

RECEIVED-FPSC

14 JAN 21 AM 9:35

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

COMMISSION  
CLERK

IN RE	§	
	§	
UPH HOLDINGS, INC.,	§	CASE NO. 13-10570
PAC-WEST TELECOMM, INC,	§	CASE NO. 13-10571
TEX-LINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	§	CASE NO. 13-10572
UNIPOINT HOLDINGS, INC.	§	CASE NO. 13-10573
UNIPOINT ENHANCED SERVICES, INC.	§	CASE NO. 13-10574
UNIPOINT SERVICES, INC.	§	CASE NO. 13-10575
NWIRE, LLC	§	CASE NO. 13-10576
PEERING PARTNERS	§	CASE NO. 13-10577
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC	§	
	§	
DEBTORS	§	Jointly Administered Under
	§	
EIN: 45-1144038; 68-0383568; 74-2729541;	§	CASE NO. 13-10570
20-3399903; 74-3023729; 38-3659257; 37-	§	(Chapter 11)
1441383; 27-2200110; 27-4254637	§	
	§	
6500 RIVER PL. BLVD., BLDG. 2, # 200	§	
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78730	§	

**MOTION FOR AN ORDER PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. PRO. 9019  
AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN  
DEBTORS AND BHARTI AIRTEL (USA) LIMITED OF ADVERSARY NO. 13-01135**

This pleading requests relief that may be adverse to your interests.

If no timely response is filed within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service, the relief requested herein may be granted without a hearing being held.

A timely filed response is necessary for a hearing to be held.

TO THE HONORABLE U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE TONY M. DAVIS:

COME NOW UPH Holdings, Inc., ("UPH"), Pac-West Telecom, Inc., ("Pac-West"),  
Tex-Link Communications, Inc. ("Tex-Link"), UniPoint Holdings, Inc. ("UniPoint Holdings"),  
UniPoint Enhanced Services, Inc. ("UniPoint Enhanced"), UniPoint Services, Inc., ("UniPoint"),  
nWire, LLC ("nWire"), and Peering Partners Communications, LLC ("Peering Partners")

COM	_____
AFD	_____
APA	_____
ACO	_____
ANG	_____
GCL	_____
PDM	_____
TEL	_____
CLK	NG

(collectively the “Debtors” or “Plaintiffs”) hereby moves this Court for entry of an order pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. 9019, authorizing the Debtors to enter into a settlement agreement with Bharti Airtel (USA) Limited (“Defendant”) and approving such settlement agreement as set forth in this amended motion (the “Motion”) for the resolution of issues between the Debtors and the Defendant at issue in Adversary Proceeding No. 13-01135. In support of the Motion, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

**I. BACKGROUND FACTS AND EVENTS**

1. On March 28, 2013, (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed their voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (“Bankruptcy Code”). Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §§ 1107(a) and 1108, the Debtors are managing their affairs as debtors-in-possession.

2. On October 17, 2013, the Debtors filed their Complaint against the Defendant [Adv. Dckt. No. 1] seeking recovery pursuant to § 542(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, and for breach of contract, *quantum meruit*, and unjust enrichment, stemming from unpaid intercarrier compensation, thus initiating Adversary Proceeding No. 13-01135 (“Adversary Proceeding”).

3. In the Complaint, the Debtors alleged that the Defendant owed compensation to the Debtors of at least \$74,896.34, and also requested an award of attorneys’ fees and costs associated with the action, and that the amount owed was recoverable by the Debtors pursuant to § 542(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

4. The Debtors and the Defendant have been engaged in settlement discussions and have now reached a settlement of this action.

**II. RELIEF REQUESTED**

5. By this Motion, the Debtors seek an order of this Court authorizing the Debtors to enter into a settlement agreement (the “Settlement Agreement”) with the Defendant, and

approving such Settlement Agreement for the resolution of all issues raised between the Debtors and the Defendant.

