
| | Issue 2: Should this docket be closed? |
| | Recommendation: Yes, if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the |
| | proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this |

docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

· · · ·

ITEM NO.

12**PAA

۰**.**

CASE

Docket No. 100133-EI – Review of Florida Power & Light Company's current allowance for funds used during construction rate.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned:All CommissionersPrehearing Officer:Administrative

Staff: ECR: Donoho, Salnova, Springer GCL: Young

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve FPL's request to decrease its AFUDC rate from 7.41 percent to 6.41 percent?

Recommendation: Yes. The appropriate AFUDC rate for FPL is 6.41 percent based on a 13-month average capital structure for the period ended March 31, 2010.

<u>Issue 2</u>: What is the appropriate monthly compounding rate to achieve the requested 6.41 percent annual rate?

Recommendation: The appropriate monthly compounding rate to maintain an annual rate of 6.41 percent is 0.519087 percent.

Issue 3: Should the Commission approve FPL's requested effective date of April 1, 2010, for implementing the revised AFUDC rate?

Recommendation: Yes. The revised AFUDC rate should be effective as of April 1, 2010, for all purposes except for Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C., Nuclear or Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle Power Plant Recovery. For the purposes of Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C., 7.42 percent is the appropriate AFUDC rate to be utilized for compounding carrying costs for power plant need petitions submitted on or before December 31, 2010.

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

| <u>ITEM NO.</u> | CASE |
|-----------------|---|
| 13**PAA | Docket No. 080366-GU – Petition for rate increase by Florida Public Utilities Company. |
| | Critical Date(s): None |
| | Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Skop |
| | Staff: ECR: Slemkewicz GCL: Jaeger |
| | Issue 1: Has FPUC completed its one-time refund of the difference between the PAA rates approved by Order No. PSC-09-0375-PAA-GU and the Stipulation rates approved in Order No. PSC-09-0848-S-GU? If not, what is the appropriate disposition of any remaining refund amount? |
| | Recommendation: No, there is a remaining refund amount of \$1,739. The Commission |

Recommendation: No, there is a remaining refund amount of \$1,739. The Commission should require FPUC to add the remaining refund amount of \$1,739 to its storm damage reserve.

. . . .

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. At the conclusion of the protest period, if no protest is filed by a substantially affected person, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order. In addition, the \$6,000,000 corporate undertaking guaranteed by Florida Public Utilities Company, the consolidated entity, should be released.

. .

| ITEM NO. | CASE | |
|----------|--|--|
| 14** | Docket No. 100313-WS – Application for authority to collect non-sufficient funds charges, pursuant to Sections 68.065 and 832.08(5), F.S., by Utilities, Inc. | |
| | Critical Date(s): 07/30/10 (60-Day Suspension Date) | |
| | Commissioners Assigned:All CommissionersPrehearing Officer:Administrative | |
| | | |

Staff: ECR: Bruce, Stallcup, Kummer GCL: Leveille, Williams

Issue 1: Should UI's request for approval of an NSF fee be granted?

Recommendation: Yes. UI's requested NSF fee should be approved. The NSF fee should be effective on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Furthermore, the fees should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The Utility should provide proof of the date the notice was given no less than 10 days after the date of the notice.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. If a protest is filed by a substantially affected person within 21 days of issuance of the order, the tariffs should remain in effect, with any revenues held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket will become final upon the issuance of a consummating order. However, this docket should remain open to allow staff to verify that the revised tariff sheets and customer notice have been filed by UI and approved by staff. Once staff has verified that the revised tariff sheets and customer notice have been filed by UI and approved by staff. UI and approved, the docket should be closed administratively.

| ITEM NO. | CASE |
|----------|---|
| 15** | Docket No. 100182-WS – Application for transfer of majority organizational control of Indiantown Company, Inc., holder of Certificate Nos. 387-W and 331-S in Martin County, from Linda M. Post and David Ralicki, trustees of the Robert Post Marital Trust, Postco, Inc., to Jeffrey S. Leslie. |
| | Critical Date(s): None |
| | Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners Prehearing Officer: Edgar |
| | Staff: ECR: Brady, Donoho, Marsh, Simpson GCL: Sayler |
| | Issue 1: Should the transfer of majority organizational control be approved? Recommendation: Yes, the transfer of majority organizational control of Indiantown's parent, Postco, Inc., from the Trust to Jeffrey S. Leslie is in the public interest and should be approved effective the date of the Commission vote. The resultant order should serve as the utility's Certificate Nos. 387-W and 331-S and should be retained by the utility. The tariff pages reflecting the transfer should be effective for services provided or connections made on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets. Issue 2: Should this docket be closed? Recommendation: Yes, because no further action is necessary, this docket should be closed. |

• . .