## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for approval of base rate increase for extended power uprate systems placed in commercial service, pursuant to Section 366.93(4), F.S., and Rules 25-6.0423(7) and 28-106.201, F.A.C., by Florida Power & Light Company.

DOCKET NO. 110270-EI ORDER NO. PSC-11-0575-PAA-EI ISSUED: December 14, 2011

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

ART GRAHAM, Chairman LISA POLAK EDGAR RONALD A. BRISÉ EDUARDO E. BALBIS JULIE I. BROWN

## NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER GRANTING FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF BASE RATE INCREASE FOR EXTENDED POWER UPRATE SYSTEMS

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

#### BACKGROUND

In 2006, the Florida Legislature adopted legislation, Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (F.S.), encouraging the development of nuclear energy in the state. In that section, the Legislature directed us to adopt rules providing for alternative cost recovery mechanisms that would encourage investor-owned electric utilities to invest in nuclear power plants. We adopted Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C., which provides for an annual clause recovery proceeding to consider investor-owned utilities' requests for cost recovery for nuclear plants.

By Order No. PSC-08-0021-FOF-EI,<sup>1</sup> we made an affirmative determination of need for Florida Power & Light Company's (FPL or Company) Extended Power Uprate (EPU) project. The EPU project will be accomplished at FPL's four nuclear units located at two nuclear

OCCUMENT SUMBLIS DATE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Order No. PSC-08-0021-FOF-EI, issued January 7, 2008, in Docket No. 070602-EI, <u>In re: Petition for</u> determination of need for expansion of Turkey Point and St. Lucie nuclear power plants, for exemption from Bid Rule 25-22.082, F.A.C., and for cost recovery through the Commission's Nuclear Power Plant Cost Recovery Rule, Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.

<sup>08940</sup> DEC 14 =

generating plant sites in Florida: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4, and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. The EPU projects will go into commercial service at various points in time, with the majority of the costs anticipated to go into plant in service when the modifications are completed in 2011 and 2012. There will also be interim in-service items, such as the modification to the St. Lucie 2 turbine gantry crane that went into service in 2009.

On September 19, 2011, FPL filed a petition to increase its base rates by the \$20,068,628 revenue requirements associated with the EPU systems placed in commercial service during 2011 pursuant to Rule 25-6.0423(7), F.A.C. Further, FPL has requested an additional \$699,466 base rate increase for the 5-year amortization of existing assets retired during 2011 pursuant to Rule 25-6.0423(7)(e), F.A.C. FPL has also included an \$88,016 true-up of the 2010 base rate revenue requirement for the 2010 modifications made at the St. Lucie and Turkey Point nuclear units.<sup>2</sup> In total, FPL has requested a base rate increase of \$20,856,111. This base rate increase is approximately \$0.23 per month on a typical 1,000 kWh residential bill.

We have jurisdiction over this subject matter pursuant to the provisions of Section 366.93, F.S., and other provisions of Chapter 366, F.S.

#### **DECISION**

#### Base Rates

FPL has requested approval to increase its base rates by \$20,068,628 for the EPU project modifications at the St. Lucie and Turkey Point units that went into service during 2011.

Rule 25-6.0423(7), F.A.C., states the following:

(7) Commercial Service. As operating units or systems associated with the power plant and the power plant itself are placed in commercial service:

(a) The utility shall file a petition for Commission approval of the base rate increase pursuant to Section 366.93(4), F.S., separate from any cost recovery clause petitions, that includes any and all costs reflected in such increase, whether or not those costs have been previously reviewed by the Commission; provided, however, that any actual costs previously reviewed and determined to be prudent in the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause shall not be subject to disallowance or further prudence review except for fraud, perjury, or intentional withholding of key information.

(b) The utility shall calculate the increase in base rates resulting from the jurisdictional annual base revenue requirements for the power plant in conjunction with the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause projection filing for the year the power plant is projected to achieve commercial operation. The increase in base rates will be based on the annualized base revenue requirements for the power plant for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order No. PSC-11-0078-PAA-EI, issued January 31, 2011, in Docket No. 100419-EI, <u>In re: Petition for approval</u> of base rate increase for extended power uprate systems placed in commercial service, pursuant to Section 366.93(4), F.S., and Rules 25-6.0423(7) and 28-106.201, F.A.C., by Florida Power & Light Company.

first 12 months of operations consistent with the cost projections filed in conjunction with the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause projection filing.

(c) At such time as the power plant is included in base rates, recovery through the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause will cease, except for the difference between actual and projected construction costs as provided in subparagraph (5)(c)4. above.

