FILED SEP 17, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 05216-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

2014

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause

COM

AFD

FCO

ENG GCL

TEL

CLK

36410601.1

Docket No. 140009-EI

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA'S NINTH REQUEST FOR REDACTED CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION REGARDING STAFF-GENERATED AUDIT WORKPAPERS

Duke Energy Florida, Inc. ("DEF" or the "Company"), pursuant to Sections 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006(3), Florida Administrative Code, requests confidential classification of portions of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff-Generated Auditors' Workpapers (the "Workpapers"). These documents contain confidential contractual, financial, and vendor information, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's competitive business interests. These documents meet the definition of proprietary confidential business information pursuant to section 366.093(3), Florida Statutes. The unredacted documents are being filed under seal with the Commission on a confidential basis to keep the competitive business information in those documents confidential.

BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Section 366.093(1), Florida Statutes, provides that "any records received by the Commission which are shown and found by the Commission to be proprietary confidential business information shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from [the Public Records Act]." § 366.093(1), Fla. Stat. Proprietary confidential business information means information that is (i) intended to be and is treated as private, confidential information by the Company, (ii) because disclosure of the information would cause harm, (iii) either to the Company's customers or the Company's business operation, and (iv) the information has not been voluntarily disclosed to the public. § 366.093(3), Fla. Stat. Specifically, "information concerning bids or other

contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms" is defined as proprietary confidential business information. § 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additionally, section 366.093(3)(e) defines "information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of the information," as proprietary confidential business information, and section 366.093(3)(b) provides that "[i]nternal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors" is proprietary confidential business information.

DOCUMENTS AT ISSUE

Portions of the aforementioned documents should be afforded confidential classification for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Christopher M. Fallon filed in support of DEF's Request for Confidential Classification, and for the following reasons. Specifically, portions of these documents contain confidential financial information, as well as contractual data, including pricing agreements, payment information and other confidential financial and contractual terms, the release of which would impair DEF's competitive business interests and ongoing negotiations with vendors. <u>See</u> Affidavit of Fallon, ¶¶ 3-5. The disclosure of this information would compromise DEF's competitive business interests and in certain instances violate contractual confidentiality provisions with DEF's vendors. <u>Id.</u>

Also included in the auditors' Workpapers is information related to and gleaned from the Company's contracts for the Levy project ("Levy"). This information contains and includes sensitive business information, including pricing agreements, the disclosure of which would harm DEF's competitive business interests. Affidavit of Fallon, ¶¶ 3-5. In order to successfully obtain competitive contracts, however, DEF must be able to assure the other parties to the contracts that the sensitive business information contained therein, such as pricing terms, will

remain confidential. DEF has kept confidential and has not publicly disclosed the costs and provisions at issue here. Absent such measures, DEF would run the risk that sensitive business information regarding what it is willing to pay for certain goods and services, as well as what the Company is willing to accept as payment for certain goods and/or services, would be made to available to the public and, as a result, other potential suppliers, vendors, and/or purchasers of such services could change their position in negotiations with DEF. Without DEF's measures to maintain the confidentiality of sensitive terms in these contracts, the Company's efforts to obtain competitive contracts, and/or disposition Levy equipment, would be undermined. Affidavit of Fallon, \P 3-4. Accordingly, this information should be afforded confidential treatment by the Commission. See § 366.093(3)(d)(e), Fla. Stat.

Upon receipt of this confidential information, strict procedures are established and followed to maintain the confidentiality of the information provided, including restricting access to only those persons who need the information to assist the Company. See Affidavit of Fallon, $\P\P$ 5-6. At no time since receiving the information in question has the Company publicly disclosed that information. See id. The Company has treated and continues to treat the information at issue as confidential. Id.

CONCLUSION

The competitive, confidential contractual cost and vendor information at issue in this request fits the statutory definition of proprietary confidential business information under Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, and that information should be afforded confidential classification. In support of this motion, DEF has enclosed the following:

(1) A separate, sealed envelope containing one copy (cd) of the confidential Appendix A to DEF's Request for Confidential Classification for which DEF has requested confidential classification with the appropriate section, pages, or lines containing the confidential information highlighted. This information should be accorded confidential treatment pending a decision on DEF's request by the Florida Public Service Commission;

(2) Two copies of the documents with the information for which DEF has requested confidential classification redacted by section, page or lines, where appropriate, as Appendix B; and,

(3) A justification matrix supporting DEF's Request for Confidential Classification of the highlighted information contained in confidential Appendix A, as Appendix C.

