

10 SEP -9 PM 2: 20

September 9, 2010

COMMISSION CLERK

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Petition for approval of negotiated purchase power contract with Hathaway Renewable Energy, Inc. by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.; Docket No. 100345-EQ

Dear Ms. Cole:

Please find enclosed for filing on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") the original and five (5) copies of PEF's responses to Staff's Data Request No. 2 in the above referenced docket.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please call me at (727) 820-5184 should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

John T. Burnett

Ins

JTB/lms

ADM

OPC

CLK

COM ____ cc: Hathaway Renewable Energy

APA ___
ECR ___
GCL __
RAD ___
SSC ___

07561 SEP-9 =

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S RESPONSES TO STAFF DATA REQUEST No. 2 DOCKET NO. 100345-EQ

Q1. Please provide a detailed timeline or schedule of events beginning with initial negotiation talks leading up to an agreed and signed contract proposal between Hathaway and PEF and ending with the submittal of the proposed contracts to the FPSC.

Response: Hathaway first contacted PEF regarding a renewable capacity and energy proposal on January 5, 2010. An initial meeting to discuss a possible contract occurred at 8:30 a.m. on January 18, 2010. After this first meeting, negotiations progressed with Hathaway on January 22, 2010 and continued until the contracts were signed on June 22, 2010. During the negotiations, PEF obtained internal approvals including a presentation to our Transaction Review Committee on March 24, 2010 and subsequent acknowledgement from the members of the Transaction Review Committee from March 29, 2010 through April 26, 2010, a presentation to our Risk Management Committee on March 26, 2010 and subsequent approval from the Risk Management Committee and a consent resolution from the PEF Board of Directors on May 5, 2010. Final negotiations and final PEF Legal review occurred from May 10, 2010 through June 18, 2010. All three contracts were executed June 22, 2010. PEF's petition for approval and the executed contracts were filed at the FPSC on July 6, 2010.

Q2. Please describe in detail the schedule of application requirements to be met in order for each facility to qualify for grants from the 2009 American Reinvestment and Renewal Act, as mentioned in Hathaway's response to Q9 of Staff's First Data Request.

<u>Hathaway Response</u>: The application requirements for the Section 1603 Grant in Lieu of Tax Credits can be found at the US Treasury's website: http://www.ustreas.gov/recovery/1603.shtml

There will be two applications for each 16-20 MW plant, for a total of six applications. One application for each plant will cover the "fuel cell" portion of the plant described by IRC section 48, the second application will cover the "combined cycle" or "hybrid" portion of the plant as described by IRC section 45k for Open Loop Woody Biomass. All six applications are due to the US Treasury by 1 OCT 2011.

Prior to submission of the applications, Hathaway must meet the provisions of Section IV. Property and Payment Elibility (A.) Placed in Service:

IV(A.) Placed in Service Qualified property must be originally placed in service between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2010, (regardless of when construction begins) or placed in service after 2010 and before the credit termination date (see below) if construction of the property begins between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2010. Qualified property includes

-PSC-C01E-8810A CUE-

expansions of an existing property that is qualified property under section 45 or 48 of the IRC. Placed in service means that the property is ready and available for its specific use.

There are three ways to meet the requirement for "Beginning of Construction." Those provisions are 1) Self Construction, 2) Construction by Contract, 3) Safe Harbor. Hathaway intends to meet the requirement for Beginning of Construction through the Safe Harbor provision.

Safe Harbor. An applicant may treat physical work of a significant nature as beginning when the applicant incurs (in the case of an accrual basis applicant) or pays (in the case of a cash basis applicant) more than 5 percent of the total cost of the property (excluding the cost of any land and preliminary activities such as planning or designing, securing financing, exploring, or researching). When property is manufactured, constructed, or produced for the applicant by another person, this test must be met by the applicant, not the other person. For the purpose of determining whether an applicant has incurred more than 5 percent of the total cost of the property, the economic performance standards of IRC section 461(h) apply.

Safe Harbor will be attained by the end of calendar year 2010, satisfying the requirement for Beginning of Construction between January 1, 2009 and December 31, 2010.

Lastly, once the application is accepted by US Treasury and within 60 days of October 1, 2011, Hathaway will have until the Credit Determination Date to bring the plants on line. The Credit Determination Date for Open Loop Woody Biomass is January 1, 2014, while the Credit Determination Date for Fuel Cell Property is January 2, 2017. Grant proceeds are payable within 60 days of bringing a plant online.

B. <u>Credit Termination Date and Applicable Payment Percentage</u> The following chart lists the Credit Termination Date and the applicable percentage of eligible cost basis used in computing the payment for each specified energy property.

| Specified Energy Property | Credit Termination Date | Applicable Percentage of Eligible Cost Basis |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Large Wind | Jan 1, 2013 | 30% |
| Closed-Loop Biomass Facility | Jan 1, 2014 | 30% |
| Open-loop Biomass Facility | Jan 1, 2014 | 30% |
| Geothermal under IRC sec. 45 | Jan 1, 2014 | 30% |
| Landfill Gas Facility | Jan 1, 2014 | 30% |
| Trash Facility | Jan 1, 2014 | 30% |
| Qualified Hydropower Facility | Jan 1, 2014 | 30% |
| Marine & Hydrokinetic | Jan 1, 2014 | 30% |
| Solar | Jan 1, 2017 | 30% |
| Geothermal under IRC sec. 48 | Jan 1, 2017 | 10%* |
| Fuel Cells | Jan 1, 2017 | 30%** |
| Microturbines | Jan 1, 2017 | 10%*** |
| Combined Heat & Power | Jan 1, 2017 | 10% |
| Small Wind | Jan 1, 2017 | 30% |
| Geothermal Heat Pumps | Jan 1, 2017 | 10% |

Q3. In Staff's First Data Request, PEF's response to Q14 was a percent based from the 2009 Standard Offer Contract. Was there any consideration given to the performance abilities of the type of technology being used to verify the reliability of a capacity factor of 94%?

