## FILED DEC 13, 2013 DOCUMENT NO. 07428-13 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 In the Matter of: DOCKET NO. UNDOCKETED 4 LIFELINE WORKING GROUP 5 MEETING. 6 7 8 PROCEEDINGS: WORKSHOP 9 TAKEN AT THE INSTANCE OF: The Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission 10 11 Thursday, December 5, 2013 DATE: 12 Commenced at 10:03 a.m. TIME: Concluded at 10:53 a.m. 13 Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: 14 Hearing Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way 15 Tallahassee, Florida 16 REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter 17 (850) 413-6734 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	PARTICIPATING (via telephone):
2	Susan Fennell- NEFCOM Debbie Finley - NEFCOM
3	Louvinia Holton - NEFCOM
4	Wesley Norman - NEFCOM Robin Enkey - Budget PrePay, Inc.
5	Lakisha Taylor - Budget PrePay, Inc. J.D. Johnson - DCF Vijay Muniswami - DCF
6	Jay Bradbury - Cox Communications
7	Leslie McLaughlin - Cox Communications Jerry Levis - Cox Communications Faye Sprull - Cox Communications
8	Alexandria Davis - Cox Communications Rhonda Thomas - T-Mobile
9	Ryan Taylor - FairPoint Ann Morrison - FairPoint
10	Tim Loken - Windstream Kristen Boon - ITS Telecommunications, Inc.
11	Stephen Athanson - TracFone/SafeLink Jorge Chamizo - TracFone/SafeLink
12	Jennifer Lautenschleger - TDS Melissa Marks - Wide Open West (Formerly Knology)
13	Jody Williams - Windstream Communications
14	PARTICIPATING (in person):
15	De O'Roark - Verizon Becki Edmonston - Verizon
16	Susan Berlin - Sprint/Assurance Wireless Marsha Rule - Virgin Mobile/Assurance Wireless
17	Greg Follensbee - AT&T Tracy Hatch - AT&T
18	Tom McCabe - TDS David Konuch - FCTA
19	Adam Teitzman - PSC Susan Berlin - Assurance Wireless
20	Harvey Spears - CenturyLink Susan Masterton - CenturyLink
21	Christie Pontis - CenturyLink Lisa Steffens - OPC
22	Catherine Beard - PSC
23	Curtis Williams - PSC  Beth Salak - PSC  Adam Maitaman DSC
24	Adam Teitzman - PSC Bob Casey - PSC
25	Benjamin Legaspi - PSC

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. CASEY: Well, good morning, everybody.
3	I'd like to welcome you to the meeting of the Lifeline
4	Work Group. We'll go ahead and take appearances in just
5	a minute. Well, we can start. We can go around the
6	table here, and please state your name and the company
7	you'll be representing so the court reporter can get it
8	down. And then after we do the ones here, we'll do the
9	ones on the phone.
10	MR. McCABE: Tom McCabe with TDS Telecom.
11	MR. SPEARS: Harvey Spears with CenturyLink.
12	MS. PONTIS: Christie Pontis, CenturyLink.
13	MS. MASTERTON: Susan Masterton, CenturyLink.
14	MR. O'ROARK: De O'Roark with Verizon.
15	MS. BERLIN: Susan Berlin with Sprint on
16	behalf of Virgin Mobile and Assurance Wireless.
17	MR. HATCH: Tracy Hatch with AT&T.
18	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Greg Follensbee with AT&T
19	Florida.
20	MR. KONUCH: Dave Konuch, FCTA.

22

23

24

25

MS. BEARD: Catherine Beard, PSC.

MS. SALAK: Beth Salak, PSC staff.

MR. TEITZMAN: Adam Teitzman with staff.

MR. CASEY: I'm Bob Casey with staff.

MR. WILLIAMS: Curtis Williams with the PSC

1	staff.
2	MS. STEFFENS: Lisa Steffens, Office of Public
3	Counsel.
4	MS. RULE: Marsha Rule, Rutledge, Ecenia for
5	Virgin.
6	MS. JOHNSON: J. D. Johnson with Department of
7	Children and Families.
8	MR. CASEY: Okay. Could you repeat who's with
9	the Department of Children and Families?
10	MS. JOHNSON: J. D. Johnson.
11	MR. CASEY: Thank you. Who else do we have on
12	the
13	MS. SPRULL: Faye Sprull with Cox Faye
14	Sprull with Cox Communications.
15	MR. CASEY: Could you spell
16	MS. ENKEY: Robin Enkey and Lakisha Taylor
17	with Budget PrePay.
18	MR. CASEY: Who else do we have on the phone?
19	MR. BRADBURY: Jay Bradbury with Cox
20	Communications.
21	MR. CHAMIZO: Jorge Chamizo with TracFone
22	Wireless. Good morning.
23	MR. ANTHANSON: Stephen Anthanson, TracFone
24	Wireless.
25	MR. MUNISWAMI: Vijay Muniswami, DCF IT.

1	MS. BOON: Kristen Boon with ITS
2	Telecommunications.
3	MS. FENNELL: Susan Fennell with NEFCOM.
4	MS. THOMAS: Rhonda Thomas with T-Mobile.
5	MS. MORRISON: Ann Morrison with FairPoint.
6	MR. TAYLOR: Ryan Taylor with FairPoint.
7	MR. CASEY: Anyone else on the line?
8	What I'm going to ask is anybody participating
9	by phone, could you please send me an email with your
10	name and the company you're representing so we can make
11	sure to get it in the get it for the court reporter.
12	Thank you.
13	There's four issues we'd like to talk go
14	ahead.
15	SPEAKER: I'm sorry. Can you repeat what your
16	name is, please, so we can make sure we send the email
17	to the correct person?
18	MR. CASEY: Right. This is Bob Casey at the
19	PSC. That's Bob Casey, C-A-S-E-Y. My email is
20	Bcasey@psc.state.fl.us.
21	SPEAKER: Thank you.
22	MR. CASEY: That way I'll make sure everybody
23	gets on the list that's participating.
24	We have four items we'd like to discuss today.
25	The first item consists of the Florida Department of

Children and Families interface.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0 21

22

23

24

25

To give you just a little background, on February 6th of last year, as everybody knows, the FCC Lifeline Reform Order was issued. In one of those paragraphs, paragraph 98, it says, "We first amend Section 54.410 of the Commission's rules to require all ETCs, prior to enrolling a new subscriber in Lifeline, to access state or federal social services eligibility databases, where available, to determine a consumer's program-based eligibility." And, of course, that paragraph, that sentence followed through into the federal rules.

On September 13th, TracFone made an ex parte filing with the FCC in response to a request for a status update on how they're coming with state databases in Lifeline. TracFone included a fact sheet which identified on a state-specific basis the states where access to databases are available, when such access became available, access costs, and the Lifeline qualifying programs included in each state's database. Now the fact sheet lists Florida as having 25 ETCs at that time, with only three ETCs or 12% of all Florida ETCs utilizing the database.

