		FILED JUL 17, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 03783-14					
		FPSC - COMMISSION CLER	< 000001				
1		BEFORE THE	000001				
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION						
3	In the Matter o	of:					
4	IMPLEMENTATION	DOCKET NO. 140116-TP OF THE					
5	786 OVERLAY AREA CODE AND MANDATORY 10-DIGIT						
6	DIALING IN THE KEYS.	FLORIDA					
7		/					
8	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 3					
9							
10	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR					
11		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS					
12		COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN					
13	DATE:	Thursday, July 10, 2014					
14	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148					
15		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida					
16	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR					
17		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734					
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION						

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: On to Item Number 3. MS. BEARD: Good morning, Commissioners. Catherine Beard on behalf of staff.

Item Number 3 addresses the implementation of the 786 overlay area code and mandatory 10-digit dialing in the Florida Keys. The overlay and permissive 10-digit dialing was approved by this Commission back in 2001. Staff was directed to bring a new mandatory dialing date back before the Commission after number conservation measures were given time to take effect.

Mr. Tracy Hatch, counsel for AT&T, is here and would like to speak to the Commission on this item. And Mr. Tom Foley, Florida Area Code Relief Planner for the North American Numbering Plan Administration, is here to answer any questions you may have. Staff is available for questions.

> CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, staff. Mr. Hatch.

MR. HATCH: Thank you, Chairman, Commissioners. My name is Tracy Hatch appearing on behalf of AT&T Florida.

We support the staff recommendation. We would have one minor request, and that is to extend some of the dates that are in the recommendation. We would

000003 request that the mandatory 10-digit dialing date be 1 moved out till April the 18th and that the overlay 2 extension be moved out until June the 1st of 2015. 3 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Is there a reason why? 4 MR. HATCH: Yeah. Apparently there's a whole 5 bunch of technical network activities that are going on 6 7 in the time frame that the staff has done, and we're just trying to move this out to even the workload. 8 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Is that it? 9 MR. HATCH: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Foley, any concerns with 11 12 those, moving on those dates? 13 MR. FOLEY: No. It's strictly the industry's decision on the dates. 14 15 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Commissioners. Commissioner Balbis. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 18 and thank you, Mr. Foley, for attending. 19 I have a few questions about this item, and 20 hopefully you can clarify it. I reviewed some of the 21 data and information that was provided in the docket, 22 specifically the forecasts --23 MR. FOLEY: Yes, sir. 24 **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** -- for the NPAs. And I 25 noticed that the NPA in question is 305A, which pertains

only to the Florida Keys; correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FOLEY: Correct. That was our nomenclature so we could separate it.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And currently the Florida Keys has both 305 and 786.

MR. FOLEY: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So my question then is when you look at the 305/786 for Miami-Dade County, that forecast has been extended by six months according to the data. Is that --

MR. FOLEY: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And I'm just focusing on the Florida Keys. But you have the Miami-Dade County that you're changing the forecast, extending it by six quarters as to when it's going to be exhausted, and then the Florida Keys has been accelerated by three quarters. And I'm wondering why that is, and specifically because the Florida Cabinet has indicated Florida Keys a special area of concern and it has limited the number of residential developments and building permits that can be issued, and it's only 197 every year. So -- and I can understand this growth with the advent of cell phones, et cetera, but why are you accelerating the forecast? What has changed? If there's not additional growth, what are the other

factors that may have contributed to that?

MR. FOLEY: All right, Commissioner. If I could go into the forecasting process a little bit, I think that would clear that up.

Semiannually NANPA aggregates forecasts from the individual carriers in the affected rate center, the Keys. We take a look at history and we also look at these forecasts, and we make a prediction based upon those two items primarily. If we know of something major coming up as far as an individual area code, that would, that would play into effect here. But there -- I don't believe there's anything like that in the Keys. We've looked strictly at history and at the carriers' forecasts. And I can't give you any specifics of carriers' individual forecasts, but I can give you some aggravated -- aggregated information.

Basically the carriers have forecasted, and they forecast in blocks, which is groups of 1,000 numbers: 99 blocks for this year, 97 for next, 97 for the following, 100, and 107 in the subsequent years out for the five-year period. That's a total of 460 blocks or basically 46 codes, the equivalent of 46 codes.