WHEREFORE, DEF respectfully requests that the redacted portions of Staff-Generated Auditors' Workpapers be granted confidential classification and treated accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

John T. Burnett Deputy General Counsel Dianne M. Triplett Associate General Counsel DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042 Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519 *Ist Blaise N. Gamba* James Michael Walls Florida Bar No. 0706242 Blaise N. Gamba Florida Bar No. 0027942 CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A. Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239 Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to counsel and parties of record as indicated below via electronic mail this 17th day of September, 2014.

Keino Young Caroline Klancke Florida Public Service Commission Staff 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Phone: (850) 413-6199 Facsimile: (850) 413-6184 Email: <u>cklancke@psc.state.fl.us</u> kyoung@psc.state.fl.us

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen Putnal Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828 Fax: (850) 681-8788 Email: <u>jmoyle@moylelaw.com</u> kputnal@moylelaw.com

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia, III Gardner Law Firm 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 Phone: (850) 385-0070 Email: <u>Schef@gbwlegal.com</u> <u>Jlavia@gbwlegal.com</u> <u>/s/ Blaise N. Gamba</u> Attorney

Charles Rehwinkel Deputy Public Counsel Erik Sayler Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Phone: (850) 488-9330 Email: <u>rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us</u> Sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us

James W. Brew F. Alvin Taylor Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson St NW 8th FL West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201 Phone: (202) 342-0800 Fax: (202) 342-0807 Email: jbrew@bbrslaw.com ataylor@bbrslaw.com

Matthew R. Bernier Paul Lewis, Jr. Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Ste. 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 Phone: (850) 222-8738 Facsimile: (850) 222-9768 Email: <u>matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com</u> <u>paul.lewisjr@duke-energy.com</u> Bryan S. Anderson Jessica Cano Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 Phone: (561) 304-5253 Facsimile: (561) 691-7135 Email: <u>bryan.anderson@fpl.com</u> Jessica.cano@fpl.com

George Cavros Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 120 E. Oakland Park Blvd, Ste. 105 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334 Phone: (954) 295-5714 Facsimile: (866) 924-2824 Email: george@cavros-law.com Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Ste. 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858 Phone: (850) 521-3919 Facsimile: (850) 521-3939 Email: Ken.hoffman@fpl.com

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA In re: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Docket 140009-EI Ninth Request for Confidential Classification

Exhibit B

	Performance Analysi 2014 Work Pla						
	Progress Energy-F	lorida's					
LNP Construction / Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate							
Task	Subtask	Auditor Notes	Conclusions				
	NEW CONSTRUCT	ON					
	2.1 Project Planning						
What is the current status of the	Update and describe project <i>planning</i> made since the last review for the project and its effect on the project schedule and costs.	construct LNP Units 1 & 2 under the previous poten	Staff recognizes that potential delays in th				
project? How has the scope of the	Obtain and document any external reviews performed relative to planning since the last review.	timeline.	NRC Waste Confidence Rulemaking after September 2014 could impact the COI				
project changed in light of the stipulation?	Review any detailed internal feasibility studies completed relative to project/planning scope changes.						
	Determine any impacts of the merger on the decision to cancel construction of the Levy project. Identify changes to the project	25	issuance timeline. This could also impa				
	planning approach of the combined companies. Identify the potential impacts of the Fukushima accident, Waste		the overall costs associated with COI				
	Confidence, and other regulatory decisions on the Levy project in terms of strategy, timing, feasibility and other decisions under Duke's control.		approval.				
What is the current project schedule?	Review and update the status of project planning, engineering, equipment modification, and phasing of work schedules to	DEF's documentation reflects the decision to suspend any construction activities outside of the					
What is the expected NRC	complete the licensing portion of the project, and identify any potential delays.	COL application process. DEF has revised its current project schedule to represent this decision,					
COLA approval date?	Review and update the tracking of the project's schedule and costs.	and the Board of Director's approved this decision with the acceptance of the settlement reached in the					
What is the schedule for	Document the status of long-lead and other equipment to be						
disposition of Levy assets?	disposed.						
		In January 2014, the company notified the					
		Engineering, Procurement, and Construction Consortium of its decision to cancel the contract due to lack of Regulatory approval. The company					
		resolved details of this decision with Chicago Bridge & Iron Company in April 2014, and currently is working with Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC to resolve the remaining details.					
		DEF and the Westinghouse Electric Company LLC					