### **III. THE SETTLEMENT**

6. The Settlement Agreement provides generally that the Defendant will make a settlement payment of \$74,896.34 to the Debtors. The Settlement Agreement also provides that the Debtors, in exchange for the settlement payment by the Defendant, will dismiss the Complaint.<sup>1</sup> In addition, the Settlement Agreement preserves any rights that the Defendant may have pursuant to any proofs of claim it may have filed in the Debtors' bankruptcy cases or that are listed in the Debtors' filed schedules. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Debtors and the Defendant will execute mutual releases, releasing certain claims, except for claims related to the Settlement Agreement.

7. Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Bankruptcy Rules") grants the Court authority to approve this settlement of claims and controversies after notice and a hearing. Specifically, Rule 9019 provides in pertinent part that "[o]n motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement." Under this authority, the Third Circuit has emphasized that "to minimize litigation and expedite the administration of a bankruptcy estate, '[c]ompromises are favored in bankruptcy.'" *In re Martin*, 91 F.3d 389, 393 (3d Cir. 1996) (citations omitted). In addition, courts have recognized that the approval of a proposed compromise and settlement is committed to the sound discretion of the bankruptcy court. *See United States v. AWECO, Inc. (In re AWECO, Inc., 725 F.2d 293, 297 (5th Cir. 1984); Rivercity v. Herpel (In re Jackson Brewing Co.) 624 F.2d 599, 602-03 (5th Cir. 1980) (decided under Bankruptcy Act)*. Settlements are considered a "normal part of the process

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Local Rule 9019(c)(2), a proposed order of dismissal for entry in Adversary Proceeding No. 13-01135 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

of reorganization” and a “desirable and wise method of bringing to a close proceedings otherwise lengthy, complicated and costly.” *Jackson Brewing*, 624 F.2d at 602 (citations omitted).

8. Before approving a settlement under Bankruptcy Rule 9019, a court must determine whether “the compromise is fair, reasonable, and in the interests of the estate.” *In re Marvel Entertainment Group, Inc.*, 222 B.R. 243, 249 (D. Del. 1998) (quoting *In re Louise’s*, 211 B.R. 798, 801(D. Del. 1997)). To reach such a determination, the court must assess the value of the claim that is being settled and balance it against the value to the estate of the approval of the settlement. *Martin*, 91 F.3d at 393. In striking this balance, the court should consider the following factors:

- a. The probability of success in the litigation;
- b. The complexity, expense and likely duration of the litigation;
- c. The possibilities of collecting on any judgment which might be obtained;
- d. All other factors relevant to making a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the proposed compromise; and
- e. Whether the proposed compromise is fair and equitable to the Debtors, their creditors, and other parties in interest.

*See Protective Comm. For Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson*, 390 U.S. 414, 424-425 (1968). *See also Martin*, 91 F.3d at 393.

9. Basic to the process of evaluating proposed settlements is “the need to compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards of litigation.” *TMT Trailer Ferry*, 390 U.S. at 425. Generally, the role of the bankruptcy court in evaluating a proposed settlement is not to decide the issues in dispute, but rather, to determine whether the settlement is fair and equitable as a whole. *Id.* at 424; *Watts v. Williams*, 154 B.R. 56, 59 (S.D. Tex. 1993). In addition, the Fifth Circuit has specified two additional factors that bear on the decision to approve a proposed settlement. *See Connecticut Gen. Life Ins. Co. v. United Cos. Fin. Corp. (In re Foster Mortgage*

*Corp.*), 68 F.3d 914, 917 (5th Cir. 1995). First, the court should consider “the paramount interest of creditors with proper deference to their reasonable views.” *Id.* Second, the court should consider “the extent to which the settlement is truly the product of arms-length bargaining, and not of fraud or collusion.” *Id.* at 918 (citations omitted).