<u>PEF Response</u>: No, Hathaway has represented to PEF that it can meet a capacity factor of 94% with the proposed technology thereby matching the capacity factor of the avoided unit. In the event that Hathaway cannot obtain a capacity factor of at least 94%, the capacity payment will be reduced. Such a reduction protects PEF's ratepayers from paying for capacity that they did not receive, if Hathaway cannot fulfill its obligations; and, monetarily addresses the verification of reliability.

Q4. Are the security provisions and performance measures of the contracts consistent with PEF's past contracts negotiated with third-party vendors? If not, please explain the reason for any changes.

<u>PEF Response</u>: Yes, the security provisions and performance measures of the Hathaway contracts are consistent with PEF's past QF contracts. As in the past, the security provisions are based on guidelines developed from the cost of replacement capacity and the performance measures are based on the characteristics of the avoided unit.

Q5. PEF's response to Q16 of Staff's First Data Request states that PEF used the 2009 Ten Year Site Plan (TYSP) fuel price forecast instead of the 2010 fuel price forecast as stated on Page 2 of the petition. Why was the 2009 TYSP forecast used instead of the 2010 TYSP forecast?

<u>PEF Response</u>: As stated in PEF's Question #1 response, negotiations began before PEF's 2010 Standard Offer Contract had been fully developed; therefore, the Hathaway contracts were negotiated against the then open, 2009 Standard Offer Contract and the corresponding 2009 fuel forecast which was used to determine PEF's 2009 avoided unit.

Q6. What fuel forecast was used to determine the Total Project Net Benefit/ (Cost) NPV for the contract? Please include in your response the date of the forecast and the entity that developed the forecast.

<u>PEF Response</u>: The contract's Total Project Net Benefit/ (Cost) NPV was calculated using PEF's 2009 TYSP natural gas fuel price forecast. The 2009 TYSP fuel forecast was based on the NYMEX prices as of August 18, 2008 out through 2011; and, the summer 2008 forecasts from third party consultants such as, PIRA and Global Insight, for the year 2012 and beyond.

Q7. PEF's response to Q16 of Staff's First Data Request states that PEF used the 2009 TYSP fuel price forecast to calculate the forecasted fuel prices for natural gas. How did PEF estimate the forecasted fuel prices for the years 2019 through 2038 (the years beyond the 2009 TYSP forecast through the life of the project) and from whom was this forecast obtained?

<u>PEF Response</u>: The estimated fuel prices for 2019 through 2028 were provided by third party consultants such as PIRA and Global Insight. PEF estimated the forecasted fuel prices for the years 2029 through 2038 by assuming an annual increase of 2.25%. This value is based on the annual escalation seen in the final five years of the 2009 TYSP forecast.

Q8. In PEF's responses to Staff's Second Data Request in Docket No. 090537-EQ, PEF provided Staff an Attachment A in response to Q3. Attachment A is also provided in this Data Request. Following the model set forth in Attachment A, please provide staff the appropriate calculations using both the 2009 TYSP fuel price forecast and the 2010 TYSP fuel price forecast. Please use a variance of 15% above and below the forecasted fuel prices instead of the 20% used in Attachment A.

<u>PEF Response</u>: Please see the table below. Six cases are including in the table. There are:

- A 2009 Standard Offer Contract with the 2009 TYSP fuel forecast
- B 2009 Standard Offer Contract with a 15% increase to the 2009 TYSP fuel forecast
- C 2009 Standard Offer Contract with a 15% decrease to the 2009 TYSP fuel forecast
- D 2010 Standard Offer Contract with the 2010 TYSP fuel forecast

- E 2010 Standard Offer Contract with a 15% increase to the 2010 TYSP fuel forecast
- F 2010 Standard Offer Contract with a 15% decrease to the 2010 TYSP fuel forecast

Note that the NPV totals in this spreadsheet differ slightly from previously submitted values because in the previous submission the annual values were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

