

Writer's Direct Dial Number: (850) 521-1706 Writer's E-Mail Address: bkeating@gunster.com

August 22, 2014

HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer, Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



REDACTED

Re: Docket No. 140001-EI: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Attached for filing, please find the original and seven copies of Florida Public Utilities Company's Request for Confidential Classification for certain information in the Direct Panel Testimony of Curtis D. Young and P. Mark Cutshaw. With this filing are highlighted and redacted copies of the subject information, as required by Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

MEK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery | DOCKET NO. 140001-EI clause with generating performance incentive factor.

DATED: August 22, 2014

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

through its Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPU" or "Company"), by and undersigned counsel, pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, and consistent with Rule 25-22.006(4), Florida Administrative Code, hereby submits its Request for Confidential Classification to protect the information contained in the Direct Panel Testimony of Curtis Young and Mark Cutshaw, submitted on this same day on behalf of the Company. information for which FPU seeks such treatment is included in certain portions of the referenced Panel Testimony regarding the Company's Fuel and Purchased Power Factors for 2015 and include details of a competitive, contractual nature, which would cause significant harm to FPU and its operation, as well as its customers, if disclosed publicly. In support of this Request, FPU states that:

The specific, detailed information contained in the Panel Testimony involves a 1. pending project among FPU and two other entities, which is not yet finalized. Pending consummation of the transaction(s) necessary to bring the project to fruition, certain details regarding the project are considered proprietary confidential business information by the parties and subject to non-disclosure agreement. To be clear, while certain general information has been, and can be, disclosed upon the agreement of the parties involved, the details set forth in the testimony cannot.

- 2. The information at issue is, as noted, considered proprietary confidential business information by the parties and has not otherwise been disclosed publicly. It involves information regarding the project size and projected savings, which could be used to derive the proposed pricing structure under discussion. Disclosure of this information could not only harm FPU's ability to effectively negotiate reasonable terms for the project at hand, but could impair its ability to negotiate for good and services with others as well.
- 6. The information for which FPU seeks confidential classification is information that the Company treats as confidential, and that meets the definition of "proprietary confidential business information" as set forth in Section 366.093(3), Florida Statutes, which provides:
 - (3) Proprietary confidential business information means information, regardless of form or characteristics, which is owned or controlled by the person or company, is intended to be and is treated by the person or company as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations, and has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or private agreement that provides that the information will not be released to the public. Proprietary confidential business information includes, but is not limited to:
 - (a) Trade secrets.
 - (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors.
 - (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures.
 - (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.
 - (e) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of the information.
 - (f) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities.

7. Specifically, FPUC seeks confidential classification and a Protective Order for the highlighted information in the following (lines/pages) in the referenced testimony:

Document	Page/Line/Location	Description	Rationale
Direct Panel Testimony of Curtis D. Young and P. Mark Cutshaw	Page 10, highlighted information in lines 9 through 11, 13 through 14, and 16; Page 11, highlighted information in lines 6 through 7, and 10 through 23; Page 12, highlighted information in lines 1 through 13	Information regarding a proposed energy project with another entity, including details regarding project size and savings	Disclosure of this information discloses confidential details regarding the development and specifics of the project, the contract(s) for which have not yet been executed. Disclosure of this information could impair the continued development and consummation of the project.

- 8. The information at issue falls squarely under Section 366.093(3)(d) and (e), Florida Statutes. Release of the referenced information as a public record would harm FPU's business operations and ratepayers by impairing the Company's ability to effectively negotiate for goods and services, as well as impair the ability to bring this project to fruition. As such, FPU requests that the Commission deem afford this information confidential treatment and exempt from Section 119.07, Florida Statutes. Included with this Request is a highlighted copy of the referenced documents, along with two redacted copies.
- 9. FPU asks that confidential classification be granted for a period of at least 18 months. Should the Commission or the Office of Public Counsel no longer find that it needs to retain the information, FPU respectfully requests that the confidential information be returned to the Company.

Docket No. 140001-EI

WHEREFORE, FPU respectfully requests that:

- the highlighted information contained in the Direct Panel Testimony of Curtis D. Young and Mark Cutshaw be classified as "proprietary confidential business information," and thus, exempt from Section 119.07, Florida Statutes; and
- 2) the information be protected by the Commission for a period of at least 18 months.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22nd day of August, 2014.

