FILED APR 15, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 01718-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

RECEIVED-FPSC

AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

14 APR 15 PM 4: 15

COMMISSION CLERK ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

April 15, 2014

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer, Director Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

> Re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor FPSC Docket No. 140001-EI

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and ten (10) copies of Tampa Electric Company's Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to portions of Forms 432-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a) and 423-2(b) for the month of February 2014.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely, Ashley M. Daniels



AMD/ne Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record (w/enc.)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor.

DOCKET NO. 140001-EI

FILED: April 15, 2014

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT AND MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to §366.093, Fla. Stat., Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "the company") submits the following Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to the company's Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a) and 423-2(b) for the month of February 2014:

1. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a detailed justification for the requested confidential treatment of the highlighted portions of Tampa Electric's 423 Forms for the month of February 2014.

2. Tampa Electric requests that the information for which Tampa Electric seeks confidential classification not be declassified until the dates specified in Exhibit "B" to this request. The time periods requested are necessary to allow Tampa Electric's affiliated companies to negotiate future contracts without their competitors (and other Customers) having access to information which would adversely affect the ability of these affiliates to negotiate future contracts. The period of time requested will ultimately protect Tampa Electric and its Customers.

 The material for which classification is sought is intended to be and is treated by Tampa Electric and its affiliates as private and has not been disclosed.

WHEREFORE, Tampa Electric submits the foregoing as its request for confidential treatment and motion for temporary protective order relating to the information identified as Exhibit

"A".

DATED this 15 day of April 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES D. BEASLEY J. JEFFRY WAHLEN ASHLEY M. DANIELS Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 (850) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by hand delivery(*) or electronic mail on this 15^{++} day of April 2014 to the following:

Ms. Martha F. Barrera* Senior Attorney Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 mbarrera@psc.state.fl.us

Mr. John T. Burnett Ms. Dianne M. Triplett Duke Energy Florida, Inc. Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733 John.burnett@duke-energy.com Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Mr. Matthew R. Bernier Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr. Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com paul.lewisjr@duke-energy.com

Mr. Jon C Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Ms. Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us Ms. Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 <u>bkeating@gunster.com</u>

Ms. Cheryl Martin Director/Regulatory Affairs Florida Public Utilities Company 1641 Worthington Road, Suite 220 West Palm Beach, FL 33409 Cheryl_Martin@fpuc.com

Mr. John T. Butler Assistant General Counsel - Regulatory Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 john.butler@fpl.com

Mr. Kenneth Hoffman Vice President, Regulatory Relations Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859 ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone Mr. Russell A. Badders Mr. Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950 jas@beggslane.com rab@beggslane.com srg@beggslane.com Mr. Robert L. McGee, Jr. Regulatory and Pricing Manager Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780 rlmcgee@southernco.com

Mr. Robert Scheffel Wright Mr. John T. LaVia, III Gardner, Bist, Wiener, Wadsworth, Bowden, Bush, Dee, LaVia & Wright, P.A. 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 <u>Schef@gbwlegal.com</u> <u>Jlavia@gbwlegal.com</u> Mr. James W. Brew Mr. F. Alvin Taylor Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007-5201 jbrew@bbrslaw.com ataylor@bbrslaw.com

ATTORNEY

February 2014 Docket No. 140001-EI

Request for Specified Confidential Treatment

FORM 423-1(a)

Line(s)	<u>Column</u>	Justification
Tampa Electric	Η	(1) This information is contractual information which, if
Company: 1-4		made public, "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to
		contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section
		366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. The information shows the price
		which Tampa Electric has paid for No. 2 fuel oil per barrel for
		specific shipments from specific suppliers. This information
		would allow suppliers to compare an individual supplier's
		price with the market "for that date of delivery" and thereby
		determine the contract pricing formula between Tampa
		Electric and that supplier.

