STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS: ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR RONALD A. BRISÉ EDUARDO E. BALBIS JULIE I. BROWN



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSELIVED-FPSC S. CURTIS KISER GENERAL COUNSEL (850) 413-6199

11 APR 20 AM 10: 53

COMMISSION

Hublic Service Commission

April 20, 2011

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 618 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1839

STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST

Re: Docket No. 110001-EI - Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

Dear Ms. Keating:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC or utility) provide responses to the following data requests.

- Please provide a variance analysis of revenues and KWH sales (actual vs. estimated) 1. for January and February 2011.
- Please provide a variance analysis (actual vs. estimated) of the non-demand cost for 2. January and February 2011.
- Please provide a variance analysis (actual vs. estimated) of the demand cost for 3. January and February 2011.
- Assuming no mid-course corrections, please state whether the following total 4. estimated true-up provision amounts for the 2011 period (not including the \$885,786 over-recovery for 2010) are correct and provide a variance analysis.
 - \$1,440,823 over-recovery with Amendment No. 1 savings, before interests of a) \$2,718.
 - \$715,867 over-recovery without Amendment No. 1 savings, before interests of b) 1.814.
- Please provide a comparison of the monthly total system purchased power costs for 5. 2011 with and without Amendment No. 1 savings.
- 6. Please provide an analysis of the impact to the 2011 true-up provision due to a scenario with 10 percent deficiency in actual revenue collection for the period March through December 2011.

- 7. Please refer to Schedule E-2 (without Amendment No. 1 savings) shown in Attachment 2 of the petition for the following two questions:
 - a) Please explain the difference between the two demand cost data shown in Line 3a and Line 6.
 - b) Please explain the value of \$36.5/MWH resulting from dividing the total in Line 3a by the total KWH sold in Line 7a.
- 8. Under FPUC's proposed alternative (without Amendment No. 1 savings) please explain whether FPUC excluded in the calculation of the fuel factors the Amendment No. 1 savings for the period January December 2011, or for the period July December 2011.
- 9. Please state the total dollar amount in savings that is allocated to the TOU and Interruptible rate classes under (a) with amendment, and (b) without amendment alternatives.
- 10. Please explain and provide workpapers showing how FPUC calculated the TOU and Interruptible fuel factors under (a) with amendment, and (b) without amendment alternatives.
- In responding to this question, assume that the Commission approves the mid-course correction with amendment savings but subsequently does not approve the amendment to the agreement. (a) What is the effect on FPUC's projected 2011 earnings? (b) What is the effect on a 1000 kWh residential bill in 2011? (c) What is the effect on a 1000 kWh residential bill in 2012?
- 12. In responding to this question, assume that the Commission approves the mid-course correction without amendment savings and then subsequently approves the amendment to the agreement. (a) What is the effect on FPUC's projected 2011 earnings? (b) What is the effect on a 1000kWh residential bill in 2011? (c) What is the effect on a 1000 kWh residential bill in 2012?

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Wednesday, April 27, 2011, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6230 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lisa C. Bennett

Senior Attorney

LCB:th

cc: Office of Commission Clerk

Thomas A. Geoffroy, FPUC

Division of Economic Regulation (Barrett, D. Lee, Lester, Draper)