Terr A. Davis
Assistant Secretary and
Assistant Treasurer

One Energy Place Pensacola, Florida 32520-0786

Tel 850.444.6664 Fax 850.444.6026 TADAVIS@southernco.com RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 12 PM 3: 04

COMMISSION CLERK



October 11, 2011

Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 110002-EG

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed is the Prehearing Statement of Gulf Power Company to be filed in the above referenced docket. Also enclosed is a Compact Disk containing the Prehearing Statement in Microsoft Word as prepared on a Windows XP operating system.

Sincerely,

Jerry a. Daire

	nm			
COM				•
APA	- Enclo	osures on arming pre hearing	Malement in	World versuss.
ECR	1+1CD (ontaining parason of	2 (0000)	
GCL	 c:	Beggs & Lane		. 1
RAD		Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq.		11 OCT 12 120 11
SRC		•		12 :31 131 S1 730 11
ADM				
OPC				
CLK				,

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Conservation Cost Recovery)		
Clause)	Docket No.	110002-EG
)	Date Filed: Oc	tober 12, 2011
)		

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF GULF POWER COMPANY

Gulf Power Company, ("Gulf Power", "Gulf", or "the Company"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, and pursuant to Order No. PSC-11-0136-PCO-EG, issued February 28, 2011, establishing the prehearing procedure in this docket, files this prehearing statement, saying:

A. APPEARANCES:

JEFFREY A. STONE, Esquire, RUSSELL A. BADDERS, Esquire and STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, Esquire, of Beggs & Lane, P.O. Box 12950, Pensacola, FL 32591-2950

On behalf of Gulf Power Company.

B. WITNESSES: All witnesses known at this time, who may be called by Gulf Power Company, along with the subject matter and issue numbers which will be covered by the witness' testimony, are as follows:

Witness (Direct)	Subject Matter	<u>Issues</u>
1. Jennifer L. Todd	True-up; components of Gulf's conservation plan and associated costs; projections and program results	1, 2, 3, 4 (Generic), 7-8 (Company Specific)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

C. EXHIBITS:

GULF:

Exhibit Number	Witness	Description
(JLT-1)	Todd	Schedules CT - 1 through CT - 6
(JLT-2)	Todd	Schedules C - 1 through C - 6

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Gulf Power Company's Statement of Basic Position:

It is the basic position of Gulf Power Company that the proposed ECCR factors present the best estimate of Gulf's Conservation expense at this time for the period January 2012 through December 2012, including the true-up calculations and other adjustments allowed by the Commission.

E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Generic Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Issues

ISSUE 1:	What a	re the	final	conservation	cost	recovery	true-up	amounts	for	the	period
	January	2010	throug	gh December 2	2010?)					

GULF:	Under recovery	of \$287,164 ¹ .	(Todd)
--------------	----------------	-----------------------------	--------

ISSUE 2:	What are the total conservation cost recovery amounts to be collected during the
	period January 2012 through December 2012?

Recovery of \$29,288,627 (excluding revenue taxes). (Todd)

¹ Gulf's petition incorrectly included the actual over recovery balance at 12/31/10- of \$2,922,947 instead of the Adjusted Net True-up for 2010 presented here.

ISSUE 3: What are the conservation cost recovery factors for the period January 2012 through December 2012?

GULF: The Company's proposed conservation cost recovery factors by customer class for the period January 2012 through December 2012 are as follows: (Todd)

RATE CLASS	CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY FACTORS ¢/kWh
RS, RSVP	0.342
GS	0.122
GSD, GSDT, GSTOU	0.120
LP, LPT	0.117
PX, PXT, RTP, SBS	0.115
OSI, OSII	0.067
OSIII	0.070

ISSUE 4: What should be the effective date of the new conservation cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

The new conservation cost recovery factors should be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for January 2012 and thereafter through the last billing cycle for December 2012. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2012, and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2012, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective. (Todd)

Gulf Power Company Specific Conservation Cost Recovery Issues

ISSUE 7: Should Gulf's proposal to change the method used to apportion recoverable conservation costs among the Company's customer classes be approved?

Yes. The current method for apportioning recoverable conservation costs among the Company's customer classes is no longer adequate or appropriate. Gulf's approved DSM Plan includes many programs that pass the Total Resource Cost (TRC) cost-effectiveness test but do not pass the Rate Impact Measure (RIM) test. This shift from RIM to TRC results in program offerings that directly benefit participating customers and provide some indirect benefit to non-participating customers, but put upward pressure on rates overall leaving non-participating customers with a net negative benefit, i.e. costs exceed benefits. Thus, expenses for non-RIM passing programs should be specifically assigned to the customer class which is eligible to participate in the program to avoid cross-subsidization between classes. Although this method still does not eliminate intra-class subsidization, it is more equitable than the current methodology, helping to reduce subsidies among customer classes. (Todd)

<u>ISSUE 8:</u> What conservation cost recovery factors should the Commission approve for Gulf's Residential Service Variable Pricing (RSVP) rate?

1. The Commission should approve Gulf's traditional ECCR factor that is applicable to the RS and RSVP classes, with an effective date of January 1, 2012. This factor is shown on Schedule C-1, page 3, line 33 to Witness Todd's testimony.