10. The Debtors believe that the Settlement Agreement meets the above standards and is reasonable, fair, and equitable, as set out above. The Settlement Agreement is, in the business judgment of the Debtors, in the best interest of the Debtors’ creditors and their estates. In making such a judgment, the Debtors have reviewed documents relevant to defenses proposed to be raised to the Complaint submitted by the Defendant. The Debtors have also weighed the cost of further litigation and the likelihood of ultimate recovery in the Adversary Proceeding with respect to the remaining payments. Moreover, the Settlement Agreement is the product of arms-length negotiations between the Debtors and the Defendant. For all of these reasons, the Debtors believe that approval of the Settlement Agreement is in the best interest of the Debtors and the Debtors’ creditors.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED the Debtors respectfully request that this Court grant the relief requested herein; that the Court permit the Debtors to enter into the Settlement Agreement; and grant such other and further relief to the Debtors as is just and proper.

Dated: January 13, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.  
100 Congress Ave., Suite 1100  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 236-2000  
(512) 236-2002 - FAX

By: /s/ Jennifer F. Wertz

Patricia B. Tomasco  
State Bar No. 01797600  
(512) 236-2076 – Direct Phone  
(512) 691-4438 – Direct Fax  
Email: ptomasco@jw.com

Jennifer F. Wertz  
State Bar No. 24072822  
(512) 236-2247 – Direct Phone  
(512) 391-2147 – Direct Fax  
Email: jwertz@jw.com

**COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 13th day of January 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served either electronically on all parties requesting same and I hereby certify that on the 14th day of January 2014 that the foregoing was served via United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following on the attached Service List.

*/s/ Jennifer F. Wertz*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer F. Wertz

Steve Hubbard / RBC  
202 US Route One, Suite 206  
Falmouth, ME 04105

One Communications/Earthlink  
5 Wall Street  
Burlington, MA 01803

America OnLine  
22000 AOL Way  
Dulles, VA 20166

Telesense  
Cabs Department  
P.O. Box 364300  
Las Vegas, NV 89133-6430

Cox Communications  
1550 W. Deer Valley Rd.  
Phoenix AZ 85027

CenturyLink  
P.O. Box 2961  
Phoenix, AZ 85062-2961

Frontier  
P.O. Box 92713  
Rochester, NY 14692-0000

Cogent Communications  
P.O. Box 791087  
Baltimore, MD 21279-1087

Genband, Inc.  
ATTN: Eric Hinton  
2801 Network Blvd  
Suite 300  
Frisco, TX 75034

Samsara  
1250 S Capital of Texas Highway  
Bldg 2-235  
West Lake Hills, TX 78746

La Arcata Development Limited  
ATTN: ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE  
c/o NAI Reco Partners  
1826 N. Loop 1604 W, #250  
San Antonio, TX 78248

Grande Communications Network  
Dept 1204  
P.O. Box 121204  
Dallas, TX 75312-1204

Telus Corporation  
215 Slater Street  
Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5N5  
CANADA

Alpheus Communication  
Attn: SVP – Contract  
Administration  
1301 Fannin, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Houston, TX 77002

Hines REIT One Wilshire, LP  
Attn: Kevin McInerny  
624 S. Grand Avenue  
Suite 2435  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Bandwidth.Com, Inc.  
75 Remittance Drive, Suite 6647  
Chicago, IL 60675

Pac Bell  
P.O. Box 166490  
Atlanta, GA 30321-0649

Arent Fox LLP  
1050 Connecticut Ave. N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036-5339

FPL FiberNet LLC  
TJ412-01-0-R  
ATTN: FISCAL SERVICES  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Pilot Communications  
P.O. Box 77766  
Stockton, CA 95267-1066

Arthur A. Stewart  
William A. Frazell  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Bankruptcy & Collections Division  
P.O. Box 12548  
Austin, Texas 78711-2548

Stuart Komrower  
Ilana Volkov  
COLE, SCHOTZ, MEISEL, FORMAN &  
LEONARD, P.A.  
25 Main Street  
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

Valerie Wenger  
US Trustee  
903 San Jacinto Blvd., room 230  
Austin, Texas 78701

Internal Revenue Service  
P. O. Box 7346  
Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346

