BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: NUCLEAR COST RECOVERY

CLAUSE

Docket No. 120009-EI

Submitted for Filing: October 25, 2012 🕰

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S ELEVENTH REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION REGARDING PORTIONS OF THE REVIEW OF PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S PROJECT MANAGEMENT INTERNAL CONTROLS FOR NUCLEAR PLANT UPRATE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS **AUDIT WORK PAPERS**

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF" or the "Company"), pursuant to Sections 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006(3), Florida Administrative Code, requests confidential classification of portions of the final work papers of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff ("Staff") Auditors, the Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Project Management Internal Controls for Nuclear Plant Uprate and Construction Project Audit Work Papers (the "Work Papers"). The Work Papers contains confidential contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's competitive business interests and violate PEF's confidentiality agreements with third parties, information gleaned from internal risk analyses and on-going negotiations with vendors and other financial information the disclosure of which would impair the Company's competitive business interests. Accordingly these portions of the Work Papers meet the definition of proprietary confidential business information per section 366.093(3), Florida Statutes. An unredacted copy of the Work Papers is being filed under seal with the petitive business information in those

		- •	_
COM	Commission on a confiden	tial basis to keep	the compe
APA ECO ENG GCL	documents confidential.	notice of in	nfidentiality tent confidentiality
IDM		filed by OP	C
CLK _		For DN <u>0723</u> is in locked storag authorized to view	e. You must ha

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07238 OCT24 º

BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Section 366.093(1), Florida Statutes, provides that "any records received by the Commission which are shown and found by the Commission to be proprietary confidential business information shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from [the Public Records Act]." Proprietary confidential business information means information that is (i) intended to be and is treated as private confidential information by the Company, (ii) because disclosure of the information would cause harm, (iii) either to the Company's ratepayers or the Company's business operation, and (iv) the information has not been voluntarily disclosed to the public. § 366.093(3), Fla. Stat. Specifically, "information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms" is defined as proprietary confidential business information. § 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additionally, that statute defines "[i]nternal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors," and "information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of the information," as proprietary confidential business information. §§ 366.093(3)(b) & (e), Fla. Stat.

Portions of the Work Papers should be afforded confidential classification for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of John Elnitsky filed in support of PEF's Request, and for the following reasons.

Specifically, related to the sections of the Work Papers covering the Levy Nuclear Project ("LNP"), portions of the Work Papers contain confidential contractual data, including pricing agreements and other confidential contractual financial terms regarding long-lead equipment, the release of which would impair PEF's competitive business interests, and would further be a violation of the PEF's confidentiality agreements. See Affidavit of Elnitsky, ¶ 4.

The Work Papers contains information related to work authorization contractual amendments and other contractual data that is subject to confidentiality agreements between PEF and the other contracting parties. PEF negotiates each of its contracts to obtain the most competitive terms available to benefit PEF and its ratepayers. In order to successfully obtain such contracts, however, PEF must be able to assure the other parties to the contracts that the sensitive business information contained therein, such as quantity and pricing terms, will remain confidential. The public disclosure of this information would allow other parties to discover how the Company analyzes risk options, scheduling, and cost, and would impair PEF's ability to contract for such goods and services on competitive and favorable terms. See Affidavit of Elnitsky, ¶¶ 4-5.

Portions of the Work Papers reflect details regarding risk analyses and on-going negotiations. This material includes proprietary confidential information that is not disseminated outside of Senior Management and other employees who need the information to perform their jobs. The information includes, among other things, risk analyses, analyses of the various options PEF has confronted, as well as confidential contractual terms. If such information was disclosed to PEF's competitors and/or other potential suppliers, PEF's efforts to obtain competitive nuclear equipment and service options that provide economic value to both the Company and its customers could be compromised by the Company's competitors and/or suppliers changing their offers, consumption, or purchasing behavior within the relevant markets. PEF has kept confidential and has not publicly disclosed the proprietary terms and provisions at issue here. Absent such measures, PEF would run the risk that sensitive business information regarding what it is willing to pay for certain goods and services, as well as what the Company is willing to accept as payment for certain goods and/or services, would be made available to the

public and, as a result, other potential suppliers, vendors, and/or purchasers of such services could change their position in future negotiations with PEF. Without PEF's measures to maintain the confidentiality of sensitive terms in these contracts, the Company's efforts to obtain competitive contracts would be undermined. In addition, by the terms of these contracts, all parties, including PEF, have agreed to protect the proprietary and confidential information, defined to include pricing arrangements, from public disclosure. Affidavit of Elnitsky, ¶¶ 4-6.

Upon receipt of this confidential information, strict procedures are established and followed to maintain the confidentiality of the information provided, including restricting access to those persons who need the information to assist the Company. At no time since receiving the information in question has the Company publicly disclosed that information. The Company has treated and continues to treat the information at issue as confidential. Affidavit of Elnitsky, ¶ 6.

