

VOTE SHEET

October 16, 2012

Docket No. 120148-WU – Application for approval of transfer of Harbor Hills Utility, L.P. water system and Certificate No. 522-W in Lake County to Harbor Waterworks, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the transfer of Certificate No. 522-W from Harbor Hills Utilities, L.P. to HWW be approved? Recommendation: Yes. The transfer of the water system to HWW is in the public interest and should be approved effective the date of the Commission’s vote. The territory being transferred is described in Attachment A of staff’s memorandum dated October 4, 2012. The resultant order should serve as HWW’s Certificate No. 522-W and be retained. The Utility’s existing rates and charges should remain in effect until a change is authorized by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding. The tariff pages reflecting the transfer should be effective on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), Florida Administrative Code, (F.A.C.). HWW should be responsible for the Utility’s annual reports and RAFs for 2012 and future years.

APPROVED

RECEIVED-FPSC
12 OCT 16 AM 10:36
COMMISSION
CLERK

COMMISSIONERS All Commissioners

ASSIGNED:

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures in the majority column.

Blank lines in the dissenting column.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07027 OCT 16 12

Vote Sheet

October 16, 2012

Docket No. 120148-WU – Application for approval of transfer of Harbor Hills Utility, L.P. water system and Certificate No. 522-W in Lake County to Harbor Waterworks, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 2:** What is the net book value of the Harbor Hills water system for transfer purposes?

**Recommendation:** The net book value of the Harbor Hills water system for transfer purposes is \$497,925, as of February 28, 2012. Within 30 days of the date of the final order, HWW should be required to provide general ledgers which show its books have been updated to reflect the Commission-approved balances as of February 28, 2012, along with a statement that the adjustments will be reflected in the Utility's 2012 annual report.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 3:** Should HWW's request to change from a bi-monthly to a monthly billing cycle be approved?

**Recommendation:** Yes, the request to change from a bi-monthly to a monthly billing cycle should be approved. HWW should be required to bill on a monthly basis until authorized to change the billing cycle by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding. HWW should be required to file a proposed customer notice to reflect the monthly billing cycle for the water system. The monthly billing cycle should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date of the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the monthly billing cycle should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. HWW should provide proof of the date notice was given within ten days after the date of the notice.

**APPROVED**

Vote Sheet

October 16, 2012

Docket No. 120148-WU – Application for approval of transfer of Harbor Hills Utility, L.P. water system and Certificate No. 522-W in Lake County to Harbor Waterworks, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 4:** Should HWW's proposed returned check charge be approved?

**Recommendation:** Yes. HWW's proposed returned check charge should be approved. HWW should be required to file a proposed customer notice to reflect the approved charge. The returned check charge should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date of the tariff sheet, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the charge should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. HWW should provide proof of the date notice was given within ten days after the date of the notice. HWW should be required to charge the approved charge until a change is authorized by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 5:** Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation:** Yes. If no protest to the proposed agency action issues are filed by a substantially affected person within 21 days of the date of the order, the docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

**APPROVED**