39	Conclusions:
Document #: NEW DR1.23 Date Requested: 11/23/13 Date Received: 1/17/14 Comments: (i.e., Confidential) REDACTED	Data Request(s) Generated: No. Description: No. Description: Follow-up Required: 1. Discuss the multiple disposition options currently being evaluated by DEF for the LLE ordered under the Levy EPC contract. 2. When will the final LLE disposition decisions, based on minimizing future costs while maximizing benefit to DEF customers, be implemented and completed? Document Title and Purpose of Review: Please update the status and describe any changes to the disposition LLE contracts. Summary of Contents: The status of each LLE component is regularly documented by the Consortium in the EPC Quarterly Project Status Reports. See attached in response to DR1 22 above. Fabrication of tubing for the Levy 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B Steam Generators (SG3) was completed during 2013. DEF reviewed the Certified Mill Test Report (CMTR) data packages and signed off Hold Points for the SG tubing for the Levy 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B Steam Generators) in Korea. Fabrication was underway on the Accumulator Tanks (ACTs), Core Make-Up Tanks (CMTs) Pressurizers (PZRs) and PRHR Heat Exchangers (PRH Rks) for Levy Units 1 & 2 at vendor's facility in Italy during 2013. Following the approval of the Settlement, WEC provided DEF an offer from the vendor to cancel fabrication of the Lev Int LE components. Given the uncertainty regarding new nuclear in Florida is a savings of approximately wersus completion of the RCL piping. The offer from the vendor of the RCL piping. The offer from the vendor results in savings of approximately documented in the attached documents. (returned disk to company) In November, 2013, DEF requested WEC to pursue a cancellation offer in the near term from the vendor of the Reactor Coolant Loop (RCL) piping. In November, 2013, DEF requeste
Document #: NEW DR1.24 Date Requested: 11/23/13 Date Received: 1/17/14 Comments: (i.e., Confidential)	 Document Title and Purpose of Review: Provide a current listing of all internal and external audits completed for the Levy project since January 2013, and any planned for 2014. Also provide a copy of all completed audit reportsincluding all recommendations, management responses, and actions taken as a result of these audits. Summary of Contents: No internal audits of the Levy project were completed during 2013. The 2014 internal audit plan does not currently include any audits of the Levy project. There were no controls related external audits of the Levy project in 2013 and none are planned for 2014. Conclusions: Data Request(s) Generated:
Division of Populatory Con	No. Description: No. Description:

Division of Regulatory Compliance Bureau of Performance Analysis I:\PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS SECTION\00 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AUDITS\Nuclear Controls Review 2014\DEF\3.0 Work Papers\3.3 Document Summaries\3.3.1 NEW DR-1 Sum. Log.doc