Eleven days after that filing, the FCC called us asking us for a conference call. And, of course, we

name.

thought it was going to be about the interim waiver that was in effect. We found out otherwise. They asked us why Florida ETCs are not on this, using this state database, this portal over at DCF for eligibility. And, of course, we gave them a number of theories: That they were coming out with a national database, and companies didn't want to go to the state database if a federal database is coming out. We said, "Well, some companies think it's going to cost too much. The smaller companies can't afford it." And the FCC's reply was basically, "It's the law. They have to do it. No ifs, ands, or buts."

And we're kind of in the middle here. The FCC is getting on us because the ETCs have not enrolled in the portal with DCF. And basically we want to know why. You know, why aren't the ETCs doing it? And that's going to be the first question here. What is each ETC's plan and timetable for obtaining access to the DCF portal?

Who wants to start?

MR. HATCH: Why is everybody looking at me?

MS. SALAK: You're on a corner.

MR. CASEY: And please, please state your

MR. HATCH: This is Tracy Hatch with AT&T.

2.0

The rule says what it says, but I would direct you to the beginning of the rule. There's a statement in the very beginning of (c) where it says, "Initial program-based eligibility determination," which is under 410 -- or it's 410(c). It says, "Except in states where a state Lifeline administrator or other state agency is responsible for the initial determination."

And so if you take that statement, then the "must use the portal" doesn't apply because then that drops you over into (2), which is where there isn't a state doing the initial determination. We basically are operating under the assumption that you guys are the agency doing the initial determination because you do it, you scrub it, you send it to us as essentially whoever is on list that we get from you has been determined to be eligible.

Now, granted, the way this rule is structured doesn't cover all possible scenarios, and so you end up in this hybrid gray area where what applies and what doesn't. But that "except" language, if you accept the fact that you're the administrator doing the initial determination, basically takes us out of having to use the portal. It doesn't say we can't obviously for whatever reason, but it doesn't indicate that we have to use the portal. And I'm not sure in the context of the

1	conversation with the FCC why they're thinking we should
2	have to do the portal other than perhaps they're not
3	looking at all possible combinations of what behaviors
4	the ETCs are doing.
5	MR. CASEY: Believe me, we have talked to the
6	FCC a number of times about the two different situations
7	at DCF.
8	MR. HATCH: Yeah.
9	MR. CASEY: The initial one where people go
10	through and apply for one of their programs and ask for
11	Lifeline, and then this portal instance.
12	MR. HATCH: Right.
13	MR. CASEY: And we separated them and told
14	them that. And they believe that the portal should be
15	used by all ETCs that are not that don't get
16	applications from DCF applications.
17	MR. HATCH: I understand. That's not what the
18	rule says.
19	MR. CASEY: Okay. That's just an
20	interpretation.
21	MR. HATCH: No, I understand.
22	MR. CASEY: Right.
23	MS. SALAK: Have you presented that
24	interpretation to the FCC?
25	MR. HATCH: I don't know. Well, the problem

is really -- I don't know if any other state has -- or whether our FCC folks have talked to them about this. I just don't know. But our folks seemed a little caught short when all of the sudden you're asking the hard question "Why aren't you using the portal?" And the answer was, "We didn't know we needed to." So I'm assuming they haven't, but I haven't asked them that question.

MR. CASEY: And there's copies of that

TracFone filing over here, if anybody needs it, which

lists different states and the number of ETCs they have

and the number participating in the portals on there.

MR. ANTHANSON: This is Stephen Athanson at TracFone. I would caution -- you know, in examining that table, we've had to make several factual corrections to the numbers, and at this point we really cannot stand behind the numbers of ETCs using the databases in the various states. The table was really not adequately researched before it was submitted. So I would add that caution. We have had to make at least two corrections to the FCC about numbers that were contained in that table.

MR. CASEY: Right. Thank you. And for -we're just using this table for Florida. And it had 25
ETCs, which was correct at the time, and three ETCs

1	using the portal or using the DCF portal, which was
2	correct.
3	MR. ANTHANSON: Okay.
4	MR. CASEY: But the other, the other states, I
5	realized you did some additional filings to correct
6	that.
7	MR. ANTHANSON: That's correct.
8	MR. CASEY: What company wants to go next?
9	MR. McCABE: I'll go next because it'll be
10	quick. I just echo Tracy's comments.
11	MR. CASEY: Your name and company?
12	MR. McCABE: Oh, I'm sorry. Tom McCabe. I'll
13	just echo Tracy's comments.
14	MR. SPEARS: What company are you with?
15	MR. McCABE: With TDS Telecom.
16	MS. SALAK: Your the part that said that
17	you were caught unaware or the part that says you agree
18	with his interpretation?
19	MR. McCABE: Unaware.
20	MS. SALAK: And so you haven't taken a look at
21	it at all?
22	MR. McCABE: (Nods negatively.)
23	MS. SALAK: And I assume that the FCC has
24	contacted no one except TracFone, you obviously had a
25	conversation. But no one else has had a conversation

with the FCC?

MS. MASTERTON: I mean, I can say that our federal people have not had, have not been contacted by the FCC. We brought this issue to them. They had not heard about it before that.

MS. SALAK: Okay.

MS. MASTERTON: This is Susan Masterton with CenturyLink.

MR. HATCH: I assume they haven't come to us about this issue; otherwise, I probably would have heard about it.

MS. SALAK: Okay.

MR. CASEY: What I think is happening is

TracFone is very proactive with the state databases.

They've been around to different states trying to
encourage them to use or create state databases. And
the FCC was actually asking for a status update -- how
are you doing on this -- and that's, that was the result
of, the filing was a result of that.

MS. MASTERTON: But TracFone put that information together? In other words, it didn't come from, you know, the Commission. It was TracFone did that research?

MR. CASEY: TracFone did that based on the information they had at the time. And as they said,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 2.0

21

22

23

24

25

they corrected two of the states. I believe it's two of the states.

MS. MASTERTON: I mean, I'll speak for CenturyLink. I mean, we were caught unawares and we're looking into it. We're looking into it both how to do it and what it would take for us to be able to do it, and also some of the legal issues surrounding it. And so we don't have a final answer on either one of those, but we are exploring it.

MR. O'ROARK: This is De O'Roark with Verizon. We're in very much the same boat as CenturyLink. I have not done the research on the rule or looked at the argument that Tracy just made, and I will. As you know, we've asked for contact information at DCF. The last time I checked we have not synced up with them.

I can tell you that in at least one other state, as I understand it, the way this works is once you get whatever log-in information you need, you can go to the DCF website and at least check on a onesie/ twosie (phonetic) basis, does this person qualify or not? I now understand that there may be more involved with the Florida DCF website. So we are still looking into that. Once we talk to them and get a better sense of what may be involved in making it a machine-to-machine connection, which I understand may be

required, we can get back to you on, you know, whether 1 we can do it, will do it, can do it. But right now 2 we're still investigating. 3 MS. JOHNSON: Bob Casey, this is J.D. Johnson 4 5 at DCF. MR. CASEY: Yeah, J.D. Good morning. 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: Good morning. I had a conversation with Verizon this 8 9 morning, and we have made contact, we have talked about 10 what it would take to get in, and we're going to set up a conference call in January because the young man is 11 not available at the time that we are. So we've come to 12 13 the conclusion that we should start it in January, start 14 the process and get you guys set up. 15 MR. O'ROARK: Okay. Great. Thank you. 16 MR. CASEY: What company would like to go 17 next? Step up to the plate. 18 MS. THOMAS: Hey, Bob. This is Rhonda Thomas from T-Mobile. I want to just echo the earlier 19 20 statements regarding the rule. And secondarily, we did 21 look into the database and are more than happy to look

One of the barriers prior in (inaudible) was that there was some requirement as I recall, and I'm hoping somebody can maybe speak to this, to actually

into participating in this portal again.

