August 22, 2014

# BY E-PORTAL/ELECTRONIC FILING 

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer
Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

## Re: Docket No. 140003-GU -Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up.

Dear Ms. Stauffer:
Attached for electronic filing, please find the Direct Testimony and Exhibit TK-2 of Mr. Thomas Kaufmann, submitted in the referenced Docket on behalf of Florida City Gas, along with the Company's Petition for Approval of PGA Factor. Consistent with the directions for this docket, copies of the Petition, Testimony, and Exhibit are being provided to Staff Counsel.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,


MEK
cc: Parties of Record

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 

In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment ) Docket No. 140003-GU (PGA) True-up
) Filed: August 22, 2014

## PETITION BY FLORIDA CITY GAS FOR APPROVAL OF PGA FACTOR TO BE APPLIED FOR SERVICE RENDERED DURING THE PERIOD JANUARY 2015 THROUGH DECEMBER 2015

Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the Company") hereby submits this petition to the Commission requesting Approval of a Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") factor to be applied for service to be rendered during the projected period of January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015. In support thereof, City Gas says:

1. City Gas has calculated its total net true-up amount (including interest and applicable regulatory assessment fees) for the period January 2013 through December 2013 to be an over-recovery of $\$ 1,332,078$.
2. Schedule E-4 shows the projected true-up for the current period January 2014 through December 2014 is an under-recovery of $\$ 1,069,341$.
3. The total true-up as shown on Schedule E-4 is an over-recovery of $\$ 262,737$, to be applied to the projected period.
4. Estimated therm purchases for resale during the projected period are 43,354,889.
5. Schedule E-4 presents a credit true-up refund factor of 00.606 cents per therm to be applied during the projected period.
6. The total cost of gas for the projected period is $\$ 34,238,082$ as shown on Schedule E-1 line 11.
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7. The weighted average cost of gas (WACOG) for the projected period is 78.972 cents per therm as shown on Schedule E-1 line 40.
8. The 00.606 cents per therm credit true up factor decreases the WACOG during the projected period to 78.366 cents per therm before the regulatory assessment fees or 78.760 cents per therm after the regulatory assessment fees as shown on Schedule E-1 lines 42 and 44 respectively. In order to avoid a large under-recovery in the winter season, City Gas has chosen to establish a maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas. The levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas is 87.841 cents per therm before the regulatory assessment fees and 88.283 cents per therm after the regulatory assessment fees. This is the appropriate levelized gas cost factor (cap) for City Gas for the projected period.
9. City Gas' proposal filed herewith consists of Schedules E-1 (winter), E-1, E1R, E-2, E-3, E-4, E-5 and the prepared Direct Testimony of Thomas Kaufmann.

WHEREFORE, Florida City Gas respectfully requests that the Commission enter its order approving the Company's proposed winter cost based levelized PGA trueup factor for the period January 2015 through December 2015 of 87.814 cents per therm before the regulatory assessment fees or 88.283 cents per therm after the regulatory assessment fees.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22nd day of August, 2014.
Beth Keating
Gunster, Yoakley \& Stewart, P.A.
215 South Monroe St., Suite 601
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 521-1706
bkeating@gunster.com
Attorneys for Florida City Gas

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Florida City Gas's Petition for Approval of the Purchased Gas (PGA) True-Up Amount in Docket No. 140003-GU, along with the Direct Testimony of Mr. Thomas Kaufmann and Exhibit TK-2, has been furnished by Electronic Mail to the following parties of record this 22nd day of August 2014:

