

Writer's Direct Dial Number: (850) 521-1706 Writer's E-Mail Address: bkeating@gunster.com

September 26, 2014

E-PORTAL/ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 140004-GU - Natural Gas Conservation Cost Recovery Clause

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Attached for electronic filing, please find the Consolidated Prehearing Statement of Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company – Indiantown Division, Florida Public Utilities Company – Fort Meade, and the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

MEK

cc: Parties of Record

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Natural Gas)	
Conservation Cost Recovery)	Docket No. 140004-GU
Clause)	Filed: September 26, 2014
)	

CONSOLIDATED PREHEARING STATEMENT FOR FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY, FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION AND FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES-INDIANTOWN DIVISION

In accordance with Order No. PSC-14-0086-PCO-GU, the following is the Consolidated Prehearing Statement of Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC"), the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation ("CUC"), Florida Public Utilities Company-Indiantown Division ("Indiantown"), and Florida Public Utilities – Fort Meade ("Fort Meade"), referred to jointly herein as "Consolidated Companies":

a. All Known Witnesses

Witness	Subject Matter	<u>Issues</u>
Curtis Young (FPUC)	True Up for 2013	Issue 1
Curtis Young (Indiantown)	True Up for 2013	Issue 1
Curtis Young (CUC)	True Up for 2013	Issue 1
Curtis Young (Consolidated Companies)	Actual/Estimated True-up Amount for 2014; cost recover factors; effective date	Issues 2 – 5

b. All Known Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Witness	Description
CDY-1	Curtis Young (FPUC)	True-Up Variance Analysis [Schedules CT1-CT6]
CDY-2	Curtis Young (Indiantown)	True-Up Variance Analysis [Schedules CT1-CT6]
CDY-3	Curtis Young (CUC)	True-Up Variance Analysis [Schedules CT1-CT6]
CDY-4	Curtis Young (Consolidated Companies)	Projections: Estimated ECCR charges by rate class [Schedules C-1 through C-4]

c. Statement of Basic Position

<u>CUC</u>: The Commission should approve CUC's final net true-up for the period January through December 2013, the estimated true-up for the period January through December, 2014, and the projected conservation program expenses and recover factors for the period January through December, 2015.

<u>FPUC:</u> The Commission should approve Florida Public Utilities Company's final net true-up for the period January through December 2013, the estimated true-up for the period January through December, 2014, and the projected conservation program expenses and recovery factors for the period January through December, 2015.

<u>Indiantown:</u> The Commission should approve Florida Public Utilities Company – Indiantown Division's final net true-up for the period January through December 2013, the

estimated true-up for the period January through December, 2014, and the projected conservation program expenses and recovery factors for the period January through December, 2015.

<u>Fort Meade:</u> The Commission should approve Florida Public Utilities Company – Fort Meade's projected conservation program expenses and recovery factors for the period January through December, 2015.

d. Position on the Issues

ISSUE 1. What are the final conservation cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2013 through December 2013?

<u>CUC:</u> For the 12-month period ended December 31, 2013, CUC under-recovered \$80,810, resulting in an adjusted end of period total true up amount of \$30,132.

FPUC: For the 12-month period ended December 31, 2013, FPUC over-recovered \$7,883, resulting in an adjusted end of period total true up amount of \$110,343.

<u>Indiantown:</u> For the 12-month period ended December 31, 2013, Indiantown over-recovered \$2,731, resulting in an adjusted end of period total true up amount of \$134.

<u>Fort Meade</u>: There is no true-up amount applicable to Fort Meade for the period ended December 31, 2013.

ISSUE 2. What are the total conservation cost recovery amounts to be collected during the period January 2015 through December 2015?

Consolidated Companies: A total of \$5,046,643.

ISSUE 3. What are the conservation cost recovery factors for the period January 2015 through December 2015?

<u>CUC:</u> The appropriate factors are:

Rate Class	ECCR Adjustment Factor (dollars
	per therm)
FTS-A	\$0.25336
FTS-B	\$0.19798
FTS-1	\$0.16933
FTS-2	\$0.09302
FTS-2.1	\$0.06821
FTS-3	\$0.05892
FTS-3.1	\$0.04672
FTS-4	\$0.03945
FTS-5	\$0.03429
FTS-6	\$0.02668
FTS-7	\$0.02031
FTS-8	\$0.01746
FTS-9	\$0.01484
FTS-10	\$0.01450
FTS-11	\$0.01218
FTS-12	\$0.00811

The Company also seeks approval of the following experimental per bill Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment (Experimental) factors:

Rate Class	ECCR Factor (\$ per bill)
FTS-A	\$ 1.74
FTS-B	\$ 2.27
FTS-1	\$ 2.89
FTS-2	\$ 5.79
FTS-2.1	\$ 8.35
FTS-3	\$ 20.69
FTS-3.1	\$ 27.09

<u>FPUC:</u> The appropriate factors are:

Rate ClassAdjustment Factor (dollars per therm)Residential\$0.11097General Service & GS Transportation (GS1) <600</td>\$0.07164General Service & GS Transportation (GS2) >600\$0.05218Large Volume Service\$0.04307

<u>Indiantown:</u> The appropriate factors are:

Rate Class	ECCR Factor
TS-1	\$0.10242
TS-2	\$0.01670
TS-3	\$0.08305
TS-4	\$0.00504

Fort Meade: The appropriate factors are:

Rate Class

Adjustment Factor (dollars per therm)

Residential	\$0.11097
General Service & GS Transportation (GS1) <600	\$0.07164
General Service & GS Transportation (GS2) >600	\$0.05218
Large Volume Service	\$0.04307

ISSUE 4. What should be the effective date of the conservation cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

CUC:

The factors should be effective beginning with the specified conservation cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January 2014 through December 2014. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2014 and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2014, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

FPUC:

The factors should be effective beginning with the specified conservation cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January 2014 through December 2014. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2014 and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2014, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

<u>FPUC-Indiantown:</u>

The factors should be effective beginning with the specified conservation cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period

January 2015 through December 2015. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2015 and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2015, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

Fort Meade:

The factors should be effective beginning with the specified conservation cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January 2015 through December 2015. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2015 and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2015, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

COMPANY SPECIFIC CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY ISSUE

ISSUE 5. Should Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Indiantown, Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade, and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation be permitted to consolidate the conservation programs of their various divisions?

CUC: Yes. Consolidation of the conservation programs will promote administrative efficiency and reduce costs associated with maintaining separate accounting records and separate billing procedures for each company or division. The individual companies would still maintain separate conservation rates until such time as the base rates are consolidated through a rate proceeding. Program costs, under the Consolidated Companies' proposal, would be allocated to the separate base rates according to the share of base revenues each rate provides to the consolidated natural gas unit. The Consolidated Companies therefore ask that the Commission approve this consolidated approach, which will realize savings for the individual companies/divisions and their customers.

FPUC: Yes. Consolidation of the conservation programs will promote administrative efficiency and reduce costs associated with maintaining separate accounting records and separate billing procedures for each company or division. The individual companies would still maintain separate conservation rates until such time as the base rates are consolidated through a rate proceeding. Program costs, under the Consolidated Companies' proposal, would be allocated to the separate base rates according to the share of base revenues each rate provides to the consolidated natural gas unit. The Consolidated Companies therefore ask that the Commission approve this consolidated approach, which will realize savings for the individual companies/divisions and their customers.

<u>Indiantown:</u> Yes. Consolidation of the conservation programs will promote administrative efficiency and reduce costs associated with maintaining separate accounting records and separate billing procedures for each company or division. The individual companies would still maintain separate conservation rates until such time as the base rates are consolidated through a rate proceeding. Program costs, under the Consolidated Companies' proposal, would be allocated to the separate base rates according to the share of base revenues each rate provides to the consolidated natural gas unit. The Consolidated Companies therefore ask that the Commission approve this consolidated approach, which will realize savings for the individual companies/divisions and their customers.

Fort Meade: Yes. Consolidation of the conservation programs will promote administrative efficiency and reduce costs associated with maintaining separate accounting records and separate billing procedures for each company or division. The individual companies would still maintain separate conservation rates until such time as the base rates are consolidated through a rate proceeding. Program costs, under the Consolidated Companies' proposal, would be allocated to the separate base rates according to the share of base revenues each rate provides to the consolidated natural gas unit. The Consolidated Companies therefore ask that the Commission approve this consolidated approach, which will realize savings for the individual companies/divisions and their customers.

e. <u>Stipulated Issues</u>

There are no stipulated issues at this time.

f. Pending Motions

None.

g. Pending Confidentiality Claims or Requests

None.

h. Objections to Witness Qualifications as an Expert

None.

i. Compliance with Order No. 14-0086-PCO-GU

CUC, FPUC, FPUC-Fort Meade, and Indiantown believe that this Prehearing Statement fully complies with all requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure entered in this docket.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26th day of September, 2014.

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 521-1706

Attorneys for Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Indiantown Division, Florida Public Utilities Company – Fort Meade, and the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing, has been furnished by Electronic Mail to the following parties of record this 26th day of September, 2014:

Florida Public Utilities Company/Florida	MacFarlane Ferguson Law Firm
Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation	e
Cheryl Martin/Aleida Socarras	P.O. Box 1531
911 South 8th Street	Tampa, FL 33601-1531
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034	
Messer Law Firm	Office of Public Counsel
Norman H. Horton, Jr.	J.R. Kelly/Charles Rehwinkel
P.O Box 15579	c/o The Florida Legislature
Tallahassee, FL 32317	111 West Madison Street
	Room 812
	Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Peoples Gas System	St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc.
Paula Brown/Kandi Floyd	Mr. Andy Shoaf*
P.O. Box 111	P.O. Box 549
Tampa, FL 33601-0111	Port St. Joe, FL 32457-0549
Sebring Gas System, Inc.	AGL Resources Inc.
Jerry H. Melendy, Jr.	Elizabeth Wade
3515 U.S. Highway 27 South	Ten Peachtree Place
Sebring, FL 33870	Location 1470
_	Atlanta, GA 30309
Florida City Gas	Kelley Corbari
Carolyn Bermudez	Florida Public Service Commission
933 East 25 th Street	2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Hialeah, FL 33013-3498	Tallahassee, FL 32399
111atoui, 112 33013 3 170	14114145500, 115 52577

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301