1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3		COREY ZEIGLER
4		ON BEHALF OF
5		PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
6		DOCKET NO. 100007-EI
7		AUGUST 2, 2010
8		
9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
10	A.	My name is Corey Zeigler. My business address is 299 First Avenue North, St
11		Petersburg, Florida 33701.
12		
13	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
14	A.	I am employed by Progress Energy Florida (PEF) as Manager, Environmental
15		Permitting & Compliance.
16		
17	Q.	What are your responsibilities in that position?
18	A.	Currently, my responsibilities include managing environmental permitting and
19		compliance activities for Energy Delivery Florida. Energy Delivery Florida is
20		part of the Florida Distribution Business unit of which I support the Distribution
21		and Transmission Operation and Planning Departments.
22		
23	Q.	Please describe your educational background and professional experience.

FPSC-COMMISSION CLOSEA

1	A.	I received a Bachelors of Science degree in General Business Administration
2		& Management from the University of South Florida. Prior to holding this
3		role, I was the Health and Safety Manager for Progress Energy Florida's
4		Delivery and Transmission Operations and Planning Departments. I have 18
5		years experience in the utility industry, holding various operational, supervisor
6		and managerial roles at Progress Energy.
7		
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
9	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to explain material variances between the 2010
10		Estimated/Actual project expenditures versus the original 2010 cost projection
11		for environmental compliance costs associated with Progress Energy Florida
12		(PEF)'s Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution
13		Prevention Program and Distribution System Environmental Investigation,
14		Remediation, and Pollution Prevention Program.
15		
16	Q.	What current PSC-approved projects are you responsible for?
17	Ä.	I am responsible for Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and
18		Pollution Prevention Program (Projects 1 & 1a), Distribution System
19		Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention Program
20		(Project 2) and the Sea Turtle Program (Project 9).
21		

1	Q.	Please explain the variance between the Estimated/Actual project
2		expenditures and the original projections for the Substation System
3		Program (Project 1 & 1a) for the period January 2010 to December 2010.
4	A.	O&M project expenditures for the Substation System Program are estimated to
5		be \$7,471,465 or 360% higher than originally projected. This increase is
6		primarily attributable to several sites that had significantly higher amounts of
7		subsurface contamination encountered during remediation that was not evident
8		during the original visual environmental inspections.
9		
10	Q.	Please explain the variance between the Estimated/Actual project
11		expenditures and the original projections for the Distribution System
12		Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention
13		Program (Project 2) for the period January 2010 to December 2010.
14	A.	O&M project expenditures for the Distribution System Program are estimated to
15		be \$289,316 or 3% lower than originally projected. This decrease is driven by
16		the lower than expected unit cost.
17		
18	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
19	A.	Yes.