Disclosure of the invoice price would allow suppliers to determine the contract price formula of their competitors. The knowledge of each other's prices would give suppliers information with which to actually control the pricing in No. 2 oil by either all quoting a particular price or adhering to a price offered by a major supplier. This could reduce or

FORM 423-1(a) (continued)

	FORM 423-1(a) (continued)					
Plant Name: Line(s)						
		eliminate any opportunity for a major buyer, like Tampa Electric, to use its market presence to gain price concessions from any individual supplier. The end result is reasonably likely to be increased No. 2 fuel oil prices and, therefore, increased electric rates.				
Tampa Electric Company: 1-4	Ι	(2) The contract data found in Columns I through O are algebraic functions of Column H. Thus, the publication of these columns together, or independently, could allow a supplier to derive the invoice price of No. 2 oil paid by Tampa Electric.				
Tampa Electric Company: 1-4	J	(3) See item (2) above.				
Tampa Electric Company: 1-4	К	(4) See item (2) above.				
Tampa Electric Company: 1-4	L	(5) See item (2) above.				
Tampa Electric Company: 1-4	М	(6) See item (2) above. In addition, for the fuel that does not meet contract requirements, Tampa Electric may reject				

FORM 423-1(a) (continued)

	FORM 423-1(a) (continued)					
Plant Name: Line(s)	<u>Column</u>	Justification				
		the shipment, or accept the shipment and apply a quality adjustment. This is, in effect, a pricing term which is as important as the price itself and is therefore confidential for the reasons stated in paragraph (1) relative to price concessions.				
Tampa Electric Company: 1-4	Ν	(7) See item (2) above. In addition, this column is as important as H from a confidentiality standpoint because of the relatively few times that there are quality or discount adjustments. That is, Column N will equal Column H most of the time. Consequently, it needs to be protected for the same reason as set forth in paragraph (1).				
Tampa Electric Company: 1-4	0	(8) See item (2) above.				

FORM 423-2

Plant Name: Line(s) Column Justification TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5 G (9) Disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla Stat. Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which		FORM 423-2
Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5 Big Bend Station 1-4 United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3 Polk Station 1 1 master Station 1 master Station Costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of 1 master Station S	<u>Column</u>	Justification
would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such	G	the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla Stat. Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and

FORM 423-2 (continued)

Line(s) Column Justification

Plant Name:

disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric in Docket No. 860001-EI-D. A copy of Mr. Rowe's Direct Testimony from the September 29, 1986 hearing in that docket is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and by reference made a part hereof.

In the Commission's Order No. 12645 issued in Docket No. 830001-EU on November 3, 1983 (In re: Investigation of Fuel Adjustment Clauses of Electric Utilities), the Commission prescribed the current 423 Form filings. In so doing, the Commission observed:

> Next, we must determine whether any portion of the monthly reports should be accorded confidential treatment. We agree that certain portions of the confidential information. However, many portions of the monthly reports will not. The proprietary information for all types of fuel is transportation. Any breakout of transportation costs must be treated confidentially. In addition, F.O.B. mine prices for coal is proprietary in nature as is the price of fuel oil. Disclosure of separate transportation or F.O.B. mine prices would have a direct impact on a utility's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services. Disclosure of fuel oil prices would have an indirect effect upon bidding suppliers. Suppliers would be reluctant to provide

FORM 423-2 (continued)

Line(s) Column Justification

Η

Plant Name:

significant price concessions to an individual utility if prices were disclosed because other purchasers would seek similar concessions.

The vigorous competition discussed in Mr. Rowe's earlier testimony, as recognized by the Commission, justifies proprietary confidential treatment of the information in Column G.

Disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods and services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. This information would inform other potential suppliers as to the price Tampa Electric is willing to pay for coal. This would give present and potential coal suppliers information which could be harmful to Tampa Electric's interests in negotiating coal supply agreements. This is much the same as paragraph (1) under Form 423-1(a) regarding No. 2 oil suppliers.

(10) The disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. As was stated in (1), Columns G and H both need confidential protection because disclosure of either column will enable competitors to determine the segmented transportation charges.

TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5

Big Bend Station 1-4

FORM 423-2 (continued)

		FORM 423-2 (continued)
Plant Name: Line(s)	<u>Column</u>	Justification
United Bulk Terminal Transfer		Accordingly, the same reasons discussed in (1) likewise apply
Facility		with regard to Column H.
Polk Station 1-3		
Polk Station		

FORM 423-2(a)

DI AN	FORM 423-2(a)		
Plant Name: Line(s)	<u>Column</u>	Justification	
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5 Big Bend Station 1-4 United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3 Polk Station 1	Η	(11) If the original invoice price is made public, one can subtract the original invoice price from the publicly disclosed delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility and thereby determine the segmented river transportation cost. Disclosure of the river transportation cost "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).	
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5 Big Bend Station 1-4 United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3 Polk Station 1	J	(12) This information, like that contained in Column H, would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility. This would be done by subtracting the base price per ton from the delivered price at United Bulk Terminal, thereby revealing the river barge rate. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional	

