### State of Florida



# Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing
Miami District Office

### **Auditor's Report**

Cypress Lakes Utilities, Inc. Rate Case Audit (PAA)

### Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012

Docket No. 130212-WS Audit Control No. 13-296-4-1 **December 17, 2013** 

> Iliana H. Piedra Audit Manager

Gabriela M. Leon Audit Staff

> Bety Maitre, Audit Staff

> Yen N. Ngo Audit Staff

Kathy L. Welch Reviewer

# Table of Contents

Purpo	se	1
Objec	tives and Procedures	2
Audit	Findings	
1:	Plant Proforma	6
2:	Retirements Not Recorded	
3:	Adjustment From Order Incorrectly Booked	
4:	Expense Recorded As Plant	
5:	Sludge Equipment	15
6:	Misclassification of Wastewater Treatment Additions	17
7:	Rollforward Accumulated Depreciation Adjustment	19
8:	Accumulated Depreciation Understatement	
9:	Contributions In Aid of Construction (CIAC)	
10:	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	29
11:	Other Miscellaneous Expense Non-Recurring	31
Exhib	its	
1:	Rate Base-Water	32
2:	Rate Base-Wastewater	33
3:	Net Operating Income-Water	34
4:	Net Operating Income-Wastewater	
5:	Capital Structure	

### **Purpose**

#### To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed-upon objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting and Finance in its audit service request dated October 23, 2013. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Cypress Lakes Utilities, Inc. in support of its filing for rate relief in Docket No. 130212-WS.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. Our report is based on agreed-upon procedures. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

### Objectives and Procedures

#### General

The test year is the historical year ended December 31, 2012 unless otherwise specified.

The Utility or Cypress Lakes Utilities, Inc. is a Class B utility providing water and wastewater service. Cypress Lakes Utilities, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Utilities, Inc. (UI). Order No. PSC-07-0199-PAA-WS, issued March 5, 2007 in Docket No. 060257-WS set the Utility's rate base as of December 31, 2005. Order PSC-07-0912-AS-WS in this same docket, changed the rates established based on a settlement agreement but did not change the rate base. Order No. PSC-10-0682-PAA-WS, issued November 15, 2010 in Docket No. 090349-WS, a limited proceeding requsted to include plant additions, also changed rates but did not establish rate base.

This audit pertains to direct costs only. All allocated costs were audited in the Utilities, Inc. - Audit of Affiliate Transactions, Docket No.130212-WS, Audit Control Number (ACN) 13-296-4-2.

#### Rate Base

#### Utility Plant in Service (UPIS)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether plant in service: 1) Consists of property that exists and is owned by the Utility, 2) Additions are authentic, recorded at original cost, and properly classified as a capital item in compliance with Commission rules and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Uniform System of Accounts (USoA), 3) Retirements are made when a replacement item is put into service, and 4) Adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the plant in service beginning balances, including ordered adjustments, in the general ledger to Order No. PSC-07-0199-PAA-WS. We compiled additions and retirements from the Utility's three ledgers, the AA (general ledger), the UA (allocation ledger), and the UR (commission adjustment ledger) from 2005 through 2012 to determine the UPIS historical test year ended December 31, 2012. We traced a judgmental sample of additions and retirements from the AA ledger since the last audit to source documentation and reconciled them to the general ledger to verify additions were recorded at original cost.

We requested support for the Utility's adjustments and proforma plant additions and traced them to the MFRs. We reconciled the UR ledger to the prior audits and orders.

Finding 1 discusses the proforma adjustment. Finding 2 discusses retirements not recorded. Finding 3 discusses an ordered adjustment not booked, and Finding 4 discusses plant additions that should have been expensed. Finding 5 discusses sludge equipment improperly recorded.

#### Land & Land Rights

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether utility land is recorded at original cost, is used for utility operation, and is owned or secured under a long-term lease.

**Procedures:** We noted that there were no new additions to land since the prior rate case. No further work performed and no exceptions were noted.

#### Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC)

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether utility CIAC balances are properly stated, are reflective of service availability charges authorized in the Utility's Commission approved tariffs, and the adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the beginning CIAC balances to Order No. PSC-07-0199-PAA-WS. We reconciled additions to the utility CIAC Tap Fee schedules and verified service availability charges from the FPSC approved tariffs. We also reviewed CIAC agreements, and inquired if the Utility had any special agreements, developer agreements, and whether the Utility had received any donated property as CIAC. We also requested support for the Utility's adjustments and traced them to the MFRs. Finding 8 discusses CIAC recorded incorrectly.

#### Accumulated Depreciation

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether: 1) Accruals to accumulated depreciation are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USoA, 2) Depreciation accruals are calculated using the Commission's authorized rates and that retirements are properly recorded, and 3) Adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the beginning accumulated depreciation balances, including ordered adjustments to Order No. PSC-07-0199-PAA-WS. We scheduled and recalculated accumulated depreciation accruals using audited plant balances from the AA and UR ledgers and the prescribed rates in Rule 25-30.140 and traced them to the MFRs. We reconciled the audited accumulated depreciation balances to the general ledger. We also requested support for the Utility's adjustments and proforma accumulated depreciation and traced them to the MFRs. Finding 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 discuss the adjustments to accumulated depreciation related to plant findings. Finding 6 discusses the accumulated depreciation adjusted in the filing not being booked and not using average balances to adjust the filing. Finding 7 discusses incorrect rates used to calculate depreciation.

#### Accumulated Amortization of CIAC

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether accumulated amortization of CIAC balances were properly stated, that annual accruals were reflective of the depreciation rates and were in compliance with Commission rules and orders, and that the adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the beginning accumulated amortization of CIAC balances, including ordered adjustments to Order No. PSC-07-0199-PAA-WS. We reconciled the audited accumulated amortization of CIAC balances to the general ledger. We recalculated accumulated amortization using Rule 25-30.140. Finding 8 and 9 discuss accumulated amortization of CIAC.

#### **Working Capital**

**Objectives:** The objective was to determine whether the Utility's working capital balance is properly calculated in compliance with Commission rules.

**Procedures:** We recalculated the working capital allowance using the 1/8 of operation and maintenance expense method. No exceptions were noted.

#### **Net Operating Income**

#### Operating Revenue

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether: 1) Utility charges were those approved by the Commission in the Utility's current authorized tariff for both water and wastewater and 2) Revenue earned from utility property during the test year was recorded and properly classified in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USoA.

**Procedures:** We reviewed the Utility's Commission approved tariffs establishing rates and compiled water and wastewater utility revenue for the 12-months ended December 31, 2012 from the Utility's billing register. We tested the reasonableness of the utility revenues by multiplying the average consumption by the tariff rate for each bill in the billing register. We sorted the billing register and compared consumption and number of bills to the E Schedules in the MFRs. We also reviewed adjustments and reconciled them to the Utility's supporting documentation. Finding 8 discusses CIAC misclassified as revenue.

#### Operation and Maintenance Expense (O&M)

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether O&M expenses were properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules, and were reasonable and prudent for ongoing utility operations.

**Procedures:** We reviewed a sample of the Utility's invoices for proper amount, period, classification, recurring nature, and whether the invoice was utility related. We reviewed the Utility's methodology for proper allocation of expenses for water and wastewater operations.

We reconciled expenses from the general ledger to the MFRs. We reviewed the adjustments.

Findings 10 and 11 discuss adjustments to expenses.

#### Depreciation and Amortization

**Objectives:** The objective was to determine whether depreciation was properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represented the depreciation of plant in service assets and the amortization of utility CIAC assets for ongoing utility operations.

**Procedures:** We recalculated depreciation expense and amortization expense using the rates in Rule 25-30.140 and compared the balances to the ledger and MFRs. Findings 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 discuss depreciation adjustments. Findings 8 and 9 discuss CIAC amortization.

#### Taxes Other than Income

**Objectives:** The objective was to determine the appropriate amounts for taxes other than income tax for the test year ended December 31, 2012.

**Procedures:** We recalculated regulatory assessment fees using staff's audited revenues. We traced real estate and tangible property taxes to source documents, and ensured that these taxes reflected the maximum discount available and are only for utility property. We reconciled the components of taxes other than income tax on the MFR to the general ledger. No exceptions were noted.