| Florida Public Utilities Company <br> Cheryl Martin <br> 911 South 8th St. <br> Fernandina Beach, Florida 32034 | MacFarlane Ferguson Law Firm <br> Ansley Watson, Jr. <br> P.O. Box 1531 <br> Tampa, FL 33601-1531 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Keino Young, Esquire <br> Florida Public Service Commission <br> 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard <br> Tallahassee, FL 32399 | Office of Public Counsel <br> J.R. Kelly/Patricia Christensen <br> c/o The Florida Legislature <br> 111 West Madison Street <br> Room 812 <br> Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 |
| Peoples Gas System <br> Paula Brown/Kandi Floyd <br> P.O. Box 111 <br> Tampa, FL 33601-0111 | St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. <br> Mr. Andy Shoaf <br> P.O. Box 549 <br> Port St. Joe, FL 32457-0549 |
| Florida City Gas <br> Carolyn Bermudez <br> 933 East 25 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street <br> Hialeah, FL 33013-3498 | AGL Resources Inc. <br> Elizabeth Wade <br> Ten Peachtree Place <br> Location 1470 <br> Atlanta, GA 30309 |

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
THOMAS KAUFMANN
ON BEHALF OF FLORIDA CITY GAS
DOCKET NO. 140003-GU
Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
A. My name is Thomas Kaufmann. My business address is Elizabethtown Gas, 300 Connell Drive, Suite 3000, Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922.
Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED, AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
A. I am currently employed as a Manager of Rates and Tariffs and have responsibilities for Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the Company").
Q. BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
A. In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J., with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison, N.J., with a Masters of Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional responsibilities have encompassed financial analysis, accounting, planning, and pricing in manufacturing and energy services companies in both regulated and deregulated industries. In 1977, I was employed by Allied Chemical Corp. as a staff accountant. In 1980, I was employed by Celanese Corp. as a financial analyst. In

1981, I was employed by Suburban Propane as a Strategic Planning Analyst, promoted to Manager of Rates and Pricing in 1986 and to Director of Acquisitions and Business Analysis in 1990. In 1993, I was employed by Concurrent Computer as a Manager, Pricing Administration. In 1996 I joined NUI as a Rate Analyst, was promoted to Manager of Regulatory Support in August, 1997 and Manager of Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named Manager of Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the revised estimate of the Company's projection of gas costs for the period August 2014 through December 2014 and the Company's projection of gas costs for the period January 2015 through December 2015. In addition I will present the development of the maximum rate to be charged to customers for the period January 2015 through December 2015.
Q. HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORMS AS PRESCRIBED BY THE COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?
A. Yes. The forms prescribed by the Commission are being filed at this time. Copies are attached to my testimony as Exhibit TK-2.

## Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE PROJECTION METHODOLOGY?

A. Yes. Under this methodology, which was adopted by Order No. PSC-93-0708-FOF-GU of this Commission on May 10, 1993 and modified in Docket No. 980269-PU on June 10, 1998, gas companies are to project their gas costs each twelve months for the ensuing twelve month period ending in December. A per therm rate is developed for the weighted average cost of gas (WACOG). This rate, based on the average of the winter and summer seasons, would lead to over or under-recoveries of gas costs in the two seasons. This problem is mitigated by establishing a maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas, thereby eliminating a large under-recovery in that season. The Company is then able to flex downward in the summer in order to match market conditions and eliminate the potential for a large over-recovery for the remainder of the period.

## Q. WHAT IF THE ACTUAL COST EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM RATE

## AS PROJECTED?

A. If re-projected gas costs for the remaining period exceed projected recoveries by at least $10 \%$ for the twelve month period, a midcourse correction may formally be requested by the Company.

## Q. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DIFFERENCES THAT RESULT FROM DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL COSTS?

A. The forms take this into consideration. Form E-2 calculates the projected differences using estimated figures, and form E-4 calculates the final true-up using actual figures. These differences are flowed back to customers through the true-up factor included in gas costs billed in the subsequent twelve month period.
Q. ARE ANY FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION (FGT) RATE CHANGES PROJECTED IN THIS FILING?
A. No, the FGT rates used in the preparation of this filing are those in effect on August 1, 2014.
Q. DOES THIS YEAR'S FILING REFLECT ANY CAPACITY COSTS NOT CONTAINED IN LAST YEAR'S CAP RATE?
A. Yes. in preparing this year's forecast the Company is projecting additional Florida Gas Transmission ("FGT") capacity beginning March 1, 2015 for a period of ten (10) years consisting of 2,807 dekatherms per day during the winter months of October through March and 2,577 dekatherms per day during the summer months of April through September at a cost of $\$ 706,000$ per year at max FTS-2 Tariff Rates.
Q. ARE THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ADDITIONAL CAPACITY APPROPRIATE FOR RECOVERY THROUGH THE PGA?
A. Yes. In order to expand City Gas's facilities to get natural gas service to customers in the underserved portions of our system in of Indian

