

AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

RECEIVED -FPSC

10 AUG -2 PM 2:36

COMMISSION
CLERK

August 2, 2010

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Ann Cole, Director
Division of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Review of the Continuing Need and Cost Associated with Tampa Electric
Company's Five Combustion Turbines and Big Bend Rail Facility;
FPSC Docket No. 090368-EI

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and five copies of Tampa Electric
Company's answers to the Florida Public Service Commission Staff's Second Data Request dated
July 30, 2010.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this
letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

James D. Beasley

JDB/pp
Enclosure

- COM _____ cc: Keino Young (w/enc.)
- APA _____ Division of Economic Regulation (Slemkewicz, Bulecza-Banks, Chase, Cicchetti,
- ECR 2 _____ Draper, Kummer, Maurey, Salnova, Willis) (w/enc.)
- GCL _____ John W. McWhirter, Jr. (w/enc.)
- RAD _____ Vicki Gordon Kaufman/Jon C. Moyle, Jr. (w/enc.)
- SSC _____ J. R. Kelly (w/enc.)
- ADM _____ Paula K. Brown (w/enc.)
- OPC _____ Cecilia Bradley (w/enc.)
- CLK _____ Robert Scheffel Wright (w/enc.)

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

66290 AUG-2 0

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 090368-EI
STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
REQUEST NO. 1
PAGE 1 OF 1
FILED: AUGUST 2, 2010

1. Please explain the relationship between the \$25,742,209 step increase approved in Order No. PSC-09-0842-PCO-EI, and the \$24,000,000 refund amount provided for in the settlement.
 - A. There is no direct relationship between the \$25,742,209 step increase approved in Order No. PSC-09-0842-PCO-EI and the \$24,000,000 refund amount provided for in the settlement. The refund amount is the outcome of numerous negotiating sessions between the parties. It represents the level of refund which satisfied all of the parties that the overall proposed settlement is in the respective best interests of their stakeholders.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 090368-EI
STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
REQUEST NO. 2
PAGE 1 OF 1
FILED: AUGUST 2, 2010

2. Please explain the basis for providing IS customers with a \$1.28 million reduction in base rates effective January 1, 2011, and how that benefits the general body of ratepayers.
 - A. The \$1.286 million reduction in rates for IS customers, likewise, was a component of the overall settlement negotiated between the parties. All customers will benefit as a result of the inclusion of this provision in that non-IS customers will receive the vast majority of the \$24 million one-time refund and will not have to contribute on a going-forward basis to the \$1.286 million reduction in IS rates. Additionally, all parties will benefit from avoiding the cost, delay and uncertainties associated with further litigation, including the pending Florida Supreme Court appeal. The settlement will bring finality to the TECO rate case and such certainty is good for consumers and the company. Simply stated, the \$1.286 million reduction was one aspect of the settlement to satisfy all parties that the settlement was fair to all affected stakeholders.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 090368-EI
STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
REQUEST NO. 3
PAGE 1 OF 1
FILED: AUGUST 2, 2010

3. Based on projected usage for the month TECO expect to issue the refund (October?), please provide the refund amount for a 1,000 kwh residential bill.
 - A. The refund amount for a 1,000 kWh residential bill based on projected November 2010 residential usage is \$19.94. The total impact including gross receipts tax savings is \$20.45.

The company assumed November as the refund month based on the stipulation being considered at the Commission's August 31, 2010 Agenda Conference, time provided to issue the order (assuming Commission approval) and for the order to be final and non-appealable as well as the company's request to implement the refund with Cycle 1 monthly billing.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 090368-EI
STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
REQUEST NO. 4
PAGE 1 OF 1
FILED: AUGUST 2, 2010

4. Please provide the calculation showing how the \$22.72 million refund amount will be allocated to the various non-IS customer classes, and how the refund per customer will be calculated.

A. Provided below is the calculation showing how the \$22.714 million refund amount will be allocated to the various non-IS customer classes, and how the refund per customer will be calculated.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	12CP & 25% AD Allocation Factor (%)	One-Time 2010 Refund Amount	Refund Allocation (1)x(2)	Average Monthly MWH Usage	Refund (¢) per kWh* (3)÷(4)
RS/GS	61.6	\$22,714,000	\$13,991,824	755,721	1.851
GSD	38.0	\$22,714,000	\$687,222	687,222	1.256
LS	0.4	\$22,714,000	\$18,789	18,789	0.484
			\$22,714,000		

* With the inclusion of gross receipts tax savings, the total impact of the refund on a cents per kWh basis is 1.899, 1.256 and 0.496 for RS/GS, GSD and LS, respectively.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 090368-EI
STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
REQUEST NO. 5
PAGE 1 OF 1
FILED: AUGUST 2, 2010

5. Page 3 of the settlement states that "an energy cents per kwh refund is an appropriate means with which to apply the refund comparable to how the charges were first applied. The step increase base rates the Commission approved effective January 1, 2010, provided for an increase in energy and demand charges." Please explain the statement in the stipulation and explain when the charges were first applied on a per kwh basis.
- A. To clarify, the statement in the stipulation is in reference primarily to the residential and general service customers that account for over 59% of the company's annual base revenues whose base rate charges are on an energy basis only. While it is correct that the step increase base rates the Commission approved effective January 1, 2010, provided for an increase in energy and demand charges, all customers pay an energy charge, as such an energy cents per kWh is an appropriate means with which to apply the one-time refund.

The first time the charges will be applied for all customers on a per kWh basis only is during the one-time refund month.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 090368-EI
STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
REQUEST NO. 6
PAGE 1 OF 1
FILED: AUGUST 2, 2010

6. Please clarify whether the refund will be based on consumption for the month the refund is being made.
 - A. The refund amount per kWh will be based on the amounts calculated in the company's response to Staffs Second Data Request No. 4 for each rate class. The total refund amount will be calculated by multiplying the appropriate refund per kWh times the customer's actual energy consumption for the month the refund is being made.

**TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 090368-EI
STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
REQUEST NO. 7
PAGE 1 OF 1
FILED: AUGUST 2, 2010**

7. Please explain how the refund will appear on customers' bills (i.e., separate line item, what kind of explanation, etc.).
 - A. The refund will appear on customer bills as a separate line item: One-time Rate Refund.