(d) The rate of return on capital investments shall be calculated using the utility's most recent actual Commission adjusted basis overall weighted average rate of return as reported by the utility in its most recent Earnings Surveillance Report prior to the filing of a petition as provided in paragraph (7)(a). The return on equity cost rate used shall be the midpoint of the last Commission approved range for return on equity or the last Commission approved return on equity cost rate used for all other regulatory purposes, as appropriate.

(e) The jurisdictional net book value of any existing generating plant that is retired as a result of operation of the power plant shall be recovered through an increase in base rate charges over a period not to exceed 5 years. At the end of the recovery period, base rates shall be reduced by an amount equal to the increase associated with the recovery of the retired generating plant.

In compliance with Rule 25-6.0423(7), F.A.C., FPL submitted its calculation of the annualized base rate revenue requirements for the EPU project modifications for the first 12 months of operations. This calculation is shown on Attachment B, Page 1 of 42, attached to FPL's petition. We reviewed the calculation of the \$20,068,628 jurisdictional annual revenue requirement. We find that the annual revenue requirement calculation have been calculated in compliance with Rule 25-6.0423(7), F.A.C.

The 2011 expenditures related to the EPU project modifications are still under review in the NCRC. A final determination of the reasonableness and prudence of the 2011 expenditures will be made during 2012. Per Attachment B, Page 1 of 42, to FPL's petition, the increase in Electric Plant in Service included in the calculation is \$148,844,407 (\$145,213,196 jurisdictional), net of joint owners. If the \$148,844,407 amount is revised based on a final audit and review of the 2011 expenditures, the annual revenue requirement will have to be recalculated. This would require a true-up of the revenues already collected and a revision of the related tariffs. Therefore, our approval of the \$20,068,628 base rate increase is made subject to true-up and revision based on the final review of the 2011 EPU project modification expenditures at the St. Lucie and Turkey Point units in the NCRC.

#### Amortization

FPL has requested approval to increase its base rates by 699,466 for the 5-year amortization of existing assets that are being retired during 2011 pursuant to Rule 25-6.0423(7)(e), F.A.C., which states:

The jurisdictional net book value of any existing generating plant that is retired as a result of operation of the power plant shall be recovered through an increase in base rate charges over a period not to exceed 5 years. At the end of the recovery

period, base rates shall be reduced by an amount equal to the increase associated with the recovery of the retired generating plant.

As a direct result of the EPU project modifications, certain existing assets have been replaced or are no longer necessary for the operation of the plant. Therefore, these assets are being retired pursuant to Rule 25-6.0423(7)(e), F.A.C. Per Attachment A to FPL's petition, the net book value of the asset retirements will be \$2,702,015 at December 31, 2011. This results in an annual amortization of \$540,403 (\$508,816 jurisdictional) over the 5-year period. In addition, FPL has proposed to increase the annual amortization by annual depreciation expense and property tax expense credits of \$205,757 (\$190,650 jurisdictional), resulting in a net annual amortization of \$746,160 (\$699,466 jurisdictional).

During our staff's review, they noted several apparent errors were made in the calculation involving the net book value of the assets and the application of the depreciation expense and property tax expense credits. In the Company's response to our staff's first data request,<sup>3</sup> FPL filed a revision of the calculation of the 5-year amortization of the EPU assets that are being retired during 2011 (Schedule 1). FPL also identified several other errors that were made in the calculation. The revisions reflect the appropriate net book value amount and proper application of the depreciation expense and property tax expense credits in the calculation. As shown on Schedule 1, line 49, the net amortization of the asset retirements decreased from \$746,160 (\$699,466 jurisdictional) to \$241,860 (\$226,479 jurisdictional).

We agree with FPL's revised calculation of the 5-year amortization amount for the assets that are being retired during 2011. Therefore, we approve \$226,479 as the appropriate amount of base rate increase for the 5-year amortization of the assets that are being retired during 2011. In addition, base rates shall be reduced by an amount equal to the increase associated with the recovery of the retired generating plant at the end of the recovery period.

#### 2010 Base Rate Adjustment

Per Order No. PSC-11-0078-PAA-EI,<sup>4</sup> FPL was authorized to increase its base rates by \$2,150,927 for the 2010 modifications made at the St. Lucie and Turkey Point nuclear units. This approval was subject to true-up and revision based on the final review of the 2010 expenditures in the NCRC in Docket No. 110009-EI.