River County, it is necessary to obtain this additional pipeline capacity. The Commission has traditionally allowed recovery of such transportation costs through the PGA clause, as long as they are reasonable and are not already being recovered through the Company's base rates. These costs were not included in the Projected Test Year of the Company's last rate case, and therefore, are not already being recovered in base rates. Moreover, the Commission has recently acknowledged that transportation costs associated with a similar project are appropriate for recovery through the PGA in Docket No. 110271-GU, Order No. PSC-12-0230-PAA-GU (page 6).

## Q. WHAT BENEFITS WILL OTHER CUSTOMERS ON CITY GAS'S

NATURAL GAS SYSTEM OBTAIN FROM THE ADDITIONAL INDIAN RIVER CUSTOMERS?
A. All customers receive benefits when system expansions occur, primarily through the allocation of fixed system costs to a larger customer base. The Company anticipates growth in this area as we begin to serve communities that do not have gas or need increased capacity. The Company also believes that the added benefits of reinforced supply for the Company's Vero Beach service territory will allow the Company to expand service and service offerings in that area.

## Q. HAVE THESE ADDITIONAL COSTS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE PGA CAP RATE FOR 2015?

A. Yes. The proposed Winter Cap rate contains four months of the additional FTC capacity cost based on the anticipated contract start date of March 1, 2015.

## Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE CONTENTS OF THE SCHEDULES

 SUBMITTED AS PART OF THIS FILING?A. Yes. Schedule E-1 shows the projected period, January 2015 through December 2015. For 2015, the Company estimates the gas purchases for resale will be $43,354,889$ therms (Line 15) at a total cost of $\$ 34,238,082$ (Line 11) with a resulting WACOG of 78.972 cents per therm (Line 40) before the application of the true-up factor and the regulatory assessment fee. Schedule E-4 shows the difference between the estimated actual and actual true-up for the prior period, January 2013 through December 2013, is an overrecovery of $\$ 1,332,078$ (Column 3, Line 4). The projected true-up for the current period, January 2014 through December 2014, is an under-recovery of $\$ 1,069,341$ (Column 4 , line 4). The total true-up as shown on Schedule E-4 is an over-recovery of $\$ 262,737$ for a credit true-up recovery factor of 00.606 cents per therm that would be applied during the projected period (Schedule E-1, Line 41). This true-up factor decreases the gas cost factor during the projected period to 78.366 cents per therm (Line 42) before the regulatory assessment fee. With the regulatory assessment fee added, the

PGA factor is 78.760 cents per therm (Line 44) based on the average of the winter and summer seasons.
Q. DOES THE ANALYSIS FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD SUMMARIZED ABOVE PROVIDE A SUFFICIENT BASIS TO SET THE PGA CAP IN $2015 ?$
A. No. As shown on Schedule E-1 (winter), City Gas has chosen to establish a maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas as follows:

Winter Average, per Therm

Total Cost (Line 11)
Total Therm Sales (Line 27)
(Line 11/ Line 27)
True-up
Before Regulatory Assessment
Revenue Tax Factor
Purchased Gas Factor
\$21,151,481
23,914,182
\$0.88447
(\$0.00606)
$\$ 0.87841$
1.00503
\$0.88283

As shown above, the maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas is 87.841 cents per therm before the regulatory assessment fee and 88.283 cents per therm after the regulatory assessment fee. If approved by the Commission, 88.283 cents per therm would be the maximum

Docket No. 140003-GU
August 22, 2014

3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
4 A. Yes, it does.