CONCLUSION

The competitive, confidential information at issue in this Request fits the statutory definition of proprietary confidential business information under Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, and that information should be afforded confidential classification. In support of this Request, PEF has enclosed the following:

(1) A separate, sealed envelope containing one copy of the confidential Appendix A to PEF's Request for Confidential Classification for which PEF has requested confidential classification with the appropriate section, pages, or lines containing the confidential information highlighted. This information should be accorded confidential treatment pending a decision on PEF's Request by the Florida Public Service Commission;

- (2) Two copies of the document with the information for which PEF has requested confidential classification redacted by section, page or lines, where appropriate, as Appendix B; and,
- (3) A justification matrix supporting PEF's Request for Confidential Classification of the highlighted information contained in confidential Appendix A, as Appendix C.

WHEREFORE, PEF respectfully requests that the redacted portions of the Work Papers be classified as confidential for the reasons set forth above.

Respectfully submitted this 25TH day of October, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

John T. Burnett

Deputy General Counsel

Dianne M. Triplett

Associate General Counsel

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.

Post Office Box 14042

St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Telephone:

(727) 820-5587

Facsimile:

(727) 820-5519

James Michael Walls Florida Bar No. 0706242

Blaise N. Gamba

Florida Bar No. 0027942

Matthew R. Bernier

Florida Bar No. 0059886

CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.

Post Office Box 3239

Tampa, FL 33601-3239

Telephone:

(813) 223-7000

Facsimile:

(813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to counsel and parties of record as indicated below via electronic and U.S. Mail this 25 day of October, 2012.

Keino Young Michael Lawson Staff Attorney Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee 32399

Phone: (850) 413-6218 Facsimile: (850) 413-6184 Email: kyoung@psc.fl.state.us

mlawson@psc.fl.state.us

Vicki G. Kaufman Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828

Fax: (850) 681-8788

Email: vkaufman@moylelaw.com imoyle@moylelaw.com

Capt. Samuel Miller USAF/AFLOA/JACL/ULFSC 139 Barnes Drive, Ste. 1 Tyndall AFB, Fl 32403-5319 Phone: (850) 283-6663

Fax: (850) 283-6219

Email: Samuel.Miller@Tyndall.af.mil

Charles Rehwinkel **Associate Counsel** Erik Sayler Associate Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street **Room 812**

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Phone: (850) 488-9330

Email: rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us Sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us

Bryan S. Anderson Jessica Cano Florida Power & Light 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 Phone: (561) 691-7101 Facsimile: (561) 691-7135 Email: bryan.anderson@fpl.com Jessica.cano@fpl.com

Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power & Light 215 South Monroe St., Ste. 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858 Phone: (850) 521-3919 Fax: (850) 521-3939

Email: Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com

Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr.
Progress Energy Florida, Inc.
106 East College Avenue, Ste. 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740

Phone: (850) 222-8738 Facsimile: (850) 222-9768

Email: paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia c/o Gardner Law Firm 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 Email: schef@gbwlegal.com

Gary A. Davis
James S. Whitlock
Davis & Whitlock, P.C.
61 North Andrews Avenue
P.O. Box 649
Hot Springs, NC 28743
gadavis@enviroattorney.com
jwhitlock@environattorney.com

James W. Brew F. Alvin Taylor Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson St NW 8th FL West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201 Phone: (202) 342-0800

Phone: (202) 342-0800 Fax: (202) 342-0807

Email: jbrew@bbrslaw.com ataylor@bbrslaw.com

Randy B. Miller
White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.
PO Box 300
White Springs, FL 32096
Email: RMiller@pscphosphate.com
(via email only)

Robert H. Smith 11340 Heron Bay Blvd. Coral Spring, FL 33076 Email: rpjrb@yahoo.com (via email only)

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA In re: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Docket 120009-EI Eleventh Request for Confidential Classification

Exhibit B

MACTED

COM	
(FD)	
APA	
ECO	
ENG	
GCL	
1 DM	
TEL	
CLK	

-Final Decision expected 2012

- -Actions to address Fukushima:
 - -Seismic update
 - -Extended loss of power
 - -Spent fuel
 - -Staffing level
 - -caused Mandatory hearing to be delayed 5-6 months.
 - -Categories are tiered 1, 2, and 3
 - -Seismic not a problem
 - -AP1000 well positioned

2012/2013 Key Activities:

- -COL expected to be issued April 2013
 - -Resoultion of Fukushima
 - -Contested and Mandatory Ahearing
- -COL Maintenance
- -Environmental
- -Conduct transmission studies
- -Lessons learned

EPC & Fuels Contract Status (Leigh):

- -Responsible for project control
- -Responsible for estimate
- -Responsible for Scheduling
- -Responsible for cost engineering

Levy – Estimate/Schedule:

- -EPC contract remains in partial suspension
- -Fuel contract canceled in December 2011
 - -Good time to cancel fuels agreement.

