Diamond Williams

From:

Clark, Eileen [Eileen.Clark@pgnmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, August 15, 2011 2:56 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Keino Young; Lisa Bennett; Anna Norris; 'jbrew@bbrslaw.com'; 'George@cavros-law.com';

'vkaufman@kagmlaw.com'; 'jmoyle@kagmlaw.com'; 'Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us'; 'Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us'; 'Sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us'

Subject:

Docket No.: 100437-EI

Attachments: PEF'S Objections to White Springs 1st PODs (Nos. 1-2) .pdf; PEF's Objections to White Springs

1st Set of Rogs (Nos. 1-2).pdf

This electronic filing is made by:

John Burnett P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733 727-820-5184 John.Burnett@panmail.com

Docket No.: 100438-EI

In Re: Examination of the Outage and Replacement Fuel/Power Costs Associated with the CR3 Steam Generator Replacement Project By Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

On behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

The attached documents for filing:

- 1. PEF's Objections to White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate - White Springs' First Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 1-2) - (consisting of 5 pages).
- 2. PEF's Objections to White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate - White Springs' First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-2) -(consisting of 3 pages).

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05773 AUG 15 =

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re:

Examination of the Outage and

Docket No. 100437-EI

Replacement Fuel/Power Costs Associated with the CR3 Steam

Associated with the CR3 Steam Generator Replacement Project

by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Filed: August 15, 2011

PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO WHITE SPRINGS AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS, INC. D/B/A PCS PHOSPHATE – WHITE SPRINGS' FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (Nos. 1-2)

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206 and Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs' ("White Springs") First Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 1-2) and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

PEF generally objects to the time and place of production requirement in White Springs First Request to Produce Documents and will make all responsive documents available for inspection and copying at the offices of Progress Energy Florida, Inc., 106 E. College Ave., Tallahassee, Florida, 32301 at a mutually-convenient time, or will produce the documents in some other manner or at some other place that is mutually convenient to both PEF and White Springs for purposes of inspection, copying, or handling of the responsive documents.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
05773 AUG 15 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" in White Springs First Request for Production of Documents, PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of White Springs definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules. PEF objects to any definition or request that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this action and that are otherwise not subject to discovery. Furthermore, PEF objects to any request that calls for PEF to create documents that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to White Springs request to the extent that they call for documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. PEF will provide a privilege log in accordance with the applicable law or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent, if at all, that any document request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that documents responsive to certain requests to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law. PEF hereby asserts its right to require

such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules, and legal principles.

PEF generally objects to White Springs First Request for Production of Documents to the extent that it calls for the production of "all" documents of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. PEF will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents when no objection has been asserted to the production of such documents, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce "all" documents. In addition, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to White Springs requests for production if PEF cannot produce documents immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive documents in the course of this proceeding.

PEF also objects to any Interrogatory or Request for Production that purports to require PEF or its experts to prepare studies, analyses, or to do work for White Springs that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost.

Finally, PEF objects to any attempt by White Springs to evade the numerical limitations set on document requests in the Order Establishing Procedure by asking multiple independent questions within single individual questions and subparts.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to White Springs' discovery at the time PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. PEF provides these

general objections at this time to comply with the intent of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure to reduce the delay in identifying and resolving any potential discovery disputes.

R. ALEXANDER GLENN General Counsel - Florida JOHN T. BURNETT

Associate General Counsel - Florida

PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC

299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 Telephone: (727) 820-5184 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic and U.S. Mail this \frac{17}{2} day of August, 2011 to all parties of record as indicated below.

JOHN T. BURNETT

Keino Young/Lisa Bennett/Anna Norris

Office of General Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

kyoung@psc.state.fl.us

lbennett@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Industrial Power Users Group

Vicki Gordon Kaufman

John C. Moyle, Jr.

Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, PA

118 North Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301

vkaufman@kagmlaw.com

anorris@psc.state.fl.us

James W. Brew / F. Alvin Taylor c/o Brickfield Law Firm 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW 8th Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007 jbrew@bbrslaw.com

George Cavros, Esquire
120 E. Oakland Park Blvd., Ste. 105
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33334
George@cavros-law.com

jmoyle@kagmlaw.com

J.R.Kelly/Charles Rehwinkel/Erik Sayler
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, #812
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
Sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us