As shown on Page 42 of 42 of Attachment B to FPL's Petition, the Company is requesting a true-up to increase base rates by \$88,016. The primary reasons for the true-up are (1) a \$2,484,960 Electric Plant in Service - Nuclear increase between the estimated \$9,580,345 used in the previous calculation and the final amount of \$12,065,304 used in the current calculation, and (2) a \$1,913,353 Electric Plant in Service - Transmission decrease between the estimated \$5,436,327 used in the previous calculation and the final amount of \$3,522,975 used in the current calculation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Document No. 07713-11, filed October 20, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Order No. PSC-11-0078-PAA-EI, issued January 31, 2011, in Docket No. 100419-EI, <u>In re: Petition for approval</u> of base rate increase for extended power uprate systems placed in commercial service, pursuant to Section 366.93(4), F.S., and Rules 25-6.0423(7) and 28-106.201, F.A.C., by Florida Power & Light Company.

In the Company's response to our staff's data request,<sup>5</sup> FPL indicated that it made a slight calculation error that, when corrected, reduced the requested base rate increase from \$88,016 to \$88,000. This revised calculation is shown on Revised Attachment B, Page 42 of 42, included in FPL's response to our staff's data request. We have reviewed the revised true-up calculation and find that the \$88,000 base rate increase shall be approved.

## Effective Date

FPL proposed to revise base rates by increasing the energy charge for all rate classes. FPL has allocated the total increase of \$20,383,107 among the various rate classes with the energy and demand allocation factors FPL utilized in its calculation of the 2012 Capacity Cost Recovery factors (Docket No. 110001-EI). The total approved base rate increase results in a \$0.23 increase in the 1,000 kilowatt-hour residential bill.

Our approved base rates shall be implemented with the first billing cycle for 2012, which falls on January 3, 2012. Furthermore, FPL shall file revised tariff sheets to implement this Order for administrative approval by our staff prior to their effective date.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Florida Power & Light Company's petition to increase its base rates by \$20,068,628 for the 2011 EPU project modification at the St Lucie and Turkey Point nuclear units pursuant to Rule 25-6.0423(7), F.A.C., is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that we approve \$226,479 as the appropriate amount of base rate increase for the 5-year amortization of the assets that are being retired during 2011. In addition, base rates shall be reduced by an amount equal to the increase associated with the recovery of the retired generating plant at the end of the recovery period. It is further

ORDERED that the true-up to increase bases rates by \$88,000 is approved. It is further

ORDERED that the revised based rates shall be implemented with the first billing cycle for 2012, which falls on January 3, 2012. Furthermore, FPL shall file revised tariff sheets to implement this Order for administrative approval by our staff prior to their effective date. It is further

ORDERED that if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket shall be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Document No. 07713-11, filed October 20, 2011.

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that in the event this Order becomes final, this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>14th</u> day of <u>December</u>, <u>2011</u>.

Enn Colo) ANN COLĒ

Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (850) 413-6770 www.floridapsc.com

KΥ

#### NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This

petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on January 4, 2012.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this/these docket(s) before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

# Schedule 1 Revised Attachment B Revenue Requirements

Florida Power & Light Company 12 Months Base Rate Revenue Requirements For Plant being Placed into Service in 2011 Effective January 1, 2012