22

23

24

25

have the computer that would be receiving the 1 information physically located in the state of Florida. 2 And so that became a barrier for, you know, our group. 3 So I'm not sure if that barrier remains or if 4 there are other barriers. But we'll -- DCF is making 5 the portal available for all companies to connect 6 7 regardless of where their traditional operations might exist. 8 9 MR. CASEY: Okay. J.D., could you possibly 10 answer that? Did you understand the question? MS. JOHNSON: No, Paul [sic] -- no, Bob. Can 11 12 you state it for me again? It was kind of staticky. 13 MR. CASEY: Okay. It was T-Mobile. And I 14 believe what they're asking is that they found out that 15 a computer that ties into your portal has to be in the state of Florida. It can't be outside the state. 16 17 that true? 18 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Let me research that and 19 I will get back with, with you on it. 20 MR. CASEY: Okay. 21 MR. MUNISWAMI: J.D., this is Vijay from DCF. 22 I'm representing the portal utility (phonetic). 23 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 24 MR. MUNISWAMI: I am not aware of that 25 restriction was there in place because it's a web

1	service. And as long as we give you a credential and
2	you come with the credentials to access that web
3	service, we don't really see where you are coming from
4	as long as you come with the credentials. So I don't
5	know that restriction was ever in place.
6	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. I do see back in April
7	2012 they were talking to T-Mobile about an agreement.
8	I don't remember ever seeing one signed. So if I can
9	get her information, we can talk with her on the phone,
10	me and Vijay and my IT staff, and we can see what we can
11	do.
12	MR. CASEY: I tell you what, I will go ahead
13	and send you her information, her contact information.
14	Okay?
15	MS. JOHNSON: That sounds great.
16	MR. CASEY: And get you two together.
17	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Thanks.
18	MR. BRADBURY: Hey. This is Jay Bradbury with
19	Cox Communications.
20	MR. CASEY: Hey, Jay.
21	MR. BRADBURY: I'd like to follow up on the
22	there was a mention earlier that this is a
23	machine-to-machine portal. Is that an absolute
24	requirement or is this actually accessible from the web?

MR. CASEY: Could someone from DCF answer

25

that?

22

23

24

25

MR. MUNISWAMI: We don't have a portal as such. Like when you, from your system you can access our web service and you can enter specific data to give it to us and ask whether that particular client is eligible on getting a public assistance benefit. We reply back and say yes or no in our response. So it is not that you come into our portal and you enter the information and get a response. It is from -- it's machine to machine, from your application communicates to the web service, provide the request, we respond back for the request to your applications.

MR. BRADBURY: Because obviously it being a machine-to-machine API connection significantly increases the cost to a carrier to access it.

MR. CASEY: Okay. How about some other companies? Can some other companies chime in?

MR. NORMAN: Yeah. This is Wesley from We're basically in the same boat. We're a small company. And we looked into this and worked with DCF, and we just came to the conclusion that trying to interface machine to machine, until we're told it's absolutely required, is just, it's costly for us. there was an actual way to just access the portal via the web with credentials, that would make it so much

- 1		

simpler for us.

MS. SALAK: When you say it's costly -- this is Beth Salak. When you say it's costly, what range are you talking about? Are you talking about --

MR. NORMAN: I don't have a range. It's, you know, we're a smaller company, we have a small IT staff, so we don't have the in-house programmers per se. You know, we, we would just -- we'd basically have to hire somebody to set this up for us.

MR. CASEY: Okay. Virgin Mobile, you are on
the -- aren't you?

MS. BERLIN: I don't believe we are. I think we have an agreement to access but are not accessing.

MR. CASEY: Uh-huh. Okay.

MS. FENNELL: NEFCOM also has an agreement we signed in May of 2012, and we were actively pursuing this. But here again, as Wesley said, we ran into some problems.

MR. CASEY: Okay. I appreciate that information. Any information you can give me would sure help.

TracFone we know is on there. Is iWireless, is there a representative of iWireless on the phone? I believe they are also on there.

Okay. What other companies can give us some

information, some input?

MS. MORRISON: This is Ann Morrison from FairPoint. I think we're in the position where we're going to have to have conversations with DCF about, about trying to, to accomplish access. So I don't know how -- you know, I'd appreciate contact information being exchanged one way or the other so we could talk more about this.

MR. CASEY: Okay. What I'll do is send out the contact information to each of the 24 ETCs. We now have 24 ETCs in Florida. And we also have 35 waiting at the FCC. I don't know if you knew that or not. There's 35 wireless ETC petitions waiting at the FCC for approval for Florida.

How about some other input? Any other companies can help me out?

I guess not. Any other comments on this first item?

MR. McCABE: Bob, just --

MR. CASEY: Yeah.

MR. McCABE: Tom McCabe with TDS. Just out of curiosity, I mean, is -- your conversations with the FCC, is it at any cost? I mean, you're saying that, you know, companies need to get onto this portal. You know, NEFCOM mentioned that the cost is prohibitive or it's

expensive to do. I mean, I know our level of Lifeline customers, I think, have, you know, really declined quite a bit since the ETC wireless guys have gotten into, into business. I mean, if we're only getting, you know, one or two of these a month, are we going to be required to go out there and spend, you know, five, 7 ten grand for something like this? And is, and is there any alternatives out there in terms of, you know, what would we need to do to -- would we need to go to the FCC 9 to seek a waiver of this requirement or is that --

> MR. CASEY: Well, we're hoping the results of this meeting and your input given to the FCC will help them out and they can guide us a little better.

> > MR. McCABE: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASEY: Especially for the smaller companies.

MR. McCABE: Okay. Okay.

MS. SALAK: You can tell them about the idea we toyed with.