Historically, the assignments have been -there are currently 16 codes available for 305 assignment. One was assigned in July and five have

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

24 25 been assigned year to date. Since 2002, 33 codes have been returned to the administrator by carriers and 37 have been assigned. Now these numbers aren't going to add up if you look strictly at them because there have been multiple returns and multiple assignments. In other words, a code is returned, it's reassigned again. Then a code is returned, it is reassigned again.

000006

The one single reason that this moved out so far in the first place, in addition to the consolidation of the Keys rate centers into the Keys rate center is a return of 20 codes from an individual carrier that went out of business, or it's our understanding they went out of business. There are no more codes basically to be returned and will not happen again, so the only pool of codes we have left are the 16. And we forecast a little bit right at about nine a year to currently. The carriers are now in the process of submitting to us their second half NRUF, or number year resource utilization forecast, to us, and we will process these and publish revised or new or the same forecasts in October. We don't know yet because the forecasts are not due until the end of this month.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Then maybe, Mr. Hatch, if you could address my question as to what are you seeing in the Keys? Because correct me if I'm

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

21 22 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24 25 wrong, you indicated 100,000, around 100,000 new numbers each year. That seems like a tremendous amount in an area like the Keys.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HATCH: I don't have any particular precise data because I was not warned in advance you would you like this kind of information. I'd be happy to get more, if you wish.

But my general understanding is that in addition to cellular phones per se, there are an enormous number of mobile devices out there that all ultimately will have numbers assigned in one form or fashion.

For example, an iPad has a number even though you can't call the iPad. All these things rely on the wireless networks for routing. And so what you're seeing in the explosive growth in wireless devices is impacting everywhere, and it's just taking its form in the Keys as well. But if you want precise data, I'll be happy to try and get that for you.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: No. I just -- just big picture data, you know, I mean, big picture information as far as, you know, what you're seeing. Again, if we're limited to 197 residential units, and I do understand, you know, now everyone has mobile devices and iPads, et cetera, so that makes sense, and I think

that's why we've seen over the past 14 years since the last time it was indicated they were going to exceed the numbers.

MR. HATCH: As for the Keys specifically, I can't give you any particular specific data.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And then, Mr. Foley, one or two more questions on the process. So Miami-Dade County currently has 305 and 786.

MR. FOLEY: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Are there -- if numbers in Monroe County retain the 305 area code, you wouldn't have to go to 10-digit dialing; correct? It's just the overlay of the 786 is requiring a 10-digit --

MR. FOLEY: Correct.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So when new numbers are assigned in Miami-Dade County, are they still being assigned a 305 area code?

MR. FOLEY: No, sir. Your orders indicate that all 305 codes made available since -- I forget the date -- are held for the Keys, and that's what's happened.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So all of the remaining numbers will go to Monroe County.

MR. FOLEY: Correct.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And then I

just -- I'm struggling with the fact that in 2000, 2001 we went through this process and then decided to have permissive dialing and we've extended it by 14 years.

MR. FOLEY: Excuse me, Commissioner. The primary reason that it got extended is the 20 some codes returned by one carrier.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So do carriers have codes that they're not using?

MR. FOLEY: They went out -- I believe that this carrier went out of business and returned the codes to the administrator because they had no customers on them.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And then just a question for staff. We've heard AT&T's comments on extending the compliance date, if that's the right term. Have we heard from any of the other carriers?

MS. BEARD: We have not heard from any of the other carriers.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.

MR. HATCH: Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes.

MR. HATCH: Just to that point, I'm authorized by counsel for Verizon that they support those dates as well.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. How many carriers

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

currently operate in Monroe County?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BEARD: I believe there's 31.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: And we've heard from two or one, maybe two.

Okay. I, I'm finished with my questions. I just have concerns with, you know, going to the 10-digit dialing in an area of the Keys which has very limited growth and not having the data to at least make me feel comfortable that that continued growth is going to continue. I just still have questions about having to go through this irreversible process in Monroe County, which is unique. So with that, I'll turn it over to my colleagues.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. And I had some discussions with our staff and originally had, initially had some concerns about implementation of this. However, it seems to be a reality that we are forced to face and a decision that was rendered back in 2002. You know, we don't want a community that doesn't have the necessary resources in the future.

That being said, I just want clarification from Ms. Beard and Mr. Casey that there are no other conservation measures that can be utilized at this juncture.