| -lathaway Contract 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|
| Attachments A-F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collers in \$000 | Total NPV | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2000 | 2030 | *** | | | | | | |
| 4. 2009 Standard Offer: | | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2010 | | ZOTE | 50,15 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2023 | 2025 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 | 203 |
| NPV of Payments To Hathaway | \$ 119 945 | \$ 10 563 | ¢ a san | 4 9 114 | ¢ 7 935 | \$ 6749 | \$ 6 237 | C 5.910 | 5 5 469 | 6 5 755 | C 4 980 | S 4.768 | C # 218 | ¢ 4 165 | C 1056 | é a ean | \$ 3,546 | ¢ 2 275 | ¢ 2 124 | £ 2 025 | 6 2 769 | é a sm | ć 2436 | è 1 196 | ÷ 2.150 | |
| | V 440,040 | 7 10,505 | 3 3,333 | 5 5,114 | ,,,,,,,,, | \$ 5,745 | y 0,E33 | y 3,013 | \$ 2,402 | 7 3,233 | \$ 4,540 | 2 4,700 | J 4,320 | 7 4,200 | 3 3,330 | 3 3,540 | 2 3,340 | 3,323 | 3 3,124 | , 2,333 | 3 2,763 | 3 2,392 | 3 2,430 | , 2,209 | \$ 2,150 | 3 2,0 |
| NPV of Avoided Capacity Costs | \$ 19,541 | \$ - | \$ 772 | \$ 1,266 | \$ 1,212 | \$ 1,160 | \$ 1,110 | \$ 1,063 | \$ 1,017 | \$ 973 | \$ 932 | \$ 892 | \$ 854 | \$ 817 | \$ 783 | \$ 749 | \$ 717 | \$ 687 | \$ 657 | \$ 629 | \$ 603 | \$ 577 | \$ 552 | \$ 529 | \$ 506 | 5 4 |
| NPV of Avoided Energy Costs | \$ 100,473 | \$ 8,812 | \$ 7,975 | \$ 7,626 | \$ 6,563 | \$ 5,484 | \$ 5,071 | \$ 4,744 | \$ 4,479 | \$ 4,342 | \$ 4,138 | \$ 3,992 | \$ 3,603 | \$ 3,506 | \$ 3,348 | \$ 3,279 | \$ 3,030 | \$ 2,849 | \$ 2,685 | \$ 2,531 | \$ 2,391 | \$ 2,248 | \$ 2,119 | \$ 1,997 | \$ 1,887 | \$ 1,7 |
| NPV of Net Benefit (Cost) | \$ 69 | \$ (1,752) | \$ (843) | \$ (222 | \$ {160} | \$ (105) | \$ (56) | \$ (12) | \$ 26 | \$ 60 | \$ 90 | \$ 116 | \$ 139 | \$ 158 | \$ 175 | \$ 189 | \$ 201 | \$ 211 | \$ 219 | \$ 225 | \$ 230 | \$ 233 | \$ 236 | \$ 237 | \$ 237 | \$ 2 |
| 3. 2009 Standard Offer with 15% Increase | In Energy Costs: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NPV of Payments To Hathaway | \$135,016 | \$ 11,885 | \$ 10,786 | \$ 10,258 | \$ 8,920 | \$ 7,571 | \$ 6,998 | \$ 6,530 | \$ 6,141 | \$ 5,906 | \$ 5,601 | \$ 5,366 | \$ 4,859 | 5 4,691 | \$ 4,458 | \$ 4,331 | \$ 4,000 | \$ 3,752 | \$ 3,526 | \$ 3.315 | \$ 3,122 | 5 2,929 | \$ 2,753 | \$ 2.589 | \$ 2,439 | \$ 2.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | • • | | | | • -, | , |
| YPV of Avoided Capacity Costs | \$ 19,541 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 717 | | | | | | | | | |
| YPV of Avoided Energy Costs | \$ 115,544 | \$ 10,133 | \$ 9,172 | \$ 8,770 | \$ 7,548 | \$ 6,307 | \$ 5,832 | \$ 5,456 | \$ 5,151 | \$ 4,993 | \$ 4,759 | \$ 4,591 | \$ 4,144 | \$ 4,032 | \$ 3,851 | \$ 3,771 | \$ 3,484 | \$ 3,276 | \$ 3,088 | \$ 2,910 | \$ 2,749 | \$ 2,585 | \$ 2,437 | \$ 2,297 | \$ 2,170 | \$ 2,0 |
| NPV of Net Benefit (Cost) | \$ 69 | \$ (1,752) | \$ (843) | \$ (222) | \$ (160) | \$ (105) | \$ (56) | \$ (12) | S 26 | \$ 60 | \$ 90 | \$ 116 | \$ 139 | \$ 158 | \$ 175 | \$ 189 | \$ 201 | \$ 211 | \$ 219 | \$ 225 | \$ 230 | \$ 233 | \$ 236 | \$ 237 | \$ 737 | |
| ` ' | | | | | | | | | | • | | • | • | | | | | | | • | · | · | | | 2 25. | • |
| C. 2009 Standard Offer with 15% Decrease | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NPV of Payments To Hathaway | \$ 104,874 | \$ 9,242 | \$ 8,394 | \$ 7,971 | \$ 6,951 | \$ 5,926 | \$ 5,476 | \$ 5,107 | \$ 4,797 | \$ 4,604 | \$ 4,359 | \$ 4,169 | \$ 3,778 | \$ 3,640 | \$ 3,454 | \$ 3,348 | \$ 3,091 | \$ 2,897 | \$ 2,721 | \$ 2,556 | \$ 2,405 | \$ 2,254 | \$ 2,118 | \$ 1,990 | \$ 1,873 | \$ 1,7 |
