

RECEIVED-FPSC

13 FEB -6 AM 10: 37

COMMISSION CLERK

December 4, 2012

John Slemkewicz c/o Ann Cole Commission Clerk Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: FPSC E-service of Document No. 07805-12 in Docket 110303-OT

Dear Ms. Cole:

Attached is the response to Rule 25-6.0131.F.A.C.-Survey Questions. If you have any questions or need additional information, please don't hesitate to contact me at 352-569-9540.

Sincerely.

T.J. Purser

Director, Accounting & Finance

TJP/jr

Enc.

Mease Sean W

A Touchstone Energy Cooperative X

Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C. -Survey Ouestions

The following survey questions apply to Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C, Regulatory Assessment Fees. The Company's response data to these survey questions should be provided for the entire rule, unless the response data is available by rule section, in which case we request the response data be provided by rule section. Please present data in annualized format, if possible, and all cost or benefit dollar estimates should be stated in nominal terms. Please indicate whether the data is actual or projected. Relevant definitions are attached.

- 1. What are the Company's estimated transactional costs (as defined in Subparagraph 120.541(2)(d), F.S.) resulting from the Company's compliance with Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?
 - Setting DSM goals. SECO goals are developed in conjunction with Seminole and there is no direct cost to us.
 - 2. Developing a DSM plan. SECO plans are developed in conjunction with Seminole and there is no direct cost to us.
 - Implementing our current load management program is projected to cost \$3.018 million over the 5 year period.
 - 4. The cost of providing reports over the 5 year period is projected to be less than \$10 thousand.
 - a. Please identify regulatory assessment fees separately from all other transactional costs required to comply with the rule.
 - The 5 year estimate of regulatory assessment fees is \$258 thousand.
- 2. Of the costs provided in response to question 1 above, which, if any, would be incurred by the Company if Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., were not in effect?
 - \$765 Thousand
- 3. What is the Company's estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small businesses (as defined by Section <u>288.703</u>, F.S.) located in the Company's service territory, resulting from the implementation of 25-6.0131, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?
 - Unknown
- 4. What is the Company's estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small counties and small cities (as defined in Section 120.52, F.S.) located in the Company's service territory, resulting from the implementation of 25-6.0131, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?
 - Unknown

- 5. What is the Company's estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on entities located in the Company's service territory other than those specifically identified in questions 3 and 4, resulting from the implementation of 25-6.0131, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?
 - We are unable to determine how much of the benefit specifically applies to the entities in questions 3 and 4.
 - The total benefit of our load management incentive payments is estimated at \$3.789 million dollars for the 5 year period.
 - Photovoltaic payments to consumers over the 5 year period is estimated to be \$198 thousand.
- 6. What does the Company believe is the expected impact of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., on economic growth, private sector job creation or employment, and private sector investment for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011 in the Company's service territory?
 - Unknown
- 7. What does the Company believe is the expected impact of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., on business competitiveness, productivity, and innovation, including the ability of persons doing business in the Company's service territory to compete with persons doing business in states other than Florida or other domestic markets for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?
 - Reducing demand lowers the overall consumer cost.
 - The consumers who choose to be in the load management program also experience lower electric costs during the load reduction periods.
- 8. What does the Company believe are the benefits of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C.?
 - We do not believe that any competitive benefits result from the rule. The regulatory assessment fee adds to the total cost of service resulting in increased costs for the consumer.

TED PURSER
DIRECTOR - A & F

SELO ENERGY

PO BOX 301

SUMPERVILLE, FL 33585

Muner