Page 1 of 42

		Nuclear	Nuclear Generation & Transmission		
ine 0.		System (Net of Participants) (b)	Separation Factor (f)	Retail Jurisdictional (Net of Participants) (b)	
1 2					
3	Annualized Rate Base - 13 Month Average				
4 5	Electric Plant In Service - Nuclear Accumulated Reserve for Depreciation - Nuclear	\$130,347,450	0.96618187 0.96618187	\$128,808,9	
6	Net Rate Base - Nuclear	(\$1,539,099) \$128,808,351	0.96616167	(\$1,520,9	
7	Electric Plant In Service - Transmission	\$18,496,957	0.88696801	\$15,406.2	
8	Accumulated Reserve for Depreciation - Transmission	(\$264,940)	0.88896801	(\$234,9	
8	Net Rate Base - Transmission	\$18,232,016		\$16,171,2	
10	Fuel Inventory				
11	Working Capital - Income Taxes Payable				
12	Total Annualized Rate Base (Line 6 + Line 9)	\$147,040,387		\$143,457,2	
13					
14	Annualized NOI				
15 18	O&M Depreciation Expense - Nuclear	\$0 \$3,078,198	0,98818187	\$3.041.8	
17	Depreciation Expense - Transmission	\$529,881	0.88696801	\$469,9	
18	Total Depreciation Expanse	\$3,608,079	0.0000007	\$3,511,8	
19	Property Taxes - Nuclear (d)	\$2,663,211	0.98816187	\$2,831,7	
20	Property Taxes - Transmission (d)	\$376,790	0.88696601	\$334,2	
21	Total Property Tax Expense	\$3,040,001		\$2,965,9	
22	Total Depreciation and Property Tax Expense (Line 18 + Line 21)	\$6,648,080		\$8,477,7	
23	Payroli Taxes & Benefits				
24	Income Taxes				
25	Direct Current & Deferred (c)	(\$2,564,497)		(\$2,498,7	
26 27	Imputed interest (see calculation below) Total Income Taxes (Line 25 + Line 26)	(\$991,167) (\$3,555,664)		(\$967,0) (\$3,465,8)	
28	Total Annualized NOI (Line 23 + Line 27)	\$3,092,418		\$3,011,9	
29					
30	·				
31	Calculation of Revenue Requirement	• <i>i</i>			
32	Fully Adjusted Cost of Capital (a)	6.46% \$9,500,622		6.4	
33 34	NOI Requirement (Line 12 * Line 32)	\$9,500,622 \$12,593,038		\$9,289,1 \$12,281,0	
35	NOI Deficiency (Line 33 Plus Line 28) Net Operating Income Multiplier (g)	1.63411		1.634	
36	the operating moothe manpher (g)				
37	Revenue Requirement (Line 34 * Line 35)	\$20,578,450		\$20,068,6	
38					
39	Annual Amort of Retired NBV - Nuclear (e)	\$196,662	0.98818187	\$196,3	
40 41	Annual Amort of Retired NBV - Transmission (e) Total Annual Amort of Retired NBV	\$248,956 \$447,617	0.58695801	\$220.8 \$417,1	
47	Annual Deprec. Credit - Nuclear	(\$52,739)	0.98818187	(\$52,1	
43	Annual Deprec. Credit - Transmission	(\$97,935)	0.88696801	(\$86,80	
44	Total Annual Deprec. Credit	(\$150,674)		(\$138,9)	
45	Annual Property Tax Expense - Nuclear (d)	(\$27,791)	0.98618187	(\$27,4)	
46	Annual Property Tax Expense - Transmission (d)	(\$27,292)	0.88696801	(\$24,2	
47	Total Annual Property Tax Expense Credii (k)	(\$55,083)		(\$51.6	
48 49	Net amount of retired plant (Line 41 + Line 44 + Line 47) (i)	\$241,860		\$226,4	
50					
51 52	Net Revenue Requirement (Line 37 + Line 49)	\$20,820,310		\$20,295,10	
53	True-up of 2010 Base Rate Increase	\$57,240		\$88,00	
54 55	Total Revenue Requirement (Line 51 + 53)	\$20,877,550		\$20,383,1	
56					
57 58	<u>Calculation of Taxes on Imputed Interest</u> Weighted Cost of Debt Capital (a):				
50 59	Long Term Debt Fixed Rate	1.54%		1.5	
60	Long Term Debt Variable Rate	0.00%		0.0	
61	Short Term Debt	0.03%		0.0	
62	Customer Deposits	0.18%		0.1	
63	JDIC	0.0006%		0.000	
64		1.75%		1.7	
65					
66	Imputed Interest (Line 12 * Line 64)	\$2,569,454		\$2,506,8	
67	income Taxes on Imputed Interest at 38.575% (c)	(\$991,167)		(\$967,0	
68 69					
70					

(b) Participants' share represents Orlando Utilities Commission of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency of 8.806% on St. Lucie Unit No. 2. If plant placed into service is related to common St. Lucie Plant, the participants share is calculated on half of the plant placed into

74 75

(c) Federal Income Tax rate of 35% & State Income Tax rate of 5.5%, for an effective rate of 38.575%.

(d) Property Tax Rate is the projected 2012 rate received from FPL's property tax department for St. Lucie and Dade Counties. 76

(a) Property fax rears the projected 2012 has received from PFC 5 property fax department of 5t. Euce and Date Southes.
(c) Per Rule 25-6 0423 7(e), retriements associated with the Modifications placed into service are to be recovered over a period not to exceed 5 yrs.
(f) Junsdictional separation factors are from FPL's rate case in Docket No. 050677-EI
(g) Net Operating income Multiplier is from Order No. PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI in Dkt. 050677, 090130-EI, Pg 211.
(h) Depreciation and Amoritzation retes are from Order No. PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI in Dkt. 050677.EJ, Pg 47.48,77,8 79.
(i) Amortization of NBV of retired plan, removal cost and salvage less depreciation and property taxes included in base rates.
(i) Totake moves todd with be multiplications and property taxes included in base rates.

77 78 79 80 81 82 83

(j) Totals may not add due to rounding.
(k) Description changed to reflect the property tax expense as a credit