| NPV of Avoided Capacity Costs | \$ 1 9 .541 | s - | 5 772 | \$ 1.266 | \$ 1.212 | \$ 1,160 | \$ 1.110 | \$ 1.063 | \$ 1.017 | \$ 973 | \$ 932 | \$ 892 | \$ 854 | Ś 817 | \$ 783 | \$ 749 | \$ 717 | S 687 | \$ 657 | \$ 679 | \$ 603 | \$ 577 | \$ 552 | \$ 579 | ¢ sne | : ¢ a: |
| NPV of Avoided Energy Costs | \$ 85,402 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 2,575 | | | | | | | | | |
| NPV of Net Benefit (Cost) | \$ 69 | \$ (1,752) | \$ (843) | \$ (222) | \$ (160) | \$ (105) | \$ (56) | \$ (12) | \$ 26 | \$ 60 | \$ 90 | \$ 116 | \$ 139 | \$ 158 | \$ 175 | \$ 189 | \$ 201 | \$ 211 | \$ 219 | \$ 225 | \$ 230 | \$ 233 | \$ 236 | \$ 237 | \$ 237 | \$ 2 |
| D. 2010 Standard Offer: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NPV of Payments To Hathaway | \$ 139,698 | \$ 9,065 | \$ 8,680 | \$ 9,072 | \$ 8,723 | \$ 8,550 | \$ 8,306 | \$ 7,400 | \$ 6,608 | \$ 5,918 | \$ 5,656 | \$ 5,423 | \$ 5,479 | \$ 5,240 | \$ 4,963 | \$ 4,860 | \$ 4,474 | 5 4,234 | \$ 4,020 | \$ 3,817 | \$ 3,632 | \$ 3,442 | \$ 3,270 | \$ 3,106 | \$ 2,956 | \$ 2,80 |
| NPV of Avoided Capacity Costs | \$ 7.891 | ś . | s - | s . | ٠. | s - | < 364 | \$ 595 | \$ 567 | \$ 540 | \$ 514 | < 488 | 4 464 | < 444 | \$ 401 | ¢ ano | \$ 381 | \$ 363 | \$ 346 | ¢ san | ¢ 213 | ¢ ann | ¢ 20E | \$ 270 | ¢ 250 | 6 7 |
| NPV of Avoided Energy Costs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 3,924 | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | . , | | • • | • • | • • | , -, | | , | • -, | • -,—- | • -, | V 2,122 | ¥ 2,.0, | 0 2,002 | V 2,00 |
| YPV of Net Benefit (Cost) | \$ (12,366) | \$ (1,771) | \$ (1,638) | \$ (1,515) | \$ (1,402) | \$ (1,297) | \$ (835) | \$ (514) | \$ (459 | \$ (410) | \$ (365) | \$ (324) | \$ (288 | \$ (251) | \$ (222) | \$ (193) | \$ (170) | 5 (146) | \$ (125) | \$ (106) | \$ (90) | \$ (73) | \$ (60) | \$ (49) | \$ (37 | 1 5 12 |
| . 2010 Standard Offer with 15% Increase | in Energy Costs: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NPV of Payments To Hathaway | \$ 157,614 | \$ 10,159 | \$ 9,737 | \$ 10,206 | \$ 9,821 | \$ 9,638 | \$ 9,372 | \$ 8,344 | \$ 7,445 | \$ 5,664 | \$ 6,372 | \$ 6,114 | \$ 6,188 | \$ 5,922 | \$ 5,611 | \$ 5,500 | \$ 5,063 | \$ 4,792 | \$ 4,553 | \$ 4,325 | \$ 4,116 | \$ 3,903 | \$ 3,709 | \$ 3,524 | \$ 3,355 | \$ 3,18 |
| NPV of Avoided Capacity Costs | \$ 7.891 | s . | ś - | \$. | ŝ. | s . | \$ 364 | \$ 595 | \$ 567 | \$ 540 | \$ 514 | S 488 | \$ 464 | S 444 | 5 421 | 5 402 | \$ 381 | \$ 363 | \$ 346 | \$ 330 | \$ 313 | \$ 300 | \$ 285 | \$ 270 | ¢ 258 | \$ 24 |
| NPV of Avoided Energy Costs | \$ 137,357 | \$ 8,388 | \$ 8,099 | \$ 8,690 | \$ 8,419 | \$ 8,341 | \$ 8,172 | \$ 7,234 | \$ 6,419 | | | | | | | | \$ 4,513 | | | | | | | | | |
| NPV of Net Benefit (Cost) | \$ (12,366) | \$ (1,771) | \$ (1,638) | \$ (1,515) | \$ (1,402) | \$ (1,297) | \$ (835) | \$ (514) | \$ (459 |) \$ (410) | \$ (365) | \$ (324) | \$ (288) | \$ (251) | \$ (222) | \$ (193) | \$ {170} | \$ (146) | \$ (125) | \$ (106) | \$ (90) | \$ {73} | \$ (60) | \$ (49) | \$ (37) |) \$ (2 |
| . 2010 Standard Offer with 15% Decrease | in Energy Costs | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NPV of Payments To Hathaway | | | \$ 7,624 | \$ 7,939 | \$ 7,625 | \$ 7,462 | \$ 7,240 | \$ 6,457 | \$ 5,770 | \$ 5,173 | \$ 4,939 | \$ 4,731 | \$ 4,770 | \$ 4,558 | \$ 4,315 | \$ 4,220 | \$ 3,886 | \$ 3,675 | \$ 3,488 | \$ 3,310 | \$ 3,148 | \$ 2,982 | \$ 2,831 | \$ 2,688 | \$ 2,557 | \$ 2,42 |
| NPV of Avoided Capacity Costs | \$ 7.891 | s - | s - | s . | s . | s - | \$ 364 | \$ 595 | \$ 567 | \$ 540 | \$ 514 | S 488 | \$ 464 | \$ 444 | 5 421 | \$ 407 | ¢ 191 | \$ 363 | \$ 346 | ¢ 330 | \$ 312 | ¢ am | \$ 285 | \$ 770 | S 258 | \$ 24 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

