COMMISSIONERS: ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR RONALD A. BRISÉ EDUARDO E. BALBIS JULIE I. BROWN

## **STATE OF FLORIDA**

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL S. CURTIS KISER GENERAL COUNSEL (850) 413-6199

## Hublic Service Commizzion

June 11, 2014

Scott A. Goorland, Esquire Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

## **STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST**

## Re: Docket No. 140082-EI - Petition for change to requirements of Order No. PSC-06-0144-PAA-EI regarding pole inspection and load assessment, by Florida Power & Light Company.

Dear Mr. Goorland:

By this letter, Commission staff requests that Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) provide responses to the following data requests:

- 1. Paragraph 15 on Page 6 of the Petition indicates that FPL projects an incremental savings of approximately \$1.0 million annually, or \$8.1 million over the eight-year cycle, as a result of this deviation from its pole inspection excavation requirements. Please state what FPL's savings would be annually and over the eight-year cycle, if FPL would not be required to excavate for inspections of CCA poles that are less than 26, 27, 29, and 30 years of age?
- 2. Paragraph 19 on Page 7 of the Petition indicates that FPL projects an incremental savings of approximately \$528,000 annually or approximately \$4.2 million over the full second eight-year pole inspection cycle for this load assessment test exemption. Please state what FPL's savings would be annually and over the full second eight-year cycle, if FPL would not be required to inspect poles with a load assessment result from the first eight-year cycle of less than 65, 70, 75, 85, 90 and 95 percent of full loading?
- 3. FPL's response to Question 5c of staff's first data request indicates that FPL utilized a Monte Carlo simulation and determined the probability of a pole that tested below 80 percent of full load during the first eight-year cycle failing a load assessment test in the second eight-year cycle is 0.07 percent. Using the Monte Carlo simulation, what is the probability of a pole that tested below 65, 70, 85, 90, and 95 percent of full load during the first eight-cycle failing a load assessment test in the second eight-year cycle?

Mr. Scott A. Goorland, Esquire Page 2 June 11, 2014

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Wednesday, June 25, 2014, with Carlotta Stauffer, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6226 if you have any questions.

Sincerely Keino Young Chief Trial Counsel

KY/ace

cc: Office of Commission Clerk