NPUC SUBMITTAL

Background

That Florida should strive for the treatment and disposal of wastewater by central facilities as opposed to package plants and individual septic tanks on the state's fragile barrier islands is an immutable fact. The question, for the purposes of NPUC's proposal, is how do you get there in areas that have already been developed such that the ultimate provision of central wastewater treatment and disposal will require an infrastructure retrofit? NPUC's existed and proposed service area is entirely confined to a barrier island less than 2500 feet wide which lies between the Halifax River and the Atlantic Ocean. (See attachment 1). NPUC's present service territory encompasses three unconnected areas previously certificated by the PSC. NPUC proposes to expand its certificated territory such that it will serve a contiguous service territory from the Halifax River to the Atlantic Ocean and from north to south as delineated on attachment 1. No individual, entity, organization, or government has objected to NPUC's proposal.

The transition of a developed area, particularly one in an adjacent to a fragile marine environment, from onsite wastewater disposal to central treatment and central disposal cannot and will not occur at a singular point in time. Everyone will not connect at once, given the vagaries of local law, individual demand, onsite wastewater system useful life, economics, etc. The only practical way for an area such as the territory which NPUC seeks to certificate to ultimately be phased from onsite disposal systems to central treatment and disposal service is by certificating the territory to a private utility, who <u>thereafter</u> renders service to homes, streets, or neighborhoods at a point where and when demand is such that a critical mass is achieved to make the extension of the necessary facilities economically feasible to both the customers and the utility.

The extensions have two (2) components. The first is the force main and receiving pumping station additions which are shown in the engineering master plan and are reflected in the SAC fee calculations. (See attachment 10).

The second component is the customer connections to either (1) the abutting force main, (2) receiving pumping station, (3) existing gravity system or $(4 \text{ a new mini-collection system to connect to either # 1, #2, or #3 above.$

Connections #1, #2, and #3 are each customer's expense and are relatively minor costs. Since the exact method chosen by the customer and the approach taken are specific to the customer(s), those costs are unknown, yet will be booked as CIAC when incurred and connected.

Note, many of the expected future connections are in categories #1, #2, or #3 and those few future customers in category #4 are not expected to connect in the early phases of the plan.

Nonetheless, if customers in category #4 wish services the following options are available:

a) Refundable Advances – for those who participate and pay an amount greater than their

share, NPUC shall reflect the amount of overpayment and refund to those who paid their pro rata amount from those who pay and connect late.

b) Low Pressure Server/STEP Systems – NPUC with all STEP (septic tank efficient pumping) systems with three (3) inch service lines to be installed by customers removed from the force mains. Note that generally, no customer in category #4 should be more than 1,300 feet from a force main. The CIAC for the offsite force main will be booked by NPUC.

c) Area Improvement Programs – NPUC will interact with the various developments and HOA's and HOA managers to customize the most appropriate mini-collection systems and to assist in its construction. The programs will be voted on by the HOA and whatever level of assessment determined to be placed the HOA bill before construction.

d) Coastal Areas State and Other Grant Programs – FDEP and others administer septic tank assistance grant programs to connect to central sewage systems to protect the environment. To the extent that category #4 systems qualify and receive funding, the customer cost will be reduced.

State law supports what NPUC is trying to accomplish

Florida law¹ purports to require property owners who currently have onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems to connect to available central wastewater systems within a year of availability of the central system and also requires to connection of onsite systems in need of repair (in order to be compliant with applicable rules) to connect upon notice from the Health that central service is available. Despite the sound basis for mandatory connection in Florida, and the compelling need for the reduction of on-site septic systems (particularly within fragile environmental areas) the actual implementation of this concept has been problematic and sporadic. If the legal mechanism and political will exists for mandatory connection to be put into place in those territories which NPUC seeks to certificate, NPUC commits to extend its facilities as necessary to effectuate the policy.

The Volusia County Comprehensive Plan, which has been adopted as an ordinance, also supports what NPUC is trying to accomplish

Volusia County (in which the territory which NPUC seeks to certificate lies) has extended and supported the statute referenced hereinabove by encoding related concepts into its Comprehensive Plan. Volusia County's Comprehensive Plan is adopted by ordinance (citation).

Volusia County's Comprehensive Plan sets forth the following concepts:

- § 6.1.1.9 requires connection to a central sanitary sewer system when said system is available.
- § 6.1.1.13 provides that only allows septic tanks when ... central service is currently not available. Connection to central sewer services is required when said service becomes available.