YPV of Net Benefit (Cost)

\$ (12,366) \$ (1,771) \$ (1,638) \$ (1,515) \$ (1,402) \$ (1,297) \$ (835) \$ (514) \$ (459) \$ (410) \$ (365) \$ (324) \$ (288) \$ (251) \$ (222) \$ (193) \$ (170) \$ (146) \$ (125) \$ (106) \$ (90) \$ (73) \$ (60) \$ (49) \$ (37) \$ (27)

Q9. The avoided unit capacity payments in the 2009 standard offer contract appear to be significantly less than the avoided unit capacity payments in the 2010 standard offer contract. Please explain why there appears to be such a significant decrease in payments (i.e. reduction of the costs of the technology).

<u>PEF Response</u>: The 2010 avoided unit capacity payments are less than the 2009 avoided unit capacity payments due to timing and current economic conditions. The 2009 avoided unit is a combustion turbine and has an in-service date of June, 2014. The 2010 avoided unit is a combustion turbine and has an in-service date of June, 2018. The four year difference between the in-service dates reduces the Net Present Value of the payments. In addition, as a result of the current economic conditions, the cost of major materials and labor has decreased.

Q10. In Docket No. 100009-EI, PEF Witness Lyash supported Exhibit JL-3 which included three generation expansion plans that did not include the 2018, 178 MW combustion turbine found in PEF's 2010 standard offer contract. Based on the information provided by PEF witness Lyash, should PEF close its 2010 standard offer contract?

PEF Response: No, PEF should not close its 2010 Standard Offer Contract.

In Docket No. 100009-EI, PEF witness Lyash supported Exhibit JL-3 which included three Levy Nuclear Project, (LNP) ownership <u>scenarios</u> where a cumulative present value of revenue requirements, (CPVRR) was updated in conjunction with a an updated quantitative LNP feasibility analysis as originally filed in Docket No. 090009-EI to determine the feasibility of the LNP in Docket No. 100009-EI. This analysis is consistent with the Company's decision to continue the project on a slower pace with in-service dates for the Levy nuclear units in 2021 and 2022. The reasonableness of the Company decision is at issue in Docket No. 100009-EI and subject to the Commission's determination. The Company will consider that Commission determination in the normal course of its integrated resource planning process leading up to the Company's next Ten Year Site Plan to be filed April 1, 2011.

As such, the 178 MW natural gas combustion turbine as identified in PEF's 2010 TYSP is still valid as the next and only PEF unit available to be avoided under Commission Rule 25-17.250(1), where the in service date remains June 1, 2018.

Q11. Between the 2009 Standard Offer Contract, the 2010 Standard Offer Contract, and the newly reported avoided Combined Cycle facility, please explain what PEF would consider a reasonable baseline for the contract's avoided unit cost payments.

<u>PEF Response</u>: The 2009 Standard Offer Contract is the appropriate and reasonable baseline for Hathaway's avoided cost payments. As stated in PEF's Question #1 response, negotiations with Hathaway began before the 2010 Standard Offer Contract was fully developed, completed or submitted to the FPSC for approval on April 1, 2010.

Q12. Please complete the table assuming the 2019 Generic 2x1 G CC listed in Exhibit JL-3 of PEF witness Lyash's testimony in Docket No. 100009. Please assume the fuel forecast used in PEF's 2010 TYSP.

<u>PEF Response</u>: The 2019 Generic CC is not valid for a standard offer contract at this time.

Q13. Please complete the table assuming the 2019 Generic 2x1 G CC listed in Exhibit JL-3 of PEF witness Lyash's testimony in Docket No. 100009. Please assume a fuel forecast that is <u>15 percent above</u> PEF's 2010 TYSP.

<u>PEF Response</u>: The 2019 Generic CC is not valid for a standard offer contract at this time.

Q14. Please complete the table assuming the 2019 Generic 2x1 G CC listed in Exhibit JL-3 of PEF witness Lyash's testimony in Docket No. 100009. Please assume a fuel forecast that is 15 percent below PEF's 2010 TYSP.

<u>PEF Response</u>: The 2019 Generic CC is not valid for a standard offer contract at this time.

Q15. Please complete the table assuming PEF's 2010 standard offer contract. Please assume the fuel forecast used in PEF's 2010 TYSP.

Hathaway Contract 1
PEF's 2010 Standard Offer

| \$000 | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | | |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|--|--|
| | | | (7) + (8) | | | |
| | | | Avoided | | | |
| | Avoided | Avoided | Energy & | Avoided | | |
| | Capacity | Energy | Capacity | Cumulative | | |
| | Payments | Payments | Payments | Payments | | |
| Units | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | | |
| Year | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| 2011 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| 2012 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| 2013 | \$ - | \$ 9,215 | \$ 9,215 | \$ 9,215 | | |
| 2014 | \$ - | \$ 9,617 | \$ 9,617 | \$ 18,832 | | |
| 2015 | \$ - | \$ 11,155 | \$ 11,155 | \$ 29,987 | | |
| 2016 | \$ - | \$ 11,685 | \$ 11,685 | \$ 41,672 | | |
| 2017 | \$ - | \$ 12,514 | \$ 12,514 | | | |
| 2018 | \$ 679 | \$ 13,253 | \$ 13,932 | \$ 54,186 \$ 68,118 | | |
| 2019 | \$ 1,200 | \$ 12,681 | \$ 13,881 | \$ 81,999 | | |
| 2020 | \$ 1,236 | \$ 12,165 | \$ 13,401 | \$ 95,400 | | |
| 2021 | \$ 1,272 | \$ 11,707 | \$ 12,979 | \$ 108,379 | | |
| 2022 | \$ 1,308 | \$ 12,167 | \$ 13,475 | \$ 121,854 | | |
| 2023 | \$ 1,344 | \$ 12,692 | \$ 14,036 | \$ 135,890 | | |
| 2024 | \$ 1,380 | \$ 14,070 | \$ 15,450 | \$ 151,340 | | |
| 2025 | \$ 1,428 | \$ 14,622 | \$ 16,050 | \$ 167,390 | | |
| 2026 | \$ 1,464 | \$ 15,023 | \$ 16,487 | \$ 183,877 | | |
| 2027 | \$ 1,512 | \$ 16,035 | \$ 17,547 | \$ 201,424 | | |
| 2028 | \$ 1,548 | \$ 15,950 | \$ 17,498 | \$ 218,922 | | |
| 2029 | \$ 1,596 | \$ 16,365 | \$ 17,961 | \$ 236,883 | | |
| 2030 | \$ 1,644 | \$ 16,857 | \$ 18,501 | \$ 255,384 | | |
| 2031 | \$ 1,692 | \$ 17,362 | \$ 19,054 | \$ 274,438 | | |
| 2032 | \$ 1,740 | \$ 17,923 | \$ 19,663 | \$ 294,101 | | |
| 2033 | \$ 1,800 | \$ 18,419 | \$ 20,219 | \$ 314,320 | | |
| 2034 | \$ 1,848 | \$ 18,972 | \$ 20,820 | \$ 335,140 | | |
| 2035 | \$ 1,896 | \$ 19,542 | \$ 21,438 | \$ 356,578 | | |
| 2036 | \$ 1,956 | \$ 20,173 | \$ 22,129 | \$ 378,707 | | |
| 2037 | \$ 2,016 | \$ 20,732 | \$ 22,748 | \$ 401,455 | | |
| 2038 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 401,455 | | |
| Total | \$ 30,559 | \$370,896 | \$401,455 | | | |
| NPV 2010\$ | \$ 7,891 | \$119,441 | \$127,332 | | | |

Q16. Please complete the table assuming PEF's 2010 standard offer contract. Please assume a fuel forecast that is <u>15 percent above</u> PEF's 2010 TYSP.

