### FILED OCT 29, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 06094-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

1		BEFORE THE
2	FLOR	IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter	of: DOCKET NO. 140003-GU
4 5	PURCHASED GAS (PGA) TRUE-UP.	ADJUSTMENT
6		/
7		
8	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING
9	COMMISSIONERS:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR
LO		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS
L1		COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
L2	DATE:	Wednesday, October 22, 2014
L3 L4	TIME:	Commenced at 9:40 a.m. Concluded at 9:43 a.m.
L5	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
L 6		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
L7	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
L8		(850) 413-6734
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 APPEARANCES:

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster Law Firm, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1839, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

J.R. KELLY, PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, and CHARLES REHWINKEL, ESQUIRES, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of Florida.

KEINO YOUNG and KYESHA MAPP, ESQUIRES, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

CURT KISER, GENERAL COUNSEL, and MARY ANNE
HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, ESQUIRES, Florida Public
Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisors to the Florida
Public Service Commission.

1		WITNESSES		
2	NAME:		PAGE N	iO
3			17101 1	•
4	<b>THOMAS KAUFMANN</b> Prefiled Testimony	Inserted	10	
5	KANDI M. FLOYD	111561 664	10	
6	Prefiled Testimony	Inserted	23	
7	<b>ANDY SHOAF</b> Prefiled Testimony	Inserted	42	
8	MICHELLE NAPIER			
9	Prefiled Testimony	Inserted	47	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE	COMMISSION	

1		E	XHIBITS			
2	NUMBER:			ID.	ADMTD.	
3	1 through 14	(as identifi ve Exhibit 1	ied in the	55	55	
4	Comprehensi	ve Exilibit i	LISC)			
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
	<u> </u>	LORIDA PUBLI	IC SERVICE	COMMISSION		

#### PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Now I think it's time to convene the 2014 clause hearing. If I can get the staff to read the order.

MS. TAN: By notice issued September 17th, 2014, this time and place is set for a hearing conference in the following dockets: 140001-EI, 140002-EG, 140003-GU, 140004-GU, and 140007-EI. The purpose of the hearing conference is set out in the notice.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Let's take appearances.

MR. BUTLER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. John Butler and Ken Rubin appearing on behalf of FPL in the 02 docket, and John Butler and Maria Moncada appearing on behalf of FPL in the 01 and 07 dockets. Thank you.

MS. DANIELS: Good morning, Chairman. Ashley Daniels appearing with James Beasley and Jeffry Wahlen with Ausley McMullen appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. Thank you.

MR. BERNIER: Good morning, Commissioners.

Matt Bernier with Duke Energy appearing in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets, along with John Burnett and Dianne

Triplett. I'd also like to enter an appearance for Gary

1 Perko in the 07 docket. Thank you.

MR. BADDERS: Good morning, Chairman. Russell Badders on behalf of Gulf Power Company. I'd like to enter an appearance for myself, Jeffrey A. Stone, Steven R. Griffin in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets.

MR. CAVROS: Good morning, Commissioners.

George Cavros on behalf of the Southern Alliance for

Clean Energy. I'll be representing the organization in
the 02 and the 07 dockets.

MS. KAUFMAN: Good morning, Commissioners.

Vicki Gordon Kaufman and Jon Moyle of the Moyle Law Firm on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets.

MS. KEATING: Good morning, Commissioners.

Beth Keating with the Gunster Law Firm here today for

FPU in the 01 and 02 dockets, for FPU and Florida City

Gas in the 03 docket, and for FPU, Indiantown, Fort

Meade, Florida City Gas, and Chesapeake in the

04 docket.

MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Commissioners.

Robert Scheffel Wright and John T. LaVia, III, of the Gardner, Bist, Weiner Law Firm in the 01 fuel cost recovery docket. We're appearing on behalf of the Florida Retail Federation. In the 02 docket we're appearing on behalf of Walmart Stores East and Sam's

1 East, LP. Thank you.

MR. REHWINKEL: Good morning, Commissioners. Charles Rehwinkel, Patty Christensen, and J. R. Kelly with the Office of Public Counsel on behalf of the people of the State of Florida in all dockets.

MS. TAN: Martha Barrera for the 01 docket,
Lee Eng Tan for the 02 docket, Kyesha Mapp and Keino
Young for the 03 docket, Kelley Corbari for the
04 docket, and Charlie Murphy for staff on the 07
docket.

MS. HELTON: And I'm Mary Anne Helton. I'm here as your advisor on all the dockets. And I'd also like to enter an appearance for your General Counsel, Curt Kiser.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. So those five dockets that we're going to address today, staff, I take it we're taking in the order of docket 02, then 03, then 04, then 01, then 07, in that order?

MS. TAN: That is correct. And, Chairman, I'd also like to note that the following parties have been excused from attending the hearing: St. Joe Natural Gas Company in the 03 and the 04 docket, Peoples Gas System in the 03 and the 04 docket, Sebring Gas System in the 04 docket, and PCS Phosphate/White Springs in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Well, if there's 1 nothing else, then I guess we move to the individual 2 3 dockets. MS. TAN: That is correct. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: And we will move on to 6 7 Docket Number 140003-GU. We'll open that docket. Staff, are there any preliminary matters? 8 9 MS. MAPP: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Staff would note that St. Joe Natural Gas Company and Peoples Gas 10 System have been excused from this hearing this morning. 11 12 In addition, staff would note that there are proposed stipulations on all issues with OPC taking no 13 14 position, and all witnesses have been excused and the parties have waived opening statements. 15 If the Commission so chooses, a bench decision 16 17 may be made in this hearing on Issues 1 through 5 located on pages 5 and 6 of the Prehearing Order. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioners, any questions of staff on any of these issues? Seeing none, can I get 20 21 a motion, please? 22 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I would 23 move to approve the proposed stipulations of Issues 24 1 through 5 in the Prehearing Order.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It's been moved and seconded, approval of all issues. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye. (Vote taken.) Any opposed? By your actions, you've approved all issues on this docket. Okay. Once again, I guess we have to enter the prefiled direct testimony into the record? MS. MAPP: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We would ask that the prefiled testimony of all witnesses located on page 4, Section VI of the Prehearing Order be entered into the record as though read. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We will enter all those prefiled direct testimonies into the record as though read. 

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

### THOMAS KAUFMANN

#### ON BEHALF OF FLORIDA CITY GAS

(Final True-Up)

#### DOCKET NO. 140003-GU

1 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. My name is Thomas Kaufmann. My business address is 3 A. 4 Elizabethtown Gas, 300 Connell Drive, Suite 3000, Berkeley 5 Heights, New Jersey 07922. 6 BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY? 7 Q. 8 A. I am currently employed as a Manager of Rates and Tariffs and 9 have responsibilities for Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc's., Florida operating division d/b/a Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the 10 11 Company"). 12 BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND 13 Q. 14 EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE. 15 In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark with A. a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in 16 17 accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from

> Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison with a Masters of Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional responsibilities have encompassed financial analysis, accounting, planning, and pricing in manufacturing and energy services companies in both regulated and deregulated industries. In 1977, I was employed by Allied Chemical Corp. as a staff accountant. In 1980, I was employed by Celanese Corp. as a financial analyst. In 1981, I was employed by Suburban Propane as a Strategic Planning Analyst, promoted to Manager of Rates and Pricing in 1986 and to Director of Acquisitions and Business Analysis in 1990. In 1993, I was employed by Concurrent Computer as a Manager, Pricing Administration. In 1996 I joined Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc's., (formerly known as NUI Utilities Inc.) as a Rate Analyst, was promoted to Manager of Regulatory Support in August, 1997 and Manager of Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named Manager of Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the comparison of Actual versus Original estimate of the purchased gas adjustment cost recovery factor and true-up provision for the period January, 2013 through December, 2013 for City Gas.

1		
2	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORM PRESCRIBED
3		BY THIS COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?
4	A.	Yes. The Company has prepared the form prescribed by the
5		Commission attached as Schedule A-7, and identified as Exhibit
6		(TK-1).
7		
8	Q.	HAS CITY GAS PREPARED A SCHEDULE WHICH SHOWS
9		THE ACTUAL GAS COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE GAS
10		ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY FACTOR?
11	A.	Yes. City Gas prepared Schedule A-7, attached, which
12		describes the total fuel cost for the period in question, recovery
13		of such cost from ratepayers through the Purchased Gas
14		Adjustment (PGA) Cost Recovery Factor, and remaining over or
15		under-recovery of gas cost.
16		
17	Q.	WHAT WAS THE TOTAL GAS COST INCURRED BY THE
18		COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED
19		DECEMBER 31, 2013?
20	A.	As shown on Schedule A-7, Line 1, the total cost of gas for the
21		twelve months ended December 31, 2013 is \$25,910,274.
22		

1	Q.	WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF GAS COST
2		RECOVERED BY THE COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE
3		MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013?
4	A.	The Company recovered \$24,815,038 from customer billings
5		plus an additional \$1,113,307 from margin sharing credits.
6		
7	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S ACTUAL TRUE-UP FOR THE
8		TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013?
9	A.	The actual true-up amount, including adjustments, margin
10		sharing and interest, is an over-recovery of \$19,210.
11		
12	Q.	CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU ARRIVED AT THAT
13		AMOUNT?
14	A.	Yes. As shown on Schedule A-7, the total fuel cost for the
15		period is \$25,910,274 and the total fuel revenues are
16		\$24,815,038. The difference between the fuel cost and fuel
17		recoveries is an under-recovery of \$1,095,236. This under-
18		recovery was offset by an adjustment of \$1,113,307 for margin
19		sharing, and an interest provision, during the period, of \$1,139.
20		The sum of these is an over-recovery of \$19,210.
21		

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE FINAL OVER/UNDER RECOVERY FOR THE
2		JANUARY 2013 THROUGH DECEMBER 2013 PERIOD TO BE
3		INCLUDED IN THE 2014 PROJECTION?
4	A.	The final true-up amount for the period of January 2013 through
5		December 2013 to be included in the 2014 projection is an over-
6		recovery \$1,332,078. This is the difference between the
7		estimated under-recovery of \$1,312,868 that is included in the
8		current cost recovery factor being collected during 2014 and the
9		actual over-recovery of \$19,210.
10		
11	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
12	A.	Yes.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3		THOMAS KAUFMANN
4		ON BEHALF OF FLORIDA CITY GAS
5		DOCKET NO. 140003-GU
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
8	A.	My name is Thomas Kaufmann. My business address is Elizabethtown
9		Gas, 300 Connell Drive, Suite 3000, Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922.
10	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED, AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
11	A.	I am currently employed as a Manager of Rates and Tariffs and have
12		responsibilities for Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the Company").
13	Q.	BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
13 14	Q.	BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
	<b>Q.</b> A.	
14		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
14 15		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.  In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J.,
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.  In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J., with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.  In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J., with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from
14 15 16 17 18		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.  In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J., with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison, N.J., with a Masters of
14 15 16 17 18 19		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.  In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J., with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison, N.J., with a Masters of Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.  In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J., with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison, N.J., with a Masters of Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional responsibilities have encompassed financial analysis, accounting,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.  In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J., with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison, N.J., with a Masters of Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional responsibilities have encompassed financial analysis, accounting, planning, and pricing in manufacturing and energy services

1 1981, I was employed by Suburban Propane as a Strategic Planning 2 Analyst, promoted to Manager of Rates and Pricing in 1986 and to 3 Director of Acquisitions and Business Analysis in 1990. In 1993, I 4 was employed by Concurrent Computer as a Manager, Pricing 5 Administration. In 1996 I joined NUI as a Rate Analyst, was 6 promoted to Manager of Regulatory Support in August, 1997 and 7 Manager of Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named 8 Manager of Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.

#### 9 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

10 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the revised estimate of
11 the Company's projection of gas costs for the period August 2014
12 through December 2014 and the Company's projection of gas costs
13 for the period January 2015 through December 2015. In addition I
14 will present the development of the maximum rate to be charged to
15 customers for the period January 2015 through December 2015.

# 16 Q. HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORMS AS PRESCRIBED 17 BY THE COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?

18 A. Yes. The forms prescribed by the Commission are being filed at this
19 time. Copies are attached to my testimony as Exhibit TK-2.

20

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

Α.

#### 1 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE PROJECTION METHODOLOGY?

Α. Yes. Under this methodology, which was adopted by Order No. PSC-93-0708-FOF-GU of this Commission on May 10, 1993 and modified in Docket No. 980269-PU on June 10, 1998, gas companies are to project their gas costs each twelve months for the ensuing twelve month period ending in December. A per therm rate is developed for the weighted average cost of gas (WACOG). This rate, based on the average of the winter and summer seasons, would lead to over or under-recoveries of gas costs in the two This problem is mitigated by establishing a maximum seasons. levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas, thereby eliminating a large under-recovery in that season. The Company is then able to flex downward in the summer in order to match market conditions and eliminate the potential for a large over-recovery for the remainder of the period.

## 16 Q. WHAT IF THE ACTUAL COST EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM RATE 17 AS PROJECTED?

If re-projected gas costs for the remaining period exceed projected recoveries by at least 10% for the twelve month period, a mid-course correction may formally be requested by the Company.

21

1	Q.	WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DIFFERENCES THAT RESULT FROM
2		DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL COSTS?
3	A.	The forms take this into consideration. Form E-2 calculates the
4		projected differences using estimated figures, and form E-4
5		calculates the final true-up using actual figures. These differences
6		are flowed back to customers through the true-up factor included in
7		gas costs billed in the subsequent twelve month period.
8	Q.	ARE ANY FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION (FGT) RATE
9		CHANGES PROJECTED IN THIS FILING?
10	A.	No, the FGT rates used in the preparation of this filing are those in
11		effect on August 1, 2014.
12	Q.	DOES THIS YEAR'S FILING REFLECT ANY CAPACITY COSTS NOT
13		CONTAINED IN LAST YEAR'S CAP RATE?
14	A.	Yes. in preparing this year's forecast the Company is projecting
15		additional Florida Gas Transmission ("FGT") capacity beginning March
16		1, 2015 for a period of ten (10) years consisting of 2,807 dekatherms
17		per day during the winter months of October through March and 2,577
18		dekatherms per day during the summer months of April through
19		September at a cost of \$706,000 per year at max FTS-2 Tariff Rates.
20	Q.	ARE THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ADDITIONAL
21		CAPACITY APPROPRIATE FOR RECOVERY THROUGH THE PGA?
22	A.	Yes. In order to expand City Gas's facilities to get natural gas service
23		to customers in the underserved portions of our system in of Indian

1 River County, it is necessary to obtain this additional pipeline capacity. 2 Commission has traditionally allowed recovery of such 3 transportation costs through the PGA clause, as long as they are 4 reasonable and are not already being recovered through the 5 Company's base rates. These costs were not included in the Projected 6 Test Year of the Company's last rate case, and therefore, are not 7 already being recovered in base rates. Moreover, the Commission has 8 recently acknowledged that transportation costs associated with a 9 similar project are appropriate for recovery through the PGA in Docket 10 No. 110271-GU, Order No. PSC-12-0230-PAA-GU (page 6). 11 Q. WHAT BENEFITS WILL OTHER CUSTOMERS ON CITY GAS'S 12 NATURAL GAS SYSTEM OBTAIN FROM THE ADDITIONAL INDIAN 13 **RIVER CUSTOMERS?** 14 Α. All customers receive benefits when system expansions occur, primarily 15 through the allocation of fixed system costs to a larger customer base. 16 The Company anticipates growth in this area as we begin to serve 17 communities that do not have gas or need increased capacity. The 18 Company also believes that the added benefits of reinforced supply for 19 the Company's Vero Beach service territory will allow the Company to 20 expand service and service offerings in that area. 21 HAVE THESE ADDITIONAL COSTS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE PGA Q. **CAP RATE FOR 2015?** 22

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α.

1 A. Yes. The proposed Winter Cap rate contains four months of the 2 additional FTC capacity cost based on the anticipated contract start 3 date of March 1, 2015.

## 4 Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE CONTENTS OF THE SCHEDULES 5 SUBMITTED AS PART OF THIS FILING?

Schedule E-1 shows the projected period, January 2015 through December 2015. For 2015, the Company estimates the gas purchases for resale will be 43,354,889 therms (Line 15) at a total cost of \$34,238,082 (Line 11) with a resulting WACOG of 78.972 cents per therm (Line 40) before the application of the true-up factor and the regulatory assessment fee. Schedule E-4 shows the difference between the estimated actual and actual true-up for the prior period, January 2013 through December 2013, is an overrecovery of \$1,332,078 (Column 3, Line 4). The projected true-up for the current period, January 2014 through December 2014, is an under-recovery of \$1,069,341 (Column 4, line 4). The total true-up as shown on Schedule E-4 is an over-recovery of \$262,737 for a credit true-up recovery factor of 00.606 cents per therm that would be applied during the projected period (Schedule E-1, Line 41). This true-up factor decreases the gas cost factor during the projected period to 78,366 cents per therm (Line 42) before the regulatory assessment fee. With the regulatory assessment fee added, the

1		PGA factor is 78.760 cents per therm (Line 44) based on the
2		average of the winter and summer seasons.
3	Q.	DOES THE ANALYSIS FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD
4		SUMMARIZED ABOVE PROVIDE A SUFFICIENT BASIS TO SET
5		THE PGA CAP IN 2015?
6	A.	No. As shown on Schedule E-1 (winter), City Gas has chosen to
7		establish a maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the
8		Company's expected winter cost of gas as follows:
9		
10		
11		Winter Average, per Therm
12		
13		Total Cost (Line 11)       \$21,151,481         Total Therm Sales (Line 27)       23,914,182         (Line 11/ Line 27)       \$0.88447         True-up       (\$0.00606)         Before Regulatory Assessment       \$0.87841         Revenue Tax Factor       1.00503         Purchased Gas Factor       \$0.88283
14		As shown above, the maximum levelized purchased gas factor
15		based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas is 87.841
16		cents per therm before the regulatory assessment fee and 88.283
17		cents per therm after the regulatory assessment fee. If approved by
18		the Commission, 88.283 cents per therm would be the maximum

- 1 gas cost factor that City Gas may charge its customers for the
- period January 2015 through December 2015.
- 3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 140003-GU FILED: 05/02/2014

	ı	
1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		KANDI M. FLOYD
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7		
8	Α.	My name is Kandi M. Floyd. My business address is 702
9		N. Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602.
10		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12		
13	Α.	I am employed by Peoples Gas System ("Peoples") as
14		Manager of State Regulatory, having held that position
15		since 2003.
16		
17	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and
18		professional qualifications.
19		
20	А.	I hold a B.A. in Business Administration from Saint Leo
21		University. From 1995 to 1997, I worked in a series of
22		positions within the Regulatory Affairs Department of
23		Tampa Electric Company. In 1998, I joined Peoples as a
24		Regulatory Coordinator in the Regulatory and Gas Supply
25		Department. In 2001, I became Peoples' Energy

Conservation/Regulatory Coordinator, and held that 1 position until assuming my current position in 2003. 2 3 What are your primary responsibilities in your current Q. 4 5 position with Peoples? 6 As Manager of State Regulatory, I am responsible for 7 A. managing the Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") and Energy 8 Conservation Cost Recovery filings as well as various regulatory activities of Peoples. 10 11 Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain 12 Q. schedules for use in this proceeding? 13 14 As Composite Exhibit KMF-1, preparation of the Α. 15 Yes. 16 following schedule with respect to the final true-up for the period January 2013 through December 2013: A-7 -17 Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery. 18 19 20 Q. What was Peoples' cost of gas to be recovered through PGA clause for the period January 2013 through 21 December 2013? 22 23 24 As shown on Schedule A-7 in KMF-1, the cost of gas 25 purchased, adjusted for company use, was \$141,042,136.

1	Q.	What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the
2		period January 2013 through December 2013?
3		
4	A.	The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost of
5		gas was \$137,487,939.
6		
7	Q.	What was the final true-up amount for the period January
8		2013 through December 2013?
9		
10	A.	The final true-up amount for the period, including
11		interest and adjustments, is an under-recovery of
12		\$3,436,873.
13		
14	Q.	Is this amount net of the estimated true-up for the
15		period January 2013 through December 2013, which was
16		included in the January 2014 through December 2014 PGA
17		factor calculation?
18		
19	A.	No. The final true-up net of the estimated true-up for
20		the period January 2013 through December 2013 is an
21		under-recovery of \$3,036,213.
22		
23	Q.	Is this the final under-recovery amount to be included
24		in the January 2015 through December 2015 projection?
25		
	•	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 140003-GU FILED: 08/22/2014

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1 PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 KANDI M. FLOYD 4 5 Please state your name, business address, by whom you 6 are employed, and in what capacity? 7 8 My name is Kandi M. Floyd. My business address is 9 Α. Peoples Gas System, 702 North Franklin Street, P.O. Box 10 2562, Tampa, Florida 33601-2562. I am employed by 11 Peoples Gas System ("Peoples" or the "Company") and am 12 the Manager of State Regulatory. 13 14 Please describe educational 15 0. your and employment background. 16 17 Bachelor 18 have of Arts Degree in Business Α. а Administration from Saint Leo University. From 1995 to 19 20 1997, I was employed in a series of positions within the regulatory affairs department of Tampa Electric Company. 21 In 1998, I joined Peoples Gas System as a Regulatory 22 Coordinator in the Regulatory and Gas Supply Department. 23 In 2001, I became the Energy Conservation / Regulatory 24 Administrator and in 2003 became the Manager of State 25

Regulatory for Peoples Gas System. In this role, I am responsible for managing the Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") and Energy Conservation Cost Recovery filings as well as various regulatory activities for Peoples.

5

6

1

2

3

4

What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket? Q.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Α. The purpose of my testimony is to describe generally the Peoples' cost of purchased gas components of and upstream pipeline capacity. In my testimony, I also explain how Peoples' projected weighted average cost of gas ("WACOG") for the January 2015 through December 2015 determined and the period was resulting requested maximum PGA ("Cap").

15

14

Please summarize your testimony. Q.

17

18

16

I will address the following areas: Α.

19

20

21

- 1. How Peoples will obtain its gas supplies during the projected period.
- Estimates and adjustments used to determine the 22 amount of gas to be purchased from Peoples' various 23
- available sources of supply during the projected period. 24
  - 3. Projections and assumptions used to estimate the

purchase price to be paid by Peoples for such gas 1 supplies. 2 3 4. The components and assumptions used to develop Peoples' projected WACOG including the projected true-up 4 5 balance to be collected or refunded. 6 7 What is the appropriate final purchased gas adjustment Q. true-up amount for the period January 2013 through 8 December 2013? 9 10 The final PGA true-up amount for the year 2013 is an 11 under-recovery of \$3,036,213. 12 13 14 Q. What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment true-up amount for the period January 2014 through December 15 16 2014? 17 The estimated PGA true-up amount for 2014 is an over-A. 18 recovery of \$3,655,983. 19 20 is the total purchased gas adjustment 21 What amount to be refunded during the period January 2015 22 23 through December 2015? 24 The total PGA true-up amount to be refunded in 2015 is 25 A. 3

an over-recovery of \$619,770. 1 2 3 Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain schedules for use in this proceeding? 4 5 Composite Exhibit KMF-2 was prepared by me Α. Yes. 6 under my supervision. 7 8 Please describe how Peoples will obtain its gas supplies 9 Q. during the projected period of January 2015 through 10 11 December 2015. 12 All natural gas delivered through Peoples' distribution 13 14 system is received through three interstate pipelines. is delivered through Florida Gas Gas Transmission 15 16 Company ("FGT"), through Southern Natural Gas Company ("Southern") in Peoples' Jacksonville Division, 17 through Gulfstream Natural Gas System ("Gulfstream") in 18 Lakeland, Sarasota, Peoples' Tampa, and Orlando 19 20 Divisions. Receiving gas supply through multiple interstate pipelines provides valuable flexibility and 21 reliability to serve customers. 22 23 In general, how does Peoples determine its sources of 24

supply?

Peoples evaluates, selects and utilizes sources Α. natural gas supply on the basis of its "best value" gas acquisition strategy. For a source of supply to be identified as a "best value," it must offer the best combination of price, reliability of supply, flexibility, consistent with Peoples' obligation as a public utility to provide safe, adequate and efficient service to the general public. Through a competitive bidding process, Peoples has a portfolio of supply sources from numerous third-party suppliers that reflect balance between cost, reliability and operational flexibility.

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Q. Could Peoples purchase all third party supplies in advance for a long term at the lowest available fixed price in order to provide increased stability to its cost of gas?

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

A. No. Peoples' quantity requirements for system supply gas vary significantly from year to year, season to season, month to month and, in particular, from day to day. The demand for gas on the Peoples system can often vary dramatically within a month from the lowest to the highest requirement of its customers. The actual takes of gas out of the Peoples system by transport customers

varies significantly from day to day. Since significant portions of the total transportation volumes received by Peoples at a uniform daily rate, Peoples is forced to increase or decrease the volumes purchased for its own system supply by significant increments in order to maintain a balance between receipts and deliveries of gas each day. As a consequence, Peoples must buy a portion of its total system requirements under swing contract arrangements, and meet extreme variations in delivered volumes by relying on swing gas, peaking gas, pipeline balancing volumes and pipeline no service at the prevailing rates for such services.

13

14

15

16

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Q. How did Peoples estimate the amount of gas to be purchased from various sources during the projected period of January 2015 through December 2015?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Peoples' projected gas purchases are based on the Company's preliminary total throughput of therms delivered to customers projected for 2015, including both sales of Peoples' system supply and transportation deliveries of third party gas purchased by end-users of Peoples. The throughput was then adjusted for the anticipated level of transportation service.

Q. How are revenues derived from Peoples' Swing Service
Charge accounted for through the PGA?

A. Customers who participate in the NaturalChoice program pay a Swing Service Charge. The Swing Service Charge covers costs included in the PGA for balancing the difference between marketer-supplied gas and the customers' actual consumption. The revenues from the Swing Service Charge are credited to the PGA to offset this expense.

Q. Is Peoples seeking a change in the Swing Service Charge?

A. Not at this time. However, to the extent a portion of the swing costs are assigned to the PGA, Peoples may seek to readdress its Swing Service Charge expenses and the allocation thereof in a subsequent docket before the Commission.

Q. How did you estimate the purchase price to be paid by Peoples for each of its available sources of gas supply?

A. The price to be paid for natural gas is estimated based on an evaluation of historical prices for gas delivered to the FGT, Southern, and Gulfstream systems, futures

reported on the New York Mercantile contracts as Exchange and forecasts of market prices for the projection period of January 2015 through December 2015. These prices are then adjusted to reflect the potential for implied volatility increases and unexpected and unforeseen increases due to market forces particularly in the monthly and daily markets for natural gas prices in the projection period.

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q. Referring to Schedules E-3 (A) through (G) of Composite

Exhibit KMF-2, please explain the components of these
schedules and the assumptions that were made in
developing the Company's projections.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Schedule E-3 (G) is a compilation of the monthly data Α. that appears on Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the corresponding months of January 2015 through December 2015. In Schedules E-3 (A) through (F), Column (A) indicates the applicable month for all data on the page. In Column (B), "FGT" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from third party suppliers for delivery via interstate pipeline transportation. indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a delivery third party supplier for via Southern interstate pipeline transportation. "GULFSTREAM"

indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a third party supplier for delivery via Gulfstream interstate pipeline transportation. "THIRD PARTY" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased directly from various third party suppliers for delivery into FGT, Southern, or Gulfstream.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

In Column (C), "PGS" means the purchase will be for Peoples' system supply and will become part of Peoples' total WACOG. None of the costs of gas or transportation for end-use purchases made by end-use customers of Peoples are included in Peoples' WACOG. In Column (D), purchases of pipeline transportation services from FGT under Rate Schedules FTS-1 and FTS-2 are split into two components, commodity (or "usage") and demand (or "reservation"). Both Peoples and end-users pay the usage charge based on the actual amount The FTS-1 and FTS-2 commodity costs shown transported. include all related transportation charges including usage, fuel and ACA charges. The FTS-1 and FTS-2 demand component is a fixed charge based on the maximum daily quantity of FTS-1 and FTS-2 firm transportation capacity reserved. Similarly, the transportation rates Southern and Gulfstream also consist of two components, a usage charge and a reservation charge. Individual

Transportation Service customers reimburse Peoples directly pay FGT for all pipeline reservation charges associated with the transportation capacity that Peoples reserves and uses on their behalf. Also in Column (D), "NO NOTICE TRANSPORTATION SERVICE" "NNTS") means FGT's no notice service provided to Peoples on a fixed charge basis for use when Peoples' scheduled quantities. actual use exceeds "SWING SERVICE" means the demand and commodity component of the cost of third party supplies purchased to meet Peoples "swing" requirements for supply that fluctuate on a dayto-day basis. "COMMODITY" means third party purchases of gas transported on FGT, Southern or Gulfstream and does not include any purchases of sales volumes from FGT. Column (E) shows the monthly quantity in therms of gas purchased by Peoples for each category of system supply. Column (F) shows the gas purchased by end-users for transportation. Column (G) is the total of Columns (E) and (F) in each row. Columns (H), (I), (J) and (K) show the corresponding third party supplier commodity costs, pipeline

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

transportation commodity costs, pipeline transportation

reservation costs, and other charges (e.g., balancing 1 charges), respectively. These costs are determined 2 using the actual amounts paid by Peoples. In the case 3 of end-user transportation, these costs are reimbursed 4 5 to Peoples or paid directly to FGT. All ACA and fuel charges are included in the commodity costs in Column 6 (I) and, therefore, are not shown in Column (K). (L) in each row is the sum of Columns (H), (I), (J) and (K) divided by Column (G).

10

11

12

13

7

8

9

Please explain the components of these schedules and the assumptions that were made in developing the Company's projections.

14

15

16

17

18

19

Schedule E-1 is shown in three versions. Α. relates to Cost of Gas Purchased, Therms Purchased, and Cents Per Therm for Combined Rate Classes, Page 19 relates to Residential Customers, and Page 20 relates to Commercial Customers.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The costs associated with various categories or items are shown on lines 1 through 14. Line 6 on Schedule E-1 includes legal expenses associated with various interstate pipeline dockets such as tariff filings, seasonal fuel filings and certification proceedings. In addition, legal and consulting expenses have been included because FGT is scheduled to file а rate proceeding no later than November 1, 2014. The increased rates will be effective as of May 2015. expenses have historically been included for recovery through the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause because they are fuel related expenses. The volumes consumed for similar categories or items are shown on lines through 27, and the resulting effective cost per therm rate for each similar category or item is contained on lines 28 through 45.

12

13

14

15

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

The data shown on Schedule E-1 are taken directly from Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the months of January 2015 through December 2015.

16

17

18

Q. What information is presented on Schedule E-1/R of Composite Exhibit KMF-2?

19

20

21

22

23

A. Schedule E-1/R of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 shows seven months actual and five months estimated data for the current period from January 2014 through December 2014 for all combined customer classes.

24

25

Q. What information is presented on Schedule E-2 of

1		Composite Exhibit KMF-2?
2		
3	A.	Schedule E-2 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 shows the amount
4		of the prior period over/under recoveries of gas costs
5		that are included in the current PGA calculation.
б		
7	Q.	What is the purpose of Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit
8		KMF-2?
9		
10	A.	Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 simply shows the
11		calculation of the estimated true-up amount for the
12		January 2014 through December 2014 period. It is based
13		on actual data for seven months and projected data for
14		five months.
15		
16	Q.	What information is contained on Schedule E-5 of
17		Composite Exhibit KMF-2?
18		
19	A.	Schedule E-5 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 is statistical
20		data that includes the projected therm sales and numbers
21		of customers by customer class for the period from
22		January 2015 through December 2015.
23		
24	Q.	How have you incorporated the Residential PGA factor and
25		the Commercial PGA factor in the derivation of the PGA
		10

cap for which the company seeks approval?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

Α. On April 5, 1998, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-99-0634-FOF-GU as a Proposed Agency Action in Docket No. 981698-GU, and the Order subsequently became This Order approved Peoples Gas' request for approval of for charging methodology separate Purchased Adjustment factors for different customer classes. Under the approved methodology, separate PGA factors are derived by assigning the fixed, interstate pipeline reservation costs to each customer class according to the class' contribution to the company's peak month demand for the winter season and the summer season. Exhibit KMF-2, Page 39, labeled Attachment, contains the seasonal peak allocation factors based on the most recent peak month data available, February 2014 and April 2014. These allocation factors are then applied to Schedule E-1 to derive the Residential, Commercial and Combined for All Rate Classes. The WACOG for which Peoples seeks approval as the annual cap Residential factor of \$.98354 per therm as shown Schedule E-1. This annual cap will be applicable to all rate classes.

24

25

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

1	A.	Yes,	it	does.					
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

i,		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMM	112210N
5			
3	In Re:	Purchased Gas Recovery )	Docket No. 140003-C: Submitted for filling May 1, 2014
5.		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHARLES A. S	HOAE ON
6		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COM	
8	Q.	Please state your name, business address, b	y whom
9		you are employed and in what capacity.	
10	A.	Charles A. Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St.	300,
11		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company	in The
12		capacity of V-President and Regulatory Affa	irs.
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
14	$A_{\star}$	My purpose is to discuss the final true-up	for
15		the period January 2013 through December 20	13.
16		Exhibits	
17	Q.	Would you please identify the Composite Exh	ibit which
1.8		you are sponsoring with this Testimony?	
19	Α,	Yes. As Composite Exhibit CAS-1, I am spon	soring the
20		following schedule with respect to the fina	i
21		true-up for period January 2013 through Dec	ember 2013.
22		Schedule A-7 - Final Fuel Over/Under Recove	ry
23	Q.	Was this schedule prepared under your direc	tion
24		and supervision?	
2 84	Α.	Vac it was	

- A		rinai True-up January 2013 - December 2013
2	Q.	What were the total therm sales for the period January
3		2013 through December 2013?
4	Ä.	Total therm sales were 965,535 therms.
5	Q.	What were total therm purchases for the period January
6		2013 through December 2013?
7	A.	Total therm purchases were 993,720.
8	Q.	What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the
9		PGA for the period January 2013 through December 2013?
10	Α.	The cost of gas purchased for January 2013 through
11		December 2013 was \$533,715.61.
12	Q.	What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the
13		period January 2013 through December 2013?
14	Α.	The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost
15		of gas was \$581,312.
1-6	Q.	What is the total true-up provision for the period
17		January 2013 through December 2013?
18	Α.	The total true-up provision, including interest, is an
19		over-recovery of \$47,626.39 for the period.
20	Q.	What is the amount of estimated true-up included for
21		January 2013 thru December 2013 in the January 2014
2.2.		through December 2014 PGA factor calculation?
23	Av	The amount of estimated true-up for the period January

thru December 2013 included in the January 2014 through

December 2014 PGA factor calculation was an over-

24

25

1		recovery of \$58,391.00.
2	Q.	What is the final over/under-recovery for the January
3		through December 2013 period to be included in the
4		January 2015 through December 2015 projection?
5	A.	The final under-recovery for the current period to be
6		included in the January 2015 through December 2015
7		projection is \$10,764.61.
8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9	Α.	Yes
1.0		
11		
12		
1 3		
1.4		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
SÓ		
21		
22		
23		
) A		

3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISS	SION
2			
3	In Re:	Purchased Gas Recovery	Docket No. 140003-GU Submitted for filing
4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		August 21, 2014
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDY SHOAF ON	
"		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, I	NĈ.
-8			on the control of the
9	·Q.	Please state your name, business address, by	भी <u>र</u> मा
.10		you are employed and in what capacity.	
11	Α.	Andy Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe,	
12		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in	the
13		capacity of V-President and Regulatory Affairs	S •
14	۵.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
15	Α.	My purpose is to submit known and estimated ga	33
16	~	costs and therm sales from January 1, 2014 the	cough
17		December 31, 2014, used in developing the maximum	mum twelve
15		month levelized purchased gas cost factor to h	e applied
19		to customer bills from January $\hat{1}_{\ell}$ 2015 through	
20		December 31, 2015.	
21	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits in conjunction	with
22		your testimony?	
23	Α.	Yes, I have prepared and filed on August 21, 2	014
24		Schedules E-1 through E-5.	
25	$\circ$ .	What Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor does	

· ·		St. Joe Natural Gas seek approval through its petition for
2.		the period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015?
3	λ.	74.10 cents per therm
4	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5	A.	Yes
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13.		
14		
15		
16		
Sandy .		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 140003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

# Direct Testimony of Michelle D. Napier On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

1 Q.	Please state	your name and	business address.	
------	--------------	---------------	-------------------	--

- 2 A. Michelle D. Napier 1641 Worthington Road, Suite 220, West Palm
- 3 Beach, FL 33409.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 5 A. I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company as the Senior
- 6 Regulatory Analyst.
- 7 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
- 8 A. To advise the Commission of the actual over/under recovery of the
- 9 Purchased Gas Adjustment for the period January 1, 2013 through
- December 31, 2013, as compared to the true-up amount previously
- reported for that period which was based on seven months actual and five
- months estimated.
- 13 Q. Please state the actual amount of over/under recovery of the Purchased
- Gas Adjustment for January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013.

- 1 A. During January 2013 through December 2013, FPUC over-recovered
- 2 \$2,826,059.
- 3 Q. How does this amount compare with the estimated true-up amount, which
- was allowed by the Commission during the November 2013 hearing?
- 5 A. As recognized in Order No. PSC-13-0600-FOF-GU, in Docket No.
- 6 130003-GU, FPUC had an anticipated over-recovery of \$2,730,783, based
- 7 upon 7 months of actual and 5 months of projected data.
- 8 Q. Have you prepared any exhibits at this time?
- 9 A. We prepared and pre-filed composite Exhibit MDN-1, containing
- Schedule A-7, Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery for the Period January
- 11 2013 through December 2013.
- 12 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 13 A. Yes.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) Actual/Estimated Projections
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHELLE D. NAPIER
4		On behalf of
5		Florida Public Utilities Company
6		DOCKET NO. 140003-GU
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Michelle D. Napier. My business address is 1641 Worthington Road,
9		Suite 220, West Palm Beach, Florida 33409.
LO	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
L1	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC) as the Senior Regulatory
12		Analyst.
13	Q.	Can you please provide a brief overview of your educational and employment
14		background?
15	A.	I graduated from University of South Florida in 1986 with a BS degree in Finance. I
16		have been employed with FPUC since 1987. During my employment at FPUC, I
17		have performed various roles and functions in accounting, management and most
18		recently, regulatory accounting (PGA, conservation, surveillance reports, regulatory
19		reporting).
20	Q.	Are you familiar with the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) clause of the Company
21		and the associated projected and actual revenues and costs?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?
24	A.	My testimony will establish the PGA "true-up" collection amount, based on actual
25		2013 through June 2014 data and projected July through December 2014 data. My
26		testimony will describe the Company's forecast of pipeline charges and commodity

- costs of natural gas for 2015. Finally, I will summarize the computations that are
- 2 contained in composite exhibit MDN-2 supporting the January through December
- 3 2015 projected PGA recovery (cap) factor for the FPUC consolidated gas division.
- 4 Q. Did you complete the schedules filed by your Company?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Which of the Staff's set of schedules has your company completed and filed?
- 7 A. The Company has prepared and previously filed True-Up schedules A-1, A-2, A-3,
- 8 A-4, A-5, A-6 and A-7, in addition to filing composite exhibit MDN-2 that contains
- 9 Schedules E-1, E-1/R, E-2, E-3, E-4, and E-5 for the FPUC consolidated gas division.
- These schedules support the calculation of the PGA recovery (cap) factor for January
- through December 2015.
- 12 Q. What is the appropriate final PGA true-up amount for the period January through
- 13 December 2013?
- 14 A. As shown on Schedule E-4, the final PGA true-up amount for the period January
- through December 2013 is an over-recovery of \$95,276, inclusive of interest.
- 16 Q. What is the projected PGA true-up amount for the period January through December
- 17 2014?
- 18 A. As also shown on Schedule E-4, the projected PGA true-up amount is an over-
- recovery of \$728,413, inclusive of interest, for the period January through December
- 20 2014.
- Q. What is the projection period for this filing?
- 22 A. The projection period is January through December 2015.
- Q. What is the total projected PGA true-up amount to be collected from or refunded to
- 24 customers for the period January through December 2015?
- As shown on Schedule E-4, the total net over-recovery to be refunded for the period
- January through December 2015 is \$823,689.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A.

- Q. Please describe how the forecasts of pipeline charges and commodity costs of gas were developed for the projection period.
- 3 The purchases for the gas cost projection model are based on projected sales to A. 4 traditional non-transportation service customers. Florida Gas Transmission 5 Company's (FGT) FTS-1, FTS-2, NNTS-1 and ITS-1 effective charges (including surcharges) and fuel rates, at the time the projections were made, were used for the 6 7 entire projection period. As is further explained herein, the Company has also 8 included costs related to further expansions in Nassau, Okeechobee, and Palm Beach Counties. The expected costs of natural gas purchased by the Company during the 9 projection period are developed using actual prices paid during relevant historical 10 periods and the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) natural gas futures 11 12 pricing through the end of the projection period. The forecasts of the commodity costs are then adjusted to reflect the unexpected potential market increases in the 13 14 projection period.
- 15 Q. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted average cost of gas are developed for the projection period.
  - The Company has forecasted the 2015-weighted average cost of gas using the projected monthly pipeline demand costs, less the projected cost of capacity temporarily relinquished to third parties, the projected pipeline usage and no-notice costs and the projected supplier commodity costs. The sum of these costs are then divided by the projected therm sales to the traditional non-transportation customers resulting in the projected weighted average cost of gas and ultimately the PGA recovery (cap) factor, as shown on Schedule E-1. Capacity shortfall, if any, would be satisfied by gas and capacity repackaged and delivered by another FGT capacity holder. If other services become available and it is economic to dispatch supplies under those services, the Company will utilize those services as part of its portfolio.

Τ	Q.	Please describe the additional planned expansion opportunities in Nassau County.
2	A.	The existing PPC/TECO jointly owned transmission pipeline runs north from Duval
3		County on SR 17, intersecting SR 200 and then east onto Amelia Island.
4		
5		
6		
7		which would
8		enable the Company to capture existing commercial businesses in the area and a
9	•	planned large multi-use development. This pipeline will position FPUC to grow its
10		distribution system as the area grows. Many of these customers are currently using
11		propane and switching to natural gas would result in significant cost savings.
12		
13		and has an agreement with TECO/PGS for upstream interstate pipeline
14		capacity.
15		
16	Q.	Are there other areas of expansion that will affect the Company's PGA?
17	A.	The Company is currently constructing a distribution expansion into the City of
18		Okeechobee and plans to begin serving customers in August 2014. Gas supply costs
19		for Okeechobee customers are included in the 2015 projections. Customer growth has
20		also been accounted for in the Company's projections.
21		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
22		
23		
24		but, given the FPUC system configuration, is not
25		sufficient to meet demand without negatively impacting other customers. The FPUC
26		interconnection with PPC also enabled FPUC to avoid a major upgrade at its Riviera

1		Beach gate station.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
. 7		and give
8		FPUC the opportunity to serve future customers.
9		
10		
11	Q.	Are the pipeline capacity and supply costs associated with expansions appropriate for
12		recovery in the PGA docket?
13	A.	Yes. Historically, the Commission has allowed recovery, through the clause, of
14		upstream transmission pipeline capacity, transportation and related supply costs
15		associated with service expansions to new areas. The Commission reviewed and
16		approved the Company's agreements with both PPC and TECO/PGS to bring natural
17		gas service to Nassau County.
18	Q.	Have the appropriate related costs been included in the Projections for 2015?
19	A.	Yes, as more specifically reflected in Schedule E-1 and E-3 of Exhibit MDN-2, the
20		Company has included the costs of existing interstate and intrastate capacity
21		agreements, as well as the costs for gas supply to serve the new customers in Nassau
22		and Palm Beach Counties as described above.
23	Q.	What is the appropriate PGA recovery (cap) factor for the period January through
24		December 2015?
25	A.	As shown on Schedule E-1, the PGA recovery (cap) factor is 98.747¢ per therm for
26		the period January through December 2015.

- 1 Q. What should be the effective date of the PGA recovery (cap) factor for billing
- 2 purposes?
- 3 A. The PGA recovery (cap) factor should be effective for all meter readings during the
- 4 period of January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015.
- 5 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 6 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

24

The exhibit list is marked as the first

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: And then the exhibits.

List has been prepared identifying the prefiled exhibits

attached to witness testimonies. A copy has been

provided to the parties, the Commissioners, and the

MS. MAPP: A stipulated Comprehensive Exhibit

hearing number 1, and the following exhibits are marked as located in the chart in the prehearing Comprehensive Exhibit List. At this time we would move Exhibits 1

through 14 into the record as though marked in the

Comprehensive Exhibit List.

court reporter.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. We will enter Exhibits 1 through 14 into the record. Are there any objections from any of the parties? Seeing none, we'll move those into the record.

(Exhibits 1 through 14 marked for identification and admitted into the record.)

Okay. Are there any other matters to be addressed in this docket?

MS. MAPP: No, there are no further matters. And since the Commission has made a bench decision, post-hearing filings are not necessary, and a final order will be issued in this docket no later than November 13th, 2014.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. This will conclude this docket. (Proceeding adjourned at 9:43 a.m.) FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	_
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a
11	relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	
13	DATED THIS 29th day of October, 2014.
14	
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	