MR. CASEY: Yeah. We've actually talked about, the Lifeline group here has actually talked about -- the Lifeline group at the Commission has talked about maybe creating a, some input where an ETC could log in, just like they're doing now to return applications, they could log in to our website and then

have access to DCF where you could put in the name, 1 birth date, last four digits of the Social Security, and 2 it will pop back yes or no whether they're qualified. 3 So that's one thing that we're looking at. Of course, 4 that's in the early stages. We'd have to get a bunch of 5 approval here at the PSC too. 6 MS. SALAK: And DCF. 7 MR. CASEY: And DCF, yeah. So they could 8 9 actually come into our website, and we'd have something 10 set up where they can type in the person's name, birth date, last four digits of Social Security, and get a 11 12 response from DCF. 13 MS. SALAK: But I think that would only work for small numbers. 14 15 MR. CASEY: Right. 16 MS. SALAK: I mean, it was not meant for major 17 batches or anything. It would just be for one, two at a 18 time. 19

MR. CASEY: And as far as your question about are they going to make it -- well, the conversation we had, they were pretty explicit. They said, "It's the law. They have to do it," you know.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SALAK: We were all, the three of us were

1	on the call. They were quite adamant. They were we
2	gave cost as a reason, especially for the small
3	companies. I mean, we gave cost as a reason. We
4	gave we did just have the major discussion about,
5	well, there are two different functions. There's the
6	actual initial application and then there's the Web
7	interface, and we did have that discussion.
8	MR. HATCH: Did anybody ask the question about
9	the "except in the case of" language that's in their own
10	rule?
11	MS. SALAK: We well, no. We were not
12	expecting to talk about that, so we were
13	MR. HATCH: I mean, you didn't expect the
14	entire conversation.
15	MR. CASEY: We were kind of blindsided.
16	MS. SALAK: We did not know that would be what
17	we would be talking about.
18	MR. HATCH: I was just wondering if they even
19	related anything that would indicate their view of what
20	that rule, how the rule is structured.
21	MS. SALAK: No. Well, their, their view was
22	that that rule applied. I mean, there was, there was no
23	ifs, ands, and buts. It was
24	MS. MASTERTON: I don't really understand
25	MR. McCABE: Your process is so far, so much

farther ahead than other states that I've dealt with
that it's kind of surprising. I would have thought that
they would have been wanting to talk to you as an

example, not that you're not doing it right.

2.0

MS. SALAK: And we have had those conversations, too. I mean, don't get me wrong, they usually -- our conversations are very pleasant and it's -- they have talked to us as an example.

MR. CASEY: And they do use us as an example, and they have no problem with our systems. You know, the systems are set up. You know, we've got the original going through DCF and we've got this web services interface where you can go in and get instant verification where they're participating in a program. So they like our system, it's just that the ETCs are not using it. That's, that's what they're getting on. They're yelling at us because the ETCs aren't using it.

MS. MASTERTON: But I don't really understand
why they're telling you how --

MS. SALAK: Because --

MS. MASTERTON: What role will you play? Do you -- I don't think you certify Lifeline; right? I mean, how do they -- what do they expect you to do to make us do it? Isn't that something that's kind of between us and the FCC? That's what I don't understand.

MS. SALAK: Well, every state program has to 1 at a minimum follow everything that the FCC mandates. 2 MS. MASTERTON: Or? 3 MS. SALAK: Well, that's just --4 MS. MASTERTON: I mean, that's what I'm trying 5 to figure out. It seems like -- it's weird to me that 6 7 they came to you instead of to us, I guess, and said to us to say, "You're not accessing it." Because you don't 8 9 have any state rules or laws that require us to. You know what I'm saying? I'm just trying to figure out --10 11 MS. SALAK: No. But every -- I'm just saying 12 that my -- well, no. I mean, I don't know why. But as 13 a non-attorney, it's my understanding that we have to --MR. HATCH: But you have stayed at a Holiday 14 15 Inn. MS. SALAK: It's my understanding that at a 16 17 minimum every state program has to follow all their 18 rules. And we can go beyond them, we can add additional 19 things. 20 MS. MASTERTON: Right. 21 MS. SALAK: But you have to -- our program has 22 to follow theirs at a minimum. 23 MS. MASTERTON: Okay. I mean, I kind of 2.4 understand that. It's just --25 MS. SALAK: And if it's a requirement in their

Τ	rule, then at a minimum we have to follow that rule.
2	MR. HATCH: It just seems strange that they're
3	beating on you and their bone to pick is with us.
4	MS. MASTERTON: Yeah. Exactly. That's what
5	I'm saying.
6	MS. SALAK: I think, I think their start was
7	to talk with us. Like, what is your understanding?
8	What's going on in your state?
9	Again, we are huh?
10	MR. TEITZMAN: No. I was just going to say I
11	don't think they took into consideration any thoughts of
12	what kind of enforcement we could do about it. They
13	just wanted us to get the word out that there was an
14	issue.
15	MS. MASTERTON: Okay. Okay.
16	MS. SALAK: Right, for starters. They did ask
17	us what we're going to do about it.
18	MR. HATCH: We'll have a meeting.
19	MR. CASEY: Well, and that's the reason we're
20	here. We want, we want your input, you know.
21	MS. SALAK: Exactly.
22	MR. O'ROARK: So, Bob, you threw out the
23	possibility that the Commission might establish the
24	machine-to-machine interface with DCF.
25	MR. CASEY: Right.

MR. O'ROARK: Do you guys have any sense of 1 how long that would take, when that might happen? 2 MS. SALAK: Well, first of all, we would have 3 to discuss it with, with DCF. And we have had 4 discussions with our IT people. But we haven't -- I 5 haven't run it up the food chain here at all about doing 6 7 it, so I would need to do that. And, and then after that it would take 8 9 about --10 MR. LEGASPI: A few days. 11 MS. SALAK: A few days. 12 MR. CASEY: A few days. 13 MS. MASTERTON: Oh, really? 14 MR. FOLLENSBEE: But you already have your machine-to-machine connection to the portal. 15 16 MR. CASEY: We, we have --17 MR. FOLLENSBEE: When the application comes to 18 you under one of the three programs, you can go in and 19 determine --20 MR. CASEY: Right. They have set it up for us 21 where we could actually go in because sometimes we'll 22 get complaints and things like that that say, "I'm on 23 food stamps and they denied me." Well, I can right

24

25

there put in their name, the last four digits, and it'll

instantly pop back yes or no. So they have set it up

for us. And, of course, Benjie is here with our IT 1 folks. He's the one that set it up. 2 MR. O'ROARK: I wonder if the DCF folks have 3 any initial reaction. 4 MS. SALAK: J.D.? 5 MR. CASEY: J.D., I don't want to put you on 6 7 the spot. MS. JOHNSON: Bob, like you said, we've got to 8 9 have a conversation. 10 MS. SALAK: Exactly. 11 MR. CASEY: Right. Right. 12 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. That's, that's my own 13 initial reaction. 14 MR. CASEY: Okay. MS. SALAK: I mean, they are down sides to it, 15 too. So it's not --16 17 MS. MASTERTON: But, I mean, it does sound like it would address the issue with each company 18 19 establishing a machine-to-machine connection. MS. SALAK: Our real thought was for the small 20 21 companies, is that those who can't afford it, those 22 that -- I mean, that was our, our original concern was, 23 okay, we understand there's a cost involved. We do 24 understand that --25 MR. CASEY: Well, you know, like Tom said, if

you're only getting a couple a month, you know, something like that.

MS. SALAK: Right. Exactly. That there would be an opportunity for us to at least look at the issue and see what we could do. And, again, there were conversations among us. So then we did ask our IT support exactly, you know, what it would take.

MR. CASEY: And we are going to ask for post-workshop comments. So if the companies would, you know, include that information in the comments of why you're not signing up or why you believe you shouldn't have to sign up or different interpretations of the FCC rule, we could use all that information.

MS. SALAK: And if you could give us an estimate of how much it would cost you, that would help too actually.

