DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

	BEFORE THE
FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
In the Matter of	
	DOCKET NO. 100003-GU
PURCHASED GAS AD (PGA) TRUE-UP.	DJUSTMENT
	The state of the s
	7 3 1
l'I	VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE NIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT
THE OFFIC	IAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, SION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.
THE .FDF VER	SION INCLUDES FREFILED TESTIMONT.
PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING
COMMISSIONERS	
PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR
	COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ
DATE:	Monday, November 1, 2010
TIME:	Commenced at 9:36 a.m.
	Concluded at 9:43 a.m.
PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
	4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR
	Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732
	In the Matter of PURCHASED GAS AD (PGA) TRUE-UP. ELECTRONIC A CONVE THE OFFIC THE .PDF VER PROCEEDINGS: COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING: DATE: TIME:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

APPEARANCES:

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Akerman Law Firm, 106

East College Avenue, Suite 1200, Tallahassee, Florida

32301, appearing on behalf of Florida City Gas and Florida

Public Utilities Company.

J.R. KELLY, ESQUIRE, CHARLIE BECK, ESQUIRE, and PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison St., Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of Florida.

JON C. MOYLE, JR., ESQUIRE, and VICKI GORDON
KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE, Keefe Law Firm, 118 North Gadsden
Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of
Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

KATHERINE FLEMING, ESQUIRE, FPSC General
Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee,
Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida
Public Service Commission Staff.

MARY ANNE HELTON, Deputy General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the Florida Public Service Commission.

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME.	PAGE NO.
4	NAME:	PAGE NO.
5	THOMAS KAUFMAN Prefiled Testimony Inserted	11
6	JAMES V. MESITE, JR. Prefiled Testimony Inserted	22
7	THOMAS A. GEOFFROY	22
8	(Adopts James V. Mesite, Jr.)	24
9	Prefiled Testimony Inserted	24
10	KANDI M. FLOYD Prefiled Testimony Inserted	28
11	STUART L. SHOAF	47
12	Prefiled Testimony Inserted	47
13		
14		
15		
16	·	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER:		ID.	ADMTD.
3	1-14	(Description contained in	10	10
4		the Comprehensive Exhibit List.)		
5				
6				
7				
8	:			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We want to call the hearing to order, and I request the staff to read the notice.

MS. FLEMING: Good morning. Pursuant to notice issued by the Commission Clerk, this time and place has been set for a hearing in the following dockets: 100001-EI, 100002-EG, 100003-GU, 100004-GU, and 100007-EI.

Staff would also like to note for the record that the FPL portion of the 01, 02, and 07 hearing has been bifurcated, and that hearing will be held December 13th, 15th, and 16th.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you.

So that all being said, we want to open the 100003 docket.

Staff, is there any preliminary matters before us in this docket?

MS. FLEMING: Chairman, if I may, staff would suggest that we take appearances for all dockets. I believe we are hearing five dockets today, so if the parties could, when they make their appearance, identify which dockets they are participating in.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sounds good.

MS. TRIPLETT: Everyone is looking at me. Good morning, Commissioners and Chairman. My name is Dianne Triplett appearing on behalf of Progress Energy Florida in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. Also appearing on the 01 and 02 dockets will be John Burnett, and on the 07 docket will be Gary Perko. Thank you.

MR. BADDERS: Good morning, Commissioners.

Russell Badders on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

I'm appearing in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. Along with me I have Jeffrey A. Stone, who is appearing in the same dockets.

MR. BEASLEY: Good morning, Commissioners.

James D. Beasley appearing with J. Jeffry Wahlen,

both of the law firm of Ausley and McMullen on

behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02, and

07 dockets.

MR. HORTON: Good morning. Norman H. Horton, Messer, Caparello, and Self, appearing on behalf of Sebring Gas System in the 04 docket.

MS. KEATING: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. Beth Keating, Akerman, Senterfitt.

I'm here today on behalf of Florida Public Utilities in the 01, 02, 03, and 04 dockets. I am also here on behalf of Florida City Gas in the 03 and 04

dockets, and Indiantown in the 04 docket, and Chesapeake in the 04 docket.

MR. WIGGINS: I'm only here for one party.

Good morning, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. Patrick K.

Wiggins, P.O. Box 1657, Tallahassee 32302. I'm here
in the 01 docket on behalf of the Alliance For

Fairness In Ratemaking. Thank you.

MR. BREW: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners. I am James Brew of the law firm of
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts, and Stone. I'm here
on behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals,
and I'm here for the 01 and 02 dockets. Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: Good morning. Jon Moyle,
Keefe, Anchors, Gordon, and Moyle. I'm appearing
today along with Vicki Kaufman on behalf of FIPUG,
the Florida Industrial Power Users Group, 01, 02,
03, and 07.

MR. BECK: Good morning, Commissioners. Charlie Beck, Office of Public Counsel. Also appearing is Patricia Christensen and J.R. Kelly appearing in the 01, 02, 03, and 07 dockets.

MS. WHITE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners. I'm Karen White, and I'm appearing
in the 01 and 07 docket on behalf of the Federal
Executive Agencies. I'm also entering an appearance

1	this morning for Captain Shayla McNeill in those
2	same dockets. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Is that everyone?
4	MS. BENNETT: Mr. Chairman and
5	Commissioners, Lisa Bennett and Erik Sayler on
6	behalf of Public Service Commission staff in the 01
7	docket.
8	MS. BROWN: Martha Brown and Anna Williams
9	on behalf of Public Service Commission staff in the
10	07 docket.
11	MS. FLEMING: Katherine Fleming on behalf
12	of the Commission staff in the 03 and 04 docket.
13	And I would also like to enter an appearance for Lee
14	Eng Tan as well as myself in the 02 docket.
15	MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton, Advisor to
16	the Commission in all the dockets.
17	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Skop.
18	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19	To Ms. Helton, is our General Counsel
20	present for this morning's meeting?
21	MS. HELTON: No, sir. He's in the rate
22	school in Clearwater.
23	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Now are we ready
25	to open the docket?

MS. FLEMING: Chairman, we would suggest that for ease of reference we let the parties know that staff has proposed a suggested order of dockets, and staff would suggest that we take up the 03, 04, 02, 07, and 01 dockets in that order.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sounds good.

MS. FLEMING: And I think with that, Mr. Chairman, I think we are ready for the 03 docket.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: There we go. That's what I wanted to hear. So we will now officially open the 100003 docket.

Now, Staff, is there any preliminary matters before us in this docket?

MS. FLEMING: Yes, Chairman and Commissioners.

We would like to note for the record that St. Joe Natural Gas Company and Peoples Gas have been excused from the hearing in this docket. There are proposed stipulations on all issues, noting that OPC has taken no position. All witnesses have been excused and the parties do not intend to make opening statements.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Is there any prefiled testimony?

MS. FLEMING: Yes, Commissioner. At this

time, staff will ask that the prefiled testimony of all witnesses identified on Page 4 of the prehearing order be moved into the record as though read.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: So moved.

MS. FLEMING: Commissioner, in this docket we also have exhibits. Staff has compiled a Comprehensive Exhibit List which we have provided to all the parties, and the Commissioners, and the court reporter. And at this time staff would ask that Exhibits 1 through 14 as contained on the Comprehensive Exhibit List be marked and moved into the record.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. We will move Exhibits 1 through 14 into the record.

(Exhibits 1 through 14 marked for identification and admitted into evidence.)

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3		THOMAS KAUFMANN
4		ON BEHALF OF FLORIDA CITY GAS
5		DOCKET NO. 100003-GU
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
8	A.	My name is Thomas Kaufmann. My business address is
9		Elizabethtown Gas, 300 Connell Drive, Suite 3000, Berkeley
10		Heights, New Jersey 07922
11		
12	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
13	A.	I am currently employed as a Manager of Rates and Tariffs and
14		have responsibilities for Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc's., Florida
15		operating division .d/b/a Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the
16		Company").
17		
18	Q.	BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
19		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
20	A.	In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark with
21		a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring
22		in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from
23		Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison with a Masters of

Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional encompassed financial analysis. responsibilities have accounting, planning, and pricing in manufacturing and energy services companies in both regulated and deregulated industries. In 1977, I was employed by Allied Chemical Corp. as a staff accountant. In 1980, I was employed by Celanese Corp. as a financial analyst. In 1981, I was employed by Suburban Propane as a Strategic Planning Analyst, promoted to Manager of Rates and Pricing in 1986 and to Director of Acquisitions and Business Analysis in 1990. In 1993, I was employed by Concurrent Computer as a Manager, Pricing Administration. In 1996 I joined Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc's., (formerly known as NUI Utilities Inc.) as a Rate Analyst, was promoted to Manager of Regulatory Support in August, 1997 and Manager of Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named Manager of Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.

17

18

19

20

21

A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

The purpose of my testimony is to present the comparison of Actual versus Original estimate of the purchased gas adjustment cost recovery factor and true-up provision for the period January, 2009 through December, 2009 for City Gas.

23

1	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORM PRESCRIBED
2		BY THIS COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?
3	A.	Yes. The Company has prepared the form prescribed by the
4		Commission attached as Schedule A-7, and identified as Exhibit
5		(TK-1).
6		
7	Q.	HAS CITY GAS PREPARED A SCHEDULE WHICH SHOWS
8		THE ACTUAL GAS COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE GAS
9		ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY FACTOR?
10	A.	Yes. City Gas prepared Schedule A-7, attached, which
11		describes the total fuel cost for the period in question, recovery
12		of such cost from ratepayers through the Purchased Gas
13		Adjustment (PGA) Cost Recovery Factor, and remaining over or
14		under-recovery of gas cost.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT WAS THE TOTAL GAS COST INCURRED BY THE
17		COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED
18		DECEMBER 31, 2009?
19	A.	As shown on Schedule A-7, Line 1, the total cost of gas for the
20		twelve months ended December 31, 2009 is \$25,340,897.
21		

1	Q.	WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF GAS COST
2		RECOVERED BY THE COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE
3		MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009?
4	A.	The Company recovered \$26,737,546.
5		
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S ACTUAL TRUE-UP FOR THE
7		TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009?
8	A.	The actual true-up amount, including adjustments, margin
9		sharing and interest, is a over-recovery of \$2,308,521.
0		
1	Q.	CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU ARRIVED AT THAT
2		AMOUNT?
13	A.	Yes. As shown on Schedule A-7, the total fuel revenues for the
14		period are \$26,737,546 and the total fuel cost is \$25,340,897.
15		The difference between the fuel cost and fuel recoveries is an
16		over-recovery of \$1,396,649. This over-recovery is increased by
17		an adjustment of \$911,477 for margin sharing and the interest
8		provision, during the period, of \$395. The sum of these,
19		inclusive of any rounding, is a under-recovery of \$2,308,521.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE FINAL OVER/UNDER RECOVERY FOR THE
22		JANUARY 2009 THROUGH DECEMBER 2009 PERIOD TO BE
23		INCLUDED IN THE 2010 PROJECTION?

1 A. The final true-up amount for the period of January 2009 through
2 December 2009 to be included in the 2010 projection is an over3 recovery \$836,302. This is the difference between the
4 estimated over-recovery of \$1,472,219 that is included in the
5 current cost recovery factor being collected during 2010 and the
6 actual over-recovery of \$2,308,521.

7

8

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

9 A. Yes.

ethtowr
?
nd have
").
O AND
N.J.,
oring
from
s of
ional
ıting,
/ices
77, I
nt. In

1		1980, I was employed by Celanese Corp. as a financial analyst. In
2		1981, I was employed by Suburban Propane as a Strategic
3		Planning Analyst, promoted to Manager of Rates and Pricing in
4		1986 and to Director of Acquisitions and Business Analysis in 1990.
5		In 1993, I was employed by Concurrent Computer as a Manager,
6		Pricing Administration. In 1996 I joined NUI as a Rate Analyst, was
7		promoted to Manager of Regulatory Support in August, 1997 and
8		Manager of Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named
9		Manager of Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.
0	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.
1	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the revised estimate of
2		the Company's projection of gas costs for the period August 2010
3		through December 2010 and the Company's projection of gas costs
4		for the period January 2011 through December 2011. In addition
15		will present the development of the maximum rate to be charged to
6		customers for the period January 2011 through December 2011.
7	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORMS AS PRESCRIBED
8		BY THE COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?
9:	A.	Yes. The forms prescribed by the Commission are being filed at
20		this time. Copies are attached to my testimony as Exhibit (TK-2).

1 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE PROJECTION METHODOLOGY?

2 Α. Under this methodology, which was adopted by Order No. 3 PSC-93-0708-FOF-GU of this Commission on May 10, 1993 and 4 modified in Docket No. 980269-PU on June 10, 1998, gas companies are to project their gas costs each twelve months for the 5 6 ensuing twelve month period ending in December. A per therm rate 7 is developed for the weighted average cost of gas (WACOG). This 8 rate, based on the average of the winter and summer seasons, 9 would lead to over or under-recoveries of gas costs in the two This problem is mitigated by establishing a maximum 10 seasons. 11 levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected 12 winter cost of gas, thereby eliminating a large under-recovery in that season. The Company is then able to flex downward in the summer 13 in order to match market conditions and eliminate the potential for a 14 15 large over-recovery for the remainder of the period.

16 Q. WHAT IF THE ACTUAL COST EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM RATE 17 AS PROJECTED?

- 18 A. If re-projected gas costs for the remaining period exceed projected recoveries by at least 10% for the twelve month period, a mid-course correction may formally be requested by the Company.
 - 21 Q. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DIFFERENCES THAT RESULT FROM
 22 MISESTIMATES, THAT IS, THE MISMATCHES BETWEEN
 23 ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL COSTS?

11

14

15

16

17

· 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

- 1 A. The forms take this into consideration. Form E-2 calculates the
 2 projected differences using estimated figures, and form E-4
 3 calculates the final true-up using actual figures. These differences
 4 are flowed back to customers through the true-up factor included in
 5 gas costs billed in the subsequent twelve month period.
- 6 Q. ARE ANY FLORIDA GAS TRANSMSSION (FGT) RATE
 7 CHANGES PROPOSED WHICH ARE REFLECTED IN THIS
 8 FILING?
- 9 A. No, the FGT rates used in the preparation of this filing are those in 10 effect on September 1, 2010

12 Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE CONTENTS OF THE SCHEDULES
13 SUBMITTED AS PART OF THIS FILING?

Yes. Schedule E-1 shows the projected period, January 2011 through December 2011. For 2011, the Company estimates the gas purchases for resale will be 45,018,217 therms (Line 15) at a total cost of \$38,426,570 (Line 11) with a resulting WACOG of 85.358 cents per therm (Line 40) before the application of the true-up factor and the regulatory assessment fee. Schedule E-4 shows the difference between the estimated actual and actual true-up for the prior period, January 2009 through December 2009, is an over-recovery of \$836,302 (Column 3, Line 4). The projected true-up for the current period, January 2010 through December 2010, is an under-recovery of \$264,017 (Column 4, line 4). The total true-up as

1 shown on Schedule E-4 is an over-recovery of \$572,285 for a true-2 up refund factor of 1.271 cents per therm that would be applied 3 during the projected period (Schedule E-1, Line 41). This true-up 4 factor decreases the gas cost factor during the projected period to 5 84.087 cents per therm (Line 42) before the regulatory assessment 6 fee. With the regulatory assessment fee added, the PGA factor is 7 84.510 cents per therm (Line 44) based on the average of the 8 winter and summer seasons. 9 DOES THE ANALYSIS FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD 10 Q. SUMMARIZED ABOVE PROVIDE A SUFFICIENT BASIS TO SET 11 12 THE PGA CAP IN 2011? 13 No. As shown on Schedule E-1 (winter), City Gas has chosen to Α. 14 establish a maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the 15 Company's expected winter cost of gas as follows: 16 Winter Average 17 \$21,315,096 Total Cost (Line 11) - 18 23,103,306 Total Therm Sales (Line 27) 19 -(Line 11/ Line 27) \$0.92260 20 (\$0.01271)True-up 21 Before Regulatory Assessment \$0.90898 22 Revenue Tax Factor 1.00503 23 Purchased Gas Factor \$0.91447

As shown above, the maximum levelized purchased gas factor
based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas is 90.898
cents per therm before the regulatory assessment fee and 91.447
cents per therm after the regulatory assessment fee. If approved by
the Commission, 91.447 cents per therm would be the maximum
gas cost factor that City Gas may charge its customers for the
period January 2011 through December 2011.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

10 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 100003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

Direct Testimony of James V. Mesite, Jr. On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	James V. Mesite, Jr., 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, FL
3		33401.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company as the Senior Project
6		Accountant.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
8	A.	To advise the Commission of the actual over/under recovery of the
9		Purchased Gas Adjustment for the period January 1, 2009 through
10		December 31, 2009, as compared to the true-up amount previously
11		reported for that period which was based on seven months actual and five
12		months estimated.
13	Q.	Please state the actual amount of over/under recovery of the Purchased

Gas Adjustment for January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009.

- 1 A. During January 2009 through December 2009, FPUC overrecovered
- 2 \$2,127,921.56.
- 3 Q. How does this amount compare with the estimated true-up amount, which
- was allowed by the Commission during the November 2009 hearing?
- 5 A. As of December 31, 2009, FPUC had estimated an overrecovery of
- 6 \$2,518,720
- 7 Q. Have you prepared any exhibits at this time?
- 8 A. We prepared and pre-filed composite Exhibit JVMJ-1, containing
- 9 Schedule A-7, Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery for the Period January
- 10 2009 through December 2009.
- 11 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 12 A. Yes

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF THOMAS A. GEOFFROY
4		On behalf of
5		Florida Public Utilities Company
6		DOCKET NO. 100003-GU
7	Q.	Please state your name, occupation and business address.
8	A.	My name is Thomas A. Geoffroy. I am the Vice President - Regulatory Affairs and
9		Business Planning for Florida Public Utilities Company. My business address is 401
10		South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33402.
11	Q.	Describe briefly your educational background and relevant professional background.
12	A.	I have a Bachelor's of Science degree in Accounting from the University of Florida. I
13		have been employed in the natural gas industry in Florida for over twenty-five years,
14		in various accounting and management roles.
15	Q.	Are you familiar with the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) clause of the Company
16		and the associated projected and actual revenues and costs?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?
19	A.	My testimony will establish the PGA "true-up" refund amount, based on actual 2009
20		data and projected 2010 data. My testimony will describe the forecast of the pipeline
21		charges and the forecast of commodity costs of natural gas purchased by the Company
22		for 2011. Finally, I will summarize the computations that are contained in composite
23		exhibit TAG-1 supporting the January through December 2011 projected PGA
24		recovery (cap) factor for our consolidated gas division.
25	Q.	Were the schedules filed by your Company completed under your direction?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Which of the Staff's set of schedules has your company completed and filed?
- 3 A. We have prepared and previously filed True-Up schedules A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-5,
- 4 and A-6, in addition to filing composite exhibit TAG-1 which contains Schedules E-1.
- 5 E-1/R, E-2, E-3, E-4, and E-5 for our consolidated gas division. These schedules
- 6 support the calculation of the PGA recovery (cap) factor for January through
- 7 December 2011.
- 8 Q. What is the appropriate final PGA true-up amount for the period January through
- 9 December 2009?
- 10 A. As shown on Schedule E-4, the final PGA true-up amount for the period January
- through December 2009 is an under-recovery of \$390,798, inclusive of interest.
- 12 Q. What is the projected PGA true-up amount for the period January through December
- 13 2010?
- 14 A. As also shown on Schedule E-4, the projected PGA true-up amount is an over-
- recovery of \$3,302,030, inclusive of interest, for the period January through
- December 2010.
- Q. What is the total projected PGA true-up amount to be collected or refunded to
- customers for the period January through December 2011?
- 19 A. As shown on Schedule E-4, the total net over-recovery to be refunded for the period
- January through December 2011 is \$2,911,232.
- 21 Q. What is the projection period for this filing?
- 22 A. The projection period is January through December 2011.
- 23 Q. Please describe how the forecasts of pipeline charges and commodity costs of gas
- 24 were developed for the projection period.
- 25 A. The purchases for the gas cost projection model are based on projected sales to

1 traditional non-transportation service customers. Florida Gas Transmission 2 Company's (FGT) FTS-1, FTS-2, NNTS-1 and ITS-1 effective charges (including 3 surcharges) and fuel rates, at the time the projections were made, were used for the 4 entire projection period. The expected cost of natural gas purchased by the Company 5 during the projection period are developed using the maximum New York Mercantile 6 Exchange (NYMEX) natural gas futures settlement prices for the historical period of 7 June 1992 through present. The forecasts of the commodity cost of gas also takes into 8 account the average basis differential between the NYMEX projections and historic 9 cash markets as well as premiums and discounts, by production zone, for term gas 10 supplies. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted average cost of gas are developed 11 Q. 12 for the projection period. The Company's sales to traditional non-transportation firm and interruptible 13 A. 14 customers were allocated all of the projected monthly pipeline demand costs, less the projected cost of capacity temporarily relinquished to pool managers, in accordance 15 with the Company's tariff, all of the projected pipeline usage and no-notice costs and 16 projected supplier commodity costs. The sum of these costs are then divided by the 17 projected therm sales to the traditional non-transportation customers resulting in the 18 19 projected weighted average cost of gas and ultimately the PGA recovery (cap) factor, 20 as shown on Schedule E-1. What is the appropriate PGA recovery (cap) factor for the period January through 21 Q. 22 December 2011? 23 As shown on Schedule E-1, the PGA recovery (cap) factor is 111.639¢ per therm for A. the period January through December 2011. 24

25

Q.