Hathaway Contract 1
PEF's 2010 Standard Offer with 15% Increase in Energy Costs

| \$000 | | (7) | | (8) | | (9) | | (10) |
|------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|----------|----|----------|
| | | | | | (| 7) + (8) | | |
| | | | | | | voided | | |
| | A | voided | A | voided | Eı | nergy & | △ | voided |
| | c | apacity | E | nergy | c | apacity | Cu | mulative |
| | Pa | yments | Pa | yments | Pa | yments | Pa | ayments |
| Units | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | L_ | \$ |
| Year | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 2011 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | _ |
| 2012 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | <u>-</u> |
| 2013 | \$ | - | \$ | 10,597 | \$ | 10,597 | \$ | 10,597 |
| 2014 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,060 | \$ | 11,060 | \$ | 21,657 |
| 2015 | \$ | - | \$ | 12,828 | \$ | 12,828 | \$ | 34,485 |
| 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | 13,438 | \$ | 13,438 | \$ | 47,923 |
| 2017 | \$ | - | \$ | 14,391 | \$ | 14,391 | \$ | 62,314 |
| 2018 | \$ | 679 | \$ | 15,241 | \$ | 15,920 | \$ | 78,234 |
| 2019 | \$ | 1,200 | \$ | 14,583 | \$ | 15,783 | \$ | 94,017 |
| 2020 | \$ | 1,236 | \$ | 13,990 | \$ | 15,226 | \$ | 109,243 |
| 2021 | \$ | 1,272 | \$ | 13,463 | \$ | 14,735 | \$ | 123,978 |
| 2022 | \$ | 1,308 | \$ | 13,992 | \$ | 15,300 | \$ | 139,278 |
| 2023 | \$ | 1,344 | \$ | 14,596 | \$ | 15,940 | \$ | 155,218 |
| 2024 | \$ | 1,380 | \$ | 16,181 | \$ | 17,561 | \$ | 172,778 |
| 2025 | \$ | 1,428 | \$ | 16,815 | \$ | 18,243 | \$ | 191,021 |
| 2026 | \$ | 1,464 | \$ | 17,276 | \$ | 18,740 | \$ | 209,762 |
| 2027 | \$ | 1,512 | \$ | 18,440 | \$ | 19,952 | \$ | 229,714 |
| 2028 | \$ | 1,548 | \$ | 18,343 | \$ | 19,891 | \$ | 249,605 |
| 2029 | \$ | 1,596 | \$ | 18,820 | \$ | 20,416 | \$ | 270,020 |
| 2030 | \$ | 1,644 | \$ | 19,386 | \$ | 21,030 | \$ | 291,050 |
| 2031 | \$ | 1,692 | \$ | 19,966 | \$ | 21,658 | \$ | 312,708 |
| 2032 | \$ | 1,740 | \$ | 20,611 | \$ | 22,351 | \$ | 335,060 |
| 2033 | \$ | 1,800 | \$ | 21,182 | \$ | 22,982 | \$ | 358,042 |
| 2034 | \$ | 1,848 | \$ | 21,818 | \$ | 23,666 | \$ | 381,707 |
| 2035 | \$ | 1,896 | \$ | 22,473 | • | 24,369 | \$ | 406,077 |
| 2036 | \$ | 1,956 | | 23,199 | | 25,155 | \$ | 431,232 |
| 2037 | \$ | 2,016 | \$ | 23,842 | \$ | 25,858 | \$ | 457,089 |
| 2038 | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | 457,089 |
| Total | \$ | 30,559 | | 426,530 | | 457,089 | | |
| NPV 2010\$ | \$ | 7,891 | | 137,357 | _ | 145,248 | | |

Q17. Please complete the table assuming PEF's 2010 standard offer contract. Please assume a fuel forecast that is <u>15 percent below</u> PEF's 2010 TYSP.

