1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4	DOCKET NO. 120125-PU	
5	PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULES 25-6.097, 25-7.083, AND	
6	25-30.311, F.A.C., ON CUSTOMER DEPOSITS.	
7	-	/
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	DDOGUEDINGG	COMMISSION CONFEDENCE A CENTRA
13	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 2
14	COMMISSIONERS	CHATDMAN DONALD A DDTCÉ
15	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
16		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
17		COMMISSIONER DOLLE 1. BROWN
18	DATE:	Tuesday, May 22, 2012
19	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
20	FIACE.	Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
21		Tallahassee, Florida
22	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
23		(850) 413-6732
24		
25		GCCI MEMENTING MBEN-

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Now move on to Item Number 2.

MR. CICCHETTI: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners.

Item 2 regards changing the rate on customer -- I'm Mark Cicchetti with Finance and Tax Staff -- Item 2 regards changing the rate on customer deposits from 6 percent and 7 percent on nonresidential deposits held more than 23 months to 2 percent and 3 percent on nonresidential deposits held more than 23 months.

I believe there are some parties that are here that would like to be heard, and staff is available for questions.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you very much.

At this time you may go right ahead.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, Chairman.

Jordan White on behalf of FPL. As an initial matter, FPL fully supports the proposed rule changes. We believe it's going to reduce costs and benefit customers. I have been kind of penciling out a potential effective date of mid-July, assuming that the Commission approves staff's recommendation.

FPL is fully prepared to meet that effective

date. However, you know, based upon the current workload of FPL's Information Management Department, we believe it would be much more efficient and helpful to be able to have a date certain implementation date. So for example, we would propose having an implementation that would be required 45 days within the effective date. We have spoken to some of the IOUs, and they don't object to this, and we believe ultimately it's just going to be a better use of -- a better, more efficient process.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you very much.

Any further comments?

MR. BEASLEY: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,
Jim Beasley for Tampa Electric Company.

We support the proposed rule change as well. If there is by a date certain, we would like to have the flexibility to implement as soon as the rule becomes effective within that time frame. And we also think it would be convenient for everyone, and the Commission, as well, if you could clarify for us that the staff would have the authority to administratively approve tariff changes implementing the proposed change to the rule.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay.

Commissioners, any comments or questions?

Staff, are there any other things that you

need to bring before us at this time with this issue?

MS. MILLER: Yes. We talked with Mr. White this morning, and we have also checked with the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee, and we're comfortable that we could add to each of the rules, "Such interest rates shall be applied within 45 days of the effective date of the rule." And this way those utilities that want to implement it more quickly can do so, and those that need a little bit of lag time would have a little bit more. So we're okay on that change.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Thank you very much.

Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

It sounds like a good addition to me. I would ask, Ms. Miller, if you could read that one more time so I can get the exact language, and then if you could tell us where in the draft language, what page and line number the staff would suggest that that addition be made.

MS. MILLER: Yes. The language is, "Such interest rates shall be applied within 45 days of the effective date of the rule."

The first place that it would be inserted would be on Page 7, Line 20. This would be in the

electric rule, so it would go after 23 months.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay.

MS. MILLER: The second place it would be inserted would be on Page 10, Line 19. After 23 months we would insert that language as discussed.

And the third place it would be inserted is on Page 13, on Line 12 -- I'm sorry, on Line 5 after 23 months.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

And realizing that there are other administrative steps that need to go forward in the process, if approved today, when do you think the rule would go into effect, approximately?

MS. MILLER: Let's see. I don't have my calculation here, but the way it would work, and let me just play this through, if we file this today for the FAW, it's published next Friday, so that's a week, and then there is 21 days that you must allow for the request for hearing or comments to be filed. So basically that's one-month. Then you can file it with the Department of State, if neither of those happen, and then you allow 20 days after that for the -- they have a required 20 days before the effective date.

So basically, I think, we're looking at 50 days.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

MS. MILLER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Was that an amendment to the motion?

commissioner edgar: I had not made a motion
yet, but when the Chairman is ready I'll be glad to do
so.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

I have a question for staff. And I support this rule change; I think we need to accurately reflect the market conditions. However, obviously these conditions change rather frequently, if not daily. Is there is a way we can add flexibility to the rule so that if conditions change significantly we don't have to go through this process? Is there more of a flexible way to have the utilities match to some sort of market-based interest rate?

MR. CICCHETTI: Historically, we have looked at that and the Commission has addressed that, and the Commission historically has found that it's better to wait till there is some change, and then either staff or the Commission on its own motion or one of the other parties could bring that forward.

11 12

10

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Like you said, rates do change. If we set it to a specific index or something of that nature, it may trigger faster than you might have thought. example, we had thought about changing this earlier, and we were thinking that we would wait until interest conditions, interest rate conditions became more normal to see if we needed to change it. Recently the fed has said that they expect to keep rates low through 2014, so it seemed like with market conditions being this low that it would be a good time to bring it forward.

And changing it on an annual basis can be a burden for some of the smaller companies, particularly some of the smaller water and wastewater companies. They have to make a number of changes in their billing process to be able to implement this. So historically it has been best for the Commission, I think, to wait until they feel that the market has changed sufficiently, and then address it at that time.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. That's all T had.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you.

Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Chairman, if you're ready, I would move that we approve the item before us with the additional language added as described by Ms. Miller.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Second.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: It has been moved and second. All in favor say aye.

(Vote taken.)

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Thank you very much. The item is approved. Thank you very much for your participation this morning on this item.

* * * * * * * *

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: On the last item, and I wasn't sure if we missed it or I missed it, there were two issues that were brought up by Mr. Beasley. One was the administrative issue, and I don't know if we wanted to incorporate that or we wanted to leave it the way we left it, because we didn't address it at all.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I would ask our staff to comment on that suggestion by Mr. Beasley.

MR. WILLIS: Commissioner Edgar, we were just discussing that back here right after the vote. We would like to have that direct authority to handle those tariffs administratively. It's nothing more than basically approving tariffs that comply with the rule, which should not require us to bring those down to the Commission.

2.0

that we've had some discussions at the bench in the past, and certainly recently about how to make our processes more efficient, and that if there are some decisions that are strictly administrative to go ahead and delegate to our staff. And so I would certainly be interested in supporting that suggestion, and if we need a motion making it, or if we just direct our staff to administratively approve the tariffs on customer deposits along the lines of the vote that we just took.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Are there any further comments on this issue, or questions, or are we ready to entertain a motion? I think we could entertain a motion to do that specifically to this item.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Chairman, I move that we direct our staff to administratively approve tariffs on customer deposits in line with the decision that we made on the prior issue.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Second.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Seeing a second, Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. And I just want to make sure that I understand the motion. So

this would provide staff the authority to make these changes in the interest rates for customers more closely matching the market conditions, correct? MR. WILLIS: Correct. It would provide staff with the authority to go ahead and approve the tariffs administering the rule change. **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. It has been moved and seconded. All in favor say aye. (Vote taken.) CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Seeing the approval, the item carries. Thank you very much. We now stand adjourned.

1 '	STATE OF FLORIDA)		
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
3	COUNTY OF LEON)		
4			
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard		
6	at the time and place herein stated.		
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.		
8			
9			
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,		
11 12	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I		
13	financially interested in the action.		
	DATED THIS 24th day of May, 2012.		
14			
15	Thetuis		
16	OANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter		
17	(850) 413-6732		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			