REDACTED

Bureau of Performance Analysis

55

Duitau of i off	
Interview	w Summary
Company: Duke Energy Florida Area: Project Management Internal Controls Auditor(s): L. Fisher, T. Coston,	Interview Number: 2 File Name: 3.5.2 INTERVIEW SUMMARY NEW.DOC
Names: Lawrence Denny (Nuclear Development Analyst), Rob Kitchen (Director of Licensing Nuclear Development), John Thrasher (Engineering Permit), Paul Moore (Regulatory), Christopher Fallon (Vice President Nuclear Development), Geof	Date of Interview: 3/25/14 Location: St. Petersburg Headquarters Telephone Number:
Foster (Regulatory)	
(1) Purpose of Interview:	
(2) Interview Summary:	2 1 00001-28)
a. The company provided a power point for this interview (DR	2 document 14PMA-DR2Levy-1-000001-20).
b. There was some change within the organization (Lynn Good	0005)
c. 2013 Permitting and Licensing Accomplishments (pg. 00 a. ASLB ruled in Duke's favor regarding environ	mental impacts of dewatering and salt drift
b. COLA Revision 6 submitted	
c. Reviewed condensate return design change wi	th NRC
d NRC published draft GEIS and Waste Confide	ence Proposed Rule
 USACE determined the Cross Florida Greenw 	ay lands are compatible with statutes
f. Established process to evaluate "New & Signi	ficant" information
g. Established process for management of Levy (COL changes
d. Remaining issues that need to be addressed by Duke are lis	ted on pg. 000009
e. EPC Agreement Background (pg. 000013)	
a. EPC Agreement signed 12/31/08b. EPC Agreement partially suspended 04/09	
c. 2010-2013 Continue support of COL	
	V V
f. Duke has terminated their EPC contract on 1/18. The cancelation cost (\$30 million) with WEC whether the component contract. Termination cost impact. The sub-contractors have April 2009. If Duke had not received the COL by 1/1/14, the termination costs) 2013 Levy and EPC Agreement Timely	La has no contracts with them. They have to use theorem
middleman. Most of LLE can only be used for AP1000. Due mercy. Duke tried to communicate early and often with the of assets.QA is managed by sub-contractors. LLE disposed	e sub-contractors. Duke cannot impose a schedule on WEC to dispose sition on pg.000016 and 000018. January 16, 2014 LLE Disposition
additional risk factor. Long-term storage of the LLE is out and demand of the equipment is fairly low. Marketing consignment of LLE. There is no interest in any exist Westinghouse to terminate the purchase order with Mangia for this equipment. On Jan.9 th , DEF instructed WEC to te 000020). DEF also has no further liabilities to WEC for t and Tioga. Stopping was not a viable potion for the manu Summary of Levy LLE i. They will continue to pursue the COLA. The waste con	b. Storing the equipment would mean more incurred costs as well as an of the question. This does not mesh with the NCRC. The marketability g of LLE Status on pg. 000022. Westinghouse is not interested in ting AP 1000 plant or within Duke. On Nov. 7 th , DEF instructed arotti (settlement on pg. 000019). DEF has no further liabilities to WEC erminate the purchase order with Tioga for the RCL (settlement on pg. this equipment. Duke accepted both cancellation offers by Mangiarotti ifacturers who had started working. The LLE manufacturer settlements on pg. 000021. LLE Current Status on pg. 000023. fidence issue has pushed back all NRC licensing (6-8 months). Duke as flexibility on how to deal with this issue in the long term. The ACRS
Review drives the FSER. Updated COL schedule on pa	g. 000006-8. COL work for 2014-2015 on pg. 000010. COLA Risk neet with the sub-committee, it could add 2 more months. 2 plants under
	luation is done on what could come up. SACOE. Duke will be meeting with the ACOE on April 9 th . The 404

k. They are also still pursuing the 404 permit from the USACOE. Duke will be mee permit is not delaying the COLA process. The ACOE has concerns about the Cross Florida Barge canal used as an intake. They were given allowance to use greenway.

For the Environmental Impact Statement, they are evaluating all "New and Significant" information. 1.

I:\PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS SECTION\00 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AUDITS\Nuclear Controls Review 2014\DEF\3.0 Work Papers\3.5 Interview Summaries\3.5.2 Interview Summary NEW.doc 55

ATTACHMENT C

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET NO. 140009-EI Ninth Request for Confidential Classification Confidentiality Justification Matrix

DOCUMENT	PAGE/LINE/	JUSTIFICATION
Staff Generated Workpapers, Page 39	COLUMN Second Row, Summary of Contents, 9 th line, last three words; 10 th line, first two words; 11 th line, fourth and fifth words; 15 th Line, fifth and sixth word from the end	§366.093(3)(a), Fla. Stat. The document in question contains proprietary confidential information relating to trade secrets, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's business operations.
		 §366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. §366.093(3)(e), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential information relating to competitive business interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider/owner of the information.
Staff Generated Workpapers, Page 55	5 th row, paragraph h., last line, first six words	 §366.093(3)(a), Fla. Stat. The document in question contains proprietary confidential information relating to trade secrets, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's business operations. §366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.
5		The document portions in question contain confidential contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.

ATTACHMENT C

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET NO. 140009-EI Ninth Request for Confidential Classification Confidentiality Justification Matrix

DOCUMENT	PAGE/LINE/ COLUMN	JUSTIFICATION
	5	§366.093(3)(e), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential information relating to competitive business interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider/owner of the information.