¹ See, eg, AGO 2000-71, which is attached hereto as attachment 2.

- § 6.1.1.14 a provides that resident can only fix, modify or upgrade their septic system when a central system is not available. When the central system is available then the resident must connect.
- § 6.1.1.17 provides that package treatment plants are interim until a central system is available and then they must connect.

The statutory framework

The statutory framework is in place for the Commission to protect both present and future customers and to grant NPUC the certificate necessary to expand its territory. Attachment 8 is two Commission statutes: § 367.111 and § 367.121.

§ 367.111 expressly provides that the duty of any utility is to provide service "within a reasonable time" to persons "reasonably entitled thereto". As discussed above, given the vagaries of the need for service, and the need to retrofit these neighborhoods if the transition from onsite septic systems to central service is to occur, the issues of "what is a reasonable time" and "who is reasonably entitled to service" is one that the utility, the Commission, and the economics of expansion of service will necessarily dictate. The legislature did not draft the statute to establish an absolute requirement for a utility to be instantly ready to serve any existing customer to the four corners of the service area. There is some flexibility, and that flexibility should be applied here.

§ 367.121 also provides protection to customers because the Commission has the power to require repairs, improvements, additions, or extensions if reasonably necessary to provide adequate and proper service to any person entitled to service. If the Commission determines that persons entitled to service are not receiving such service, and that improvement, additions, or extensions are necessary to render that service, the Commission may direct that NPUC undertake the provision of that service. NPUC would not anticipate such action would ever be necessary because NPUC is determined to render service within the certificated territory it seeks when and as it is economically able to do so.

§ 367.121 (1) (d) should be read in concert with § 367.111 (1). Even within a certificated territory service is only required to be provided within a "reasonable time" and to any person "reasonably entitled thereto". §367.111 (1) goes on to state that if extension of service to any particular person can only be accomplished at an unreasonable cost, and that service by another utility is economic and feasible, the Commission may amend the certificate. NPUC does not ever anticipate that scenario arising, but this subsection provides further assurance that customers will be protected. The certification of these territories to NPUC will surely result in the provision of central sewer service to this barrier island more quickly than it would otherwise occur.

Supporting Contacts

NPUC reached out to various individuals and entities to garner support for this effort. See Attachment 12.

Documentation relating to the issue

Attachment 1 – map

Attachment 2 – Attorney General opinion

Attachment 3 – report on the status of sewage disposal and collection in Volusia County (2013) Excerpt from

Attachment 4 – November 2014 Halifax River Audubon Newsletter

Attachment 5 – Article excerpt from the News-Journal in Volusia County 12/7/2014

Attachment 6 – Article from the Vero News 7/17/2014

Attachment 7 – Excerpt from St. Johns River Water Management District website

Attachment 8 – Statutes

Attachment 9 – SAC information

Attachment 10 – Supporting Contacts

ATTACHMENT 1





and the second second

ATTACHMENT 2

Florida Attorney General Advisory Legal Opinion

Number: AGO 2000-71 Date: December 14, 2000 Subject: Sewers--mandatory connection sewerage system

Mr. Michael S. Mullin Nassau County Attorney Post Office Box 1010 Fernandina Beach, Florida 32035-1010

RE: COUNTIES--SEWER SYSTEMS--residential owners whose property is served by onsite septic system required to connect with an investor-owned sewerage system after written notification of system's availability. s. 381.00655, Fla. Stat.

Dear Mr. Mullin:

On behalf of the Nassau County Board of County Commissioners, you ask substantially the following question:

Does section 381.00655, Florida Statutes, mandate that residential property owners whose property is currently served by an onsite septic system connect to an investorowned sewerage system, and may the costs of such sewerage line be assessed to the property owners that do not hook up to the system?

In sum:

The Legislature, through the enactment of section 381.00655, Florida Statutes, has required residential owners whose property is served by an onsite septic system to connect with an investor-owned sewerage system after written notification by the owner of the investor-owned sewerage system that the system is available for connection. The statute, however, permits the investor-owned sewerage system to waive the connection with the consent of the Department of Health.