#### Capital Structure

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether the components of the Utility's capital structure and the respective cost rates used to arrive at the overall weighted cost of capital were properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represented the ongoing utility operations.

**Procedures:** The equity and debt components of capital structure were audited in the Utilities, Inc. - Audit of Affiliate Transactions.

We reconciled the customer deposit ending balances from the prior audit to the beginning balances in this audit. We reconciled deposits received and refunded from the MFR and company provided schedules to the general ledger. We reviewed Commission Rule 25-30.311 and determined that the Utility properly calculated and remitted interest.

We reconciled the 2012 Deferred Income Tax Expense on the MFR to the supporting Utility schedules, the annual report and the general ledger. No exceptions were noted.

#### Other

#### Analytical Review

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether Revenues and O&M expenses contained information that could be deemed unusual and to assist in assessing risk.

**Procedures:** We performed a trend analysis on Utility revenues for the years 2005 to 2012. We performed a trend analysis on Utility O&M expense for the years 2006 to 2012. We requested explanations from the Utility for significant variances. Explanations provided were sufficient and no further work was required.

### **Audit Findings**

### Finding 1: Plant Proforma

Audit Analysis: The Utility included a proforma to wastewater plant for \$110,000 as shown on Schedule A-3 of the MFRs. We reviewed the bid amounts and the invoices to date. Based on the documentation provided, it appears that the total estimated amount will be \$92,000. The plant should be completed in December 2013 or early 2014.

Adjustment on Schedule A-3	Pe	er Filing	Per	Estimate	Difference			
Wastewater Plant In Service	\$	110,000	\$	92,000	\$	18,000		
Wastewater Accumulated Depreciation	\$	1,719	\$	1,438	\$	281		
Wastewater Depreciation Expense	\$	3,438	\$	2,875	\$	563		
Note: Depreciation was based on a 32 years	ar life (	3.125%) and a	a simple	average use	d for r	ate		
base accounts.								

Effect on the General Ledger: There is no effect on the General Ledger because this adjustment relates to a proforma.

Effect on the Filing: If the utility cannot provide additional support, wastewater plant in service, average wastewater accumulated depreciation and wastewater depreciation expense should be decreased by \$18,000, \$281 and \$563, respectively.

## Finding 2: Retirements Not Recorded

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of plant additions, we determined there were several retirements that were not recorded by the Utility. The Utility agreed that retirements should have been recorded when the new assets were installed. The amount of the retirements wer calculated using 75% of the cost of the new addition in accordance with the Commission policy. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to these assets also need to be removed. The schedule following this finding details the accounts, the vendor for the new addition, and the amounts with the associated depreciation for each item.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following adjustment is needed to correct the general ledger at December 31, 2012. Since the test year is already closed in the ledger, the depreciation expense should be recorded to retained earnings.

NARUC Account	Utility Account	Description	Debit	Credit
Account	Water	Description	Desic	Citait
320		Water Structures and Improvements		\$ 37,500.00
320		Water Treatment Equipment		\$ 822.73
331		Trans. & Dist. Mains		\$ 3,738.75
334	1135	Meters		\$ 2,401.24
334	1140	Pumping Equipment		\$ 660.00
108		Acc. Depr. Water Structures and Improvements	\$ 37,597.66	
108		Acc. Depr. Water Treatment Equip.	\$ 859.49	
108	1920	Acc. Depr. Trans. & Dist. Mains	\$ 3,746.00	
108	1930	Acc. Depr. Meters	\$ 2,423.52	
108	1900	Acc. Depr. Pumping Equipment	\$ 663.06	
215	4998	Retained Earnings		\$ 167.01
	Wastewate	er		
354	1295	WWTP Structures and Improvements (Lift Station)		\$ 2,055.11
354	1300	WWTP Structures and Improvements (TP)		\$ 6,239.66
371	1380	Pumping Equipment		\$ 3,098.56
380	1395	Treat/Disp. Equip. Lagoon-Wastewater		\$ 298.77
380	1400	Treat/Disp Equip Treatment Plant		\$ 6,301.22
381	1410	Plant Sewers Treatment Equipment-Wastewater		\$ 879.10
394	1475	Laboratory Equipment-Wastewater		\$ 322.70
108	2050	Acc. Depr. WWTP Structures and Improvements (Lift St.)	\$ 2,555.19	
108	2050	Acc. Depr. WWTP Structures and Improvements (TP)	\$ 6,813.50	
108	1900	Acc. Depr. Pumping Equipment	\$ 3,140.91	
108	2155	Acc. Depr. Treat/Disp Equip Trt. Plant	\$ 8,410.77	
108	2160	Acc. Depr. Treat/Disp. Equipment Lagoon-Wastewater	\$ 300.15	
108		Acc. Depr. Plant Sewers Treatm. Equip. Wastewater	\$ 898.48	
108	1990	Acc. Depr. Laboratory Equipment -Wastewater	\$ 331.66	
215	4998	Retained Earnings		\$ 3,255.54

Effect on the Filing: Average water plant should be reduced (credited) by \$22,731.63. Average water accumulated depreciation should be reduced (debited) by \$22,820.29. Water depreciation expense should be reduced (credited) by \$156.68. Average wastewater plant should be reduced (credited) by \$16,895.54. Average accumulated depreciation should be reduced (debited) by \$19,801.41. Wastewater depreciation expense should be reduced (credited) by \$699.33.

# Water

NARUC Account	Utility Account	Vendor	Date	N	Vew Plant Invoice	A	Plant Adjustment (75%)	Ac	Retirement cumulated epreciation	Acc. Dep. Acct.	Year Life	onthly p. Exp.	Dep. Expense 2012	Month s	in	Change A/D to Plant emoval
320	1055	Env. Eq. Sales	11/12/2012	\$	50,000.00	\$	(37,500.00)	\$	37,500.00	1850.00	32	\$ 97.66	\$ (97.66)	1	\$	97.66
320		SERVICES, INC.	4/16/2011	\$	454.07	\$	(340.55)	\$	340.55	1910.00	22	\$ (1.29)	\$ (15.48)	20	\$	25.80
		USA Bluebook/Utility														
320		Supply of	6/28/2012	\$	642.90	\$	(482.18)	\$	482.18	1910.00	22	\$ (1.83)	(10.96)	6	\$	10.96
331	1125	LLC.	11/12/2012	\$	4,985.00	\$	(3,738.75)	\$	_3,738.75	1920.00	43	\$ (7.25)	\$ (7.25)	1	\$	7.25
334	1135	Innovative Meter Solutions, Inc.	11/21/2012	\$	1,891.97	\$	(1,418.98)	\$	1,418.98	1930.00	20	\$ (5.91)	\$ (5.91)	1	\$	5.91
334		Innovative Meter Solutions, Inc.	8/24/2012	\$	1,309.68	\$	(982.26)	\$	982.26	1930.00	20	\$ (4.09)	\$ (16.37)	4	\$	16.37
334		Utilequip Services, Inc.	11/1/2012	\$	880.00	\$	(660.00)		660.00	1900.00	18	\$ (3.06)	\$ (3.06)	1	\$	3.06
				\$	60,163.62	\$	(45,122.72)	\$	45,122.72			\$ 74.23	\$ (156.68)		\$	167.00

	Plant	ccumulated epreciation
Beginning Balance	\$ (340.55)	\$ 350.87
Ending Balance	\$ (45,122.72)	\$ 45,289.71
Average	\$ (22,731.63)	\$ 22,820.29