MR. CASEY: Anybody else have comments on question number one or issue number one they'd like to comment on or --

MR. FOLLENSBEE: Well, the only -- the last comment you made, we probably couldn't do anything like that until January. Because we asked about trying to get through this month and they said no. They're pretty much locked down on trying to get the, our normal February release done.

MS. SALAK: Uh-huh.

MR. FOLLENSBEE: They don't have time to look at anything else. They're working on the February release with whatever we're doing across the country with our software releases. So it will be either after January -- it could be after February.

MR. O'ROARK: It sounds like we may be in the same boat since we'll be talking to DCF in January.

MS. SALAK: January. Uh-huh.

MR. O'ROARK: Of course, only then would we be able to talk to our IT folks, who I suspect, like AT&T's IT folks, are pretty busy.

MS. SALAK: I think that if there's a plan in place, that's different than saying no to do it, you know, in my mind.

MR. CASEY: Okay. Any other comments people would like to make? Your input is appreciated, believe me.

Okay. Let's go on to number two. This is another issue with the FCC. Their new rules that came out require state agencies that make initial determination of a subscriber's eligibility for Lifeline to provide each ETC with a hard copy of each of the Lifeline certifications forms.

And as you know, USTA has gotten a waiver for

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the state of Florida and some other states since this rule went into effect -- I believe it was June 1st of last year. And there's -- the temporary waiver is in effect right now until February 1st. So we're good until February 1st.

The Florida Commission has filed a petition for a permanent waiver of this rule because of the way our system is set up where you really don't need a hard copy verification of an application. If you receive an email from us saying this person is eligible for Lifeline, you know that it has gone through DCF and DCF has certified that this person is eligible.

But I just wanted to give you a status update on that. We have filed a permanent waiver. We hope to hear from the FCC, of course, before February 1st.

MS. MASTERTON: Well, for some reason our federal people thought -- this is Susan Masterton with CenturyLink -- that they'd put it out for comment and Is that not going to happen? That's what -- when all. we were talking to them about this. Do you feel like that's going to be the process, or do they do it without that?

MR. CASEY: They haven't told us anything about that.

MS. MASTERTON: Okay. I mean, I don't know.

MS. SALAK: Did they, did they put the -- the temporary ones they didn't put out for comment, did they?

MR. FOLLENSBEE: No, they did not.

I will let you know that yesterday USTA did
file additional comments on behalf of several states.
The comments for Florida basically were, "We acknowledge
that you all have asked for a permanent waiver." We've
said basically -- the USTA said basically if the
permanent waiver is granted, you have to give a grant of
the same permanent waiver to the ETCs. Just giving you
a waiver doesn't relieve us of our obligation to receive
the information. So basically it would be one-sided.
We'd still have the obligation, even though you were
relieved of providing it, we'd still have the obligation
to receive it. We told them if it's not going to be
granted, to please extend the waiver to August 1st to
give you and the FCC more time to work out whatever is
going to have to happen if they don't grant the waiver.

MS. SALAK: Uh-huh.

MR. CASEY: Now I did get a call from the FCC yesterday asking us to set up a conference call. And I asked, "Well, what about?" And --

MS. SALAK: We're getting smart now.

MR. CASEY: Yeah. It was kind of fun because

they said, "Well, some of the companies have been complaining that they're not going — they don't get the information necessary for the national duplications database." I says, "That's what you want to talk about?" And they said, "Yeah." I says, "Well, you need to reference our May 29th filing, our permanent waiver petition, because all the information that's necessary for the national duplications database is in those."

"Oh," they said, "Oh, I guess we don't need to talk to you then." I said, "Yeah." I said, "Well, if you want to talk, just call us."

But the, but the feeling that we've gotten from the FCC is that this is almost like an administrative thing, you know, the waiver. So we're hoping that that's going to happen.

MS. MASTERTON: I guess that surprises me. I haven't seen the USTA comments. I thought the waiver to y'all would apply to the program, meaning, you know --

MR. FOLLENSBEE: We weren't sure, so we asked USTA to add in there to make sure they granted the permanent waiver to the ETCs themselves --

MS. MASTERTON: Okay. All right.

MR. FOLLENSBEE: -- since they have the obligation to receive the certification for it.

MS. MASTERTON: Okay. Okay.

1	MR. FOLLENSBEE: There might have been balance
2	and suspend (phonetic). I think it was a good idea to
3	say it to make sure, you know, just in case.
4	MS. MASTERTON: No. No. I just was trying to
5	see what they thought because I didn't get until you
6	just said that I hadn't heard that yet. Okay.
7	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Well, I just saw it late
8	yesterday, so. I think we got it like at about midnight
9	last night.
10	MS. MASTERTON: Yeah. Okay. Thanks.
11	MR. SPEARS: Well, we weren't up that late
12	last night.
13	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Well, I'm sorry.
14	MR. SPEARS: Our plane got in at got home
15	at 11:00.
16	MR. FOLLENSBEE: I wasn't either.
17	MR. CASEY: But you emailed me at 6:00.
18	MR. SPEARS: This morning.
19	MR. CASEY: This morning. Yeah, you did.
20	Any other companies have any questions or
21	comments on issue number two about the hard copy
22	requirement?
23	Yes.
24	MR. FOLLENSBEE: I guess I've got a question.
25	As a contingency, have you discussed with DCF that they

1	may need to put a budget request in that could be
2	quickly thrown out for 2014/15 just in case the FCC does
3	something squirrely?
4	MR. CASEY: We have advised DCF and kept them
5	abreast of what's happening.
6	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Okay.
7	MS. SALAK: We've been very optimistic.
8	MR. FOLLENSBEE: I know you have been.
9	MR. CASEY: We've been very optimistic that
10	we're going to get the permanent waiver.
11	MS. SALAK: And you've been, I won't say
12	pessimistic, but very guarded. Is there a reason that
13	you think we won't get it?
14	MR. FOLLENSBEE: No. But who knows with the
15	FCC.
16	MR. HATCH: It's the FCC. It's a comment on
17	experience, not on the quality of your work.
18	MR. FOLLENSBEE: I think we have given up
19	trying to predict what they're going to do and when
20	they're going to do it, given some other issues we have
21	in front of them that have been lingering for a while.
22	MR. HATCH: Yeah.
23	MS. SALAK: I thought those were going to be
24	taken care of soon, too.
25	MR. FOLLENSBEE: We don't know what taken care

1	of means. You just say they're going to address it in
2	January.
3	MR. HATCH: We're hoping they will be
4	addressed.
5	MR. FOLLENSBEE: We're optimistic that they're
6	going to address it. We're pess we're cautiously
7	optimistic what they're going to ask for in January.
8	MS. EDMONSTON: Has the budget been submitted
9	already to the Legislature?
10	MR. HATCH: Agency budgets have already gone
11	in.
12	MS. EDMONSTON: I thought so.
13	MR. HATCH: It's not too late to get something
14	added, but the basic agency budgets have probably gone
15	out.
16	MR. CASEY: It's our understanding it would be
17	a major thing to have DCF have to change that.
18	MR. HATCH: I believe it.
19	MR. FOLLENSBEE: I believe it would be too.
20	MR. CASEY: Change the system, yeah.
21	MS. SALAK: Well, we didn't exactly in our
22	waiver we didn't offer it as an option.
23	MR. HATCH: As well you should not.
24	MR. CASEY: Because we've had conference calls
25	all along with the FCC about our systems and everything,

and they just finally says, "Well, just file a permanent waiver. File for a permanent waiver." I said, "Okay."

And that's what we did by November 1st.

Any other comments or questions on number two?

Okay. Let's go to number three. We're

interested to find out how the ETCs are going to perform

the 2013 recertifications for Lifeline. You know, are

they going to be in person, in writing, by phone, text

message, email, through the Internet? I know there was

an election to have USAC conduct them for 2013. I don't

know if that's still available or not.

MS. EDMONSTON: Did you -- do you mean 2014?

MR. FOLLENSBEE: You meant 2013, this year;
right?

MR. CASEY: This year. Yeah.

MR. FOLLENSBEE: Okay. AT&T did theirs by
letter. It's already been done. We chose not to use
USAC this year. We may decide to use them next year,
but that's still up in the air. So we did ours by
sending letters to all the current subscribers under
Lifeline for them to recertify, and it was completed in
October with whoever needed to get off the roll, got off
the roll, and whoever didn't, stayed on.

MS. BERLIN: Assurance Wireless is in the middle of it now, and it was done by a mailed form which

2.0

could be mailed back or faxed, by phone, and via the website. So basically anybody who needs to be recertified in this area has multiple ways to get recertified.

MR. CASEY: Has different options. Okay.

MR. O'ROARK: For Verizon we used robo calls. If the customer gets a call and can go to an IVR and recertify that way. If they wanted to talk to a live person, there was also that option. If they elected not to take or respond to the robo call, then they'd get a letter telling them a number to call. And we completed our process, I believe, in September.

MS. PONTIS: CenturyLink sent out the recertification forms in writing; it was separate from the bill. They had the option to recertify via phone or mail it in. And then we also did phone blasts, and we did not use USAC.

MR. O'ROARK: Bob, I should have said we are planning to use USAC next year.

MR. CASEY: I was wondering whether USAC has the ability to do it this year with everything they're tied up with this national duplications database, if they had the manpower.

MR. FOLLENSBEE: They did have the ability because we used them for AT&T Mobility across all of our

1	entities in the states and Puerto Rico. So they were
2	able to do it.
3	MR. CASEY: So they were able to do it?
4	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Yes.
5	MR. CASEY: Okay.
6	MR. BRADBURY: This is Jay
7	MR. CASEY: Go ahead, Jay.
8	MR. BRADBURY: Yeah. Jay Bradbury at Cox. We
9	did not have a customer base that required
10	recertification in Florida this year.
11	MR. CASEY: That makes it easy.
12	MR. BRADBURY: We did use USAC, we did use
13	USAC in our other states.
14	MR. CASEY: That makes it easy for you for
15	Florida, huh?
16	MR. BRADBURY: It was, yes.
17	MR. CASEY: Okay. Tom?
18	MS. BOON: This is Kristen from ITS Telecom,
19	and we're doing ours by letter. It's in the process
20	right now. And then the customer can come in and
21	recertify or mail back or fax back the letter, the form.
22	MR. CASEY: Thank you.
23	How about Tom?
24	MR. McCABE: TDS Telecom completed theirs on
25	November 1st We did via letter

1	MR. CASEY: Via letter?
2	MR. McCABE: Yes.
3	MR. CASEY: Okay.
4	MS. SALAK: Going to use USAC?
5	MR. McCABE: We're not sure. It may be an
6	option in the future.
7	MS. SALAK: Would anyone like to share what
8	their results were? Were they the same as last year?
9	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Do not know. Sorry.
10	MR. HATCH: Our expert had another engagement.
11	MR. CASEY: As you know, we lost a third of
12	our Lifeline customers last year because of
13	recertification; 348,000 for Florida.
14	MR. FOLLENSBEE: But if we filed our November
15	report, it would have, all these figures would have been
16	in that. Which we do every month, don't we?
17	MS. SALAK: You do it quarterly.
18	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Quarterly? Okay. Well, when
19	you get the January report for the fourth quarter, that
20	will tell you.
21	MR. CASEY: But we can go around that by
22	seeing what you file at USAC and what's reimbursed.
23	MR. FOLLENSBEE: That is true.
24	MR. CASEY: And then just divide it by 9.5.
25	That's what we usually do to get a rough idea of how

many customers you have. 1 2 What other companies can give us some information? 3 MS. FENNELL: This is Susan with NEFCOM. 4 5 did ours by mail and then we did a few follow-up phone calls. We have completed our recertification as of 6 7 November. Last year we actually lost about 200 customers when we did the recertification. 8 9 MR. CASEY: And is NEFCOM going to plan on 10 using USAC next year? MS. FENNELL: I'm not sure. We had talked 11 12 about it. I don't know that that decision has been 13 completely made, but we have talked about it. 14 MR. CASEY: Okay. Thank you. Any other companies? 15 MS. WILLIAMS: Hey. This is Jody Williams 16 17 with Windstream Communications. We have completed our recertification for Florida, and we cut off, I guess it 18 19 was a little over a thousand customers that we lost this 20 year. 21 MR. CASEY: Wow. How many did you have 22 altogether? Do you remember? 23 That's okay. We'll see the figures in the 555 24 forms. 25 MS. WILLIAMS: We started out with a little

over	5,	000	

MR. CASEY: And what method did you use for recertification?

MS. WILLIAMS: We have done a couple of different letters and call-outs to the customers.

MR. McCABE: Bob?

MR. CASEY: Yeah.

MR. McCABE: Out of approximately 600 --

MR. SPEARS: What's your name?

MR. McCABE: Tom McCabe with TDS Telecom. Out of approximately 600 we lost 162.

MR. CASEY: How about any other companies on the phone? Could we have your input?

MS. ENKEY: This is Robin Enkey with -- sorry.

MR. CASEY: Go ahead.

MS. ENKEY: This is Robin Enkey with Budget
PrePay. We're currently finalizing our recertification
efforts for the year, and we reached out by letter and
by phone. Like I say, we're finalizing those, and we'll
have the results on our 555.

MR. CASEY: Very good.

MR. MORRIS: This is Ann Morrison for FairPoint. We're in the process of recertification in Florida, and we're using, we're doing it through mail. And we did not use USAC, and we haven't made any choices

1 about next year.

2.0

MR. CASEY: Okay. Any other companies?

MS. THOMAS: This is Rhonda Thomas with T-Mobile.

MR. CASEY: Okay.

MS. THOMAS: This is the Rhonda Thomas with T-Mobile. We did not choose to utilize USAC. We did send initial and subsequent letters by mail with the option to return responses by mail, fax, and scan. We did external contacts with customers failing to respond immediately by phone and by email, and our effort will wrap up in the next couple of days.

MR. CASEY: Okay. How about other companies?

Is there somebody from TracFone on that could tell us what they're doing?

MR. ANTHANSON: Yes. This is Stephen

Anthanson from TracFone. We're doing a, kind of a full spectrum of contact to the customer by mail, by phone blast, email, text message, and accepting recertifications in all the permitted ways. Our effort is ongoing and will continue until the deadline. We do not have any results yet.

MR. CASEY: Okay. Thank you.

How about some other companies? Any other ETCs out there that can help us out?

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21 22

23

24

25

Okay. Any other comments here? Questions?

Okay. The last issue, any other ideas to streamline the Lifeline enrollment process for either the applicant or the ETC? We're just throwing it out there for ideas. What could we do to make it simpler, easier for the applicant, easier for you guys?

MR. SPEARS: Transfer them directly to you.

MR. CASEY: Besides having us do it.

MR. FOLLENSBEE: This is Greg Follensbee, AT&T. I'll be glad to share with you our comments we have filed with the FCC of how they ought to be doing it through the database, that no state or any ETC would need to do anything other than the database being used to do it. So we've told them there's a lot of ways they could streamline it through the FCC efforts. I'll be glad to share a copy of those comments with you all.

MR. CASEY: And this is --

MR. FOLLENSBEE: Not much that you all could do because it really needs to be driven by the FCC making changes with USAC.

MR. CASEY: Right. Are you talking about the national eligibility database, not the duplications?

MR. FOLLENSBEE: Well, yeah, that and the fact that you could streamline it by just having the money sent to us without having to send a form in to request

1	being reimbursed. So there's several things that AT&T
2	has suggested that would streamline and modernize how
3	the whole process should work. So I'll be glad to get a
4	copy of those comments for you.
5	MR. CASEY: Have you heard anything on the
6	progress of the national eligibility database? We heard
7	they're running into some little bumps along the way.
8	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Well, I had heard some bumps
9	earlier because of some issues they were dealing with
10	privacy, particularly with Medicare or Medicaid. I
11	don't know if I think they've worked through those to
12	some extent. I have not heard if they're still on track
13	to do the first test in January or not.
14	MR. CASEY: Of the eligibility database?
14 15	MR. CASEY: Of the eligibility database?  MR. FOLLENSBEE: Uh-huh. We've heard it was
15	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Uh-huh. We've heard it was
15 16	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Uh-huh. We've heard it was going to be early, but I just don't know if it's still
15 16 17	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Uh-huh. We've heard it was going to be early, but I just don't know if it's still on track.
15 16 17 18	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Uh-huh. We've heard it was going to be early, but I just don't know if it's still on track.  MR. BRADBURY: Hey, Greg, I think you're
15 16 17 18 19	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Uh-huh. We've heard it was going to be early, but I just don't know if it's still on track.  MR. BRADBURY: Hey, Greg, I think you're talking about the NLAD database, not the eligibility
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Uh-huh. We've heard it was going to be early, but I just don't know if it's still on track.  MR. BRADBURY: Hey, Greg, I think you're talking about the NLAD database, not the eligibility database.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Uh-huh. We've heard it was going to be early, but I just don't know if it's still on track.  MR. BRADBURY: Hey, Greg, I think you're talking about the NLAD database, not the eligibility database.  MR. FOLLENSBEE: You're absolutely right. I

MR. CASEY: Thank you for the clarification.

25

1	MR. BRADBURY: You're welcome, sir.
2	MR. HATCH: Just like old times.
3	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Just like old times, Jay.
4	Thanks.
5	MR. CASEY: And I believe for the duplications
6	database the ETCs have to have everything in and it's
7	going to go active for Florida in February, I believe.
8	MR. BRADBURY: I think that's correct.
9	MR. CASEY: Okay. Any other comments on how
10	we could streamline this process?
11	MS. MASTERTON: I mean, I don't have any. But
12	if we're going to do written comments, we can try to see
13	if we can, you know, if we have any ideas we could
14	include there.
15	MS. SALAK: That's great. I appreciate it.
16	MR. CASEY: And on any, any four of these we
17	would ask that if you have any input, please give it to
18	us.
19	And as far as post-workshop comments, could
20	they send them to either you or me?
21	MR. TEITZMAN: Yeah. That's fine.
22	MR. CASEY: Okay. I will include that in an
23	email that goes out, because I'm going to give you the
24	DCF contact and I'll give you some other information who
25	you can send the comments to How about two weeks for

1	the comments?
2	MS. MASTERTON: Well, is there, is there going
3	to be a transcript?
4	MR. CASEY: There will be a transcript.
5	MS. MASTERTON: When do you think that
6	THE COURT REPORTER: Probably the end of next
7	week.
8	MS. MASTERTON: Two weeks from today?
9	MR. TAYLOR: Hey, Bob. This is Ryan Taylor
10	from FairPoint Communications. Is it possible to extend
11	that a little bit longer just based on the holiday
12	schedule?
13	MR. CASEY: Okay.
14	MS. SALAK: I won't be here. I don't care.
15	MR. SPEARS: She's taking a break.
16	MR. FOLLENSBEE: We want it sent to Beth in
17	care of her hospital room.
18	MR. CASEY: Don't worry. I get payback.
19	MS. SALAK: That's right.
20	MR. CASEY: Payback is hell. Okay.
21	MS. SALAK: I'll have plenty of time to read
22	them actually, so.
23	MR. CASEY: Yeah.
24	MR. McCABE: Bob, the difficulty is, is having
25	enough time to look into number one.

1	MR. CASEY: Uh-huh.
2	MS. MASTERTON: Right. If you want the final
3	answer, I think two weeks is too soon.
4	MR. McCABE: Yeah.
5	MR. CASEY: Okay. What would you suggest?
6	Give me a suggestion.
7	MR. FOLLENSBEE: January 15th would be great.
8	MS. MASTERTON: Exactly.
9	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Unless that's a weekend.
10	MS. MASTERTON: Yeah. That's what I had.
11	MS. SALAK: Is it a weekend?
12	MR. CASEY: January 15th is a Wednesday.
13	MR. BRADBURY: It's a Wednesday.
14	MR. FOLLENSBEE: Is that okay with you, Tracy?
15	MR. HATCH: I'm sorry. I'm trying to look at
16	my calendar. What was the date?
17	MR. FOLLENSBEE: January 15th.
18	MR. CASEY: January 15th.
19	MR. HATCH: That's fine.
20	MR. SPEARS: You'll be back from the holidays.
21	MR. CASEY: Okay. January 15th we're going to
22	ask for post-workshop comments. Again, I will send that
23	information out in the email to all the ETCs.
24	MS. MASTERTON: And that way we'll have the

transcript.

25

MR. BRADBURY: Thank you. MR. CASEY: All right. And the transcript will -- as soon as the transcript is available, I'll be sending that out too. Any other questions or comments on the Florida Lifeline program? Nothing? Okay. Well, thank you very much for attending. We appreciate it. And, again, your input is really appreciated in post-workshop comments. MS. MASTERTON: Thank you for having us. MR. CASEY: Thank you. (Proceeding concluded at 10:53 a.m.) 

