09180 DEC 29 =

DOCUMENT NEWSTREPATE

1	DI ODIDA	BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4		DOCKET NO. 080562-WU
5	REQUEST FOR APPRO TO CONNECTION/TRA	
6	INCREASE IN RETUR	NED CHECK CHARGE, ELLANEOUS SERVICE
7	CHARGES, INCREASE IN METER INSTALLATION CHARGES, AND	
8	IMPOSITION OF NEW MARION COUNTY, BY	TAP-IN FEE, IN
9	SANITARY SYSTEMS,	
10		/
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 13
12	COMMISSIONERS	TIEM NO. 13
13		COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
14		
15	DATE:	Tuesday, November 22, 2011
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
17		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
18	REPORTED BY:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
20		
21		
22		

23

24

25

## PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Good afternoon. This is Commission Edgar, and with me Commissioner Julie Brown. We are back on the record continuing the last item on our Agenda Conference for today, Agenda Item No. 13, which was set at a time certain in order to accommodate customer participation by phone.

So to begin, I would like to ask our Staff to introduce the item, then I would like to have OPC introduce themselves for the record. And then we will hear briefly from those that are participating by phone, and we will go from there. So, Staff.

MR. FLETCHER: Commissioners, I'm Bart Fletcher with Commission Staff.

Item 13 is Staff's recommendation to approve a joint motion for the approval of a settlement agreement between the utility, the Office of Public Counsel, and six Intervenors. Today by phone we have about five Intervenors that were signatories to this agreement. We have two Intervenors that were not signatories participating by phone, and also we have Mr. Herbert Hein, the owner of East Marion Sanitary Systems, Inc. Staff is available to answer any questions the Commission may have.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Mr. Reilly,

if you would identify yourself. 1 MR. REILLY: Yes, Commissioner Edgar, 2 Commissioner Brown. Steve Reilly with the Office of 3 Public Counsel, appearing on behalf of the general body 4 of ratepayers in this case, as well as those Intervenors 5 6 that did sign the settlement agreement. I believe the 7 other two, as he said, the other two Intervenors will be representing themselves today, and I look forward to 8 9 sharing our view of the case. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you. And we 10 will come back to you here in a moment. 11 What I would like to do now is I will call the 12 name of those that I understand are participating by 13 14 phone. And if you can just let me know that you are with us and we will go through that to begin with. So, 15 Mr. Will? 16 17 MR. WILL: Yes, I'm here. 18 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 19 Ms. Mallon? 20 MS. MALLON: I'm with you. 21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 22 Mr. Greco? 23 MR. GRECO: Here. 24 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 25 Ms. Turner?

MS. TURNER: Here. 1 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 2 Mr. Singel? 3 MR. SINGEL: Here. 4 5 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Mr. Politte? MR. POLITTE: Present. 7 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 8 Mr. Smith? 9 10 MR. SMITH: Here. Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And Mr. Hein representing the utility? 12 13 MR. HEIN: Yes. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 14 Mr. Reilly, I understand that before us is 15 16 Issue 1, which is a proposed settlement agreement. Would you like to give us an overview of that? 17 MR. REILLY: I would be happy to. Just to 18 give you a little bit of background, OPC intervened in 19 this case with the hope of assisting the Intervenors, 20 but to do so in the most economical and cost-effective 21 way possible because of the small size of the utility 22 23 and the desire to avoid any possible future rate impacts on the general body of ratepayers. Pursuing this 24 objective, our office encouraged and participated in

25

months and months of negotiations to find the most cost-effective solution to the problem.

We settled on what we are calling the grandfather installation, which is basically a single 1-inch line coming from the main to the home with a double meter box to accommodate a 1-inch to 3/4-inch tee that would send those two 3/4-inch lines respectively to a potable water meter and separately to the irrigation meter.

It is the opinion of the parties that the settlement of this grand -- of putting in this grandfather installation will accommodate the irrigation needs of the Intervenors at a very affordable cost to the utility, thereby reducing the future potential impacts of rate impacts on the customers not receiving these irrigation meters.