### Wastewater

					T	Plant		-	Acc.							hange in VD for
NARUC	Utility			New Plant		Adjustment	] 1	Retirment	Dep.	Year	Monthly	ľ	ep. Expense		_	Plant
Acc.	Acc.	Vendor	Date	Invoice		(75%)	_ 4	Acc. Dep.	Acct.	Life	Dep. Exp.		2012	Months	R	temoval
354	1295	35373*14878*KEN'S BU	11/12/2006	\$ 2,740.1	<b>4</b> \$	(2,055.11)	\$	2,055.11	2050	25	\$ (6.85	) \$	(82.20)	73	\$	500.08
354	1300	AABOT FENCE INC	4/26/2009	\$ 3,276.0	) \$	(2,457.00)	\$	2,457.00	2050	32	\$ (6.40	) \$	(76.78)	44	\$	281.53
354	1300	KEN'S BUSH HOG SERVICE	1/5/2010	\$ 3,253.0	) \$	(2,439.75)	\$	2,439.75	2050	32	\$ (6.35	) \$	(76.24)	35	\$	222.37
354	1300	UTILEQUIP SERVICES, INC.	4/24/2011	\$ 1,790.5	4 \$	(1,342.91)	\$	1,342.91	2050	32	\$ (3.50	) \$	(41.97)	20	\$	69.94
371	1380	Utilequip Services, Inc.	11/1/2012	\$ 385.0	) <b>\$</b>	(288.75)	\$	288.75	1900	18	\$ (1.34	) \$	(1.34)	1	\$	1.34
371	1380	USA Bluebook/Utility Supply of	10/10/2012	\$ 2,666.9	) \$	(2,000.18)	\$	2,000.18	1900	18	\$ (9.26	) \$	(18.52)	2	\$	18.52
371		Rexel Mader Dept.	6/6/2012	\$ 1,079.5	1 \$	(809.63)	\$	809.63	1900	18	\$ (3.75	) \$	(22.49)	6	\$	22.49
380	1400	5055*06808*UTILITY S	12/27/2006	\$ 1,959.8	5 \$	(1,469.89)	\$	1,469.89	2160	18	\$ (6.81	) \$	(81.66)	72	\$	489.96
380	1400	35981*19410*UTILEQUI	11/5/2006	\$ 2,633.2	0 \$	(1,974.90)	\$	1,974.90	2160	18	\$ (9.14	) \$	(109.72)	73	\$	667.44
380		R37412*19410*UTILEQU	12/11/2006	\$ 1,187.0	<b>6 \$</b>	(890.30)	\$	890.30	2160	18	\$ (4.12	) \$	(49.46)	72	\$	296.77
380	1400	RPT-CP.TO.GL,LN-0730,CLC-25	12/20/2006	\$ 2,621.5	0 \$	(1,966.13)	\$	1,966.13	2160	18	\$ (9.10	) \$	(109.23)	72	\$	655.38
380	1395	Grainger	11/9/2012	\$ 398.3	6 \$	(298.77)	\$	298.77	2155	18	\$ (1.38	) \$	(1.38)	1	\$	1.38
381	1410	Utilequip Services, Inc.	4/3/2012	\$ 435.1	2 \$	(326.34)	\$	326.34	2170	35	\$ (0.78	() \$	(6.22)	8	\$	6.22
381	1410	Utilequip Services, Inc.	2/21/2012	\$ 737.0	1 \$	(552.76)	\$	552.76	2170	35	\$ (1.32	) \$	(13.16)	10	\$	13.16
394	1475	Hach Company	7/17/2012	\$ 430.2	7 \$	(322.70)	\$	322.70	1990	15	\$ (1.79	) \$	(8.96)	5	\$	8.96
				\$ 25,593.4	6 \$	(19,195.10)	\$	19,195.10			\$ (71.89	) \$	(699.33)		\$	3,255.54

	Plant	ccumulated epreciation
Beginning Balance	\$ (14,595.97	\$ 17,152.18
Ending Balance	\$ (19,195.10	\$ 22,450.63
Average	\$ (16,895.54	\$ 19,801.41

### Finding 3: Adjustment From Order Incorrectly Booked

Audit Analysis: The Utility's wastewater plant is understated in the general ledger by \$200,004 because they did not record an adjustment made in PSC Order No. 07-0199-PAA-WS in Docket No. 060257-WS. This adjustment was to correct a prior adjustment the Utility had made to the books to credit plant in service for a non-used and useful adjustment from Docket No. 020407-WS. The Utility correctly increased plant, accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense in the filing for this error, however, the ledger needs to be corrected.

Used and useful adjustments are made for rate case purposes and should not have been recorded in the utility's books. An adjustment should be made to include the erroneous credit to plant for the non-used and useful plant and to record the accumulated depreciation for 12 years using an 18 year life.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following adjustment should be made to the general ledger as of December 31, 2012. Since the 2012 ledger will be closed when this order is issued, expense entries will be shown as an adjustment to retained earnings instead of depreciation expense.

Utility	NARUC			!	
Account	Account	Account Name	Debit		Credit
1810	103	Plant Held For Future Use	y	\$	200,004
2400	108	Accumulated Depreciation		\$	133,336
4998	215	Retained Earnings	\$ 133,336		
1400	380	Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$ 200,004		

Effect on the Filing: There is no effect on the filing because the utility adjusted the filing.

### Finding 4: Expense Recorded As Plant

Audit Analysis: Rule 25-30.140 (1) (g), F.A.C., states that the cost of incidental repairs that neither materially add to the value of the property nor appreciably prolong its life and that were made to keep the property in an ordinary efficient operating condition shall be accounted for as a maintenance expense. We tested prior year's plant additions to determine if the Utility followed this rule and found several maintenance expenses included in plant. The Utility agrees that the following transactions were incorrectly classified. The schedule on the next page details the expenses and the corresponding adjustments to plant and accumulated depreciation.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following adjustment should be made to the general ledger as of December 31, 2012:

NARUC	Utility				and the second of the second o
Account	Account	Account Description	Debit	ĺ	Credit
304.3	1055	Struct. & Imprv. Wtr. Trt. Plt.	d . 1	\$	104.51
311.3	1105	Electric. Pump. Equip. Wtp.		\$	247.30
320.3	1115	Water Treatment Eqpt.		\$	1,158.67
343.5	1985	Tool Shop & Misc. Eqpt.		\$	549.00
354.4	1300	Struct/Imprv Treat. Plt.	Abdition published and a second	\$	315.63
380.4	1400	Treat./Disp. Equip. Trt. Plt.		\$	958.82
108.1	1850	Acc. DeprStruct. & Imprv. Wtp.	\$ 21.36		
108.1	1900	Acc. DeprElect. Pump. Equip. Wtp.	\$ 31.43		
108.1	1910	Acc. DeprWater Treatment Eqpt.	\$ 136.79		The second secon
108.1	2060	Acc. DeprStruct./Imprv. Treat. Plt.	\$ 16.03		
108.1	2160	Acc. DeprTreat./Disp Eqp Trt. Pl.	\$ 74.27	!	
108.1	2230	Acc. DeprTool Shop & Misc. Eqpt.	\$ 204.45		
215.0	4998	Retained Earn-Prior Years	\$ 2,849.60		

Effect on the Filing: Average water and wastewater plant should be reduced by \$ 1,510 and \$1,823, respectively. Average water and wastewater accumulated depreciation should be reduced by \$155 and \$246, respectively. Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$68 for water and \$97 for wastewater.

