

VOTE SHEET

September 14, 2010

Docket No. 100159-EG -- Petition for approval of demand-side management plan of Tampa Electric Company. (Deferred from the August 31, 2010, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

Issue 1: Does TECO's proposed Demand-Side Management Plan (DSM) satisfy the Company's numeric conservation goals set by the Commission in Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG?

Recommendation: No. TECO's proposed DSM Plan fails to meet its annual residential goals in each category for two or more years, starting in 2013. Similarly, the Company's Plan does not meet all the annual commercial/industrial energy goals by as early as 2014. TECO's failure to meet its annual conservation goals may result in financial penalties or other appropriate action.

Consistent with Section 366.82(7), F.S., staff recommends that TECO file specific program modifications or additions that are needed in order for the 2010 DSM Plan to be in compliance with Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG within 30 days of the Commission's Order in this docket. The compliance filing should ~~not~~ include savings associated with TECO's solar pilot programs.

APPROVED, *as modified*

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in majority column]

[Empty lines for dissenting signatures]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER 0411
07684 SEP 14 09
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

September 14, 2010

Docket No. 100159-EG – Petition for approval of demand-side management plan of Tampa Electric Company. (Deferred from the August 31, 2010, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Are the programs contained in TECO's proposed 2010 Demand-Side Management Plan cost-effective as this criterion is used in Commission Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG?

Recommendation: Yes. All programs in TECO's proposed 2010 DSM Plan pass the E-TRC and Participants Tests. Audits, Pilot Programs, and Research & Development programs are not included in this evaluation because they are not required to pass cost-effectiveness testing. TECO should be required to file program standards within 30 days of the Commission's Order in this docket.

The Commission should approve cost-effective programs to allow TECO to file for cost recovery. However, TECO must still demonstrate, during the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery clause proceeding, that expenditures in executing its DSM Plan were reasonable and prudent. In addition, the Commission will evaluate the Company's compliance filing and make a final determination at that time regarding the cost-effectiveness of any modified or new programs.

DENIED *and not approve programs. Staff to communicate with utilities regarding the programs. Parties to refile plan within 30 days.*

Issue 3: Does TECO's proposed DSM Plan include pilot programs that encourage the development of solar water heating and solar PV technologies consistent with Commission Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG?

Recommendation: Yes. The cost of the proposed pilot program is within the annual expenditure cap of \$1,531,018 that was specified by Commission Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG. However, the allocation of funds to: (1) solar thermal vs. solar PV, (2) private customers vs. public institutions, and (3) low-income residential varies widely among the investor-owned utilities. If the Commission desires to have more uniformity among the IOUs' programs, then the Commission should initiate public workshops to explore that issue further.

APPROVED *with the understanding that there will be a workshop to better understand how the solar dollars would be spent.*

Issue 4: Do any of the programs in TECO's proposed DSM Plan have an undue impact on the costs passed on to customers?

Recommendation: No. The proposed program costs are not undue because the increase in program costs correlates with the increase in goals. The Commission should evaluate the Company's compliance filing and make a final determination in the ECCR clause proceedings regarding the appropriateness of incentive levels.

MOOT

Vote Sheet

September 14, 2010

Docket No. 100159-EG – Petition for approval of demand-side management plan of Tampa Electric Company.
(Deferred from the August 31, 2010, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open in order for TECO to refile its demand-side management plan within 30 days from the date of this Order. In addition, if the Commission approves any programs, the programs should become effective on the date of the Consummating Order. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the Order, the programs should not be implemented until after the resolution of the protest.

APPROVED