In addition to allowing these customers to get their irrigation meters at the original tariffed \$70 charge, there are a few other little special provisions in this settlement agreement that, that address Mr. Greco's previous payment of irrigation services but not receiving them. So he's getting a full credit for that, for those payments, as well as a time credit for Mr. Singel, who has paid and received irrigation services up to this point. So they

accommodated their particular needs.

But we do believe our settlement efforts were largely successful, but in the end, two of the Intervenors chose not to sign the settlement agreement. However, OPC does appreciate that the Commission committed to afford a hearing for those two Intervenors to give them an opportunity to make their case, to receive the irrigation meters at the original cost, but without committing to the time of service, which was part of the consideration of the settlement agreement.

But in short, we do support Staff's recommendation, which approves the settlement agreement, while preserving the due process rights of these two Intervenors who chose not to sign.

I'm available for questions.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Reilly.

Commissioner Brown, do you have any questions regarding the settlement agreement?

COMMISSIONER BROWN: No.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you.

As with any settlement, I recognize that it probably is inherit to it to have some compromise, and there certainly was give and take during the discussions. And it is my understanding, as Mr. Reilly has, and our Staff has described to us, that the East

Marion utility represented by Mr. Hein and customers

Greco, Turner, Singel, Politte, and Smith have come to
this agreement that is before the Commission for
consideration and potential approval today.

So with that, I would like to turn first to those customers who have agreed to the settlement. Are there any brief comments that you would like to share with us? And if so, please identify yourself. Okay.

Not at this time.

Mr. Hein, any comments that you would like to share with us?

MR. HEIN: No.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Then I understand Mr. Will and Ms. Mallon, did you have brief comments that you wanted to make at this time?

MR. WILL: Yes.

MS. MALLON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Then if you would identify yourself, and we will be glad to listen.

MR. WILL: Okay. Great. My name is Terry
Will, along with Millie Mallon. We're here in the
subdivision. Both Commissioners Edgar and Ms. Brown,
I'd like to address all of these comments directly to
you folks. And if anybody would care to take notes, I
would appreciate it if they would. And then at the end

of, at the end of what I have to say, if you could ask me any questions, I'd be glad to answer.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. That sounds good. We will be listening. Go right ahead.

MR. WILL: Great. All of my comments are coming from the document that was entitled Public Service Commission Memorandum, dated November 9th of this year. And if I could reference, the pages are marked with the exception of number one, it starts out with page 2. If I could direct you to page 2 of the document. hopefully you have it there.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. And let me just check with our Staff. Am I correct that the document that Mr. Will is referring to is the document that we have headed as Settlement Agreement dated November 9th at the top?

MS. BENNETT: I believe he's referring to the recommendation that recommends approving the settlement agreement. So it would be the recommendation.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Well, I have both of those, so go right ahead.

MR. WILL: Great. If I could direct your attention to page 2, paragraph 3. It clearly states that the Commission has ordered that a customer who requested an irrigation meter from East Marion on or

before April 7th of 2009 shall receive the meter and they'll only be charged a \$70 rate. It makes no mention whatsoever of the, of the attached A. Commissioners, that will be the last page hopefully on your document that refers to a drawing, page 19. That is Attachment A. I'll be referring to that one several times. And it's also nicknamed the grandfather, the grandfather device, and more commonly referred to as the Mickey Mouse device. So that's on page 2. Then I refer to page, refer you to Page 5.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: We're there.

MR. WILL: Okay. Page 5, paragraph 3 says each Intervenor must pay \$70 in advance for the meter. And then paragraph 4, and it says for a period -- that we would be challenged (phonetic) for a period of 36 months. And if I could refer you to page 7, paragraph 3 of that same document, it states in Rules 25-30-325 of the FAC entitled "Termination of Service by Customer," it doesn't specifically call out a time, but it does say a reasonable notice of, of discontinued. A reasonable notice to me, and hopefully to you, would be in the neighborhood of perhaps two weeks or maybe even a month that I might stop my services. It certainly under no condition would be three years. And so that violates the Rule 25-30-325 of the FAC.

Stop you right there and ask you a question. As I stated very briefly a few moments ago, what is before us is a settlement agreement that has been entered into by the utility and by five of the other customers. And by its nature, a settlement agreement does generally represent some compromise. And I understand that, as is your right, you have decided not to enter into that agreement and whatever compromises it may represent to you. So the item that is before us is the settlement agreement, which you have declined to sign.

MR. WILL: Well, I declined to sign, both
Ms. Mallon and I have both declined because of safety
reasons, and I'd like to talk to that.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I'm sorry. Did you say safety?

MR. WILL: Safety. Safety reasons.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Safety. Okay. If you would go on on that point, please.

MR. WILL: Okay. I spoke with Marion County and their water and wastewater treatment engineering staff, and I presented this document to them and said, "This is an irrigation meter." And some questions they had for me was what kind of controlling device is going to go beyond this meter? And they were, they were

vitally concerned, and their bottom line was that it is a very unsafe installation because the pressure dropped.

What happened is, Commissioners Edgar and

Ms. Brown, your little finger will not fit in the pipe
that it will be supplied to both the home and the
irrigation. And the example that was cited to me by the
engineering department is primarily if you hooked up
irrigation to your yard and then attempted to
coincidentally take a shower at the same time, your
pressure would be at, at a critical point such that the
barnacles that grow in every system would, would fleck
(phonetic), they'd fleck, the bacteria releases and it
blows into the home, and this can happen and then not
happen. So to my knowledge there has never been any
testing of this device. This device is not accepted by
standard utilities, and that is our, that is our
argument.

We would love to have, and it was our intention all, all along to have this meter. And -- but if it's not safe, and that's our position, both Millie and my position, and I'm sure that the other Intervenors would have some concerns about their family and wives and husbands and grandchildren that come to their home. And if I'm watering my yard and my granddaughter takes a shower (phonetic) and has a problem, then I've got a

problem. That's our main concern.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And particularly when the engineering staff says that they would never consider an application like this because of the line size and because it parasites off of a main line. They will never parasite off of a main line. It has to be a regular tap-in. And that was my reference to page 2, paragraph 3. The Commission says anybody that signed up for a meter before that deadline was entitled to a meter. And at \$70, I'm not concerned about that. I'm concerned about the safety. I want a regular tap-in as noted in, on page 7, paragraph 4 or paragraph 5, where it says that the cost is \$195, and then it's short, medium, and long for 14, 18, or \$2,600. That's the kind of installation that I want. And I believe that's the kind of installation that Millie wants. That's our vital concerns, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Will. Let me pause here for a moment and pose a

question to our Staff. And if, if I have this a little

wrong, please feel free to correct me, of course.

But my understanding from what I'm hearing from Mr. Will is that for, for him and for Ms. Mallon, that the type of irrigation meter that they initially requested, he has a concern is not the same as or as

good as, excuse me, the irrigation meter that would be installed under the provisions of the settlement agreement before us. Could you speak to that, please, Mr. Fletcher?

MR. FLETCHER: Yes. And Tom Walden can correct me if I'm wrong. The -- on page 19 of the rec, it was the -- the diagram that you see is the, what we call the grandfather installation. That's how the utility was performing the installations in the past. Prior to the Commission approving the tap-in charges at the, its April 2009 Agenda Conference that you see on page 7, it's in the third paragraph, last sentence, the new configuration, if you will, would include either a short, long, or extra long irrigation service line. So there is a difference between what was approved at that April 2009 Agenda Conference versus the grandfather configuration, if you will, that you, that is depicted on page 19.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Very helpful for me.

Mr. Reilly, as the representative of the remaining customers that have signed on to the settlement agreement, can you speak to that point?

MR. REILLY: I would be happy to. I think that -- I'd almost propose an order, let the utility

represent what has happened and what this, you know, grandfather installation provides. Likewise, I do know there are several customers who have had personal experience with the grandfather installation, both as, with the 3/4-inch line going to, wide to two 3/4-inch which they have experience on how that has performed in terms of pressure and safety, but I think Mr. Greco, who's in the business of, of supplying equipment to utilities has some things to add too. So maybe in that order, and I'd be happy to follow up as a third spokesman, if everything is not covered.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Mr. Hein, this is Commissioner Edgar. Mr. Hein, do you have any comments to share on this point?

MR. HEIN: I do. I understand Mr. Will's concerns; however, they're unfounded. And his representation that a 3/4-inch line is smaller than your little finger is not accurate.

We have two different types of installations currently in the development, and neither one of them have created a problem, nor does the water pressure drop below acceptable or safety standards.

And so there's -- you know, the safety concern that Mr. Will cites doesn't change. The grandfather installation that the customers and the utility have

entered into is adequate and is safe and will perform 1 the way it's supposed to. 2 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 3 Mr. Greco. 4 MR. GRECO: Yes, ma'am. 5 MR. WILL: Terry Will. Could I rebut that, 6 7 please? Commissioner, this is Terry Will. Could I 8 rebut that, please? 9 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Not yet, Mr. Will. 10 I'll come back to you. 11 on. MR. WILL: Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Greco? 13 MR. GRECO: Yes, ma'am. My understanding is 14 in discussions with Mr. Hein both the meters are the 15 same. Whether they're water or irrigation, the meters 16 17 are identical. The -- Mr. Hein has also instituted that after 18 the irrigation meter, there has to be some kind of a 19 backflow device put on the lines. And the existing 20 irrigation meters that are installed have a backflow 21 device in it, which should stop any foreign objects from 22 getting back in the line after that device. 23 I live nextdoor to Mr. Singel and I live 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

downstream from him. He has an irrigation system and

25

his meter is installed and he uses it and I've never experienced any loss of pressure. And directly across the street is an existing homeowner who has an irrigation meter and also an irrigation line directly across the street. So if I was -- and this all comes off the same line and I'm downstream from them. So if I'm downstream and those are running, I have not ever experienced any low pressure.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. A question, Mr. Reilly, for you. If, for discussion purposes, if any of the customers who have signed on to the settlement agreement were to have problems over time similar to what Mr. Will has described as potential or to the degree that they are simply dissatisfied with the service, what would be the option available to them?

MR. REILLY: I would guess it would be the subject of a complaint to the PSC through their normal processes. And if it was found to be, have merit, I guess it would be one of those issues that the company would have to come up with a solution to, and then we could have some of those rate impacts that, that I've worked very hard to try to avoid.

It has really been -- and we didn't want to embark on this if we were going to create that situation. And just to give you a little more

background about the grandfather installation, some -as he said, there were two different forms right now.
We have, and I think Mr. Smith may want to weigh in on
this too because he has personal experience on his
particular 3/4-inch, going to a 3/4-inch; whereas, once
the grandfather installation has been approved, even
those are going to be changed out to 1-inch to 3/4-inch.
So although he's experienced no problems in the past,
his situation is actually going to improve. So we fully
expect to not have a problem. But as you say, if the
unexpected does occur, then that's one of those type of
utility issues we'll all have to come back and
readdress. But, you know --

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Or perhaps the utility would be able to handle it prior to coming here.

MR. REILLY: That's right.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Which would be the normal, normal course for any customer with any concern.

MR. REILLY: Exactly. But, but all the evidence we have is -- certainly the suggestion of safety is, is unfounded because we will have, in fact, the law requires the backflow prevention device any time you have it on the -- and it's to be really maintained by the customer. And it's generally to be annually inspected to make sure that it's properly functioning.

So there is no -- even if the pressure drops down dramatically, you don't introduce into the system -- because of the nature of an irrigation system, it's coming in contact with soils and so forth, it's just required. So I see that as being, certainly addressing that issue.

But the practical pressure issue shouldn't exist, and even won't especially exist because the truth of the matter is most of the time when you're irrigating, you really set it up in the early morning hours. You don't even really set it up generally in the times arguably when showers and all these things are happening. But we didn't want to have that kind of a standard. We wanted to really be able to have it zone by zone occurring while you're having normal water use in the house. And I guess I'll shut up at this point and maybe let a couple of other customers weigh in on their personal experience.

I know, I think, Mr. Smith, if you're available, and I think Mr. Singel has had some experience too.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Let me, let me frame it this way. As I see it, the issue before us is the settlement agreement. If we were to proceed to hearing, then there would be a process for issue identification,

evidence, personal testimony, et cetera. However, my understanding of the posture that we are in is a settlement agreement has been entered into by the utility and by five customers because they deemed that to be in their best interest. So I would like to stick to the settlement agreement. If indeed we are put into a posture of proceeding to hearing, then we will, of course, follow through due process and make sure that all of those steps are accommodated.

So I would like to stick to the settlement agreement at the moment because that is the issue that is before us. And so I would ask again, to give you full opportunity, if any of the customers, Greco, Turner, Singel, Politte, or Smith would like to make a final comment or brief comment about the settlement agreement and your decision to sign that at this time to represent your interests, this would be your chance.

Okay. I'm going to take that as no additional concerns.

Mr. Will, we do have, and Ms. Mallon, before us is a vote on whether to approve the settlement agreement that you have, as is your right, determined is not in your best interest at this time to enter into.

Before we take up the matter of the settlement agreement, do you have a closing comment on that point?

MR. WILL: Oh, yes, I certainly do.

Commissioners, please, I'm not a very good public, not a very good public speaker, but I'd like to say this about that. Everybody that I've heard is all -- they're in favor, in their best interest that they settle this thing. But I'm, I'm convinced, I'm totally convinced, because I talk with impartial people regarding this, this grandfather Exhibit A, it's wrong and it's dangerous. And I've taken a piece of 3/4-inch pipe and I can't put my little finger. So Mr. Hein has given you some misinformation, Mr. Reilly is giving you some misinformation, and they're all, they all want to close this. They've all got, they've got an agenda to meet, be it financial or be it just clearing the books.

I am vitally concerned, and so is Ms. Mallon. She's shaking her head and we're going crazy here not believing what we're hearing. At least we need to do some studies because there's no control here. Of course there's a backflow device. One of the people that are in the know here that talked about pressure drop, but they talked about their neighbor's pressure drop and the nextdoor neighbor and across the street pressure drop. That has nothing to do with the individual that is reduced down to 3/4-inch. You're getting a 3/4-inch supply and there's no control of the 3/4-inch supply. I submit to you that you could turn on an irrigation

system in such a way that you could deplete the total pressure and turn water on in your house and it wouldn't run at all because the demand of the sprinkler system would require all the water that's being pushed through the 3/4-inch pipe.

I am vitally concerned about bacteria release. And we at least, Commissioners, please, at least set up some kind of parameters so we can all know that this is safe or all know that it is not safe. I can't, I can't speak enough about this, and that's why we have fought this for two years.

I, I, I looked into this carefully, not carefully enough, until I talked to some real engineers who do this for a living in the county here and also in Lake County. I've consulted three other engineers that are in this field, working in this field, and they say that this installation is dangerous.

Please, I'll ask you one more time, at least set up some kind of testing by a legitimate company that can test for pressure. There is no, there is no, no way of anybody knowing how much demand that I am going to put on the 3/4-inch line. And I could deplete the water from my house by my irrigation system, and that's a problem that no engineer that's doing this for a living would install this kind because of the danger of

bacteria getting into your system by a pressure drop.

I, I know that I've repeated myself several times and I've said that I'm not very good at this, but, please, Commissioners, consider what I've had to say and don't just overlook it. Again, I don't speak very well, but I hope I'm making my point that the concerns that we have here are vital. Please.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Will, thank you.

Thank for your comments, and no worries at all. I

absolutely recognize and appreciate that you have strong concerns and that you feel passionate about it, and respect your, your decisions to do what's best for you and for your property.