Hathaway Contract 1
PEF's 2010 Standard Offer with 15% Decrease in Energy Costs

| \$000 | | (7) | | (8) | | (9) | | (10) |
|------------|----------------|---------|----|---------|----|----------|----|----------|
| | | | | | (| 7) + (8) | | |
| | | | | | Α | voided | | |
| | A ¹ | voided | A | voided | Er | Energy & | | voided |
| | C | apacity | E | nergy | C | apacity | Cu | mulative |
| | Pa | yments | Pa | yments | Pa | yments | Pa | yments |
| Units | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| Year | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2011 | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2012 | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | _ |
| 2013 | \$ | _ | \$ | 7,833 | \$ | 7,833 | \$ | 7,833 |
| 2014 | \$ | _ | \$ | 8,174 | \$ | 8,174 | \$ | 16,007 |
| 2015 | \$ | - | \$ | 9,482 | \$ | 9,482 | \$ | 25,489 |
| 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | 9,932 | \$ | 9,932 | \$ | 35,421 |
| 2017 | \$ | - | \$ | 10,637 | \$ | 10,637 | \$ | 46,058 |
| 2018 | \$ | 679 | \$ | 11,265 | \$ | 11,944 | \$ | 58,002 |
| 2019 | \$ | 1,200 | \$ | 10,779 | \$ | 11,979 | \$ | 69,981 |
| 2020 | \$ | 1,236 | \$ | 10,340 | \$ | 11,576 | \$ | 81,557 |
| 2021 | \$ | 1,272 | \$ | 9,951 | \$ | 11,223 | 4 | 92,780 |
| 2022 | \$ | 1,308 | \$ | 10,342 | \$ | 11,650 | \$ | 104,430 |
| 2023 | \$ | 1,344 | \$ | 10,788 | \$ | 12,132 | \$ | 116,562 |
| 2024 | \$ | 1,380 | \$ | 11,960 | \$ | 13,340 | \$ | 129,902 |
| 2025 | \$ | 1,428 | \$ | 12,429 | \$ | 13,857 | \$ | 143,759 |
| 2026 | \$ | 1,464 | \$ | 12,770 | \$ | 14,234 | \$ | 157,992 |
| 2027 | \$ | 1,512 | \$ | 13,630 | \$ | 15,142 | \$ | 173,134 |
| 2028 | \$ | 1,548 | \$ | 13,558 | \$ | 15,106 | \$ | 188,239 |
| 2029 | \$ | 1,596 | \$ | 13,910 | \$ | 15,506 | \$ | 203,746 |
| 2030 | \$ | 1,644 | \$ | 14,328 | \$ | 15,972 | \$ | 219,718 |
| 2031 | \$ | 1,692 | \$ | 14,758 | \$ | 16,450 | \$ | 236,168 |
| 2032 | \$ | 1,740 | \$ | 15,235 | \$ | 16,975 | \$ | 253,142 |
| 2033 | \$ | 1,800 | \$ | 15,656 | \$ | 17,456 | \$ | 270,598 |
| 2034 | \$ | 1,848 | \$ | 16,126 | \$ | 17,974 | \$ | 288,573 |
| 2035 | \$ | 1,896 | \$ | 16,611 | \$ | 18,507 | \$ | 307,079 |
| 2036 | \$ | 1,956 | \$ | 17,147 | \$ | 19,103 | \$ | 326,182 |
| 2037 | \$ | 2,016 | \$ | 17,622 | \$ | 19,638 | \$ | 345,821 |
| 2038 | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | 345,821 |
| Total | \$ | 30,559 | - | 315,262 | | 345,821 | | |
| NPV 2010\$ | \$ | 7,891 | | 101,524 | - | 109,416 | | |

Q18. Please complete the table for the Contract between PEF and Hathaway. Please assume the fuel forecast used in PEF's 2010 TYSP. Also, please ensure that the energy payments are consistent with the parameters described in section 12.1 of the contract.

Hathaway Contract 1
PEF's 2010 Standard Offer

| \$000 | | (7) | | (8) | | (9) | | (10) |
|------------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----|----------|-----|----------|
| | | | | | (7 | 7) + (8) | | |
| | | | | | C | ontract | | |
| | C | ontract | Cc | ntract | Er | ergy & | Cui | mulative |
| | E | nergy | Ca | pacity | C | apacity | С | ontract |
| | Pa | yments | Pay | ments | Pa | yments | Pa | yments |
| Units | ┖ | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| Year | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2011 | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | _ |
| 2012 | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | _ |
| 2013 | \$ | 9,215 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 11,452 | \$ | 11,452 |
| 2014 | \$ | 9,617 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 11,854 | \$ | 23,306 |
| 2015 | \$ | 11,155 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 13,392 | \$ | 36,698 |
| 2016 | \$ | 11,685 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 13,922 | \$ | 50,620 |
| 2017 | \$ | 12,514 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 14,751 | \$ | 65,371 |
| 2018 | \$ | 13,253 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 15,490 | \$ | 80,861 |
| 2019 | \$ | 12,681 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 14,918 | \$ | 95,779 |
| 2020 | \$ | 12,165 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 14,402 | \$ | 110,181 |
| 2021 | \$ | 11,707 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 13,944 | \$ | 124,125 |
| 2022 | \$ | 12,167 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 14,404 | \$ | 138,529 |
| 2023 | \$ | 12,692 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 14,929 | \$ | 153,458 |
| 2024 | \$ | 14,070 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 16,307 | \$ | 169,765 |
| 2025 | \$ | 14,622 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 16,859 | \$ | 186,624 |
| 2026 | \$ | 15,023 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 17,260 | \$ | 203,884 |
| 2027 | \$ | 16,035 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 18,272 | \$ | 222,156 |
| 2028 | \$ | 15,950 | \$ \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 18,187 | \$ | 240,343 |
| 2029 | \$ | 16,365 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 18,602 | \$ | 258,945 |
| 2030 | \$ | 16,857 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 19,094 | \$ | 278,039 |
| 2031 | \$ | 17,362 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 19,599 | \$ | 297,638 |
| 2032 | \$ | 17,923 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 20,160 | \$ | 317,798 |
| 2033 | | 18,419 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 20,656 | \$ | 338,454 |
| 2034 | \$ \$ | 18,972 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 21,209 | \$ | 359,663 |
| 2035 | \$ | 19,542 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 21,779 | \$ | 381,442 |
| 2036 | \$ | 20,173 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 22,410 | \$ | 403,852 |
| 2037 | \$ | 20,732 | \$ | 2,237 | \$ | 22,969 | \$ | 426,821 |
| 2038 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 426,821 |
| Total | | 370,896 | \$ | 55,925 | \$ | 426,821 | | |
| NPV 2010\$ | \$ | 119,441 | \$ | 20,257 | \$ | 139,698 | | |

Q19. Please complete the table for the Contract between PEF and Hathaway. Please assume a fuel forecast that is <u>15 percent above</u> PEF's 2010 TYSP. Also, please ensure that the energy payments are consistent with the parameters described in section 12.1 of the contract.

Hathaway Contract 1
PEF's 2010 Standard Offer with 15% Increase in Energy Costs

| \$000 | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
|------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|
| | | | (7) + (8) | |
| | | | Contract | |
| | Contract | Contract | Energy & | Cumulative |
| | Energy | Capacity | Capacity | Contract |
| | Payments | Payments | Payments | Payments |
| Units | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Year | | | | |
| 2010 | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2011 | \$ - | \$ | \$ | \$ - |
| 2012 | \$ - | \$ | \$ | \$ - |
| 2013 | \$ 10,597 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 12,834 | \$ 12,834 |
| 2014 | \$ 11,060 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 13,297 | \$ 26,131 |
| 2015 | \$ 12,828 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 15,065 | \$ 41,196 |
| 2016 | \$ 13,438 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 15,675 | \$ 56,871 |
| 2017 | \$ 14,391 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 16,628 | \$ 73,499 |
| 2018 | \$ 15,241 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 17,478 | \$ 90,977 |
| 2019 | \$ 14,583 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 16,820 | \$ 107,797 |
| 2020 | \$ 13,990 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 16,227 | \$ 124,024 |
| 2021 | \$ 13,463 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 15,700 | \$ 139,724 |
| 2022 | \$ 13,992 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 16,229 | \$ 155,953 |
| 2023 | \$ 14,596 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 16,833 | \$ 172,786 |
| 2024 | \$ 16,181 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 18,418 | \$ 191,203 |
| 2025 | \$ 16,815 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 19,052 | \$ 210,255 |
| 2026 | \$ 17,276 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 19,513 | \$ 229,769 |
| 2027 | \$ 18,440 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 20,677 | \$ 250,446 |
| 2028 | \$ 18,343 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 20,580 | \$ 271,026 |
| 2029 | \$ 18,820 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 21,057 | \$ 292,082 |
| 2030 | \$ 19,386 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 21,623 | \$ 313,705 |
| 2031 | \$ 19,966 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 22,203 | \$ 335,908 |
| 2032 | \$ 20,611 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 22,848 | \$ 358,757 |
| 2033 | \$ 21,182 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 23,419 | \$ 382,176 |
| 2034 | \$ 21,818 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 24,055 | \$ 406,230 |
| 2035 | \$ 22,473 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 24,710 | \$ 430,941 |
| 2036 | \$ 23,199 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 25,436 | \$ 456,377 |
| 2037 | \$ 23,842 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 26,079 | \$ 482,455 |
| 2038 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 482,455 |
| Total | \$ 426,530 | \$ 55,925 | \$482,455 | |
| NPV 2010\$ | \$ 137,357 | \$ 20,257 | \$157,614 | |

Q20. Please complete the table for the Contract between PEF and Hathaway. Please assume a fuel forecast that is <u>15 percent below</u> PEF's 2010 TYSP. Also, please ensure that the energy payments are consistent with the parameters described in section 12.1 of the contract.

Hathaway Contract 1
PEF's 2010 Standard Offer with 15% Decrease in Energy Costs

| \$000 | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | | | (7) + (8) | |
| | | | Contract | |
| | Contract | Contract | Energy & | Cumulative |
| | Energy | Capacity | Capacity | Contract |
| | Payments | Payments | Payments | Payments |
| Units | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Year | | | | _ |
| 2010 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ |
| 2011 | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2012 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2013 | \$ 7,833 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 10,070 | \$ 10,070 |
| 2014 | \$ 8,174 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 10,411 | \$ 20,481 |
| 2015 | \$ 9,482 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 11,719 | \$ 32,200 |
| 2016 | \$ 9,932 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 12,169 | \$ 44,369 |
| 2017 | \$ 10,637 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 12,874 | \$ 57,243 |
| 2018 | \$ 11,265 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 13,502 | \$ 70,745 |
| 2019 | \$ 10,779 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 13,016 | \$ 83,761 |
| 2020 | \$ 10,340 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 12,577 | \$ 96,338 |
| 2021 | \$ 9,951 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 12,188 | \$ 108,526 |
| 2022 | \$ 10,342 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 12,579 | \$ 121,105 |
| 2023 | \$ 10,788 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 13,025 | \$ 134,130 |
| 2024 | \$ 11,960 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 14,197 | \$ 148,327 |
| 2025 | \$ 12,429 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 14,666 | \$ 162,993 |
| 2026 | \$ 12,770 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 15,007 | \$ 177,999 |
| 2027 | \$ 13,630 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 15,867 | \$ 193,866 |
| 2028 | \$ 13,558 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 15,795 | \$ 209,660 |
| 2029 | \$ 13,910 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 16,147 | \$ 225,808 |
| 2030 | \$ 14,328 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 16,565 | \$ 242,373 |
| 2031 | \$ 14,758 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 16,995 | \$ 259,368 |
| 2032 | \$ 15,235 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 17,472 | \$ 276,839 |
| 2033 | \$ 15,656 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 17,893 | \$ 294,732 |
| 2034 | \$ 16,126 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 18,363 | \$ 313,096 |
| 2035 | \$ 16,611 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 18,848 | \$ 331,943 |
| 2036 | \$ 17,147 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 19,384 | \$ 351,327 |
| 2037 | \$ 17,622 | \$ 2,237 | \$ 19,859 | \$ 371,187 |
| 2038 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 371,187 |
| Total | \$ 315,262 | \$ 55,925 | \$371,187 | |
| NPV 2010\$ | \$ 101,524 | \$_20,257 | \$121,782 | |