## EXHIBIT TK-2

(SCHEDULES E-1 WINTER, E-1, E-1/R, E-2,

E-3, E-4, AND E-5)




| COMPANY: <br> FLORIDA CITY GAS |  |  |  |  |  | HEDULE VISED FORM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORIGINAL ESTIMATE FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD: $2015 \quad$ Winter Months | Revised | \$0.88283 | GA CAP w/ | essment |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | OJECTION |  |  |  |
| COST OF GAS PURCHASED | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | TOTAL |
| 1 COMMODITY (Pipeline) | (\$358) | (\$418) | (\$490) | (\$482) | (\$416) | (\$467) | (\$2,631) |
| 2 NO NOTICE RESERVATION | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 3 SWING SERVICE | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4 COMMODITY (Other) | \$1,568,890 | \$1,840,741 | \$2,208,938 | \$2,262,947 | \$1,937,421 | \$2,172,387 | \$11,991,323 |
| 5 DEMAND | \$1,236,249 | \$1,557,979 | \$1,606,398 | \$1,543,915 | \$1,404,351 | \$1,606,398 | \$8,955,290 |
| 6 OTHER | \$29,736 | \$33,274 | \$28,697 | \$39,069 | \$43,470 | \$33,252 | \$207,498 |
| LESS END-USE CONTRACT | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 7 COMMODITY (Pipeline) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 8 DEMAND | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 9 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 11 TOTAL COST $(1+2+3+4+5+6)-(7+8+9+10)$ | \$2,834,517 | \$3,431,576 | \$3,843,543 | \$3,845,449 | \$3,384,826 | \$3,811,570 | \$21,151,481 |
| 12 NET UNBILLED | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 13 COMPANY USE | (\$872) | (\$903) | (\$863) | (\$877) | (\$894) | (\$898) | $(\$ 5,307)$ |
| 14 THERM SALES REVENUES | \$2,833,645 | \$3,430,673 | \$3,842,680 | \$3,844,572 | \$3,383,932 | \$3,810,673 | \$21,146,174 |
| THERMS PURCHASED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 COMMODITY (Pipeline) | 3,250,633 | 3,799,003 | 4,450,532 | 4,383,318 | 3,785,133 | 4,245,563 | 23,914,182 |
| 16 NO NOTICE RESERVATION |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 SWING SERVICE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 COMMODITY (Other) | 3,218,433 | 3,761,603 | 4,419,732 | 4,342,218 | 3,744,533 | 4,213,563 | 23,700,082 |
| 19 DEMAND | 18,274,190 | 24,286,500 | 25,096,050 | 24,225,880 | 21,881,440 | 25,096,050 | 138,860,110 |
| 20 OTHER | 33,200 | 38,400 | 31,800 | 42,100 | 41,600 | 33,000 | 220,100 |
| LESS END-USE CONTRACT |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 COMMODITY (Pipeline) |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 DEMAND | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 TOTAL PURCHASES ( $+17+18+20)-(21+23)$ | 3,251,633 | 3,800,003 | 4,451,532 | 4,384,318 | 3,786,133 | 4,246,563 | 23,920,182 |
| 25 NET UNBILLED | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 COMPANY USE | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(6,000)$ |
| 27 TOTAL THERM SALES (24-26) | 3,250,633 | 3,799,003 | 4,450,532 | 4,383,318 | 3,785,133 | 4,245,563 | 23,914,182 |
| CENTS PER THERM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 COMMODITY (Pipeline) (1/15) | (0.00011) | (0.00011) | (0.00011) | (0.00011) | (0.00011) | (0.00011) | (0.00011) |
| 29 NO NOTICE RESERVATION (2/16) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 30 SWING SERVICE (3/17) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 31 COMMODITY (Other) (4/18) | \$0.48747 | \$0.48935 | \$0.49979 | 0.52115 | 0.51740 | 0.51557 | 0.50596 |
