

COMMISSIONERS:
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
RONALD A. BRISÉ
EDUARDO E. BALBIS
JULIE I. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
S. CURTIS KISER
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

July 31, 2014

Scott A. Goorland, Esquire
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

STAFF'S THIRD DATA REQUEST

Re: Docket No. 140082-EI - Petition for change to requirements of Order No. PSC-06-0144-PAA-EI regarding pole inspection and load assessment, by Florida Power & Light Company.

Dear Mr. Goorland:

By this letter, Commission staff requests that Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) provide responses to the following data requests:


1. FPL's response to Staff's First Data Request, Question 1, indicates that FPL will continue to do visual and sound and bore inspections on all wooden poles.
 - a. In addition to sound and bore inspection on all wooden poles, are there any other types of inspections that FPL performs on its wooden poles? If so, what are the different types of inspections?
 - b. Please state what is the cost associated for each type of wooden pole inspection, including sound and boring?
 - c. Please state how long does each type of inspection take?

2. FPL's response to Staff's First Data Request, Question 2, indicates that FPL would continue to perform a one percent sampling of chromium copper arsenate (CCA) poles less than 28 years.
 - a. Please explain the method used to determine what poles are included in the sample size?
 - b. Could sampling be performed using specific pole ages, i.e. poles that are between 20 to 28 years. Please explain your response.

3. FPL's response to Staff's First Data Request, Question 4, indicates that of the 6,191 CCA poles that failed inspection in 2013, 316 failed due to below ground strength.
 - a. What type of inspection was used to determine that these poles failed due to below ground strength?
4. FPL's response to Staff's First Data Request Question 5 indicates that FPL has processes and procedures in place for additional attachments added to its poles.
 - a. If procedures are followed, please explain how poles could fail the load assessment by additional attachments?
 - b. Does FPL have issues with unauthorized third party attachers? If so, please explain.
 - c. Does FPL inspect or verify the load assessment of poles after an additional attachment is made by a third party?
 - d. Does FPL inspect or verify the load assessment after it makes an attachment themselves?

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Thursday, August 14, 2014, with Carlotta Stauffer, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6226 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Keino Young
Chief Trial Counsel

KY/ace

cc: Office of Commission Clerk