The Legislature has enacted section 381.00655, Florida Statutes, which requires property owners who currently have onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems to connect to available central sewerage systems. An onsite sewage treatment system includes such things as septic systems.[1] Pursuant to the statute:

"The owner of a properly functioning onsite sewage treatment and disposal system . . . must connect the system or the building's plumbing to an available publicly owned or investor-owned sewerage system within 365 days after written notification by the owner of the publicly owned or investorowned sewerage system that the system is available for connection. The publicly owned or investor-owned sewerage system must notify the owner of the onsite sewage treatment and disposal system of the availability of the central sewerage system. No less than 1 year prior to the date the sewerage system will become available, the publicly owned or investor-owned sewerage system shall notify the affected owner of the onsite sewage treatment and disposal system of the anticipated availability of the sewerage system and shall also notify the owner that the owner will be required to connect to the sewerage system within 1 year of the actual availability. . . . "[2] (e.s.)

If an onsite sewage treatment and disposal system must be repaired in order to function or to comply with the requirements of sections 381.0065-381.0067, Florida Statutes, or rules adopted thereunder, the owner of such system must connect to an available publicly owned or investor-owned sewerage system within 90 days after written notification from the department. [3] In hardship cases, upon request of the owner the department may approve one extension of not more than 90 days for sewerage connection.

The statute recognizes that there may be instances where the requirement of mandatory sewer hookup may be waived. Section 381.00655(2)(b), Florida Statutes, provides:

"A publicly owned or investor-owned sewerage system may, with the approval of the [Department of Health], waive the requirement of mandatory onsite sewage disposal connection if it determines that such connection is not required in the public interest due to public health considerations."

It is, however, the publicly owned or investor-owned system that determines, with the approval of the Department of Health, whether the mandatory hookup provisions of section 381.00655, Florida Statutes, may be waived. The statute makes no provision for the property owner to decline to connect to the system.

Section 381.00655(1)(a), Florida Statutes, grants the property owner the option of prepaying the amortized value of required connection charges in equal monthly installments over a period not to exceed 2 years from the date of the initial notification of anticipated availability. In addition, the local governing body of the jurisdiction in which the owner of the onsite sewage treatment and disposal system resides may provide that any connection fee charged under this section by an investor-owned sewerage system may be paid without interest in monthly installments, over a period of time not to exceed 5 years from the date the sewerage system becomes available, if it determines that the owner has demonstrated a financial hardship.[4]

Although the statute requires sewer hookup and makes provision for payment of hookup fees, there are no statutorily prescribed penalties for failure to connect to the system within the designated time period. A companion bill in the House of Representatives to Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 158 provided:

"If the owner of an onsite sewage treatment and disposal system has not connected to an available publicly owned or investor-owned sewerage system within the time required by this subsection, the publicly owned or investor-owned sewerage system may charge the owner any connection fees, customer charges, or minimum billing charges as if the owner had connected to the available sewerage system on the last day of the notification period. Such charges may be collected or enforced as permitted by applicable tariffs or

http://www.myfloridalegal.com/ago.nsf/printview/4F39FD6F06D7753A85... 12/15/2014

rules and regulations of the sewerage system or as otherwise permitted by law."[5]

No such provisions are contained in the Senate Bill that passed as Chapter 93-151, Laws of Florida, creating section 381.00655, Florida Statutes. Nor does section 381.00655, Florida Statutes, specifically grant enforcement authority to any agency or entity.

This office, however, has stated that a county or a municipality may take local legislative action providing for the enforcement of section 381.00655, Florida Statutes, under home rule powers. [6] The statute itself clearly recognizes the authority of counties and municipalities to "enforce other laws for the protection of the public health and safety."[7] Moreover, section 381.0065(5)(b)1., Florida Statutes, provides that the Department of Health may issue citations containing an order of correction or an order to pay a fine, or both, for violations of sections 381.0065-381.0067 or the rules adopted by the department, when a violation of these sections or rules is enforceable by an administrative or civil remedy, or when a violation of these sections or rules is a misdemeanor of the second degree. [8] A citation issued under sections 381.0065-381.0067, Part I of Chapter 386, or Part III of Chapter 489, Florida Statutes, constitutes a notice of proposed agency action.