Long Lead Equp Status:

- -No manufacturing currently going on LLE
- -Each vendor discussed separately as part of EPC
- -No LLE PO expected earlier than 2013
- -Once schedule agreeed on, will be "change order" for LLE schedule
- -2012
- -2013
- -2024-2025-In-service date

Joint owner update:

-Joint venture meeting to be scheduled following SMC review and approval of 2012 IPP update. Anticipated on 4/23/12

Q/A Oversight

- -Mangiarotti and SPX COPES Vulcan
 - -Sub-vendors to westinghouse
 - -led to stop work order (more associated with vogle and summer)

- -Mangiarotti June 2010 and December 2010 (stop work)
- -SPX- February 2011
- -Four NUPIC audits performed (all vendor oversight)
- -Levy Internal audit discovered finding in Q/A records-Issue has been resolved
- -CH2M Hill audit-two minor findings related to software for Q/A
- -2012 planned activities:
 - -NUPIC auidt planned
 - -Westinghouse and Shaw-Limited scope
 - -Westinghouse and Shaw-Full scope
 - -Worley Parscons-S&C-Full scope 2012
 - -Levy project internal audit 2012-2013
 - -Done Sept/Oct timeframe

Tripp: Dr-1 What triggered rivision?

Bob: Rev 3 2011. Rev 4 submitted in response to 4 & 5 and in resposne to Fukushima

Tripp: Fukushima have significant impact?

Bob: Less than hearing costs preparation.

Tripp: ACRS?

Bob: NRC staff 15 on ACRS committee. Work for Commissioners. We (PEF) present our license.

Trip: Parcels of land?

Bob: Budgeted for yr, but haven't spent Tripp: Does shift in schedule affect purchases?

Bob: Going to pursue with ones in agreement with. Land obligations with state tied with easements until

receipt of COL. Trip: AP1000?

Van: Trying to keep group together. Tripp: update to the 2024 2025?

Leigh: 2011 was update. Look at bottom-line—was the same for 2024-2025. We took base contracts.

Tripp: Design certification:

Van: Westinghouse still hammering out detail but no other handles. Will have to respond to Fukushima.

Tripp: Dr-5. Where the company is regarding ARIs received with NRC?

Van: All ARIs pre-Fukushima have been issued. 1 RAI left related to Fukushima.

Tripp: All RAIs have been closed?

Van: Yes

Tripp: Get a lot of RAIs on environmental? Bob: meeting next week for permitting. Tripp: Risk Feb 15 report-Non-Cola

Tripp: DR-7, Where is project in terms with moving forward with negotiation with

Westinghouse.

John: Haven't started. Won't move forward with negotiations until IPP.

Tripp: Is there a time requirement.

John:

Tripp: What does common view as options when looking at EPC?				
Tripp: How does Levy aspect play into settlement?				
John: Recognize need to establish price certainty. From levy: gives flexibility to focus on license and				
flexibility to cancel contract. Customer reflect on their rates. Reporting and requirements still done the				
way its always done.				
Tripp: Settlement discuss shift?				
John: No-Settlement doesn't drive program record.				
Tripp: If SMC approves shiftwhen will your group deal with timeline?				
John: Basically shift every 4 years. 2016work with contract to make it work. SMC decision should be				
included in May filing. Intentionally move IPP to later in the year.				
Tripp: Current draft to be presented—reflect change in project cost?				
Leigh: Rolled other estimates in new one. Escalating factors change for EPC. Assumptions for transmission.				
Pulled a new number and a range of numbers. A minimum and a maxium. Estimate not signed-off yet. New				
\$18,846 (2024 in-service date) compared to 17.6 last year.				
John: Feasibility study is still in progress. CPVV is one element we look at?				
Tripp: IPP?				
John: Company wide process to bridge IPP				
Tripp: Program execution plan-Discuss				
Leigh: Talks about project at a level. Framework to grow overall project. Other execution plan behind it.				
John: layers of framework of how going to run things. Levy is a collection of projects. Execution plan				
will have to be changed to comply with new in-service dates.				
Tripp: Long-lead items				
T_{cons} D_{cons} D_{cons} D_{cons} D_{cons} D_{cons}				
Tripp: Progress have Q/A of stored inventory?				
Van: Yes-Progess can visit sites and inspection reports				
Tripp: RAIs for COLAs specific for plants?				
Lewis: Yes, track by plant.				
(2) Conducional				
(3) Conclusions:				
(4) Date Request(s) Generated:				
No				
No No				
NO				
(5) Follow-up Required:				
(a) I aman up maquitau.				
Project Manager				

ATTACHMENT C

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET 120009-EI Eleventh Request for Confidential Classification Confidentiality Justification Matrix

DOCUMENT Performance Analysis	PAGE/LINE/ COLUMN Page 166, 4 th paragraph,	JUSTIFICATION §366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.
Audits\Nuclear Controls Review 2012\PEF\3.0 Workpapers\3.5 Interview Summaries\3.52 LNP interview	last line; 5 th paragraph, 4 th line, all words except first two, 5 th line, all words except first one; Page 167, 16 th line on page, fourth through eleventh words, 20 th line on page, fourth and fifth words, Line 37, 38 and 39 in their entirety, Last line on page, last four words; Page 168, 2 nd and 3 rd lines in their entirety, Lines 25 through 28 in their entirety	The document portions in question contain confidential contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. §366.093(3)(e), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential information relating to competitive business interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider/owner of the information.

1