Beth Keating

Bar NO. 0022756

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 618

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by Electronic Mail (redacted only) to the following parties of record this 22nd day of August, 2014:

Martha Barrera	James D. Beasley/J. Jeffry Wahlen/Ashley
Florida Public Service Commission	Daniels
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	Ausley Law Firm
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	Post Office Box 391
Mbarrera@PSC.STATE.FL.US	Tallahassee, FL 32302
_	jbeasley@ausley.com
	jwahlen@ausley.com
	adaniels@ausley.com
Jeffry Stone/Russell Badders/Steven	James W. Brew/F. Alvin Taylor
Griffin	Brickfield Law Firm
Beggs & Lane	Eighth Floor, West Tower
P.O. Box 12950	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Pensacola, FL 32591-2950	Washington, DC 20007
jas@beggslane.com	jbrew@bbrslaw.com
John T. Butler	Kenneth Hoffman
Florida Power & Light Company	Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard	215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420	Tallahassee, FL 32301
John.Butler@fpl.com	Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com
Ms. Paula K. Brown	Florida Industrial Users Power Group
Tampa Electric Company	Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Regulatory Affairs	Moyle Law Firm
P.O. Box 111	118 North Gadsden Street
Tampa, FL 33601-0111	Tallahassee, FL 32301
Regdept@tecoenergy.com	jmoyle@moylelaw.com
Cheryl Martin	Florida Retail Federation
Florida Public Utilities Company	Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia
911 South 8th St.	Gardner Law Firm
Fernandina Beach, Florida 32034	1300 Thomaswood Drive
Cheryl_Martin@fpuc.com	Tallahassee, FL 32308
	schef@gbwlegal.com

J.R. Kelly/P. Christensen/C. Rehwinkel/
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
John T. Burnett/Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
John.burnett@duke-energy.com
Dianne.Triplett@duke-energy.com
Randy B. Miller
White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.
Post Office Box 300
White Springs, FL 32096
Rmiller@pcsphosphate.com

Ву: __

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Q.
21	

A.

22

23

was entered into in early 2012 and approved by the Commission in
Docket No. 120058-EQ. Through a cooperative effort, FPU and Rayonie
were able to develop a purchased power agreement that allows Rayonie
to produce renewable energy and sell that energy to FPU at a cost below
that of the current wholesale power provided while still being beneficial to
Rayonier. Not only did this increase the amount of renewable energy in
the area, it provides lower cost energy that is passed directly through to
FPU customers in the form of reduced power cost.

Secondly, FPU is also working in partnership with

Eight Flags

Energy, LLC, a subsidiary of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

(Chesapeake

The details of the arrangement are currently being finalized and we anticipate filing with the Commission in the very near future.

will provide customers in both divisions, assuming the consolidation of fuel cost is approved, with a significant benefit in the reduction of purchase power cost

- How have these two new arrangements proven beneficial to the Company?
 - With regard to the first contract with Rayonier, that agreement alone is expected to produce overall savings of \$1.27 million over the 10-year term

of the contract, and the Company has every expectation that the contract will be extended, thereby extending the benefits. The expected annual energy produced will be 16,980 mWh's and an incentive is provided to Rayonier to ensure this occurs in that any failure to maintain the agreed capacity factor will result in reducing the overall monthly payments to Rayonier. efforts are underway to get this completed, approved and in service by the second quarter of 2016. Once consummated and in service, this new project is expected to produce even more significant benefits for the Company and all of its electric customers.

1		A September 1995 (1995)
2		
3		
4		
4		
5		1672年1686年16月1日 1575年1686年1686年1686年1686年1686年1686年1686年168
6		(2) 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
7		18-15-28-16-15-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-
8		等等的人的关系,在1950年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年,1960年
9		经国际国际公司的现在分词 化自己的复数 医克里克氏病 医克里克氏病 医克里克氏病 医多种性原则
10		1850年1月18日 1862年1月18日 1862年186日 1862年186日 1862年186日
11		经价值的支持,在自己的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业 。
12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13		A. A
14	Q.	Did you include costs in addition to the costs specific to purchased
15		fuel in the calculations of your true-up and projected amounts?
16	A.	Yes, included with our fuel and purchased power costs are charges for
17		contracted consultants and legal services that are directly fuel-related and
18		appropriate for recovery in the fuel clause.
19	Q.	Please explain how these costs were determined to be recoverable
20		under the fuel clause?
21	Α.	Consistent with the Commission's policy set forth in Order No. 14546,
22		issued in Docket No. 850001-EI-B, on July 8, 1985, the other costs