

Dorothy Menasco

110313-PU

From: Dorothy Menasco
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 10:36 AM
To: Kathryn Cowdery
Cc: Rick Moses; Bill McNulty; Julie Phillips
Subject: RE: DNs 08095-11 and 08234-11

Thank you for that confirmation, Kathryn. We will move those two documents per your instructions below.

From: Kathryn Cowdery
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 10:33 AM
To: Dorothy Menasco
Cc: Rick Moses; Bill McNulty; Julie Phillips
Subject: RE: DNs 08095-11 and 08234-11

Dorothy: Yes, please move DNs 08095-11 and 08234-11 from undocketed matters to Docket 110313-PU. Thank you very much for your assistance.

From: Dorothy Menasco
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 10:03 AM
To: Kathryn Cowdery
Cc: Rick Moses; Bill McNulty; Julie Phillips
Subject: RE: DNs 08095-11 and 08234-11

Hi Kathryn,

I just got off the phone with Julie Phillips and she has advised that the letter we received from TECO/Beasley (DN 00287-11) should be placed in Docket 110313-PU. Thank you, Julie!

I just now need verification as to whether DNs 08095-11 and 08234-11 should be moved from undocketed matters to Docket 110313-PU, or left in Docket 110000-OT.

Thank you!

From: Dorothy Menasco
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 9:56 AM
To: Kathryn Cowdery
Cc: Rick Moses; Bill McNulty
Subject: DNs 08095-11 and 08234-11
Importance: High

Good morning, Kathryn,

On Friday, 1/13/12, CLK received a copy of a letter to you, from TECO/Beasley, with comments regarding proposed revisions to Rules 25-6.019 (notification of accidents); 25-6.0345 (safety standards for construction of new transmission and distribution facilities); and 25-6.060 (meter test - referee) (DN 00287-12).

In doing a bit of research in order to place TECO's letter in the correct docket, I see the Docket 110313-PU addresses these three rules (initiation of rulemaking docket) as well as several others; and that there are two documents DNs 08095-11 and 08234-11 in undocketed

1/17/2012

00300 JAN 17 2012
 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

matters (Docket 110000-OT).

Should DNs 08095-11 and 08234-11 be moved from undocketed matters to Docket 110313-PU or left where they are? Should the attached DN 00287-12 be placed in undocketed matters (as mentioned in the letter), or in Docket 110313-PU?

Thank you for your help!

Docket 110313-PU, Docket Title - Initiation of Rulemaking to Repeal Rule 25-6.019, and to Amend Rules 25-6.0345, 25-6.060, 25-7.059, 25-7.060, 25-7.061, 25-7.062, 25-7.064, 25-7.065, 25-7.066, 25-7.070, 25-7.071, 25-7.084, 25-12.005, 25-12.008, 25-12.027, 25-12.052, and 25-12.082, F.A.C.

Docket 110000-OT, DN 08095-11 - FAW notice (to be published 11/10/11) of development of rulemaking, to repeal Rule 25-6.019 to eliminate duplication and conflict with other rules; to amend Rule 25-6.0345 to update property damage reporting requirement; and to change the title of Rule 25-6.060 for accuracy and clarification; if requested in writing and not deemed unnecessary by agency head, rule development workshop will be noticed in next available FAW; with attached acknowledgment of submittal by Administrative Code and Weekly Unit.

Docket 110000-OT, DN 08234-11 - Notice of development of rulemaking [to repeal Rule 25-6.019, and to amend Rules 25-6.0345, 25-6.060, 25-7.059, 25-7.060, 25-7.061, 25-7.062, 25-7.064, 25-7.065, 25-7.066, 25-7.070, 25-7.071, 25-7.084, 25-12.005, 25-12.008, 25-12.027, 25-12.052, and 25-12.082, FAC]; if timely requested and not deemed unnecessary by the agency head, a workshop will be held at a time and place to be announced.