#### VOTE SHEET

#### November 22, 2011

**Docket No. 110007-EI** – Environmental cost recovery clause.

<u>Issue 1 (10G)</u>: Should PEF be permitted to recover any environmental costs related to its purchases of replacement power due to the Crystal River Unit 3 extended outage?

Recommendation: The evidence in the record shows that PEF did not incur any environmental costs - specifically allowance purchases - associated with purchases of replacement power due to the CR3 extended outage; therefore, there are no costs to recover. Although ancillary to the issue as framed, if the Commission wishes to address PEF's emission allowances associated with self-generated replacement power, staff recommends that the amounts shown on Table 1 should be included in PEF's 2012 ECRC factors.

### **APPROVED**

**Issue 2:** What are the appropriate recovery amounts for PEF in the 2011 ECRC proceeding? **Recommendation:** This is a fall-out issue. Staff shows below the amounts associated with staff's recommendation in Issue 1.

## **APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

### **COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES**

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
The R	
are	
Lin Co	

**REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:** 

JOSEMENT MEMBER JANG

08568 NOV 22 =

Vote Sheet
November 22, 2011
Docket No. 110007-EI – Environmental cost recovery clause.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 3:</u> What are the appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period January 2012 through December 2012 for each rate group for PEF?

**Recommendation:** This is a fall-out issue, which was identified as Issue 7 in the hearing. Staff shows below the factors associated with staff's recommendation in Issue 1.

# **APPROVED**

**Issue 4:** Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. The Environmental Cost Recovery Clause docket is an on-going docket and should remain open.

# **APPROVED**