At this point, let me see if Commissioner Brown has a comment or a question.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I do. I have a comment, not a question. And I appreciate hearing from the customers, including Mr. Will, and I wanted to see if Ms. Mallon wanted to -- if Mr. Will's comments addressed your issue, Ms. Mallon?

MS. MALLON: Yeah. Hi. This is Millie

Mallon. I'm in total agreement with Mr. Will. I worry

about this system as well. And I would like some

studies done for further investigation of these, of this

irrigation system. I want the new system put in. I

don't want this grandfathered into this old, old irrigation system. I want the new system in. And I think that has been demanded all along. 3 Also, you know, we talked about this contract of 36 months. I'm really uncomfortable with that. And 5 it also says in the contract that people apparently deny 6 that it's a contract, but it says that, you know, after the meter has been installed or reinstalled, or 8 Intervenor dies or sells the property being served by 9 the meter, whichever occurs first. I'm a little --10 COMMISSIONER BROWN: I'm sorry. We're having 11 a hard time hearing you. You're -- there's a little bit 12 of feedback. 13 MS. MALLON: Okay. I'm on page 5. 14 (Technical difficulties.) 15 Hello? 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm having a real hard 17 time understanding her also. Is she on a speakerphone? 1.8 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** I understand. 19 we're, you know, obviously dealing with multiple lines. 20 21 And just work with us and we will get through it. So let me make sure, Mr. Will and Ms. Mallon, 22 are you still with us? 23 MS. MALLON: Yes, I am. 24 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Ms. Mallon, if you 25

would finish, go ahead and conclude your comments in response to Commissioner Brown, and maybe slow down and speak directly into the phone for us.

MS. MALLON: Okay. You know, to test the system, I think it's going to take a little bit more than that. I think to go according to a recommendation from a company that, you know, knows what they're talking about and knows what we need out here, and not by anybody who has a personal interest in this kind of installation.

The last thing I want to say is the

Intervenors, you know, this contract for 36 months, I'm
suspect of that 36-month contract. The contract, you
know, you talk about compromise, but I don't see
compromise here. I see, you know, kind of a catch-22.

It says on page 5 in paragraph 4 that this will be
installed, and/or until the Intervenor dies or sells his
property being served by the meter, whichever occurs
first. That's a very uncomfortable statement. And I
don't see that the people that have had the irrigation
meters (phonetic) put in here, they have not had to sign
any kind of a contract for 36 months, and I don't see
where we should have to. What makes us any different
from the original people without irrigation meters? It
should all be the same. And that's really all I have to

say. I think the meters should be investigated though, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you for your input.

And I'm prepared to address the issue before us, which is the settlement agreement with those signatories who have intervened. And I've had -- I'm sorry.

MR. REILLY: Go ahead. I was just going to respond to their safety issues, if that's okay.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Please.

MR. REILLY: One thing I can give some assurance to the customers on the issue of safety is there is -- this is a public supply water system and it is subject to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. And it is their task and it is their statutory duty to assure that the water supply is in fact safe and that backflow prevention is, is in place and that there is not an issue.

So there is recourse, there is protection of this. So it's not just, you know, some settlement agreement that's going to impose some strange, you know, configuration that's going to jeopardize their water supply. There are protections in place. I just thought I'd make that point.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Brown.

MR. WILL: I'd just say that -
COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Excuse me. Hold on.

Hold on.

Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. And thank you, Mr. Reilly, for pointing those, those, or addressing those concerns. And I have had a chance to read the settlement agreement and I'm in support of it. And I, you know, we've heard customers that are in support of it, we've heard the Office of Public Counsel in support of it.

And I would note, Mr. Will and Ms. Mallon, it would be wonderful if the discussions with Mr. Hein could come to a resolution, but obviously you have that opportunity to have a full hearing. But I would encourage you all to -- it doesn't sound like there's going to be some type of resolution of your issues, but I would still encourage you to make an effort to resolve those outstanding issues.

And with that, I would support the Staff recommendation on Issue 1 and Issue 2, and move.

MR. POLITTE: Commissioner?

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Who's speaking?

MR. POLITTE: This is Mr. Politte.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Go right ahead.

MR. POLITTE: After hearing some of the testimony or conversation with Mr. Will and Ms. Mallon, I have some concern, and this is going to be directed toward Mr. Hein. There is some -- and this may have something to do with the pressure issue.

There are some leaks with the system at where the chlorine tank is encompassed in the line. Are these water leaks going to be taken care of prior to installation of these meters?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Hein, can you respond to Mr. Politte?

MR. HEIN: I am not aware of any water leaks.

If there are water leaks, then we would obviously want
to take care of them.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

MR. POLITTE: I've spoken to -- I'm the homeowners president at this time. I've spoken to the gentleman that, that checks the water, and supposedly you've already been notified, Mr. Hein.

commissioner edgar: Okay. Well, what I'm going to do on that point is ask our Staff to follow up with the utility, recognizing that this is a concern that may have been expressed before, but that information is not before me today. But if there is a concern about leaks, you know, our Staff can follow up

with you, Mr. Politte, and with Mr. Hein, and see what we can do to make sure that there is appropriate follow-through.

With that, I'm going to say that it is my understanding, to reiterate, that five customers working with OPC have entered into a settlement agreement with Mr. Hein to address the issues that were before the Commission previously. That settlement agreement is before us. And Commissioner Brown has shown her support and I concur. So with that, we will approve the settlement agreement that is before us, which will dispense with Issue 1.

That brings us to Issue 2, which is basically where does that leave us now? And my understanding, and, Ms. Bennett, I will look to you, my understanding is that leaves us with, again, the understanding that Mr. Will and Ms. Mallon had concerns that they did not believe that the settlement agreement covered, primarily dealing with potential safety and pressure issues and also the time period that the settlement agreement covered. So that leaves us with these two Intervenors who are still in the process with the protest that the utility had filed. So, Ms. Bennett, what are our next steps?

MS. BENNETT: Well, that means that because we

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

still have the protest to deal with as it relates to

Ms. Mallon and Mr. Will, that still needs to be set for
a hearing, unless the protest is withdrawn by the

utility.

So at this point, Staff has recommended that the hearing be held in Tallahassee, unless the protest is withdrawn, that the hearing be held in Tallahassee; that the three remaining parties, that would be East Marion, Ms. Mallon, and Mr. Will, be required to, within 21 days of the date of the order being issued, file a statement with the Clerk acknowledging that they understand the orders establishing procedure and the procedural rules, 25-22 and 28.106, and that they are willing to abide by those in this court like proceeding in front of the Commission.

It does have some responsibilities on each party who participates to present testimony, to cross-examine witnesses, to finish the discovery that's ongoing, to attend Prehearing Conferences, that type of thing, and to attend these things in person here in Tallahassee.

Staff would recommend that that statement acknowledging those responsibilities be filed by all three parties. And then with that being filed, again, if the protest is not withdrawn, then we'll set, go

ahead and set it for a hearing. It's prehearing and then hearing.

are is that, Mr. Hein, you have a protest that is still pending. We have two Intervenors. There is certainly the option for the withdrawal of the protest or the withdrawal of intervention for continued discussions, which I would certainly urge, and Commissioner Brown has urged as well.

If there is not an interest in a withdrawal of the protest or intervention, then a statement needs to be filed with our Commission Staff within 21 days of the order, as has been described. Our Staff will be available to provide any assistance in accomplishing that, and I believe that that is what remains before us. Commissioner Brown, anything further?

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I think that is correct.

And in order, I guess, to address Issue 2, I will make the motion. Since all that is incorporated into our Issue 2, I would move to approve Staff's recommendation on Issue 2.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you for that. And I concur. I want to say thank you to Mr. Reilly, to all the customers for their participation and dialogue. And we are adjourned.

1	STATE OF FLORIDA ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
2	COUNTY OF LEON )		
3			
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing		
5	proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.		
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I		
7	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.		
8			
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,		
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.		
11			
12	DATED THIS 21st day of December,		
13	2011.		
14	A it bolow		
15	JINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR		
16	FPSC Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			