FORM 423-2(a) (continued)

Line(s) Column Justification

Plant Name:

justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

TECO United L (13) This information, if publicly disclosed, would enable a **Bulk** Terminal **Big Bend Station** competitor to back into the segmented waterborne 1 - 5transportation costs using the already publicly disclosed **Big Bend Station** 1-4 delivered price of coal at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer United Bulk Facility. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Terminal Transfer Facility Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Polk Station 1-3 Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification Polk Station appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale 1 for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

EXHIBIT "A" Page 10 of 13

FORM 423-2(b)

	FORM 423-2(b)			
Plant Name:	Column Justification			
Line(s)	<u>Column</u>	Justification		
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5 Big Bend Station 1-4 United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3	G	(14) Disclosure of the effective purchase price in Column G "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Such disclosure would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price for coal at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility. This would be		
Polk Station		done by subtracting the effective purchase price per ton		
		from the price per ton delivered at United Bulk Terminal,		
		thereby revealing the river barge rate. Additional		
		justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of		
		the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2		
		(United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend		
		Station). Such disclosure would also adversely affect		
		Tampa Electric's ability to negotiate future coal supply		
		contracts.		
TECO United Bulk Terminal	Ι	(15) Disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely		
Big Bend Station		affect the ability of Tampa Electric affiliate, Gatliff Coal, to		
1-5 Die Dereit Station		negotiate favorable rail rates. Disclosure of the rail rates		
Big Bend Station 1-4		paid would effectively eliminate any negotiating leverage		

FORM 423-2(b) (continued)

Line(s) Column Justification

United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3

Plant Name:

Polk Station 1

and could lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the ultimate detriment of Tampa Electric and its customers. Accordingly, disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.

TECO United Κ (16) These columns contained information the disclosure of **Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station** which "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract 1-5 for goods or services on favorable terms." Section **Big Bend Station** 1-4 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Each of these columns provides United Bulk specific information on segmented transportation costs which **Terminal Transfer** Facility are the primary objects of this request. Additional Polk Station 1-3 justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the Polk Station rationale for confidentiality for Column G on 423-2 (United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

TECO United Bulk Terminal **Big Bend Station** 1-5

1

Big Bend Station 1-4

L (17) See item (16) above.

FORM 423-2(b) (continued)

FORM 423-2(b) (continued)			
Plant Name: Line(s)	<u>Column</u>	Justification	
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3			
Polk Station 1			
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5	М	(18) See item (16) above.	
Big Bend Station 1-4			
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3			
Polk Station 1			
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5	N	(19) See item (16) above.	
Big Bend Station 1-4			
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3			
Polk Station 1			

FORM 423-2(b) (continued)

N 1		(CRA1 425-2(b) (Continued)
Plant Name: Line(s)	<u>Column</u>	Justification
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5	0	(20) See item (16) above.
Big Bend Station 1-4		
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3		
Polk Station 1		
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-5	Р	(21) See item (16) above.
Big Bend Station 1-4		
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-3		
Polk Station 1		
h:\jdb\tec\form 423 filings\423 set	upl.doc	



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TANTA ELECTRIC CONTANY . SURATTED POR FILING 4/14/86 . .

.

22	1	BEPORE THE PLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	2	PREPARED TESTIMONY
	3	OF
		JOEN R. ROWE, JR.
2	. 4	
	5	Q. Will you please state your name, address and occupation.
	6	
2	7	A. My name is John R. Rowe, Jr. My business address is 702
	8	North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am
	9	Assistant Vice President of Tampa Electric Company.
	10	
	11	Q. Plezse describe your educational background and business
æ	12	experience.
14	13	
	14	, Distriction and Provide and Distriction of Districtions and the provide and
	15	Alabama; Evansville, Indiana; and Mt. Lebanon,
	16	Pennsylvania. I was graduated in June, 1962 from the
	17	Georgia Institute of Technology with a Bachelor of Science
	18	degree in Industrial Management, and from the University
	19	of South Florida in March, 1971 with a Master of Business
	20	Administration degree. I am a Certified Public Accountant
	21	licensed to practice in Florida and a member of the
	22	American Institute of CPAs, the Florida Institute of CPAs,
	23	and the National Association of Accountants. I joined
	24	Tampa Electric Company in July, 1962 and I have served in
	25	various capacities in the Personnel, Customer Accounting,

•

·: ••,

4

:

.