Accordingly, I am of the view that the Legislature, through the enactment of section 381.00655, Florida Statutes, requires residential owners whose property is served by an onsite septic system to connect with an investor-owned sewerage system after written notification by the owner of the investor-owned sewerage system that the system is available for connection, unless the investor-owned sewerage system waives the connection with the consent of the Department of Health.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Butterworth Attorney General

RAB/tjw

[1] See s. 381.0065(2)(j), Fla. Stat., as amended by s. 10, Ch. 2000-242, Laws of Florida, defining an "Onsite sewage treatment and disposal system" as used in ss. 381.0065-381.0067, Fla. Stat., to mean

"a system that contains a standard subsurface, filled, or mound drainfield system; an aerobic treatment unit; a graywater system tank; a laundry wastewater system tank; a septic tank; a grease interceptor; a pump tank; a solids or effluent pump; a waterless, incinerating, or organic wastecomposting toilet; or a sanitary pit privy that is installed or proposed to be installed beyond the building sewer on land of the owner or on other land to which the owner has the legal right to install a system. The term includes any item placed within, or intended to be used as a part of or in conjunction with, the system. This term does not include package sewage treatment facilities and other treatment works regulated under chapter 403." (e.s.)

[2] Section 381.00655(1)(a), Fla. Stat.

[3] Section 381.00655(1)(b), Fla. Stat.

[4] Section 381.00655(2)(a), Fla. Stat. The statute requires the local governing body to establish criteria for making the determination that the owner has demonstrated a financial hardship, taking into account the owner's net worth, income, and financial needs.

[5] Section 2, HB 2133, 1993 legislative session.

[6] See Op. Att'y Gen Fla. 96-09 (1996), and Inf. Op. to Alan C. Jensen, dated August 27, 1999.

[7] Section 381.00655(1)(a), Fla. Stat.

[8] Cf. Rule 64E-6.022(1)(p), Fla.Admin.C., establishing disciplinary guidelines for the installation, modification, or repair of an onsite sewage treatment and disposal system in violation of the standards of s. 381.0065 or s. 381.00655, Fla. Stat., or chapter 64E-6, Fla.Admin.C.: First violation, \$500 per specific standard violated; repeat violation, 90 day suspension or revocation.

ATTACHMENT 3



Report on the status of sewage disposal and collection in Volusia County, Florida

October 2013

Report prepared by: James McRae, Environmental Supervisor II Laura Kramer, Environmental Specialist II Noble Bielby, Environmental Specialist II Regina Harris, Database Analyst





DISCLANSET: The Floring Department of Health makine as representations or wararies regarding the information obtained havels. Users as some framitik for the relations on the information The Floring Department of Health assumes no responsibility and disclaims any facelity for the information and for any use of the information or any loss essetting thempton.

ATTACHMENT 4

:

The Pelican

We need to be the stewards of this world, not its destroyers

Volume 60-No.5 Newsletter of Halifax River Audubon November, 2014

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

Thoughts from on High

The southern migration of "confusing fall warblers" continues into November. Early migrants like the Blackburnian Warbler and American Redstart were spotted in local parks in September and October. Birders in Ft. DeSoto reported as many as 20 species in a single day during early October. As of mid-October, when I'm writing this article, I still haven't seen our most abundant winter warbler visitor, the Yellow-rumped Warbler, but we know they are on the way. A large number of the warbler species which migrate up and down the Atlantic Coast make stops in central Florida. Identifying tiny, quick-moving birds in fully-leafed trees is never easy, but in the fall when migrating warblers are sporting a more drab set of feathers, the task becomes even more difficult.

The male Black-throated Blue Warbler in spring is a distinctive, handsome warbler with a white breast and under belly, a black face and throat and beautiful dark blue back and wings, with a distinctive white "pocket square" on his wing. Even the drab olive colored spring female sports the signature white square. But the first-year Black-throated Blue Warbler migrating from the north in the fall is a plain, drab warbler with an unmarked upper wing, curved white supercilium, white lower eye-arc and often no white square on the wing. What is a birder to do??

Princeton University Press, publishers of The Warbler Guide by Tom Stephenson and Scott Whittle, has provided a series of Quick Finder guides available for free download and printing. These one-page full-color documents show side-by-side comparisons of just the head, just the under tail view, 45° angles and side views of both spring and fall plumage. Click here to visit the Princeton University Press website and the downloadable Quick Finders. Our thanks not only to PUP for providing this valuable reference tool, but to Chuck Tague for sharing the information. Chuck suggests printing the sheet, laminating them, and keeping them in your field backpack. My copies are already tucked into my favorite field guide in the warbler section.

Paula Wehr

* * * * *

Welcome To Our New and Returning Members

We extend a warm welcome to our new and returning members: Bert & Betty Brown, Sharon Donohue, Clara Fowler, Patricia Gough, Robert Klenner, Katherine Martin, Ken Mitchell, Ann (Ayin) Moore, Christine Reinhart and John & Carol Woods. We hope to see you at our monthly meetings or on one of our excellent field trips soon.