United States Attorney  
816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1000  
Austin, TX 78701

United States Attorney General  
Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20530

Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts  
Revenue Accounting Division –  
Bankruptcy Section  
P.O. Box 13528  
Austin, TX 78711



Texas Workforce Commission  
TEC Building – Bankruptcy  
101 East 15th Street  
Austin, TX 78778

James Ruiz  
Andrew J. Schumaker  
Winstead P.C.  
401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2100  
Austin, Texas 78701

UPH Holdings, Inc./Pac-West Telecomm, Inc./Tex-  
Link Communications, Inc./UniPoint Holdings, Inc.  
UniPoint Enhanced Services, Inc./UniPoint Services,  
Inc./nWire, LLC  
Peering Partners Communications, Inc.  
6500 River Place Blvd., Bldg. 2, Suite 200  
Austin, Texas 78730

A. Kenneth Hennesay  
**ALLEN MATKINS**  
1900 Main Street, 5th Floor  
Irvine, CA 92614-7321

Mitchell W. Katz  
1801 California Street, 9th Floor  
Denver, CO 80202

Timothy Bortz  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Dept. of Labor and Industry  
Reading Bankruptcy & Compliance Unit  
625 Cherry Street, Room 203  
Reading, PA 19602-1152

John Dillman  
Attorney in Charge for Taxing Authority  
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP  
PO Box 3064  
Houston, Texas 77253-3064

Joseph R. Dunn  
Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and  
Popeo, PC  
3580 Carmel Mountain Rd., Suite 300  
San Diego, CA 92130

Dun & Bradstreet  
c/o Ronald Rowland  
307 International Circle, Ste 270  
Hunt Valley, MD 21030

Elizabeth Weller  
LINEBARGER GOGGAN BLAIR &  
SAMPSON, LLP  
2323 Bryan Street, Suite 1600  
Dallas, TX 75201

Hercules Technology II, LP  
31 St. James Avenue, Suite 790  
Boston, MA 02116

Kelly M. Crawford, Esq.  
Peter C. Lewis, Esq.  
Scheef & Stone, L.L.P.  
500 N. Akard, 27th floor  
Dallas, Texas 75201

Melissa A. Haselden  
HOOVER SLOVACEK LLP  
5847 San Felipe, Suite 2200  
Houston, Texas 77057

David F. Brown  
Ewell, Bickham, & Brown LLP  
111 Congress Avenue, Suite 400  
Austin, Texas 78701

Philip G. Eisenberg  
W. Steven Bryant  
Locke Lord LLP  
600 Travis Street, Suite 2800  
Houston, Texas 77702

IBM Corporation  
Bankruptcy Coordinator  
Roger Laviolette  
275 Viger East, Suite 400  
Montreal, QC H2X 3R7  
Canada

Kate P. Foley  
Christine E. Devine  
Mirick O'Connell, DeMallie & Lougee  
1800 West Park Drive, Suite 400  
Westborough, MA 01581

Courtney Harris  
Aldine ISD  
14910 Aldine-Westfield Rd.  
Houston, Texas 77032

James V. Hoeffner  
GRAVES, DOUGHERTY, HEARON  
MOODY, P.C.  
401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2200  
Austin, Texas 78701

Hercules Technology Growth  
Capital, Inc.,  
31 St. James Avenue, Suite 790  
Boston, MA 02116

Jason S. Brookner  
**LOOPER REED & MCGRAW  
P.C.**  
1601 Elm Street, Suite 4600  
Dallas, TX 75201

Kurt F. Gwynne  
Reed Smith  
1201 N Market Street, Suite 1500  
Wilmington, DE 19801

Linda Boyle, Esq.  
tw telecom inc.  
10475 Park Meadows Drive, # 400  
Littleton, CO 80124

Craig A. Wolfe, Esq.  
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP  
101 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10178

Richard E. Mikels  
Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky  
and Popeo, PC  
One Financial Center  
Boston, MA 02111