÷

.

1.1

Credit, General Accounting and Budget Departments over the past 23 years. I became Assistant Controller in 1974, Controller in 1981, and I was elected to my present position as Assistant Vice President in April, 1984. My present responsibilities include coordination of accounting and regulatory matters before this Commission (FPSC) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). I have presented testimony before this Commission in other proceedings.

11

12

22

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to respond to various areas 13 A. 14 of Commission and company concern regarding the confidentiality of certain fuel cost information supplied 15 16 to the Commission. I intend to demonstrate why some of the data which is supplied in regular monthly reports on 17 fuel costs to this Commission should be excluded from 18 public disclosure as being "proprietary confidential 19 business information" as defined by Section 20 366.093. Florida Statutes. 21

23 Q. Does Tampa Electric object to providing the Commission 24 with a report detailing all purchases of fuel, 25 transportation and fuel handling services?

Tampa Electric does not object to continuing 1 A. NO. to 2 information it needs to furnish to the Commission the review company expenditures for fuel, transportation and 3 fuel handling services. However, as I will describe 4 it is clearly in the best 5 later, interests of the ratepayers and the company for this Commission to continue 6 7 to certain treat of this information submitted 25 "Specified Confidential." 8 What portion of the report should be confidential? Q.

9

10

11

1.1.4

1

The cost of water transportation of coal which is billed 12 A .. to the regulated company by an affiliated company should 13 be treated as confidential. Tampa Electric formed a water 14 transportation system for the transport of coal in the 15 1950's which not only provides the necessary services for 16 Tampa Electric but also enjoys additional economies as it 17 provides competitive services to outside customers. 18 This system has saved our electric customers many millions of 19 dollars in transportation costs over the years and these 20 savings are likely to continue in the future so long as 21 the affiliated companies 22 able to maintain their are ... competitive edge. Through this transportation system Tampa 23 Electric's affiliated companies are able to move coal by 24 river barges from sources in Kentucky, Oklahoma 25 and

Illinois to a terminal on the east bank of the Mississippi River south of New Orleans for the purpose of off-loading, storing and transferring coal to ocean-going barges for transport to Tampa. These services are performed by TECO Transport and Trade companies: Mid-South Towing Company, which handles the coal by river barge; Electro Coal Transfer Corporation, which operates the storage and transfer facility and Gulf Coast Transit Company which provides ocean-going tugs and barges to move coal across the Gulf of Mexico.

12 Q. Are Tampa Electric affiliates faced with competition?

Yes, the market for bulk commodity transportation is very A .. competitive. Aside from the coal transportation services performed for Tampa Electric, the TECO Transport and Trade affiliates currently transport ccal anā other bulk commodities for other customers as well. The affiliates anticipate that additional markets for coal will SOOD develop in Florida for both industrial and electric power generation purposes, and hope to capture a portion of the transportation demand created by those markets. This market is very competitive.

Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates are not engaged solely in the one-way transportation of coal, however.

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1.

Mid-South Towing Company has provided, and continues to provide, both upstream and downstream transportation services for other bulk commodities, including grain and phosphate products. Electro-Coal Transfer Corporation is involved in the direct vessel-to-vessel transfer of grain and other bulk commodities in addition to the transfer of coal and coke on diverse routes, including phosphates from Florida to New Orleans, and grain from New Orleans to international markets.

As commercial enterprises, the affiliates face significant competition for each of the other transportation, transfer and storage services that they perform. Operators on the inland waterways include approximately 2,000 individual carriers. In size these carriers range from operators of single towboats to those operating large fleets of vessels and barges. Only a very small percentage of inland waterway traffic is subject to regulation. Exempt carriers are not required to publish revenues, operating data rates or financial information.

With reference to the river transportation of coal and other bulk commodities, Mid-South Towing Company's principal competitors include, among others: the Ohio River Company; American Commercial Barge Line Company;

4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Dravo Mechling Corporation; and The Valley Line Company. Mid-South Towing also faces intermodal competition from the railroads.