0	0	0	0	1	1
0	\circ	\circ	\circ	-	-

MS. BEARD: That is correct. 1 2 COMMISSIONER BROWN: And do you know what the cost impact would be? 3 MS. BEARD: No, I do not. 4 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Would it be a rate 5 impact? 6 7 MS. BEARD: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER BROWN: Would it be a rate impact 8 9 on the customers? 10 MR. CASEY: There wouldn't be, there wouldn't 11 be a rate impact on the customers. There would be a 12 cost as far as businesses that may have seven digits on 13 their stationery. They'll have to go and reprint and have ten digits, things like that, and the reprogramming 14 of alarm systems. 15 16 COMMISSIONER BROWN: And many of these 17 business have been well made aware of for many years 18 that -- of the potential. 19 MR. CASEY: Right. And we have a package 20 ready, an outreach package. 21 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Can you go into some 22 detail on that, on the outreach measures that will be 23 implemented? 24 MR. CASEY: Yes. Ms. Muir put it together, 25 and I believe she's prepared to speak on that.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Good morning, Cindy.

MS. MUIR: Hi. Commissioner Brown, yes, and your office should have received this too, but we have a press release ready to go out today. And then as the time approaches, whatever date is decided, we'll pick it up and make sure that we get future press releases out.

We have a letter that's going out to government entities and community leaders, and we can step that up again and do it again as the time approaches when it'll take effect. And we also have PSAs, both TV and radio, that we're going to be able to do at no cost with the help of WFSU. We're going to get those done and sent to the Keys' radio stations and TV stations. And we're making sure our analysts are fully aware of what's going on so if we have customer calls, they can answer those. And Twitter, we have that also. I don't know how effective that would be in the Keys. But anything that we do send out is also tweeted, and so maybe somebody will pick that up and run with it.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. I appreciate the update. And also the telecommunication companies will be conducting outreach, I assume, as well.

MR. CASEY: We -- I know AT&T is sending out a bill stuffer to all their customers.

MR. HATCH: Yes.

MR. CASEY: We're not aware of the other companies.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for your work on this.

MR. KISER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KISER: In the spirit of likewise getting the information out, I contacted the state legislator from that area, the chairman of the county commission, the county attorney, and several other people and raised this issue with them probably six weeks ago or better, and there didn't seem to be near the concern or alarm. And not wanting to trust just those folks for fear that they might not, you know, be in touch with the average person, I took it upon myself to go to No Name Key at lunch hour and I surveyed the bar. And 15 people said it was of no concern to them that they would go to 10-digit dialing, there were three that said they did have some concern, and there were two that were too busy eating pizza. So at that level there is a pretty, pretty good, pretty good response.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I think my office needs to review that expense report.

(Laughter.)

Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, Mr. Kiser. I mean, all joking aside, I think it is important to kind of get a feel for the community. In 2000 and 2001 we went through an extensive process of public involvement, and this is a continuation of that process in that 14 years have been spent trying to exhaust every conservation measure. I have a hard time believing that we're going to need 100,000 numbers a year, but, you know, Mr. Foley is the expert who, who is providing that data. And the last thing we want is to not be able to issue numbers when needed. And I think with the advent of cellular phones and other communication devices, I don't think anyone really dials a number anymore. It's usually programmed in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, you know, I'm a little more comfortable with this, staff's recommendation on this and with the extension that AT&T requests. I just want to make sure that we have a rigorous outreach plan, and I'm glad that staff has outlined that. So those are the comments I have at this point.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Was that a motion? Can I get a motion?

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Move staff recommendation on all issues.

000015 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It's been moved and 1 2 seconded, staff recommendation on all issues on Item Number 3. 3 4 Commissioner Edgar. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes. 5 Thank you, 6 Mr. Chairman. Clarification to the motion maker: Would 7 that include the change on the dates? COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: The updated date. 8 9 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And with that, I support the motion. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It's been moved and 12 seconded, staff recommendations on all issues on Item 13 Number 3 with the updated dates requested by Mr. Hatch. 14 Any further discussion on this issue? Seeing 15 none, all in favor, say aye. 16 (Vote taken.) 17 Any opposed? By your action, you have approved staff recommendation. 18 19 Thank you very much. Mr. Hatch, Mr. Foley, thank you for coming down. 20 21 (Agenda item concluded.) 22 23 24 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

000016 1 STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF LEON) 3 4 I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein 5 stated. 6 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically 7 reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes 8 of said proceedings. 9 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a 10 relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially 11 interested in the action. 12 DATED THIS 17th day of July, 2014. 13 Linda Boles 14 15 LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Commission Reporters 16 (850) 413-6734 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION