

VOTE SHEET

May 14, 2013

Docket No. 130067-WU – Application for grandfather certificate to operate water utility in Charlotte County by Bocilla Utilities, Inc.

Issue 1: Should Bocilla Utilities, Inc.'s application for a grandfather water certificate in Charlotte County be acknowledged?

Recommendation: Yes. Bocilla's application should be acknowledged and the Utility should be issued Certificate No. 662-W, effective February 12, 2013, to serve the territory described in Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated May 2, 2013. The resultant order should serve as Bocilla's certificate and should be retained by the Utility.

APPROVED

RECEIVED-FPSC
13 MAY 14 PM 3:45
COMMISSION
CLERK

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in majority column]

[Empty lines in dissenting column]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02656 MAY 14 2013

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Vote Sheet

May 14, 2013

Docket No. 130067-WU – Application for grandfather certificate to operate water utility in Charlotte County by Bocilla Utilities, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: What rates and charges should be approved for Bocilla Utilities, Inc.?

Recommendation: The Utility's rates and charges that were in effect when Charlotte County transferred jurisdiction to the Commission, shown on Schedule No. 1 of staff's memorandum dated May 2, 2013, excluding miscellaneous service charges, non-sufficient funds (NSF) fees, and meter test deposits should be approved. The rates and charges should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. The Utility should be required to charge the approved rates and charges until authorized to change them by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should Bocilla be authorized to collect meter test deposits, and, if so, what are the appropriate deposits?

Recommendation: Yes. The Utility should be required to file revised tariffs to reflect meter test deposits consistent with Rule 25-30.266(2)(a), F.A.C. The revised tariffs should be approved upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If revised tariffs are filed and approved, the meter test deposits should be effective on or after the stamped approval date on the revised tariffs, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C., if no protest is filed and provided customers have been noticed. The Utility should be required to charge the approved meter test deposit until authorized to change them by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should Bocilla be authorized to collect NSF fees, and, if so, what are the appropriate fees?

Recommendation: Yes. The Utility should be required to file revised tariffs to reflect NSF fees as set forth in Sections 68.065 and 832.08(5), F.S. The revised tariffs should be approved upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If revised tariffs are filed and approved, the NSF fees should be effective on or after the stamped approval date on the revised tariffs, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C., if no protest is filed and provided customers have been noticed. The Utility should be required to charge the approved NSF fees until authorized to change them by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

May 14, 2013

Docket No. 130067-WU – Application for grandfather certificate to operate water utility in Charlotte County by Bocilla Utilities, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 5: Should Bocilla be authorized to collect miscellaneous service charges, and, if so, what are the appropriate charges?

Recommendation: Yes. The Utility should be required to file revised tariffs to reflect miscellaneous service charges of \$25 during normal hours and \$50 after hours as shown on Schedule No. 1, page 2 of 2 of staff's memorandum dated May 2, 2013. The revised tariffs should be approved upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. If revised tariffs are filed and approved, the miscellaneous service charges should be effective on or after the stamped approval date on the revised tariffs, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C., if no protest is filed and provided customers have been noticed. The Utility should be required to charge the approved miscellaneous service charges until authorized to change them by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Issue 6: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. The certification portion of this recommendation will become final agency action upon the Commission's vote. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action portion of this recommendation, Issues 3, 4, and 5, files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, a consummating order should be issued. The docket should remain open for staff's verification that the revised tariff sheets have been filed by the Utility and approved by staff. Once this action is complete, this docket should be closed administratively.

APPROVED