Electro-Coal Transfer Corporation competes with others for the performance DÍ transfer and storage .services. Electro-Coal's principal competitors with both shoreside transfer and ground storage capabilities are: International Marine Terminal; Burnside Terminals, Inc.; and New Orleans Bulk Terminal. A portion of the transfer market is also served by companies whose operations are mid-stream in the Mississippi River. Principal among these is Cooper-Smith Company.

Finally, Gulfcoast Transit Company competes with many other companies to provide ocean-going tug and barge transportation service. Principal among those competitors are: Dixie Carriers, Inc.; St. Phillips Towing Company; Sheridan Towing Company; Red Circle Transport Company; and Beker Industries, Inc.

22 Q. Would the disclosure of cost information expose the
23 affiliates to substantial competitive harm?

The cost of rendering bulk commodity transportation

1.4

6

-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

24

25 A.

Yes.

service over a given distance varies little from one commodity to another. On a per-ton unit basis, it costs a barge company the same amount to transport a ton of grain, for example, as to transport a ton of coal. Rates for bulk commodity transportation service also tend to show little variation from one commodity to the next.

In such 2 highly competitive environment, 2 given company's market share is constantly at risk and must be carefully safeguarded. Competitors who are able to discern, either directly or indirectly, a given company's costs or profit margin are in a position to capture a portion of that company's market share by anticipating its bids and selectively undercutting its prices. future Similarly, such knowledge permits the company's customers, who may be paying different prices for similar services, to bargain for more favorable terms from the company and among its competitors.

The primary determinants of a company's profit margin, of course, are its costs and prices. Not surprisingly, given the relative ease with which both costs and prices may be translated from one type of bulk commodity shipment to another, competitors take great pains to conceal their costs and prices from each other. This fact accounts for

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the scarcity of published information concerning the financial workings of the unregulated segment of the industry.

Tampa Electric's competitors and customers are aware that Tampa Electric's water transportation expense represents the affiliates' costs including a return on equity. A company's cost represents the limit of its vulnerability in the sense that it cannot long survive in circumstances where costs exceed revenues. Sustained "underpricing" below that perceived margin would have a devastating effect upon the affiliates' business, and would make retention of market shares impossible. Competitors would be given access to very valuable information which will enable those firms to price their service to their advantage.

18 Q. How does the operation of the competitive business affect 19 the cost of Tampa Electric's affiliated company 20 transportation expense?

A. The increased volumes allow for economies of scale that
 cannot be realized if Tampa Electric were the only
 customer of the affiliate. Moreover, since fixed costs
 are allocated between services provided to Tampa Electric

ε

3

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

and others on a per ton basis, the backhaul and transfer of grain and other bulk commodities reduces the amount of Tampa Electric. fixed costs allocated to IÍ the affiliates' backhaul and other outside customer activity is lost or diminished, or if transfer activity is lost due to the disclosure of its competitive position, the cost of coal transportation to Tampa Electric would increase proportionately. Actions which hurt the affiliates' competitive position will, therefore, increase the cost of electricity supplied to Tampa Electric's customers. This Commission therefore should carefully avoid the disclosure of the affiliates' costs and prices.

14 Q. Is Tampa Electric satisfied with the Commission's current 15 reporting requirements?

The company believes that the Commission's current NO. A. 17 requirements for public disclosure run an unnecessary risk 18 of placing Tampa Electric at a disadvantage in its ability 19 to contract for fuel transportation services on the most 20 favorable terms. This disclosure can also affect prices 21 paid by Tampa Electric under existing contracts which 22 depend on or could benefit further from outside business 23 to reduce costs to Tampa Electric. Further, I believe 24 disclosure of coal prices paid that public 25 (without

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

Anh.

transportation costs) does not increase competition among suppliers of coal but rather it serves to decrease the advantage of the purchaser in negotiating lower prices. If potential vendors of coal knew from public disclosure the prices being paid or paid in the past, I believe their tendency in bidding or reporting coal prices tend to center around known prices. In this way, the vendors know they can offer a price lower than the price that has been paid and exactly how much lower their price will be. If the present and past prices paid were not publicly available, the vendors would have to bid as low as they could in hopes that they would bid the best price to win the contract since they have no published guide to tell them how low to quote.

During all of this discussion you should keep in mind that Tampa Electric has no objection to continuing its full disclosure of fuel transportation cost information to the Commission on a specified confidential basis. The company is proud of its innovative transportation system and desires to continue to share all relevant transportation cost information with the Commission on a confidential basis.