			; <u>-</u>		Ca	pitalized	Ma	aintenance Expense Adjustme	nts							1	
NARUC	Co. Acct.	Vendor	Date	Credit I		Debit Retaine Earning	ed	Description	Mos. Dep.	Mo. Dep. Rate	Debit Ac Dep.		Cı	redit ep. Exp.	Dep. Exp. Acct.		edit tained mings
Water Pi	ant								<del> </del>			_	$\top$		l	$\vdash$	
304.3	1055	Engineering Unlimited, Inc.	6/14/2006	\$ (10	04.51)	\$ 104		(12) Senoir brass padlocks and (10) Brass keys	78.5	0.26%	\$ 21.:	36 185	0 \$	(3.27)	6460	\$	(18.10)
311.3	1105	Home Depot	6/10/2010	\$ (2	47.30)	\$ 247	7.30	Flotec 3/4 HP Thermoplastic Shallow- Well Jet Pump, 1-1/4 Elbow, and 1- 1/4 F Adaptor	30.5	0.42%	\$ 31.4	13 190	0 \$	(12.37)	6510	\$	(19.06)
320.3	1115	USA Bluebook	12/13/2011	\$ (2	48.42)	\$ 248		(1) 30 GPD; 100 PSI Pump - diaphragm metering pumps	12.5	0.38%	\$ 11.	76 191	0 \$	(11.29)	6520	\$	(0.47)
320.3		USA Bluebook	6/21/2010		55.44)		5.44	(1) 30 GPD; 100 PSI Pump - diaphragm metering pumps	30.5				0 \$				(17.90)
320.3		The Dumont Company Inc	5/12/2010	<u> </u>	19.84)			(2) Quill (3) Total Chlorine Reagent Set for	31.5				0 \$		6520		(38.40)
Water Pl		USA Bluebook	7/3/2007		34.97) 1 <b>0.48</b> )	\$ 1,510		CL17 analyzer	65.5	0.38%	\$ 33.4 \$ 189.4	_	0 \$ \$			-	(27.35) (121.28)
Wastewa	ter Pla	nt											+			$\vdash$	
343.5	1470	USA Bluebook	1/31/2007	\$ (5	49.00)	\$ 549	9.00	Misc tools for new employee's truck	71.5	0.52%	\$ 204.	15 223	0 \$	(34.31)	6595	\$	(170.13)
354.4	1300	Bob Dean Supply, Inc.	5/31/2011	\$ (3	15.63)	\$ 315		(6) Texcel Elephant Trunk Hose - 16.0 Hose	19.5	0.26%	\$ 16.0	3 206	0 \$	(9.86)	6665	\$	(6.16)
380.4	1400	Utilequip Services, Inc.	5/4/2011	\$ (3.	30.00)	\$ 330		Replaced Trolley Key on clarifier's - Not working b/c of key wedged in gearbox key slot.	19.5	0.46%	\$ 29.	79 216	0 \$	(18.33)	6765	\$	(11.46)
380.4		Home Depot	8/11/2011		45.03)		5.03	Flotec 3/4 HP Thermoplastic Shallow- Well Jet Pump	16.5	0.46%	\$ 18.		T	<u>,                                    </u>	6765	\$	(5.10)
380.4		USA Bluebook	10/27/2011	_	83.79)		3.79	(1) Suction PVC valve and (1) Discharge PVC valve	14.5	0.46%			_	<u> </u>		<del>-</del>	(4.44)
Wastewa	ter Pla	nt Total		\$ (1,82	23.45)	\$ 1,823	.45				\$ 294.7	5	\$	(97.44)		S	(197.30)

A/D Average Ad	justment	 			
Description	Water Plant	Was tewater Plant			
A/D Adjustment Beginning Balance	\$ 121.28	\$ 197.30			
A/D Adjustment Ending Balance	\$ 189.58	\$ 294.75			
Average Adjustment	\$ 155.43	\$ 246.02			

### Finding 5: Sludge Equipment

Audit Analysis: In 2009, Bio-Tech, Inc., a subsidiary of Utilities, Inc. purchased and installed five "Sludge Mate Roll Offs" (Sludge Box) which cost \$187,028. Capitalized labor associated with the pilot study of this equipment was \$2,579 making the total cost \$189,607. The cost was not transferred to the individual utilities until July 2010. Based on the invoices and capitalized labor, the cost of each box was \$37,921. Cypress Lakes shares a sludge box with Labrador Utilities, Inc. Each company was charged \$21,296, or \$2,335 more than the cost. On the following page, we computed depreciation recorded on this additional amount.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following adjustment should be made to the general ledger as of December 31, 2012.

NARUC	Utility		:	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	[	
Account	Account	Account Description		Debit	:	Credit
380.4	1400	Treatment & Disposal Equipment			\$	2,335.00
108.1	2160	Acc. DepTreatment & Disposal Equipment	\$	318.90		an annual an
215.0	4998	Retained Earnings			\$	318.90
146.0	2710	Accounts Receivable Associated Co.	\$	2,335.00		anders agent a committee of the first field of the second

**Effect on the Filing:** Average wastewater plant and average wastewater accumulated depreciation should be reduced by \$2,335 and \$254, respectively. Wastewater depreciation expense should be reduced by \$130.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Sludge	Box Adjust	ment			,			
NARUC	Co. Acct.	Description	Date	Credit to Plant	Transfer to A/R Assoc.	Months Depreciated	Mo. Dep. Rate	Acc. Dep.	Acc. Dep. Acct.	Dep. Exp.	Dep. Exp. Acct.	Transfer to Retained Earnings Acct. 4998
Wastewa	ter Pla	nt								I		
		Sludge Box - shared with										
380.4	1400	Labrador	7/1/2010	\$ (2,335.00)	\$ 2,335.00	29.5	0.46%	\$ 318.90	1910	\$ (129.72)	6765	\$ (189.18)

A/D Average Adjustment											
Description	Wa	astewater Plant									
A/D Adjustment Beginning Balance	\$	189.18									
A/D Adjustment Ending Balance	\$	318.90									
Average Adjustment	\$	254.04									

### Finding 6: Misclassification of Wastewater Treatment Additions

Audit Analysis: In 2008 and 2009, the Utility made modifications to its wastewater plant and requested a limited rate proceding to increase rates for the additions made in Docket No. 090349-WS. These additions were for wastewater treatment plant equipment and should have been booked in Account 380-Wastewater Treatment Plant Equipment except for a generator which cost \$80,000 and should have been recorded in Account 355-Power Generating Equipment. The Utility booked these additions in Account 354-Structures and Improvements and depreciated them at 32 years in accordance with Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C. The rule also requires Account 380 to be depreciated at 18 years, and Account 355 to be depreciated at 20 years. The schedule following this finding shows the difference in accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense.

Order No. PSC-10-0682-PAA-WS, issued November 15, 2010 in Docket No. 090349-WS, required the Utility to record a retirement for a generator for \$16,639. The Utility has not booked this adjustment. Depreciation has been taken on this retirement since December 2008 and needs to be removed. The depreciation was booked using a 32 year life. Therefore \$2,080 should be removed from accumulated depreciation and \$520 from depreciation expense.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following adjustment should be made to the Utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2012. Since the 2012 ledger will be closed when this order is issued, expense entries will be shown as an adjustment to retained earnings instead of depreciation expense.

NARUC	Utility			
Account	Account	Description	Debit	Credit
380	1400	Treatment and Disposal Equip.	\$ 955,517.95	
355	1330	Power Generation Equipment	\$ 80,000.00	
354	1315	Structures and Improvements		\$ 1,035,517.95
108	2060	Acc. Dep. Structures and Imp.	\$ 135,753.50	
108	2090	Acc. Dep. Power Generation		\$ 16,333.33
108	2160	Acc. Dep. Treatment and Disp.		\$ 223,191.40
215	4998	Retained Earnings	\$ 103,771.23	
380	1400	Treatment and Disposal Equip.		\$ 16,639.00
108	2060	Acc. Dep. Structures and Imp.	\$ 18,719.00	
215	4998	Retained Earnings		\$ 2,080.00

Effect on the Filing: Average wastewater plant should be reduced by \$16,639. Average wastewater accumulated depreciation should be increased by \$72,950. Wastewater depreciation expense should be increased by \$24,204.39.

	Amount	Months	Accumulated	Accumulated	Acc. Dep.	Depreciation	Depreciation	Depreciation	
			at 32 Years	at 18 years	Understated	Exp. At 32	Exp. At 18	Exp. Understated	
1/31/2008 \$	129,723.77	60	\$ (20,269.34)	\$ (36,034.38)	\$ (15,765.04)	\$ 4,053.87	\$ 7,206.88	\$ 3,153.01	Ĺ
10/1/2008 \$	962.48	51	\$ (127.83)	\$ (227.25)	\$ (99.42)	\$ 30.08	\$ 53.47	\$ 23.39	<b>)</b>
11/30/2008 \$	150,785.92	50	\$ (19,633.58)	\$ (34,904.15)	) \$ (15,270.56)	\$ 4,712.06	\$ 8,377.00	\$ 3,664.94	ŀ
12/31/2008 \$	652,830.75	49	\$ (83,303.92)	\$ (148,095.86)	) \$ (64,791.94)	\$ 20,400.96	\$ 36,268.38	\$ 15,867.41	L
3/31/2009 \$	67.84	46	\$ (8.13)	\$ (14.45)	\$ (6.32)	\$ 2.12	\$ 3.77	\$ 1.65	<b>;</b>
4/30/2009 \$	3,276.00	45	\$ (383.91)	\$ (682.50)	\$ (298.59)	\$ 102.38	\$ 182.00	\$ 79.63	}
6/31/09 \$	66.38	43	\$ (7.43)	\$ (13.21)	\$ (5.78)	\$ 2.07	\$ 3.69	\$ 1.61	Ĺ
8/31/2009 \$	872.39	41	\$ (93.15)	\$ (165.59)	) \$ (72.45)	\$ 27.26	\$ 48.47	\$ 21.20	)
9/30/2009 \$	455.16	40	\$ (47.41)	\$ (84.29)	\$ (36.88)	\$ 14.22	\$ 25.29	\$ 11.06	;
10/30/2009 \$	15,823.74	39	\$ (1,607.10)	\$ (2,857.06)	) \$ (1,249.97)	\$ 494.49	\$ 879.10	\$ 384.60	)
11/30/2009 \$	151.72	38	\$ (15.01)	\$ (26.69)	) \$ (11.68)	\$ 4.74	\$ 8.43	\$ 3.69	<del>)</del>
12/31/2009 <u>\$</u>	501.80	37	\$ (48.35)	\$ (85.96)	) \$ (37.61)	\$ 15.68	\$ 27.88	\$ 12.20	)
\$	955,517.95		\$ (125,545.16)	\$ (223,191.40)	\$ (97,646.24)	\$ 29,859.94	\$ 53,084.33	\$ 23,224.39	,
Generator at 20 years \$	80,000.00	49	\$ (10,208.33)			\$ 2,500.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	)
\$	1,035,517.95		\$ (135,753.50)	\$ (239,524.73)	) \$ (103,771.24)	\$ 32,359.94	\$ 57,084.33	\$ 24,724.39	)
Less Retirement					\$ 18,719.00			\$ (520.00	<u>))</u>
Net Adjustment					\$ (85,052.24)			\$ 24,204.39	)
Average Calculation:			Beginning Accumulate Ending Accumulated D	-	\$ (60,847.84) \$ (85,052.24)				
			Total Simple Average		\$ (145,900.08) \$ (72,950.04)				

## Finding 7: Rollforward Accumulated Depreciation Adjustment

Audit Analysis: The Utility did not record various accumulated depreciation balances on the books. These pertain to plant balances that are recorded in a separate ledger (UR) and were not depreciated in the Utility's ledger. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense were included in the filing adjustments but the Utility used year end and not simple average for its adjustment to accumulated depreciation. If simple average were used, accumulated depreciation would be reduced (debited) by \$3,028. The calculation follows on the next page.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following adjustment should be made to the Utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2012. Since the 2012 ledger will be closed when this order is issued, expense entries will be shown as an adjustment to retained earnings instead of depreciation expense.

**NARUC** Utility

A	A 00000004	A coount Nome		D. b.4	1	C 3:4
Account	Account	Account Name		Debit	ļ	Credit
215	4998	Retained Earnings			\$	42,398.98
108	1835	Acc. Depr Organization Water	\$	14,096.43		
108	1900	Acc. Depr Electric Pump Equipment	\$	83.03		
108	1990	Acc. Depr Laboratory Equipment	\$	141.40		
108	2030	Acc. Depr Organization Sewer	\$	1,770.13		
108	2040	Acc. Depr Franchises Sewer	\$	3,186.23		
108	2110	Acc. Depr Gravity Mains			\$	156.33
108	2160	Acc. Depr Sewer Treatment & Disposal	\$	23,278.11		

Effect on the Filing: Average accumulated depreciation should be increased (credit) by \$3,028 to reflect average instead of year end.

		UR Ledger				*** . * *** *** *** **	2011	 2012	 Beginning	
	Plant Balance					A	ccumulated	 Accumulated	 and Ending	 
Account			Life		Yearly	D	e pre ciaito n	 Depreciation	 Average	Diffe rence
301.1	\$	(80,551.00)	40	\$	2,013.78	\$	12,082.65	\$ 14,096.43	\$ 13,089.54	\$ (1,007)
311.3	\$	(237.22)	20	\$	11.86	\$	71.17	\$ 83.03	\$ 77.10	\$ (6)
344.5/394.5	\$	(303.00)	15	\$	20.20	\$	121.20	\$ 141.40	\$ 131.30	\$ (10)
351.1	\$	(10,115.00)	40	\$	252.88	\$	1,517.25	\$ 1,770.13	\$ 1,643.69	\$ (126)
352.1	\$	(18,207.00)	40	\$	455.18	\$	2,731.05	\$ 3,186.23	\$ 2,958.64	\$ (228)
361.2	\$	1,005.00	45	\$	(22.33)	\$	(134.00)	\$ (156.33)	\$ (145.17)	\$ 11
380.4	\$	(59,858.00)	18	\$	3,325.44	\$	19,952.67	\$ 23,278.11	\$ 21,615.39	\$ (1,663)
Total	\$	(168,266.22)		\$	6,057.00	\$	36,341.98	\$ 42,398.98	\$ 39,370.48	\$ (3,028)
Note: Life a	gree	s to Rule 25-30.14	10, F.A.C							

### Finding 8: Accumulated Depreciation Understatement

Audit Analysis: The Utility's wastewater accumulated depreciation is understated because the incorrect depreciation rate was used from 2007 – 2009 for account 380.4. The utility used a service life of 33 years for some additions to Account 380.4 – Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Equipment. The average service life prescribed in Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C. is 18 years. The Utility used the correct rate from 2010 – 2012, therefore, depreciation expense is correct for account 380.4. The detail for the adjustment follows on the next page.

In addition, the Utility's wastewater accumulated depreciation and wastewater depreciation expense are understated for Account 361.2- Manholes. The utility used a service life of 45 years. The average service life prescribed in Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C. is 30 years. The detail of the adjustment follows on the next page. Because this error effects 2012, this adjustment does change depreciation expense.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following adjustment should be made to the general ledger as of December 31, 2012. Since the 2012 ledger will be closed when this order is issued, expense entries will be shown as an adjustment to retained earnings instead of depreciation expense.

NARUC	Utility				
Account	Account	Account Name		<b>De bit</b>	 Credit
215	4998	Retained Earnings	\$	88,345	
108	2160	Acc. Dep Treatment and Disposal			\$ 1,068
108	2113	Acc. Dep Manholes		42.17	\$ 87,277

Effect on the Filing: Average wastewater accumulated depreciation should be increased by \$86,626 and wastewater depreciation expense should be increased by \$3,438.

		]							-			Per Staff	Per Utility	
2007 - 20	09		į				2007	2007	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	
Asset #	, have 180 have	Cost	Acc. Dep. Acct.	Start Dep.	Life	Rate	Per Staff Total	Acc. Dep.	Per Staff Total	Acc. Dep.	Per Staff Total	Acc. Dep.	Acc. Dep.	Inc. to Acc. Dep.
159170	\$	33.92	2160	9/25/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (0.94)	\$ (0.94)	\$ (1.88)	\$ (2.83)	\$ (1.88)	\$ (4.71)	\$ (2.24)	of the contract of the special state of the con-
159171	\$	59.00	2160	10/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (1.64)	\$ (1.64)	\$ (3.28)	\$ (4.92)	\$ (3.28)	\$ (8.19)	\$ (3.78)	
159172	\$	257.17	2160	8/23/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (7.14)	\$ (7.14)	\$ (14.29)	\$ (21.43)	\$ (14.29)	\$ (35.72)	\$ (17.72)	
159173	\$	288.85	2160	8/23/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (8.02)	\$ (8.02)	\$ (16.05)	\$ (24.07)	\$ (16.05)	\$ (40.12)	\$ (20.02)	The second secon
159174	\$	292.76	2160	6/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (8.13)	\$ (8.13)	\$ (16.26)	\$ (24.40)	\$ (16.26)	\$ (40.66)	\$ (21.70)	
159175	\$	332.15	2160	6/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (9.23)	\$ (9.23)	\$ (18.45)	\$ (27.68)	\$ (18.45)	\$ (46.13)	\$ (24.52)	the a finite of the committee of the finite or the committee of the commit
159176	\$	332.36	2160	4/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (9.23)	\$ (9.23)	\$ (18.46)	\$ (27.70)	\$ (18.46)	\$ (46.16)	\$ (26.11)	The second section of the second section of the second section of the second section s
159177	\$	343.75	2160	3/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (9.55)	\$ (9.55)	\$ (19.10)	\$ (28.65)	\$ (19.10)	\$ (47.74)	\$ (27.91)	To the control of the
159178	\$	504.66	2160	2/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (14.02)	\$ (14.02)	\$ (28.04)	\$ (42.06)	\$ (28.04)	\$ (70.09)	\$ (41.99)	
159179	\$	756.50	2160	9/25/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (21.01)	\$ (21.01)	\$ (42.03)	\$ (63.04)	\$ (42.03)	\$ (105.07)	\$ (50.42)	
159180	\$	813.71	2160	7/23/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (22.60)	\$ (22.60)	\$ (45.21)	\$ (67.81)	\$ (45.21)	\$ (113.02)	\$ (58.28)	
159181	\$	960.22	2160	1/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (26.67)	\$ (26.67)	\$ (53.35)	\$ (80.02)	\$ (53.35)	\$ (133.36)	\$ (82.39)	
159182	\$	2,621.50	2160	10/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (72.82)	\$ (72.82)	\$ (145.64)	\$ (218.46)	\$ (145.64)	\$ (364.10)	\$ (168.64)	
159183	\$	3,381.20	2160	1/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$ (93.92)	\$ (93.92)	\$ (187.84)	\$ (281.77)	\$ (187.84)	\$ (469.61)	\$ (289.81)	
159184	\$	4,009.00	2160	1/28/2007	18	5.556%	\$(111.36)	\$ (111.36)	\$ (222.72)	\$ (334.08)	\$ (222.72)	\$ (556.81)	\$ (343.74)	
1000211	\$	2,291.54	2160	3/28/2008	18	5.556%			\$ (63.65)	\$ (63.65)	\$ (127.31)	\$ (190.96)	\$ (120.12)	
2003015	\$	890.85	2160	12/21/2006	18	5.556%	\$ (49.49)	\$ (74.23)	\$ (49.49)	\$ (123.72)	\$ (49.49)	\$ (173.22)	\$ (78.49)	
Total	\$	18,169.14									L	\$ (2,445.67)	\$ (1,377.88)	\$ (1,067.79)
Note: Us	ed 1	I/2 year conv	ention to o	compute accum	ulated dep	reciation for	additions.							
										Simple Aver	age Acc Depr	Treatment and	Disposal	\$ (1,067.79)
				]						Simple Aver	age Acc Depr	Manholes (next	page)	\$ (85,557.72)
										Total Accum	nulated Depred	ciation - Averag	e	\$ (86,625.51)

	Utility									}					
Asset	Account		Acc. Dep.	<b>Dep. Ехр.</b>				Expense	Expense						
Number	Number	Cost	Acc.	Acc.	Start Dep.	Life	Rate	1986	1987 -2011	Ac	c. Dep. 2011	Ex	pense 2012	Ac	c. Dep. 2012
101132	1353	\$308,270.51	2113	6717	3/29/1986	30	3%	\$ 5,137.84	\$ 256,892.09	\$	(262,029.93)	\$	10,275.68	\$	(272,305.62)
Per Utility	y									\$	(178,191.14)	\$	6,837.84	\$	(185,028.98)
Understa	tement									\$	(83,838.79)	\$	3,437.84	\$	(87,276.64)
Beginnin	g and End	ling Average												\$	(85,557.72)
Note: Us	sed 1/2 ye	ar convention	to comput	e depreciat	ion for addi	tions.									

### Finding 9: Contributions In Aid of Construction (CIAC)

Audit Analysis: The first tariff for Service Availability Fees had an effective date of July 21, 2007 and was for \$1,500 per ERC for each water and wastewater. Commission Order No. PSC-07-912-AS-WS, issued November 9, 2007 in Docket 060257-WS, approved a settlement agreement and revised the capacity charges as follows:

"The Parties have also agreed that CLA (Cypress Lakes Associates, Ltd.) should be credited with having satisfied its obligation to fully contribute the water distribution and wastewater collection plant in service associated with the remaining 93 lots in Cypress Lakes' existing service territory and the additional 120 lots located in Phase 12. Also, CLA should be credited with having previously paid \$920 per lot of the \$1,275 per lot wastewater capacity charge for the 213 lots (120 + 93). As a result, CLA will be required to pay the \$750 per lot water capacity charge and \$355 (\$1,275-920) per lot of the wastewater capacity charge."

As a result of the order, a tariff for wastewater was approved effective December 10, 2007, but no signed tariff for water could be located in the Utility or the Commission files. The Utility's attorney provided his unsigned copy of the water tariff (second revised sheet number 24). It included the \$750 capacity fees and meter installation fees of \$125 for 5/8" x 3/4" meter and increasing costs for larger meters.

In addition to the lack of an approved tariff, three errors were found with CIAC.

First, from 2008 to 2012, the Utility recorded 29 of the \$125 meter fees in a revenue account (General Ledger account 5285, NARUC 474) when they should have been recorded in CIAC. Revenues in the test year are overstated by the \$1,000 in 2012. The following schedule computes the CIAC, accumulated amortization, and amortization expense.

Year	Meters	CIAC	Depreciation	A	mortization	Years	Acc	umulated
	In Revenue		Rate		Expense		Am	ortization
2008	9	\$ (1,125)	5%	\$	(56)	4.5	\$	253
2009	5	\$ (625)	5%	\$	(31)	3.5	\$	109
2010	4	\$ (500)	5%	\$	(25)	2.5	\$	63
2011	3	\$ (375)	5%	\$	(19)	1.5	\$	28
2012	8	\$ (1,000)	5%	\$	(50)	0.5	\$_	25
		\$ (3,625)		\$	(181)	_	\$	478
Average Ad	ljustment	\$ (3,125)					\$	388

Second, in 2008, six wastewater capacity fees totaling \$2,130 and one in 2009 of \$355 were recorded in water CIAC. Therefore, \$2,485 needs to be removed from water and transferred to wastewater CIAC. The accumulated amortization should be adjusted as follows:

		2008	 2009		Total
	A	ddition	 Addition		2 CONTRACTOR & CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT
CIAC Fees Water (debit to decrease)	\$	2,130	\$ 355	\$	2,485
Water Amortization Rate		2.98%	 2.98%	7	2.98%
Water Amortization Expense	\$	63	\$ 11	\$	74
Years In Service		4.50	 3.50		
Accumulated Amort. Removed From Water	\$	(286)	\$ (37)	\$	(323)
Average Accumulated Depreciation				\$	(286)
CIAC Fees Wastewater (credit to increase)	\$	(2,130)	\$ (355)	\$	(2,485)
Wastewater Amortization Rate		2.86%	 2.86%		2.86%
Wastewater Amortization Expense	\$	(61)	\$ (10)	\$	(71)
Years In Service		4.5	 3.5		The state of the s
Accumulated Amort. Increase for Wastewater	\$	274	\$ 36	\$	310
Average Accumulated Depreciation				\$	274

The third error was that Cypress Lakes Associates, Ltd. contributed property that was never recorded. Water distribution plant of \$199,550 and wastewater collection and transmission plant of \$463,551 was contributed on October 31, 2007. This plant should have been debited to plant and credited to CIAC even though there is no net effect on rate base. The schedule at the end of this finding details the accounts and the associated accumulated depreciation and amortization.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the meter fees as of December 31, 2012. Since the 2012 ledger will be closed when this order is issued, expense entries will be shown as an adjustment to retained earnings instead of revenue and amortization expense.

NARUC	Utility				
Account	Account	Title	Debit	C	Cre dit
272	3895	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$ 478		
215	4998	Retained Earnings	\$ 3,147		
271	3350	CIAC		\$	3,625

The following entry should be made to the ledger for the misclassification between water and wastewater as of December 31, 2012:

NARUC	Utility		]	Credit		
Account	Account	Title				
271	3455	CIAC Water	\$	2,485		
271	3705	CIAC Wastewater			\$	2,485
215	4998	Retained Earnings	\$	13		
272	4265	Accumulated Amortization Wastewater	\$	310		
272	4005	Accumulated Amortization Water		of the third side and the control of the third the third and the third the t	\$	323

The entry to record the contributed assets follows:

NARUC	Utility	Account	T	Debit		Credit
Account	Account	Title				value v de como balle e middlichal (1979), a garage.
331	1125	Trans. & Dist. Mains	\$	140,954		
333	1130	Services	\$	46,958		
335	1145	Hydrants	\$	11,638	7.0.2.	WATER THE THE PROPERTY OF THE
361	1350	Gravity Mains	\$	169,633		- specificar and province construction of the state of th
363	1345	Services	\$	56,334		
363	1353	Manholes	\$	88,618		man a sa
360	1345	Force Mains	\$	10,629		and the second s
354	1380	Lift Station	\$	138,337		
271	3340	CIAC Trans. & Dist. Mains			\$	140,954
271	3345	CIAC Services	i	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$	46,958
271	3360	CIAC Hydrants			\$	11,638
271	3555	CIAC Gravity Mains			\$	169,633
271	3550	CIAC Services			\$	56,334
271	3555	CIAC Manholes			\$	88,618
271	3550	CIAC Force Mains			\$	10,629
271	3500	CIAC Lift Station		And the second s	\$	138,337
108	1920	Acc. Dep. Trans. & Dist. Mains			\$	18,063
108	1925	Acc. Dep. Services			\$	6,457
108	1940	Acc. Dep. Hydrants			\$	1,421
108	2110	Acc. Dep. Gravity Mains			\$	20,712
108	2105	Acc. Dep. Services			\$	8,149
108	2110	Acc. Dep. Manholes			\$	16,230
108	2105	Acc. Dep. Force Mains	1		\$	1,947
108	2055	Acc. Dep. Lift Station	ľ		\$	30,434
272	3885	Acc. Amt. Trans. & Dist. Mains	\$	18,063		
272	3890	Acc. Amt. Services	\$	6,457		
272	3905	Acc. Amt. Hydrants	\$	1,421		
272	4105	Acc. Amt. Gravity Mains	\$	20,712		
272	4100	Acc. Amt. Services	\$	8,149		and the state of t
272	4105	Acc. Amt. Manholes	\$	16,230		
272	4100	Acc. Amt. Force Mains	\$	1,947		
272	4050	Acc. Amt. Lift Station	\$	30,434		A1 24 AN 44 AN 44 AN ANALYSIS

Effect on the Filing: For the meter fees recorded as revenue, average CIAC should be increased by \$3,125 (credit), average accumulated amortization should be increased by \$388 (debit), and depreciation expense decreased by \$181 (credit).

For the second error, average water CIAC should be reduced (debited) by \$2,485 and wastewater CIAC increased (credited) by \$2,485. Average water accumulated amortization should be reduced by \$286 (credited) and wastewater increased by \$274 (debited). Water depreciation expense should be increased by \$74 (debited) and wastewater decreased (credited) by \$71.

For the unrecorded CIAC, there is no net effect on the filing, however, Water plant (debit) and water CIAC (credit) should be increased by \$199,550 and Wastewater plant (debit) and wastewater CIAC (credit) should be increased by \$463,551. Average water accumulated depreciation (credit) and water accumulated amortization (debit) should be increased by \$23,583 and wastewater accumulated depreciation (credit) and wastewater accumulated amortization (debit) increased by \$70,429. Water depreciation expense (debit) and water amortization expense (credit) should be increased by \$4,717 and wastewater depreciation expense (debit) and wastewater amortization expense (credit) should be increased by \$14,086.

Water Plant			Plant		CIAC	Deprec.	D	eprec.		Amort.	A	cc. Dep.	Acc.	Amort.
Account #	Account Title					Rates	E	xpense	F	xpense	5.	5 Years	5.5	Years
331	Trans. & Dist.	\$	140,954	\$	(140,954)	2.33%	\$	3,284	\$	(3,284)	\$	(18,063)	\$	18,063
333	Services	\$	46,958	\$	(46,958)	2.50%	\$	1,174	\$	(1,174)	\$	(6,457)	\$	6,457
335	Hydrants	\$	11,638	\$	(11,638)	2.22%	\$	258	\$	(258)	\$	(1,421)	\$	1,421
Total Water P	lant	\$	199,550	\$	(199,550)		\$	4,717	\$	(4,717)	\$	(25,941)	\$	25,941
Average Accu	mulated Depred	iati	on/Amortiz	atio	n			******************************			\$	(23,583)	\$	23,583
Wastewater I	Plant		Plant		CIAC	Deprec.	D	eprec.		Amort.	A	cc. Dep.	Acc.	Amort.
Account #	Account Title					Rates	E	xpense	E	xpense	5.	5 Years	5.5	Years
361	Gravity Mains	\$	169,633	\$	(169,633)	2.22%	\$	3,766	\$	(3,766)	\$	(20,712)	\$	20,712
363	Services	\$	56,334	\$	(56,334)	2.63%	\$	1,482	\$	(1,482)	\$	(8,149)	\$	8,149
361	Manholes	\$	88,618	\$	(88,618)	3.33%	\$	2,951	\$	(2,951)	\$	(16,230)	\$	16,230
360	Force Mains	\$	10,629	\$	(10,629)	3.33%	\$	354	\$	(354)	\$	(1,947)	\$	1,947
354	Lift Station	\$	138,337	\$	(138,337)	4.00%	\$	5,533	\$	(5,533)	\$	(30,434)	\$	30,434
		\$	463,551	\$	(463,551)		\$	14,086	\$	(14,086)	\$	(77,472)	\$	77,472
Average Accu	mulated Depre	ciati	on/Amortiz	atio	n					_	\$	(70,429)	\$	70,429

#### Finding 10: Accumulated Amortization of CIAC

Audit Analysis: Commission Order PSC-0300647-PAA-WS in Docket 020407-WS, required the Utility to increase CIAC by \$18,100 for unrecorded cash contributions. In the 2005, the actual test year in Docket 060257-WS, the Utility booked this adjustment to CIAC in its ledger. However, the ledger system which calculates amortization on the CIAC did not record amortization on this entry for the years 2006 to 2012. In its filing, the Utility included an adjustment of a debit to water accumulated amortization of CIAC and a reduction to depreciation expense of \$1,810 for an increase in CIAC amortization. This was based on seven years of amortization at 10% which is the depreciation rate for intangible plant in Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C. In recording this adjustment, the Utility recorded the year end amount to rate base instead of the average amount.

However, the Commission has usually required cash contributions to be amortized using a composite depreciation rate calculated excluding intangible and general plant assets. The rule requires that this rate be computed yearly. Staff computed the yearly amounts which were not materially different. Therefore, for the purposes of this finding, we used the seven year average of 2.98%.

If the composite rate is used, the adjustment is computed as follows:

Year End	Amortization Rate	(D	CIAC ecorded)		umulated ortization	Amortization Expense		
iear Enu	Rate	(1)	ecorded	AND THE REST OF THE PARTY OF TH	f CIAC	The transmission	recorded)	
	A			(Un	recorded)			
Per Filing	10.00%	\$	(18,100)	\$	12,670	\$	(1,810)	
Per Audit Staff	2.98%	\$	(18,100)	\$	3,776	\$	(539)	
Difference		\$	-	\$	8,894	\$	(1,271)	
	Amortization		CIAC		umulate d	Amortization		
Average	Rate	(R	ecorded)	Am	ortization	E	Expense	
i				0	f CIAC	(Ur	re corde d)	
				(Uni	recorded)			
Per Filing	10.00%	\$	(18,100)	\$	12,670	\$	(1,810)	
Per Audit Staff	2.98%	\$	(18,100)	\$	3,507	\$	(539)	
Difference		\$	-	\$	9,164	\$	(1,271)	

Effect on the General Ledger: Since the Utility hasn't booked the amount in the filing, the ledger should be adjusted for the revised staff amount. The following adjustment needs to be made to the general ledger as of December 31, 2012.

NARUC Utility		Account	I	Debit	Credit		
Account	Account	Title					
272	4005	Accumulated Amortization Water	\$	3,776			
215	4998	Retained Earnings			\$	3,776	

Effect on the Filing: Average water accumulated amortization of CIAC should be reduced (credit) by \$9,164 and depreciation expense decreased (debited) by \$1,271.

### Finding 11: Other Miscellaneous Expense Non-Recurring

Audit Analysis: Schedule B-5 of the MFR's included \$9,950.66 in account 667 for water and \$9,136.81 in account 767 for wastewater. These costs were for the preparation of MFR filing using a 2011 test year. However, the filing was never completed and the costs were expensed. This is a non-recurring expense and should be removed from operation and maintenance expenses.

Effect on the General Ledger: There is no effect on the general ledger because the 2012 ledger is already closed.

Effect on the Filing: Operation and maintenance expenses should be reduced by \$9,950.66 for water and \$9,136.81 for wastewater.