	000049
1	STATE OF FLORIDA ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
8	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 13th day of December
13	2013.
14	
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Commission Reporters
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## Florida Lifeline Work Group Meeting December 5, 2013 <u>Agenda</u>

The purpose of this meeting is for the Lifeline Working Group to discuss Florida Lifeline program issues. Specifically, staff would like to discuss:

- 1. The Florida Department of Children and Families Web Services Interface which verifies participation in the Medicaid, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, and Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Programs. 47 C.F.R. §54.410(c)(1)(i)(A) states:
  - a. If the eligible telecommunications carrier can determine a prospective subscriber's program-based eligibility for Lifeline by accessing one or more databases containing information regarding enrollment in qualifying assistance programs ("eligibility databases"), the eligible telecommunications carrier must access such eligibility databases to determine whether the prospective subscriber qualifies for Lifeline based on participation in a qualifying assistance program;

What is each ETC's plan and timetable for obtaining access to the DCF portal?

- 2. The status of the FCC Temporary Waiver for Florida of FCC Rules 47 C.F.R. §54.407(d), 47 C.F.R. §54.410(b)(2)(ii), 47 C.F.R. §54.410(c)(2)(ii), and 47 C.F.R. §54.410(e) which require state agencies that make the initial determination of a subscriber's eligibility for Lifeline to provide each ETC with a hard-copy of each of the Lifeline certification forms.
- 3. How each Florida ETC will perform the required 2013 Lifeline customer recertifications. Will the recertifications be accomplished in person, in writing, by phone, by text message, by email, or otherwise through the Internet? Did your ETC elect to have USAC conduct the 2013 annual recertification of your Lifeline customers?
- **4.** Any other ideas to streamline the Lifeline enrollment process for both the applicant and ETC?

Parties/Staff Handout event date 12/5/1/3
Docket No. /30000-07



September 13, 2013

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Ret

WC Docket No. 11-42 - Lifeline and Link Up Reform and Modernization

WC Docket No. 03-109 - Lifeline and Link Up

CC Docket No. 96-45 - Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service

NOTICE OF EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On September 13, 2013, Javier Rosado, Senior Vice Presidem, TracFone Wireless, Inc. ("TracFone") and I met with Radhika Karmarkar. Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, and with Jonathan Lechter and Christopher Cook, Attorney-Advisors, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau.

During the meeting, we discussed several matters regarding the federal Lifeline program supported by the Universal Service Fund ("USF"). Specifically, we briefed the attendees on the status of TracFone's efforts to obtain access to Lifeline program-based eligibility databases in various states. As part of that discussion, we provided the attendees with a State Database Factsheet which identifies on a state-specific basis the states where access to databases is available, when such access became available, access costs, and the Lifeline-qualifying programs included in each state's database. We also provided a document which indicates how many Lifeline providers (Eligible Telecommunications Carriers or "ETCs") use those state databases in the states where access to state databases is available. Copies of these documents are attached to this letter. In addition, we discussed the progress of TracFone's efforts to obtain access to eligibility in other states.

We also discussed certain aspects of the plans for implementing the National Lifeline Accountability Database (NLAD) by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC). One problem we discussed involves the requirement that ETCs provide a telephone number to the database at the time of enrollment. This requirement presents difficulties for TracFone and, presumably, other ETCs. As a mobile virtual network operator, TracFone does not have available an inventory of North American Numbering Plan telephone numbers to assign to customers. Rather, when it activates a customer, it requests that the underlying carrier on whose network the customer is to be served assign a telephone number. This method has worked well and has prevented fraudulent use of assigned numbers. Moreover, assigning a telephone number and loading the number into the NLAD database at the time of enrollment but prior to activation

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch September 13, 2013 Page 2 of 2

will do nothing to prevent waste, fraud and abuse of USF resources. We informed the staff that TracFone is working with USAC to attempt to find a workable resolution to this problem such as use of a single "dummy" number at the time of enrollment but that further involvement by the Commission may become necessary to rectify this problem. That dummy number would be replaced with a permanent telephone number once it was assigned. That permanent number would then be loaded into the NLAD.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, this letter is being filed electronically. If there are questions, please communicate directly with undersigned counsel for TracFone.

Sincerely,

Mitchell F. Brecher

Counsel for TracFone Wireless, Inc.

Cc: Ms. Radhika Karmarker

Mr. Jonathan Lechter Mr. Christopher Cook

Attachments

## **State Database Fact Sheet**

						Costs		
#	State	Actively working since 8/2012	Launch Date	Days since initial approach/launch	<u>Development</u> <u>Cost</u>	Login / User ID Cost	Maintenance Fee (Annual)	Programs Validated
1	AR	8/8/2012	8/14/2013	371	\$3,400.00	\$95 per requested user ID	\$1,000.00	Medicaid, SNAP and TANF
2	FL		4/30/10		\$0	\$0	\$0	Medicaid, SNAP and TANF
3	IL	8/8/2012	5/15/2013	280	\$0	\$0	\$1,200	Medicaid, SNAP and TANF
4	MD			\$0	\$0	Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, Electrical Univ Svc, MD Energy Assis (EAP), Public Assis to Adults (PAA)		
5	МІ	7/1/2012	11/6/2012	128	\$20,000.00	\$0	1st yr \$4,000 + 5% annual increase	SNAP, TANF / Family Independence Program (FIP)
6	NY	8/8/2012	1/16/2013	161	\$0	\$0	\$0	Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, LIHEAP, SSI, Safety Net Assistance
7	OR	8/1/2012	1/21/2013	173	\$0	\$0	\$0	Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, SSI
8	SC	8/8/2012	11/6/2012	90	\$0	\$0	\$0	SNAP, TANF / Family Independence Program (FIP)
9	TX		8/14/2012		\$0	\$0	\$0	Medicaid, CHIP (Children's Medicaid), SNAP
10	WA		11/23/10		\$0	\$0	\$0	Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, SSI, State Fam Assis (SFA), Refugee Assistance, DSHS Chore Service, Disability Lifeline, Community Options Prog Entry System (COPES)
11	WI		3/17/2011		\$0	\$500 per requested user ID	\$0	Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, SSI, Badger Care, LIHEAP, WisconsinWorks (W2)
12	TN	8/8/2012	10/15/2013	433				Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, SSI, LIHEAP
13	NC	8/8/2012			Estimated Cost \$57,655			Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, LIHEAP and Crisis Intervention Prog (CIP)

## Notes:

Working with add'l 18 states AL, AZ, CT, DC, DE, GA, KY, LA, MA, ME, MS, NH, NV, OH, PA, TN, UT, VA - Avg time 380 days

Active DB Count	State	Wireless	Home	Total ETC's	ETC's utilizing database	State databases to verify eligibility
1	Michigan	14	38	52	1	98%
2	Illinois	9	48	57	12	79%
3	New York	8	39	47	2	96%
4	South Carolina	13	33	46	1	98%
5	Oregon	7	32	39	7	82%
6	Florida	4	21	25	3	88%
7	Maryland*	20	4	24	1	96%
8	Wisconsin	22	74	96	35	- 64%
9	Washington	11	21	32	6	81%
10	Texas	21	68	89	11	88%
11	Arkansas	17	27	44	1	98%
		146	405	551	80	-85%

<sup>\*</sup>State has not responded

FI - (TracFone, Assurance and I-Wireless)