

Angela Charles

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 2:42 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 140110

Customer correspondence

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 8:16 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 140110

Copy on file, see 1157248C. DHood

From: Karen Esty [<mailto:karenesty@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2014 7:32 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Duke Energy Hearing

August 26, 2014

Betty Easley Conference Center
Joseph P. Cresse Hearing Room (Room 148)
Attn: Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way
Tallahassee, Florida
RE: PSC Hearing on DEF's Proposed Citrus County Plant and Power Uprate Projects Begins Tuesday

Dear Board Members:

I do not write to you regarding the propose hearing request but seek to have the board ask Duke Energy to do the right thing. It is important to "build in" safe guards for this "self build" facility. After reading countless articles, listening to the media, and being a Duke Energy customer, I find their actions has spoken that their only obligation is to the CEO, shareholders, and other administrative executives.

Duke Energy knew going into the purchase of Progress Energy there were structural problems at the Crystal River Plant therefore it was purchased "as is". Instead of taking advise from experts on how to repair and who should repair the reactor, these facts were brushed aside and "self repairs" began just to save money. We all know what happened.

Another mishap in Raleigh, NC regarding the coal ask spill in the Dan River all because Duke Energy did not due on-site inspections while work was being done. They were told several times about the pipe being made metal, and to check the pipes every six months. They literally blamed everything on the subcontractor who did the work.

Duke Energy doing a "self built" facility seriously concerns me considering their recent inability to take full responsibility for their actions or lack of. The blame game is getting old. You cannot be in business as long as they have and not know what's going on during construction or maintenance of your facilities. Impossible!

During your deliberations it must be on record that any construction delays, cost over-runs, mechanical failure, environmental damage and add on's are not passed down to the customers. We, the rate payers, are not their savings & loan or their automatic AMT. It's time to hold Duke Energy accountable for the work.

It's a slap in the face of the rate-payers to read Duke Energy set to profit again, Duke Energy's profit soars 58%, Duke Energy profit jumps 80 and Duke Energy gets to keep 100 million of customer's money. If problems occur during construction or thereafter, Duke Energy knows they have a safety net – rate payers. So why have over-site?

Duke Energy is a large employer which equates to money back into local economy, but they also have a fiduciary obligation to all us. Hopefully their "self-built" facility will have "built in" safeguard and constructed properly with no excuses.

Sincerely,
Karen Esty
2409 Wilson Street
Inverness, Florida 34453

Angela Charles

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 9:28 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: RE: Docket 140110-EI
Attachments: FW Duke Energy; Consumer Inquiry - Duke Energy Florida

See attached customer correspondence for correspondence side of Docket 140110-EI.

Angela Charles

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 9:25 AM
To: 'karenesty@yahoo.com'
Subject: Consumer Inquiry - Duke Energy Florida

Ms. Karen Esty
karenesty@yahoo.com

Dear Ms. Esty:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Duke Energy Florida (DEF) to the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC). The FPSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the FPSC. The FPSC has authority in the telephone industry which is limited to the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service.

You expressed a concern about DEF's petition for determination of need for Citrus County combined cycle power plant.

We will add your correspondence to Docket 140110 -EI. If you have any questions you may contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552 or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Florida Public Service Commission

Angela Charles

From: Governor Rick Scott <Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 9:00 AM
To: Ellen Plendl
Cc: Sunburst
Subject: FW: Duke Energy

-----Original Message-----

From: Karen Esty [<mailto:karenesty@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2014 7:05 PM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: Duke Energy

From: Karen Esty <karenesty@yahoo.com>

County: Citrus

Zip Code: 34453

Phone Number: 352-341-1526

Message Body: August 26, 2014

Betty Easley Conference Center
Joseph P. Cresse Hearing Room (Room 148)
Attn: Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

RE: PSC Hearing on DEF's Proposed Citrus County Plant and Power Upgrade Projects Begins Tuesday

Dear Board Members:

I do not write to you regarding the proposed hearing request but seek to have the board ask Duke Energy to do the right thing. It is important to "build in" safe guards for this "self build" facility.

After reading countless articles, listening to the media, and being a Duke Energy customer, I find their actions have spoken that their only obligation is to the CEO, shareholders, and other administrative executives.