### **Exhibits**

#### **Exhibit 1: Rate Base-Water**

Schedule of Water Rate Base

Florida Public Service Commission

Company: Cypress Lakes Utilities,Inc.
Docket No.: 130212-WS
Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2012
Interim [ | Final |X|
Historic [X] Projected [ |

Schedule: A-I
Page 1 of 1
Preparer: F. Seidman

Explanation: Provide the calculation of average rate base for the test year, showing all adjustments. All non-used and useful items should be reported as Plant Held For Future Use. If method other than formula approach (1/8 O&M) is used to determine working capital, provide additional schedule showing detail calculation.

Line	(1)	Siı	(2) nple Average Per	(3) A-3 Utility			(4) Adjusted Utility	(5) Supporting
No.	Description		Books	Adjustments		_	Balance	Schedule(s)
1	Utility Plant in Service	\$	1,738,817	(23,430)	A	\$	1,715,386	A-3, A-5
2	Utility Land & Land Rights		1,365		A		1,365	A-3, A-5
3	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant				В		-	A-3, A-7
4	Construction Work in Progress		-		С		-	B-3, A-18
5	Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(870,888)	37,154	D		(833,734)	A-3, A-9
6	Less: CIAC		(347,273)	-	Ε		(347,273)	A-3, A-12
7	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC		140,254	12,670	F		152,924	A-3, A-14
8	Acquisition Adjustments			-	G		-	A-3, A-18
9	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments						-	A-18
10	Advances For Construction						-	A-16
11	Working Capital Allowance		26,891	2,161	н		29,052	A-3, A-17
12	Total Rate Base	<u>s</u>	689,166	\$ 28,555		<u>s</u>	717,721	

#### **Exhibit 2: Rate Base-Wastewater**

Schedule of Wastewater Rate Base

Florida Public Service Commission

Company: Cypress Lakes Utilities,Inc. Docket No.: 130212-WS

Schedule: A-2 Page 1 of 1

Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2012

Preparer: F. Seidman

Interim [ | Final [X] Historic [X] Projected [ ]

Explanation: Provide the calculation of average rate base for the test year, showing all adjustments. All non-used and useful items should be reported as Plant Held For Future Use. If method other than formula approach (1/8 O&M) is used to determine working capital, provide additional schedule showing detail calculation.

Line	(1)	Sin	(2) nple Average Per		(3) A-3 Utility			(4) Adjusted Utility Balance	(5) Supporting
No.	Description		Books		Adjustments			Ванисе	Schedule(s)
1	Utility Plant in Service	\$	3,760,608	\$	288,493	A	\$	4,049,102	A-3, A-6
2	Utility Land & Land Rights		2,610			A		2,610	A-3, A-6
3	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant				-	В		•	A-3, A-7
4	Construction Work in Progress		•		-	С		•	A-3, A-18
5	Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(1,524,841)		(85,602)	D		(1,610,442)	A-3, A-10
6	Less: CIAC		(846,329)		-	E		(846,329)	A-12
7	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC		319,476		-	F		319,476	A-3, A-14
8	Acquisition Adjustments					G		-	A-3, A-18
9	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments							•	A-18
10	Advances For Construction							•	A-16
11	Working Capital Allowance		35,411	_	1,986	H		37,396	A-3, A-17
12	Total Rate Base	\$	1,746,935	S	204,877		S	1,951,812	

# **Exhibit 3: Net Operating Income-Water**

Schedule of Water Net Operating Income

Florida Public Service Commission

Company: Cypress Lakes Utilities,Inc.
Docket No.: 130212-WS
Test Year Ended: December 31, 2012
Interim [ | Final [X]
Historic [X] or Projected [ ]

Schedule: B-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: F. Seidman

Explanation: Provide the calculation of net operating income for the test year. If amortization (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an additional schedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

Line	(1)	(2) Balance Per	(3) Utility Test Year	(4) Utility Adjusted	(5) Requested Revenue		(6) Requested Annual	(7) Supporting
No.	Description	Books	Adjustments	Test Year	Adjustment		Revenues	Schedule(s)
1	OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 317,304	<u>\$</u>	\$ 317,304	\$ 100,603	<b>(F)</b>	\$ 417,907	B-4, B-3, E-2
2	Operation & Maintenance	215,132	2,724 (B)	217,856	14,560	(G)	232,416	B-5, B-3
3	Depreciation, net of CIAC Amort.	61,810	(3,846) (C)	57,964	•		57,964	B-13, B-3
4	Amortization							
5	Taxes Other Than Income	50,038	(8,521) (D)	41,518	5,231	(H)	46,749	B-15, B-3
6	Provision for Income Taxes	22,510	(22,510) (E)	<u></u> :	21,455	<b>(l)</b>	21.455	C-1, B-3
7	OPERATING EXPENSES	349,490	(32,153)	317,337	41,246		358,583	
8	NET OPERATING INCOME	<u>\$ (32,187)</u>	<u>\$ 32,153</u>	\$ (33)	\$ 59,388		\$ 59,355	
9	RATE BASE	\$ 689,166		\$ 717,721			<u>\$ 717,721</u>	
10	RATE OF RETURN		%		%		8.27 %	6

# **Exhibit 4: Net Operating Income-Wastewater**

Schedule of Wastewater Net Operating Income

Florida Public Service Commission

Company: Cypress Lakes Utilities,Inc.
Docket No.: 130212-WS
Test Year Ended: December 31, 2012
Interim [ ] Final [X]
Historic [X] or Projected [ ]

Schedule: B-2 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: F. Seldman

Explanation: Provide the calculation of net operating income for the test year. If amortization (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an additional schedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

	(1)	(2) Balance	(3) Utility	(4) Utility	(5) Requested		(6) Requested	(7)
Line No.	Description	Per Books	Test Year Adjustments	Adjusted Test Year	Revenue Adjustment		Angual Revenues	Supporting Schedule(s)
1	OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 667,852	<u>s</u> - (A)	\$ 667,852	\$ 26,350	<b>(F)</b>	\$ 694,202	B-4, B-3, E-2
2	Operation & Maintenance	283,285	2,503 (B)	285,788	13,381	(G)	299,170	B-6, B-3
3	Depreciation, net of CIAC Amort.	117,729	(628) (C)	117,101	•		117,101	B-14, B-3
4	Amortization			-	-		•	B-3
5	Taxes Other Than Income	45,987	9,100 (D)	55,087	3,109	(H)	58,197	B-15, B-3
6	Provision for Income Taxes	20,688	(20,688) (E)		58,346	(1)	58,346	C-1, B-3
7	OPERATING EXPENSES	467,689	(9,713)	457,977	74,837		532,813	
8	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$ 200,162	<u>9,713</u>	\$ 209,875	S (48,460)		<b>S</b> 161,415	
9	RATE BASE	\$ 1,746,935		\$ 1,951,812			\$ 1,951,812	
10	RATE OF RETURN	11.46	%	10.75	%		8.27 %	<b>,</b>

# **Exhibit 5: Capital Structure**

Schedule of Requested Cost of Capital Simple Average

Florida Public Service Commission

Company: Cypress Lakes Utilities, loc.
Docket No.: 130212-WS
Test Year Ended: December 31, 2012
Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2012
Historic [X] or Projected [ ]

Schedule: D-1 (Final) Page 1 of 1 Preparer: F. Seidman

Utility [ | Parent [X]

Explanation: Provide a schedule which calculates the requested Cost of Capital on a beginning and end of year average basis. If a year-end basis is used, submit an additional schedule reflecting year-end calculations.

		(1) Total Capital Reconciled	(2)		(3)	(4)
Line No.		To Respected Rate Base	Retio		Cost Rate	Weighted Cost
1	Long-Term Debt	1,327,122	49.71	%	6.64 %	3.30 %
2	Short-Term Debi	1,843	0.07	%	%	%
3	Preferred Stock	•				
4	Customer Deposits	13,366	0.50	%	3.00 %	0.02 %
5	Common Equity	1,292,670	48.42	%	10.22 %	4.95 %
6	Tax Credits - Zero Cost	•				
7	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax	34,531	1.29	%	0.00 %	%
8	Other (Explain)	•				
,	Total	2,669,534	100.00	%		<u>8.27</u> %

Note: The cost of equity is based on the leverage formula in effect pursuant to Order No. PSC-13-0241-PAA-WS.

Equity Ratio (from D-2): 49.31 %