Commission's

fuel

cost

reporting

24

25

Q.

EOW

opes

this

-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

requirements affect the confidentiality of the proprietary transportation cost information?

The Commission must be very careful in its requirements A . for public disclosure of various segments of fuel cost information in order to protect the proprietary transportation cost information. A requirement to publicly report any one segment of costs could enable competitors and transportation customers to calculate the information sought to be protected.

12 Q. Please illustrate how confidential information could be 13 derived if the Commission's reporting requirements are 14 changed?.

16 A .. This was fully discussed in Docket No. 830001-PD. Dn April 24, 1984, the Commission considered Tampa Electric's 17 request for reconsideration of Order No. 12645, entered in 18 19 the same docket (Generic Fuels Issues). Tampa Electric 20 sought reconsideration of that portion of Order No. 12645 21 pertaining to which cost information should be considered 22 confidential. Tampa Electric's specific concern was that 23 disclosure of F.O.B mine mouth and F.O.B plant price for 24 coal, in conjunction with available delivered prices at 25 terminal facilities, would result in the disclosure of

· 1010

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

proprietary confidential business information. The Commission agreed and determined that the F.O.B. mine mouth and plant prices should be reported on a "specified confidential" basis. The same circumstances exist today and the need for confidential treatment is just as critical now as it was in 1983.

8 Q. Eow would you recommend the Commission approach its duty
9 of implementing Section 366.093, Florida Statutes?

In the case of Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates, 11 A .. the test should be: is there a reasonable probability 12 information in guestion will that disclosure of the 13 adversely affect the affiliated company and, ultimately, 14 its Customers? If so, then the Tampa Electric and 15 information should be treated as specified confidential 16 information which is exempt from public disclosure. 17 Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, does not appear 18 to require a 100% probability of harm. In the case of bids 19 or other contractual data, the test is only whether it 20 would "impair" the efforts of the public utility 21 to contract for services on favorable terms. If certain 22 information is disclosed and the disclosure only aids but 23 does not guarantee a competitor's ability to compete with 24 Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates, then 25 this

12

1144

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

information ought to be protected, even though its disclosure would not guarantee that the competitor will take business away from the Tampa Electric affiliate. Any more stringent or absolute a standard for confidentiality would demand more than is required under the statute. Stated differently, if the statute is administered in such a way as to prohibit only the disclosure of a specific cost, but not a myriad of related information bits or "hints" which enable a resourceful competitor to pinpoint come very close to the specific cost, then the OI protection afforded by the statute will indeed be hollow. In short, we advocate fully disclosing to the Commission the information it needs to perform its utility oversicht function but because of the extreme sensitivity of the information, we advocate disclosing as little as possible to publicly accessible sources. Utility customers are the ultimate beneficiaries of this protection, and we hope the Commission will continue to administer the statute in a manner which maximizes such protection.

Does this conclude your testimony?

23 A. Yes, it does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Q.

(End of Prefiled Direct Testimony)

·	ı	TLORIDA)	
, [*]	2	COUNTY OF LEON)	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
1	3	WE, CAROL C. CAUSSEAUX, CSR	, RPR, JANE FAUROT and JOY
	4	KELLY, CSR, RPR, Official Commission	Reporters,
	5	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the	hearing in the matter of
#3	6	the Confidentiality of Fuel Cost Reco	very Data, Docket No.
	7	860001-EI-D, was heard by the Florida	Public Service Commission
	в	commencing at 9:35 a.m., Monday, Sept	ember 29, 1986, in
	9	Tallahassee, Florida.	
	10	WE FURTHER CERTIFY that we	were authorized to and did
	11	report in shorthand and by stenotype	the proceedings held at
* *	12	such time and place; that the same ha	s been reduced to type-
	13	writing under our direct supervision,	and that the foregoing .
)	14	pages numbered 1 through 277, volumes	I and II, inclusive,
	15	constitute a true and accurate transc	ription of our shorthand
×	16	and stenotype notes of said proceedin	çs.
	17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have	hereunto set our hands at
	18	Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, th	is 8th day of October,
	19	1986.	~
	20	Cano C. Constrated	- Rin: Sauct
	21	CAROL C. CAUSSEAUX, CSR, RFR	JANE FAUROT
	22	C YI	
1.11 A		JOY KELLY, CSR,	2650
125	24		
vije ⁿ	25	OFFICIAL COMMISSION - Bureau of Report	ing
))	26	101 East Gaines S Tallahassee, Florid	3a 32301
		Telephone (904) 48	
		FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE	E COMMISSION
		×.	