| 32 DEMAND (5/19) | \$0.06765 | \$0.06415 | \$0.06401 | 0.06373 | 0.06418 | 0.06401 | 0.06449 |
| 33 OTHER (6/20) | \$0.89566 | \$0.86651 | \$0.90242 | 0.92800 | 1.04496 | 1.00765 | 0.94275 |
| LESS END-USE CONTRACT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 COMMODITY Pipeline (7/21) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 35 DEMAND (8/22) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 36 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 37 TOTAL COST (11/24) | 0.87172 | 0.90305 | 0.86342 | 0.87709 | 0.89401 | 0.89757 | 0.88425 |
| 38 NET UNBILLED (12/25) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 39 COMPANY USE (13/26) | 0.87172 | 0.90305 | 0.86342 | 0.87709 | 0.89401 | 0.89757 | 0.88425 |
| 40 TOTAL THERM SALES (11/27) | 0.87199 | 0.90328 | 0.86361 | 0.87729 | 0.89424 | 0.89778 | 0.88447 |
| 41 TRUE-UP (E-2) | (0.00606) | (0.00606) | (0.00606) | (0.00606) | (0.00606) | (0.00606) | (0.00606) |
| 42 TOTAL COST OF GAS (40+41) | 0.86593 | 0.89722 | 0.85755 | 0.87123 | 0.88818 | 0.89172 | 0.87841 |
| 43 REVENUE TAX FACTOR | 1.00503 | 1.00503 | 1.00503 | 1.00503 | 1.00503 | 1.00503 | 1.00503 |
| 44 PGA FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES ( $42 \times 43$ ) | 0.87028 | 0.90174 | 0.86187 | 0.87561 | 0.89265 | 0.89620 | 0.88283 |
| 45 PGA FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST . 001 | 0.870 | 0.902 | 0.862 | 0.876 | 0.893 | 0.896 | 0.883 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| COMPANY: <br> FLORIDA CITY GAS | THERM SALES AND CUSTOMER DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SCHEDULE E-5 } \\ \text { (REVISED FORM 9/03) } \\ \text { Page } 2 \text { of } 2 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD: |  |  | JANUARY 2015 |  | through |  | DECEMBER 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | total |
| THERM USE PER CUSTOMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 RESIDENTIAL | 18 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 18 | 158 |
| 40 GAS LIGHTS | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 116 |
| 41 COMMERCIAL | 485 | 413 | 460 | 413 | 409 | 373 | 393 | 371 | 379 | 386 | 451 | 467 | 5,000 |
| 42 LARGE COMMERCIAL | 27,696 | 27,609 | 26,557 | 23,290 | 20,562 | 20,680 | 21,101 | 23,125 | 21,651 | 22,216 | 27,020 | 27,847 | 289,354 |
| 43 NATURAL GAS VEHICLES | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 3,600 |
| 44 INTERRUPTIBLE PREFERRED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 COMMERCIAL TRANSP. | 51,632 | 42,205 | 49,623 | 49,404 | 47,715 | 36,206 | 39,397 | 38,202 | 37,388 | 44,504 | 40,496 | 46,497 | 523,286 |
| 47 SMALL COMMERCIAL TRANSP. FIRM | 1,211 | 1,043 | 1,125 | 994 | 970 | 887 | 920 | 874 | 895 | 900 | 1,028 | 1,067 | 11,915 |
| 48 SMALL COMMERCIAL TR - INTER. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 49 SMALL COMMERCIAL TRANSP - NGV | 333 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 336 | 336 | 336 | 337 | 337 | 4,022 |
| 50 INTERRUPTIBLE TRANSP. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 CONTRACT INTERRUPT. TRANSP. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 52 INTERRUPT. LG. VOL. TRANSP. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 53 CONTR. INTERR. LG. VOL. TRANSP. | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 54 SPECIAL CONTRACT | 2,400,000 | 2,400,000 | 1,200,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,200,000 | 2,400,000 | 2,400,000 | 12,000,000 |

