

COMMISSIONERS:  
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
EDUARDO E. BALBIS  
JULIE I. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL  
S. CURTIS KISER  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
(850) 413-6199

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 FEB 28 AM 10:43

COMMISSION  
CLERK

# Public Service Commission

February 28, 2011

D. Bruce May, Jr.  
Holland & Knight, LLP  
Post Office Drawer 810  
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810

STAFF'S TWENTY-NINTH DATA REQUEST

**Re: Docket No. 100330-WS - Application for increase in water/wastewater rates in Alachua, Brevard, DeSoto, Hardee, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Polk, Putnam, Seminole, Sumter, Volusia, and Washington Counties by Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc.**

Dear Mr. May:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc. (Aqua, AUF or Utility) provide responses to the following data requests.

1. A comparison of AUF's MFR Schedule E-4, Miscellaneous Service Charges, columns (4) and (7) for AUF's water systems in Bands 1-4 plus the Breeze Hill, Fairways and Peace River systems in the instant case indicates that the Utility is proposing increases to its after-hours charges for initial connections, normal and violation reconnects and premises visits.
  - a. However, line 24 for Bands 1-4 and the Peace River system states that "There are no changes proposed in the above charges for this rate group." Is the Utility proposing changes to the after-hours Miscellaneous Service Charges for the water systems in Bands 1-4 and the Peace River system this case?
  - b. If the response to (a) is not affirmative, please correct and refile all MFR Schedules E-4 for the AUF water systems referenced in (a) above.
  - c. Is the Utility proposing changes to the after-hours Miscellaneous Service Charges for all systems referenced in question (1) above?
  - d. If the response to (c) is affirmative, please provide, by rate band or system name in the format shown below, a detailed cost breakdown that supports AUF's requested increase in each of the above-referenced charges.

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850  
An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PSC Website: <http://www.floridapsc.com>

Internet E-mail: [contact@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us)

DOCUMENT NUMBER: 11-01290  
01290 FEB 28 =  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Work Performed by Utility

<u>Type of</u> Misc Service <u>Charge</u>	<u>Employee</u> <u>Job Title</u>	<u>Rate of Pay</u> <u>per Hour</u>	<u>Task Performed</u>	<u>Time</u> <u>Necessary</u>
---	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------

- e. Please provide any and all supporting calculations and the associated documentation that was used in AUF's response to (d) above.
2. A comparison of AUF's MFR Schedule E-4, Miscellaneous Service Charges, columns (4) and (7) for AUF's wastewater systems in Bands 1-4 plus the Breeze Hill, Fairways and Peace River systems in the instant case indicates that the Utility is proposing increases to its after-hours charges for initial connections, normal and violation reconnects and premises visits.
- a. However, line 24 for Bands 1-4 and the Peace River system states that "There are no changes proposed in the above charges for this rate group." Is the Utility proposing changes to the after-hours Miscellaneous Service Charges for the water systems in Bands 1-4 and the Peace River system this case?
  - b. If the response to (a) is not affirmative, please correct and refile all MFR Schedules E-4 for the AUF water systems referenced in (a) above.
  - c. Is the Utility proposing changes to the after-hours Miscellaneous Service Charges for all systems referenced in question (2) above?
  - d. If the response to (c) is affirmative, please provide, by rate band or system name in the format shown below, a detailed cost breakdown that supports AUF's requested increase in each of the above-referenced charges.

Work Performed by Utility

<u>Type of</u> Misc Service <u>Charge</u>	<u>Employee</u> <u>Job Title</u>	<u>Rate of Pay</u> <u>per Hour</u>	<u>Task Performed</u>	<u>Time</u> <u>Necessary</u>
---	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------

- e. Please provide any and all supporting calculations and the associated documentation that was used in AUF's response to (d) above.
- f. Regarding the Utility's proposed violation reconnection charge, for the wastewater systems in this case that also have water systems, can AUF use the customers' water meters as a mechanism for service interruption?
- g. If the response to (f) is not affirmative, please explain why this is not possible.
- h. If the response to (f) is affirmative, please explain why AUF believes it is appropriate to set the violation reconnection charge for the water and wastewater systems at actual cost.

3. A comparison of AUF's MFR Schedule E-4, Miscellaneous Service Charges, columns (3) and (6) for AUF's Breeze Hill and Fairways water systems in the instant case indicates that the Utility is proposing increases in its business-hours charges for initial connections, normal and violation reconnects and premises visits.

a. Please provide, by rate band or system name in the format shown below, a detailed cost breakdown that supports AUF's requested increase in the each of the above-referenced charges.

<u>Work Performed by Utility</u>				
<u>Type of</u> <u>Misc Service</u> <u>Charge</u>	<u>Employee</u> <u>Job Title</u>	<u>Rate of Pay</u> <u>per Hour</u>	<u>Task Performed</u>	<u>Time</u> <u>Necessary</u>

b. Please provide any and all supporting calculations and the associated documentation that was used in AUF's response to (a) above.

4. A comparison of AUF's MFR Schedule E-4, Miscellaneous Service Charges, columns (3) and (6) for AUF's Breeze Hill and Fairways wastewater systems in the instant case indicates that the Utility is proposing increases in its business-hours charges for initial connections, normal and violation reconnects and premises visits.

a. Regarding the Utility's proposed violation reconnection charge, for the wastewater systems in this case that also have water systems, can AUF use the customer's water meter as a mechanism for service interruption?

b. If the response to (a) is not affirmative, please explain why this is not possible.

c. If the response to (a) is affirmative, please explain in detail, why AUF believes it is appropriate to set the violation reconnection charge for the water and wastewater systems at actual cost.

d. Please provide, in the format shown below, a detailed cost breakdown that supports AUF's requested increase in each of the above-referenced charges.

<u>Work Performed by Utility</u>				
<u>Type of</u> <u>Misc Service</u> <u>Charge</u>	<u>Employee</u> <u>Job Title</u>	<u>Rate of Pay</u> <u>per Hour</u>	<u>Task Performed</u>	<u>Time</u> <u>Necessary</u>

e. Please provide any and all supporting calculations and the associated documentation that was used in AUF's response to (d) above.

D. Bruce May, Jr.  
Page 4  
February 28, 2011

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by **March 14, 2011**, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6220 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'CK', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Caroline Klancke  
Senior Attorney  
Office of the General Counsel

CMK/sh

cc: Office of Commission Clerk  
Patricia Christensen