Date of Declassification:

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	DATE
423-1(a)	1 – 5	H-O	04/15/2016
423-2	1-5	G - H	04/15/2016
423-2(a)	1-5	H,J,L	04/15/2016
423-2(b)	1-5	G,I,K,L, M,N,O,P	04/15/2016

Rationale:

Coal and Coal Transportation Data

1. Tampa Electric also seeks protection of the coal and coal transportation contract information specified as confidential for a minimum period of two years.

2. The need for two or more years of confidentiality is vital not only to Tampa Electric and its ratepayers, but to the vendors of coal and coal transportation services as well.

3. Bidders for the sale of coal will always seek to optimize their profit margin. Full knowledge of the prices paid by the utility for coal enables the bidder to increase the price bid and thereby optimize the bid from the viewpoint of the seller and to the detriment of the ratepayer. Tampa Electric firmly believes that the disclosure of information on prices paid within the last two years will increase the price Tampa Electric will be required to pay for coal and will be detrimental to ratepayers.

4. Recent bids received by Tampa Electric contained a \$4.17 per ton spread between the bids. The low bid undoubtedly would have been higher with full knowledge of prices paid by Tampa Electric. Bidders will always seek to optimize their profits by submitting bids that are as high as the market will bear. If market data is disclosed which discourages suppliers from bidding competitively, they will increase their bids to the level of past payments to other suppliers by the buyer.

5. The disclosure of rail transportation rates will result in demands by <u>other</u> shippers to lower any rates which are above the disclosed rates. The effect of disclosure will be to increase the lower rate as the transportation provided will seek to protect the rates charged on other routes. The delay of this disclosure for two years will be of direct benefit to ratepayers by delaying any increases that might occur as a result of such disclosure.

Exhibit "B"

6. Gatliff Coal and TECO Transport & Trade sell coal and bulk commodity transportation services in the open non-regulated marketplace. The prices at which their goods and services are sold are not publicly disclosed anywhere by publication or voluntary dissemination because it would materially lessen their competitive posture with customers other than Tampa Electric. Outside customers who negotiate for coal or coal transportation services are placed at a competitive advantage for these goods or services if they know the cost of the goods or services.

7. An analyst for an outside customer of Gatliff or TECO Transport who reads the written transcripts of public fuel hearings or reads the written orders of the FPSC can easily discover that until November 1, 1988, Tampa Electric paid cost for coal from Gatliff and for coal transportation from TECO Transport. Further, the publication of the stipulation agreement between the parties in 1988 indicated that the initial benchmark price was close to cost and subsequent testimony indicates the revised contract escalates from cost.

8. As long as an outside customer does not know how such an escalation clause changes price, the cost cannot be calculated. However, publicizing the price of coal or coal transportation services will tell an outside customer how much the escalation has been and make it easy for him to calculate cost. Because of seasonality of costs in both businesses, a full year's cost data is necessary for an accurate cost measurement.

9. A second year must pass before one full year can be compared with a second year to measure the escalation accurately. So a perceptive vendor seeks two years of data to make his cost estimates. The competitive industries recognize that data beyond two years is not helpful to them, as enough factors may change in that time frame for costs to be much different from what was incurred. Any date less than two full years old is extremely valuable to outside customers in contracting for services with Gatliff or TECO Transport. The difference of small amounts per ton can mean millions of dollars' difference in cost.

10. A loss of outside business by Gatliff or TECO Transport will affect not only Gatliff or TECO Transport, but if large enough it could affect the credibility of the companies. The prices negotiated with Tampa Electric by these vendors took into consideration their costs and revenues at the time of negotiation, including the revenues from outside customers. A significant loss of outside business could cause Gatliff or TECO Transport to fail, since under market pricing regulation Tampa Electric will not make up the difference to them in cost. In turn, a failure of these vendors would leave Tampa Electric and its customers with only higher cost alternatives for Blue Gem coal and for coal transportation to Tampa, a higher cost that would be paid by Tampa Electric's ratepayers. So the continued credibility of Gatliff and TECO Transport is important to protect Tampa Electric's ratepayers from higher cost alternatives.

h:\jdb\tec\form 423 filings\423declassification.exb#2.doc