Kay D. Brock  
Travis County Attorney's Office  
PO Box 1748  
Austin, Texas 78767-1748

David Aelvoet  
Linebarger Goggan Blair &  
Sampson  
711 Navarro Street, Suite 300  
San Antonio, Texas 78205

Laura Garfinkel  
CABS Billing Division  
GSAssociates  
5400 Laurel Springs Parkway, Suite 404  
Suwanee, GA 30024

BOXER F2, LP  
c/o Tracy Fink  
720 N Post Oak Blvd., Suite 500  
Houston, Texas 77024

Frank N. White  
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP  
171 17th Street NW, Suite 2100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30363-1031

David I. Swan  
Lori M. Scott  
J Robertson Clarke  
McGuire Woods LLP  
1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1800  
Tysons Corner, Virginia 22102

Margarita Gevondyan  
Southern California Edison Company  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, 3rd Floor  
Rosemead, CA 91770

Leslie E. Trout  
Director of Finance and Administration  
ATER WYNNE LLP  
1331 NW Lovejoy Street, Suite 900  
Portland, OR 97209

Stephen W. Lemmon  
Sam Chang  
Brown McCarroll, LLP  
111 Congress Avenue, Suite 1400  
Austin, Texas 78701

Christopher H. Trickey  
Brian T. Cummings  
Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody, P.C.  
401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2200  
Austin, Texas 78701

Elizabeth G. Smith  
Law Offices of Elizabeth G. Smith  
6655 First Park Ten, Suite 250  
San Antonio, Texas 78213

Eric J. Taube  
Hohmann, Taube & Summers  
100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1800  
Austin, Texas 78701

Charles E. Richardson, III, Esq.  
Vice President and General Counsel  
Momentum Telecom  
2700 Corporate Drive, Suite 200  
Birmingham, AL 35242

Darryl S. Laddin  
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP  
171 17th Street NW, Suite 2100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30363-1031

Pamella A. Hopper  
McGuire Woods LLP  
815 Congress Avenue, Suite 940  
Austin, Texas 78701

Samuel Castor  
Legal Dept.  
Switch, Ltd.  
7135 Decatur Blvd.  
Las Vegas, NV 89118

Steven Willamowsky  
Bingham  
399 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10022-4689

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION**

IN RE	§	
	§	
UPH HOLDINGS, INC.,	§	CASE NO. 13-10570
PAC-WEST TELECOMM, INC,	§	CASE NO. 13-10571
TEX-LINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	§	CASE NO. 13-10572
UNIPOINT HOLDINGS, INC.	§	CASE NO. 13-10573
UNIPOINT ENHANCED SERVICES, INC.	§	CASE NO. 13-10574
UNIPOINT SERVICES, INC.	§	CASE NO. 13-10575
NWIRE, LLC	§	CASE NO. 13-10576
PEERING PARTNERS	§	CASE NO. 13-10577
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC	§	
	§	
DEBTORS	§	Jointly Administered Under
	§	
EIN: 45-1144038; 68-0383568; 74-2729541;	§	CASE NO. 13-10570
20-3399903; 74-3023729; 38-3659257; 37-	§	(Chapter 11)
1441383; 27-2200110; 27-4254637	§	
	§	
6500 RIVER PL. BLVD., BLDG. 2, # 200	§	
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78730	§	

**ORDER AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING SETTLEMENT  
BETWEEN DEBTORS AND BHARTI AIRTEL (USA) OF ADVERSARY NO. 13-01135  
PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. PRO. 9019**

CAME ON FOR CONSIDERATION the Motion for an Order Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. 9019 Authorizing and Approving Settlement Agreement Between Debtors and Bharti Airtel (USA) Limited of Adversary No. 13-01135 (“Motion”) filed by UPH Holdings, Inc., (“UPH”), Pac-West Telecom, Inc., (“Pac-West”), Tex-Link Communications, Inc. (“Tex-Link”), UniPoint Holdings, Inc. (“UniPoint Holdings”), UniPoint Enhanced Services, Inc. (“UniPoint Enhanced”), UniPoint Services, Inc., (“UniPoint”), nWire, LLC (“nWire”), and Peering Partners Communications, LLC (“Peering Partners”) (collectively the “Debtors” or “Plaintiffs”); and Bharti Airtel (USA) Limited (“Defendant”); and the Court having reviewed the Motion and considered the main elements of the Settlement Agreement (as defined in the Motion) finds good cause for the relief granted herein. It is therefore

ORDERED that the Motion for an Order Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. 9019 Authorizing and Approving Settlement Agreement (herein “Motion”) is hereby granted in its entirety;

ORDERED that the Debtors are authorized to enter into the Settlement Agreement with Bharti Airtel (USA) Limited (“Defendant”);

ORDERED that, pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. 9019, the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved.

###

**SUBMITTED BY:**

JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.  
100 Congress Ave., Suite 1100  
Austin, Texas 78701

By: /s/ Jennifer F. Wertz

Patricia B. Tomasco  
State Bar No. 01797600  
(512) 236-2076 – Direct Phone  
(512) 691-4438 – Direct Fax  
Email address: [ptomasco@jw.com](mailto:ptomasco@jw.com)

Jennifer F. Wertz  
State Bar No. 24072822  
(512) 236-2247 – Direct Phone  
(512) 391-2147 – Direct Fax  
Email address: [jwertz@jw.com](mailto:jwertz@jw.com)

**COUNSEL FOR THE DEBTORS**

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION**

<b>IN RE:</b>	§	
<b>UPH HOLDINGS, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10570</b>
<b>PAC-WEST TELECOMM, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10571</b>
<b>TEX-LINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10572</b>
<b>UNIPOINT HOLDINGS, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10573</b>
<b>UNIPOINT ENHANCED SERVICES, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10574</b>
	§	
<b>UNIPOINT SERVICES, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10575</b>
<b>NWIRE, LLC</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10576</b>
<b>PEERING PARTNERS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10577</b>
	§	
<b>DEBTORS.</b>	§	<b>CHAPTER 11</b>
	§	
<b>EIN: 45-1144038; 68-0383568; 74- 2729541; 20-3399903; 74-3023729; 38- 3659257; 37-1441383; 27-2200110; 27- 4254637</b>	§	
	§	
<b>6500 RIVER PL. BLVD., BLDG. 2, # 200 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78730</b>	§	<b>JOINTLY ADMINISTERED UNDER CASE NO. 13-10570</b>
	§	
<b>UPH HOLDINGS, INC.</b>	§	
<b>PAC-WEST TELECOMM, INC.</b>	§	
<b>TEX-LINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.</b>	§	
<b>UNIPOINT HOLDINGS, INC.</b>	§	

UNIPOINT ENHANCED SERVICES,  
INC.

§  
§

UNIPOINT SERVICES, INC.  
NWIRE, LLC

§  
§

PEERING PARTNERS  
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

§  
§

PLAINTIFFS

§  
§

ADV. PRO. NO. 13-01135

§

BHARTI AIRTEL (USA) LIMITED,  
DEFENDANT.

**ORDER DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE**

CAME ON TO BE CONSIDERED the Motion for an Order Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. Pro. 9019 Authorizing and Approving Settlement Agreement Between the Debtors and Bharti Airtel (USA) Limited (“Motion”), in which the Debtors (as defined in the Motion) requested authority to enter into and approval of a proposed settlement between Bharti Airtel (USA) Limited (“Defendant”) and the Debtors, and in which the Debtors set forth that the proposed settlement provided for payment of a sum of money by the Defendant and submission of a proposed form of order dismissing the instant adversary proceeding by the Debtors; and the Court having reviewed the Motion, finds good cause for the relief granted therein and for entry of the proposed form of order dismissing the instant adversary proceeding submitted in conjunction with the Motion. It is therefore

ORDERED that this adversary proceeding is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

###