Duke Energy knew going into the purchase of Progress Energy there were structural problems at the Crystal River Plant therefore it was purchased "as is". Instead of taking advice from experts on how to repair and who should repair the reactor, these facts were brushed aside and "self repairs" began just to save money. We all know what happened.

Another mishap in Raleigh, NC regarding the coal ash spill in the Dan River all because Duke Energy did not do on-site inspections while work was being done. They were told several times about the pipe being made metal, and to check the pipes every six months. They literally blamed everything on the subcontractor who did the work.

Duke Energy doing a "self built" facility seriously concerns me considering their recent inability to take full responsibility for their actions or lack of. The blame game is getting old. You cannot be in business as long as they have and not know what's going on during construction or maintenance of your facilities. Impossible!

During your deliberations it must be on record that any construction delays, cost over-runs, mechanical failure, environmental damage and add on's are not passed down to the customers. We, the rate payers, are not their savings & loan or their automatic AMT. It's time to hold Duke Energy accountable for the work.

It's a slap in the face of the rate-payers to read Duke Energy set to profit again, Duke Energy's profit soars 58%, Duke Energy profit jumps 80 and Duke Energy gets to keep 100 million of customer's money. If problems occur during construction or thereafter, Duke Energy knows they have a safety net – rate payers. So why have over-site?

Duke Energy is a large employer which equates to money back into local economy, but they also have a fiduciary obligation to all us. Hopefully their "self-built" facility will be constructed properly with no excuses.

Sincerely,
Karen Esty
2409 Wilson Street
Inverness, Florida 34453

Angela Charles

From: Betty Leland
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 7:18 AM
To: Commissioner Correspondence
Subject: FW: Duke Energy Hearing

Good Morning:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence consumers and their representatives in Dockets 140110 and 140111.

Thanks.

From: Karen Esty [<mailto:karenesty@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2014 6:57 PM
To: Balbis@psc.state.fl.us; Edgar@psc.state.fl.us; Office Of Commissioner Graham
Subject: Duke Energy Hearing

August 26, 2014

Betty Easley Conference Center
Joseph P. Cresse Hearing Room (Room 148)
Attn: Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way
Tallahassee, Florida
RE: PSC Hearing on DEF's Proposed Citrus County Plant and Power Uprate Projects Begins Tuesday

Dear Board Members:

I do not write to you regarding the propose hearing request but seek to have the board ask Duke Energy to do the right thing. It is important to "build in" safe guards for this "self build" facility. After reading countless articles, listening to the media, and being a Duke Energy customer, I find their actions has spoken that their only obligation is to the CEO, shareholders, and other administrative executives.

Duke Energy knew going into the purchase of Progress Energy there were structural problems at the Crystal River Plant therefore it was purchased "as is". Instead of taking advise from experts on how to repair and who should repair the reactor, these facts were brushed aside and "self repairs" began just to save money. We all know what happened.

Another mishap in Raleigh, NC regarding the coal ask spill in the Dan River all because Duke Energy did not due on-site inspections while work was being done. They were told several times about the pipe being made metal, and to check the pipes every six months. They literally blamed everything on the subcontractor who did the work.

Duke Energy doing a "self built" facility seriously concerns me considering their recent inability to take full responsibility for their actions or lack of. The blame game is getting old. You cannot be in business as long as they have and not know what's going on during construction or maintenance of your facilities. Impossible!

During your deliberations it must be on record that any construction delays, cost over-runs, mechanical failure, environmental damage and add on's are not passed down to the customers. We, the rate payers, are not their savings & loan or their automatic AMT. It's time to hold Duke Energy accountable for the work.

It's a slap in the face of the rate-payers to read Duke Energy set to profit again, Duke Energy's profit soars 58%, Duke Energy profit jumps 80 and Duke Energy gets to keep 100 million of customer's money. If problems occur during construction or thereafter, Duke Energy knows they have a safety net – rate payers. So why have over-site or repercession for mistakes.

Duke Energy is a large employer which equates to money back into local economy, but they also have a fiduciary obligation to all us. Hopefully their "self-built" facility will be constructed properly with no excuses.

Sincerely,
Karen Esty
2409 Wilson Street
Inverness, Florida 34453