What should be the effective date of the PGA recovery (cap) factor for billing

- 1 purposes?
- 2 A. The PGA recovery (cap) factor should be effective for all meter readings during the
- period of January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011.
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 100003-GU FILED: 05/03/2010

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		KANDI M. FLOYD
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7		
8	A.	My name is Kandi M. Floyd. My business address is 702
9		N. Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602.
10		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12		
13	A.	I am employed by Peoples Gas System ("Peoples") as
14		Manager of State Regulatory, having held that position
15		since 2003.
16		
17	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and
18		professional qualifications.
19		
20	A.	I hold a B.A. in Business Administration from Saint Leo
21		University. From 1995 to 1997, I worked in a series of
22		positions within the Regulatory Affairs Department of
23		Tampa Electric Company. In 1998, I joined Peoples as a
24		Regulatory Coordinator in the Regulatory and Gas Supply
25		Department. In 2001, I became Peoples' Energy

1 Conservation/Regulatory Coordinator, and held that position until assuming my current position in 2003. 2 3 What are your primary responsibilities in your current Q. 4 5 position with Peoples? 6 As Manager of State Regulatory, I am responsible for 7 A. managing the Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") and Energy 8 Conservation Cost Recovery filings as well as various 9 regulatory activities of Peoples. 10 11 Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain Q. 12 schedules for use in this proceeding? 13 14 As Composite Exhibit KMF-1, preparation of the 15 following schedule with respect to the final true-up for 16 the period January 2009 through December 2009: A-7 -17 Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery. 18 19 What was Peoples' cost of gas to be recovered through Q. 20 the PGA clause for the period January 2009 through 21 December 2009? 22 23 As shown on Schedule A-7 in KMF-1, the cost of gas 24 25 purchased, adjusted for company use, was \$246,733,274.

1	Q.	what was the amount of gas revenue collected for the
2		period January 2009 through December 2009?
3		
4	A.	The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost of
5		gas was \$240,101,271.
6		
7	Q.	What was the final true-up amount for the period January
8		2009 through December 2009?
9		
10	A.	The final true-up amount for the period, including
11		interest and adjustments, is an under-recovery of
12		\$6,617,629.
13		
14	Q.	Is this amount net of the estimated true-up for the
15		period January 2009 through December 2009, which was
16		included in the January 2010 through December 2010 PGA
17		factor calculation?
18		
19	A.	No. The final true-up net of the estimated true-up for
20		the period January 2009 through December 2009 is an
21		under-recovery of \$8,770,782.
22		
23	Q.	Is this the final under-recovery amount to be included
24		in the January 2011 through December 2011 projection?
25		
		3

	ı	
1	A.	Yes.
2		
3	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
4		
5	A.	Yes.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	i	
23		
24		
25		

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 KANDI M. FLOYD 5 Please state your name, business address, by whom you 6 Q. 7 are employed, and in what capacity? 8 My name is Kandi M. Floyd. My business address is 9 Peoples Gas System, 702 North Franklin Street, P.O. Box 10 2562, Tampa, Florida 33601-2562. I am employed by 11 Peoples Gas System ("Peoples" or the "Company") and am 12 the Manager of State Regulatory. 13 14 Q. Please describe your educational and employment 15 16 background. 17 Bachelor of Arts A. have Degree Business 18 а in 19 Administration from Saint Leo University. From 1995 to 20 1997, I was employed in a series of positions within the regulatory affairs department of Tampa Electric Company. 21 In 1998, I joined Peoples Gas System as a Regulatory 22

Coordinator in the Regulatory and Gas Supply Department.

In 2001, I became the Energy Conservation / Regulatory

Administrator and in 2003 became the Manager of State

23

24

Regulatory for Peoples Gas System. In this role, I am 1 responsible for managing the Purchased Gas Adjustment 2 ("PGA") and Energy Conservation Cost Recovery filings as 3 well as various regulatory activities for Peoples. 4 5 What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket? 6 Q. 7 8 The purpose of my testimony is to describe generally the 9 components of Peoples' cost of purchased gas upstream pipeline capacity. In my testimony, I also 11 explain how Peoples' projected weighted average cost of 12 gas ("WACOG") for the January 2011 through December 2011 13 period was determined and the resulting requested maximum PGA ("Cap"). 14 15 16 Please summarize your testimony. 17 I will address the following areas: 18 19 20 How Peoples will obtain its gas supplies during the projected period. 21 Estimates and adjustments used to determine the 22 23 amount of gas to be purchased from Peoples' various available sources of supply during the projected period. 24

Projections and assumptions used to estimate the

1		purchase price to be paid by Peoples for such gas
2		supplies.
3		4. The components and assumptions used to develop
4		Peoples' projected WACOG including the projected true-up
5		balance to be collected or refunded.
6	- Language Control of the Control of	
7	Q.	What is the appropriate final purchased gas adjustment
8		true-up amount for the period January 2009 through
9		December 2009?
10		
11	A.	The final PGA true-up amount for the year 2009 is an
12		under-recovery of \$8,770,782.
13		
14	Q.	What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment true-up
15		amount for the period January 2010 through December
16		2010?
17		
18	A.	The estimated PGA true-up amount for 2010 is an over-
19		recovery of \$7,766,515.
20		
21	Q.	What is the total purchased gas adjustment true-up
22		amount to be collected during the period January 2011
23		through December 2011?
24		
25	A.	The total PGA true-up amount to be collected in 2011 is

1 an under-recovery of \$1,004,266. 2 3 Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain schedules for use in this proceeding? 5 6 A. Yes. Composite Exhibit KMF-2 was prepared by me or under my supervision. 7 8 9 Please describe how Peoples will obtain its gas supplies during the projected period of January 2011 through 11 December 2011. 12 All natural gas delivered through Peoples' distribution 13 system is received through three interstate pipelines. 14 Gas is delivered through Florida Gas Transmission 15 . Company ("FGT"), through Southern Natural Gas Company 16 17 ("Southern") in Peoples' Jacksonville Division, and through Gulfstream Natural Gas System ("Gulfstream") in 18 19 Peoples' Lakeland, Tampa, and Orlando Divisions. 20 Receiving gas supply through multiple interstate pipelines provides valuable flexibility and reliability 21 to serve customers. 22 23 In general, how does Peoples determine its sources of 24

25

supply?

1 A. Peoples evaluates, selects and utilizes sources of 2 natural gas supply on the basis of its "best value" gas 3 acquisition strategy. For a source of supply to be identified as a "best value," it must offer the best 4 5 combination of price, reliability of supply, and flexibility, consistent with Peoples' obligation as a 6 7 public utility to provide safe, adequate and efficient service to the general public. Through a competitive bidding process, Peoples has a portfolio of supply 9 10 sources from numerous third-party suppliers that reflect 11 balance between cost, reliability and operational 12 flexibility.

13

14

15

16

17

Q. Could Peoples purchase all third party supplies in advance for a long term at the lowest available fixed price in order to provide increased stability to its cost of gas?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No. Peoples' quantity requirements for system supply gas vary significantly from year to year, season to season, month to month and, in particular, from day to day. The demand for gas on the Peoples system can often vary dramatically within a month from the lowest to the highest requirement of its customers. In addition, Peoples' transportation throughput will continue to

increase during the projected period as approximately 103 customers per month are continuing to transfer from service to transportation service under the NaturalChoice program. The actual takes of gas out of the Peoples system by those same transporting customers varies significantly from day to day. Since significant portions the total transportation volumes of received by Peoples at a uniform daily rate, Peoples is forced to increase or decrease the volumes purchased for its own system supply by significant increments in order to maintain a balance between receipts and deliveries of gas each day. As a consequence, Peoples must buy a portion of its total system requirements under swing contract arrangements, and meet extreme variations in delivered volumes by relying on swing gas, peaking gas, pipeline balancing volumes and pipeline no notice service at the prevailing rates for such services.

18

19

20

21

Q. How did Peoples estimate the amount of gas to be purchased from various sources during the projected period of January 2011 through December 2011?

22

23

24

25

A. Peoples' projected gas purchases are based on the Company's preliminary total throughput of therms delivered to customers projected for 2011, including

1.4 1.5

both sales of Peoples' system supply and transportation deliveries of third party gas purchased by end-users of Peoples. The throughput was then adjusted for the anticipated level of transportation service, including the anticipated conversions under the Company's NaturalChoice program.

Q. How are revenues derived from Peoples' Swing Service Charge accounted for through the PGA?

many many figuritry gridy my request a may be my figure may make a color of the color of the color of the color

- pay a Swing Service Charge. The Swing Service Charge covers costs included in the PGA for balancing the difference between marketer-supplied gas and the customers' actual consumption. The revenues from the Swing Service Charge are credited to the PGA to offset this expense.
- Q. Is Peoples seeking a change in the Swing Service Charge?
- A. Not at this time. However, to the extent a portion of the swing costs are assigned to the PGA, Peoples may seek to readdress its Swing Service Charge expenses and the allocation thereof in a subsequent docket before the Commission. This is because on November 1, 2010,

making will begin its eleventh year of Peoples transportation service available to all non-residential customers pursuant to Commission Order No. PSC-00-1814-TRF-GU, issued October 4, 2000. Over the past ten years, both the number of transportation customers and the volumes of natural gas tendered to Peoples for transportation have increased dramatically. continued migration has increased the amount of natural gas the Company purchases on a swing basis to cover all customers' seasonal, weekly, and daily peaks. In addition to the increase in the quantity of natural gas supply purchased for Swing Service, the cost of natural supply has increased significantly inception of Peoples' NaturalChoice Program. Therefore, the increased expenses associated with the provision of Swing Service may need to be recalculated and allocated based on current costs and transportation service levels.

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q. How did you estimate the purchase price to be paid by Peoples for each of its available sources of gas supply?

22

23

24

25

A. The price to be paid for natural gas is estimated based on an evaluation of historical prices for gas delivered to the FGT, Southern, and Gulfstream systems, futures

reported on the New York Mercantile contracts as market prices forecasts οf Exchange and projection period of January 2011 through December 2011. These prices are then adjusted to reflect the potential for implied volatility increases and unexpected and unforeseen increases due to market forces particularly in the monthly and daily markets for natural gas prices

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q. Referring to Schedules E-3 (A) through (G) of Composite

Exhibit KMF-2, please explain the components of these
schedules and the assumptions that were made in
developing the Company's projections.

in the projection period.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Schedule E-3 (G) is a compilation of the monthly data that appears on Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the corresponding months of January 2011 through December In Schedules E-3 (A) through (F), Column 2011. indicates the applicable month for all data on the page. In Column (B), "FGT" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from third party suppliers for delivery via FGT interstate pipeline transportation. "SOUTHERN" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a third party supplier for delivery via Southern interstate pipeline transportation. "GULFSTREAM"

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a supplier for delivery via Gulfstream third party PARTY" interstate pipeline transportation. "THIRD indicates that the volumes are to be purchased directly from various third party suppliers for delivery into FGT, Southern, or Gulfstream.

In Column (C), "PGS" means the purchase will be for Peoples' system supply and will become part of Peoples' total WACOG. None of the costs of gas or transportation for end-use purchases made by end-use customers of Peoples are included in Peoples' WACOG. In Column (D), purchases of pipeline transportation services from FGT under Rate Schedules FTS-1 and FTS-2 are split into two components, commodity (or "usage") demand and "reservation"). Both Peoples and end-users pay usage charge based on the actual amount of gas transported. The FTS-1 and FTS-2 commodity costs shown include all related transportation charges including usage, fuel and ACA charges. The FTS-1 and FTS-2 demand component is a fixed charge based on the maximum daily quantity of FTS-1 and FTS-2 firm transportation capacity Similarly, the transportation rates of reserved. Southern and Gulfstream also consist of two components, a usage charge and a reservation charge. Individual

Transportation Service customers reimburse Peoples or 1 directly pay FGT for all pipeline reservation charges 2 associated with the transportation capacity that Peoples 3 reserves and uses on their behalf. 4 5 Also in Column (D), "NO NOTICE TRANSPORTATION SERVICE" 6 (or "NNTS") means FGT's no notice service provided to 7 Peoples on a fixed charge basis for use when Peoples' 8 quantities. actual exceeds scheduled 9 SERVICE" means the demand and commodity component of the 10 cost of third party supplies purchased to meet Peoples 11 "swing" requirements for supply that fluctuate on a day-12 to-day basis. "COMMODITY" means third party purchases of 13 gas transported on FGT, Southern or Gulfstream and does .14 not include any purchases of sales volumes from FGT. 15 16 Column (E) shows the monthly quantity in therms of gas 17 purchased by Peoples for each category of system supply. 18 Column (F) shows the gas purchased by end-users for 19 20 transportation. Column (G) is the total of Columns (E) and (F) in each 21 22 row. Columns (H), (I), (J) and (K) show the corresponding 23 supplier commodity costs, pipeline 24 third party

25

transportation commodity costs, pipeline transportation

reservation costs, and other charges (e.g., balancing charges), respectively. These costs are determined using the actual amounts paid by Peoples. In the case of end-user transportation, these costs are reimbursed to Peoples or paid directly to FGT. All ACA, capital surcharge and fuel charges are included in the commodity costs in Column (I) and, therefore, are not shown in Column (K).

Column (L) in each row is the sum of Columns (H), (I), (J) and (K) divided by Column (G).

Q. Please explain the components of these schedules and the assumptions that were made in developing the Company's projections.

A. Schedule E-1 is shown in three versions. Page 19 relates to Cost of Gas Purchased, Therms Purchased, and Cents Per Therm for Combined Rate Classes, Page 20 relates to Residential Customers, and Page 21 relates to Commercial Customers.

The costs associated with various categories or items are shown on lines 1 through 14. Line 6 on Schedule E-1 include legal expenses associated with various interstate pipeline dockets such as tariff filings,

seasonal fuel filings and certification proceedings. 1 These expenses have been included for recovery through 2 the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause because they are 3 fuel related expenses. The volumes consumed for similar categories or items are shown on lines 15 through 27, 5 and the resulting effective cost per therm rate for each 6 similar category or item is contained on lines 7 through 45. 9 The data shown on Schedule E-1 are taken directly from 10 Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the months of January 11 2011 through December 2011. 12 13 What information is presented on Schedule E-1/R of 14 Q. Composite Exhibit KMF-2? 15 16 Schedule E-1/R of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 shows eight 17 A. months actual and four months estimated data for the 18 current period from January 2010 through December 2010 19 20 for all combined customer classes. 21 information is presented on Schedule E-2 22 Q. What Composite Exhibit KMF-2? 23

Schedule E-2 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 shows the amount

24

of the prior period over/under recoveries of gas costs 1 that are included in the current PGA calculation. 2 3 What is the purpose of Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit 4 Q. KMF-2? 5 6 Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 simply shows the 7 A. calculation of the estimated true-up amount for the January 2010 through December 2010 period. It is based 9 on actual data for eight months and projected data for 10 four months. 11 12 information is contained on Schedule E-5 13 What Q. 14 Composite Exhibit KMF-2? 15 Schedule E-5 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 is statistical 16 A. data that includes the projected therm sales and numbers 17 of customers by customer class for the period from 18 January 2011 through December 2011. 19 20 How have you incorporated the Residential PGA factor and Q. 21 the Commercial PGA factor in the derivation of the PGA 22 cap for which the company seeks approval? 23 24

On April 5, 1998, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-

A.

99-0634-FOF-GU as a Proposed Agency Action in Docket No. 981698-GU. This Order approved Peoples Gas' request for methodology for charging separate of a approval Purchased Gas Adjustment factors for different customer Under the approved methodology, separate PGA classes. factors are derived by assigning the fixed, interstate pipeline reservation costs to each customer according to the class' contribution to the company's peak month demand for the winter season and the summer season. Exhibit KMF-2, Page 41 of 41, labeled Attachment, contains the seasonal allocation peak factors based on the most recent peak month data available, January 2010 and April 2010. allocation factors are then applied to Schedule E-1 to derive the Residential, Commercial and Combined for All Rate Classes. The WACOG for which Peoples approval as the annual cap is the Residential factor of \$.99606 per therm as shown in Schedule E-1. This annual cap will be applicable to all rate classes.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

22

23

21

A. Yes, it does.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISS	ION
2			
3	In Re:	raremasea cas mees,	Docket No. 100003-GU Submitted for filing April 30, 2010
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STUART L. SHOAF	ON
6 7		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY	
8	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by w	hom
9		you are employed and in what capacity.	
10	Α.	Stuart L. Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe	•
11		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in	the
12		capacity of President and Regulatory Affairs.	
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
14	Α.	My purpose is to discuss the final true-up for	
15		the period January 2009 through December 2009.	
16		<u>Exhibits</u>	
17	Q.	Would you please identify the Composite Exhibi	t which
18		you are sponsoring with this Testimony?	
19	Α.	Yes. As Composite Exhibit SLS-1, I am sponsor	ring the
20		following schedule with respect to the final	
21		true-up for period January 2009 through Decemb	per 2009.
22		Schedule A-7 - Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery	
23	Q.	Was this schedule prepared under your direction	on
24		and supervision?	
25	Α.	Yes, it was.	

1	Final	True-Up	January	2009 -	December	2009

- 2 Q. What were the total therm sales for the period January
- 3 2009 through December 2009?
- 4 A. Total therm sales were 1,027,470 therms.
- 5 Q. What were total therm purchases for the period January
- 6 2009 through December 2009?
- 7 A. Total therm purchases were 930,890.
- 8 Q. What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the
- 9 PGA for the period January 2009 through December 2009?
- 10 A. The cost of gas purchased for January 2009 through
- 11 December 2009 was \$703,055.37.
- 12 Q. What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the
- period January 2009 through December 2009?
- 14 A. The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost
- of gas was \$772,816.
- 16 Q. What is the total true-up provision for the period
- January 2009 through December 2009?
- 18 A. The total true-up provision, including interest, is an
- over-recovery of \$70,175.29 for the period.
- 20 Q. What is the amount of estimated true-up included for
- 21 January 2009 thru December 2009 in the January 2010
- 22 through December 2010 PGA factor calculation?
- 23 A. The amount of estimated true-up for the period January
- thru December 2009 included in the January 2010 through
- December 2010 PGA factor calculation was an over-

_		10000019 01 9107,133.00.
2	Q.	What is the final over/under-recovery for the January
3		through December 2009 period to be included in the
4		January 2011 through December 2011 projection?
5	Α.	The final under-recovery for the current period to be
6		included in the January 2011 through December 2011
7		projection is \$37,277.71.
8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9	Α.	Yes
10		AA PETER
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSI	.ON
2			
3	In Re:		ocket No. 100003-GU ubmitted for filing September 9, 2010
4)	beptember 3, 2010
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STUART L. SHOAF ON	
7		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, IN	
9	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by when	nom
10		you are employed and in what capacity.	
11	Α.	Stuart L. Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe	,
12		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in	the
13		capacity of President and Regulatory Affairs.	
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
15	Α.	My purpose is to submit known and estimated gas	5
16		costs and therm sales from January 1, 2010 thro	ough
17		December 31, 2010, used in developing the maxim	num twelve
18		month levelized purchased gas cost factor to be	e applied
19		to customer bills from January 1, 2011 through	
20		December 31, 2011.	
21	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits in conjunction v	vith
22		your testimony?	
23	Α.	Yes, I have prepared and filed on Sept. 9, 2010)
24		Schedules E-1 through E-5.	
25	Ο.	What Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor does	

1		St. Joe Natural Gas seek approval through its petition for
2		the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011?
3	Α.	72.40 cents per therm
4	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5	Α.	Yes
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1 MS. FLEMING: Commissioners, since the 2 parties are proposing stipulations on all issues, staff would recommend that the Commission could make 3 a bench decision in this case. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioners, I don't 6 have a problem with a bench decision. 7 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Chairman, recognizing that all issues have been stipulated, I 8 would move that we approve the proposed stipulations 9 10 for all issues, 1 through 5. 11 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Second. 12 13 14 comments from the Commission? 15 16 (Vote taken.) 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded to approve the stipulations. Any further

Seeing none, all in favor signify --

Those opposed? By your CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: action you have approved those stipulations as stated.

Are there any other matters to address this docket?

MS. FLEMING: Commissioner, I would just note that since a bench decision has been made, post-hearing filings are not necessary, and the order will be issued by December 1st.

1	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: What was that date
2	again?
3	MS. FLEMING: December 1st.
4	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you.
5	If there's nothing else to come before us
6	in this docket, we will adjourn Docket 100003.
7	(The hearing concluded at 9:43 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at
6	the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
9	<pre>same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.</pre>
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
11	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
12	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 4th day of November, 2010.
14	
15	Lan a Jamos
16	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
17	(850) 413-6732
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	