2. Contingent on the approval of the associated rate design change in Gulf's pending rate case, Docket No. 110138-EI, ECCR factors for the four price tiers (P1, P2, P3, and P4) for Rate Schedule RSVP should be established. These factors are shown on Schedule C-6 to Witness Todd's testimony and should go into effect the month following the approval of the associated rate design change in Docket No. 110138-EI.

Conservation Cost Recovery Issues Raised by Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE)

ISSUE I: Has the utility documented a levelized cost, or used another methodology, to determine the DSM plan program cost per unit of energy savings?

GULF: This issue is not appropriate for inclusion in this docket as there is no testimony related to this issue in the record. Further, Gulf complies with the requirements set forth in Rule 25-17.015, Florida Administrative Code, as it relates to costs filed in this proceeding. This rule does not include a requirement for program cost per unit of energy savings.

ISSUE II: Would a different mix of compliant DSM Plan programs result in a lower conservation cost recovery factor?

GULF: This issue is not appropriate for inclusion in this docket as there is no testimony related to this issue in the record. Further, Gulf's DSM program design was addressed extensively in Docket No. 100154 and approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-11-0114-PAA-EG.

ISSUE III: Would modifying the design of existing compliant DSM Plan programs result in a lower cost recovery factor?

GULF: This issue is not appropriate for inclusion in this docket as there is no testimony related to this issue in the record. Further, Gulf's DSM program design was addressed extensively in Docket No. 100154 and approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-11-0114-PAA-EG.

ISSUE IV: Would an increased reliance on lower cost compliant DSM Plan programs result in a lower cost recovery factor?

GULF: This issue is not appropriate for inclusion in this docket as there is no testimony related to this issue in the record. Further, Gulf's DSM program design was addressed extensively in Docket No. 100154 and approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-11-0114-PAA-EG.

ISSUE V: Are the costs of the DSM Plan programs prudent?

GULF: Yes. (Todd)

F. STIPULATED ISSUES

GULF:

Yet to be determined. Gulf is willing to stipulate that the testimony of all witnesses whom no one wishes to cross examine be inserted into the record as though read, cross examination be waived, and the witness's attendance at the hearing be excused.

G. PENDING MOTIONS:

GULF:

None.

H. PENDING CONFIDENTIALITY REQUEST:

GULF:

None.

I. OTHER MATTERS:

GULF:

To the best knowledge of counsel, Gulf has complied, or is able to comply, with all requirements set forth in the orders on procedure and/or the Commission rules governing this prehearing statement. If other issues are raised for determination at the hearings set for November 1-3, 2011, Gulf respectfully requests an opportunity to submit additional statements of position and, if necessary, file additional testimony.

Dated this 11th day of October, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFREY A. STONE

Florida Bar No. 0325953

RUSSELL A. BADDERS

Florida Bar No. 0007455

STEVEN R. GRIFFIN Florida Bar No. 0627569

Beggs & Lane

P. O. Box 12950

Pensacola, FL 32591-2950

(850) 432-2451

Attorneys for Gulf Power Company

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. mail this 11th day of October 2011, to the following:

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 618 Tallahassee Florida 32301 bkeating@gunster.com. J.R. Kelly
P. Christensen
C. Rehwinkel
Office of Public Counsel
111 W. Madison St., Suite 812
Tallahassee FL 32399-1400
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
BEHWINKEL.CHARLES@leg.state.fl.us
kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us

Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company P. O. Box 111 Tampa FL 33601-0111 Regdept@tecoenergy.com

Docket No.: 110002-EG

Vicki G. Kaufman
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
c/o Keefe Law Firm, The Perkins House
Florida Industrial Power Users Group
118 N. Gadsden St.
Tallahassee FL 32301
vkaufman@kagmlaw.com
imoyle@kagmlaw.com

Theresa Tan FL Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0863 Itan@psc.state.fl.us

James D. Beasley, Esq.
J. Jeffry Wahlen
Attorneys for Tampa Electric Co.
Ausley & McMullen
P. O. Box 391
Tallahassee FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com

Randy B. Miller White Springs Agricultural Chemicals P O Box 300 15483 Southeast 78th Street White Springs, FL 32096 RMiller@pcsphosphate.com James W. Brew
F. Alvin Taylor
Brickfield Law Firm
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington DC 20007-5201
jbrew@bbrslaw.com
ataylor@bbrslaw.com

John T. Burnett
Dianne M. Triplett
Progress Energy Service Co., LLC
P. O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg FL 33733-4042
john.burnett@pgnmail.com

Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company Vice President, Regulatory Relations 215 South Monroe St., Suite 810 Tallahassee FL 32301-1858 Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com

Mr. Thomas A. Geoffroy Florida Public Utilities Company 401 South Dixie Drive West Palm Beach FL 33401-5886 tgeoffroy@fpuc.com Paul Lewis, Jr. Progress Energy Florida, Inc. 106 E. College Ave., Ste. 800 Tallahassee FL 32301 paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com

Karen S. White, Staff Attorney c/o AFCESA-ULFSC 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319 karen.white@tyndall.af.mil

Kenneth Rubin Florida Power & Light Co. 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach FL 33408-0420 ken.rubin@fpl.com

Florida Bar No. 325953 RUSSELL A. BADDERS Florida Bar No. 007455 STEVEN R. GRIFFIN Florida Bar No. 0627569

JEFFREY A. STONE

BEGGS & LANE P. O. Box 12950

Pensacola FL 32591-2950 (850) 432-2451

Attorneys for Gulf Power Company