Calendar, Field Trips & Events

Monday, Nov. 17th- Program Meeting: "Research and Discovery in Florida's Mangrove Forests: Unlocking the Secrets of the Mangrove Cuckoo." Rachel Mullin, Research Biologist, Ecostudies Institute, will discuss our current understanding of the natural history of Mangrove Cuckoos in Florida, the mysteries that still surround it and how the Ecostudies Institute has been studying this elusive bird. The doors open at 6:30 p.m. at Sica Hall, 1065 Daytona Avenue, Holly Hill. Our speaker begins at 7:00 p.m. A brief business meeting follows.

Field Trips

Friday, Nov. 21st- Lake Apopka: Join Field Trip Co-Chairs, Chuck & Joan Tague, on this trip to one of Florida's best birding spots. We will meet at International Square, located on Int'l Speedway Blvd. just east of I-95 behind the Krystal Restaurant at 6:30 am. Bring lunch and plan on some walking. Questions? Call 386-253-1166.

Field Trips With Others

Saturday, Nov. 22nd- Lake Apopka: West Volusia Audubon's, Harry Robinson, literally wrote the book on birding Lake Apopka. Join Harry and the WVAS folks for their trip to this exciting spot. Meet them at the NE corner of the Deland Post Office parking lot on E New York Avenue. at 8:00 am to car pool and bring lunch. Questions? Call 386-801-4472.

Friday, Nov. 14th- Otter Lake Trail: Join our Southeast Volusia Audubon Society friends for this walk along the newest trail in our area. It's a 2.2 mile, paved biking and hiking trail in New Smyrna Beach that includes a bridge over Turnbull Creek. Meet the group in Edgewater at Florida Shores Plaza parking lot at the corner of Ridgewood Ave and Indian River Blvd. Bring lunch. Questions? Call Gail Domroski, 386-428-0447.

Saturday, Nov. 8th- Pelagic Trip: Join Michael Brothers on this trip to see birds off our coast. Sponsored by The Friends of The Marine Science Center, the trip will leave the dock aboard the Pastime Princess in New Smyrna Beach at 6:00 am and return around 6:00 pm. Here's a chance to see shearwaters, petrels, phalaropes, jaegers and others. Cost is 190.00 per person.Send your check to: Friends of the Marine Science Center (Pelagic Trip) 100 Lighthouse Drive, Ponce Inlet, FL 32127. Questions? Call Michael at 386-304-5543. Here's a link to a map to the boat.

Wings On The Wind Festival

Saturday, Nov. 15th- The Marine Science Center's annual event will include live raptor programs, exhibitors, lectures, bird-related arts and crafts, nature-inspired artworks and kids activities. The fun begins at 10:00 am and they hope to release a bird from the rehab center at the end of the festivities at 3:00 pm.

Conservation Notes

VOTE YES ON 1

As Eric Draper, Executive Director of Audubon Florida, has been saving over and over for the past two years, there is nothing more important that we can do to preserve and protect conservation land in Florida than to Vote YES on Amendment 1 in November. Amendment 1 will set aside 33% of Florida's existing excise tax on documents (also known as the documentary stamp tax which is paid when real estate is sold) and guarantee that these funds can be used only for conservation purposes, including keeping pollution out of our drinking water, rivers, lakes, springs and coastal waters as well as protecting natural areas and wildlife habitat. This amendment creates no new tax. It only stipulates that approximately onethird of this specialized tax already collected can only be used for that purpose. Please, if you haven't already voted by mail or at one of the early voting sites, remember to vote on Tuesday, November 4th and vote "YES" on Amendment 1. We need your vote. Visit Vote YES on 1 for more information.

In a recent press release the Florida Parks Service had a lot to be proud of. Between July 1, 2013 and June 30, 2014 over 27.1 million people visited the various properties in the state park system. This generated a whopping 2.1 billion dollars in direct economic impact to the areas around these parks. They also won their third National Gold Medal of Excellence. "People come from around the world to visit Florida's award-winning state parks and state trails," said DEP Secretary Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. "Our parks offer the best in natural and cultural resources and contribute to the economy of Florida - supporting jobs and local businesses." How many jobs are dependent on our award winning parks system you might ask? The answer is 29,396!

The money that will be generated by approval of Amendment 1 will go toward making our park system even better. In addition, it could be used for a variety of water quality projects. One of which could be the removal of thousands of septic tanks. Septic tanks were a viable technology in the 1900's, when the state's population was 3/4 of a million people. Last year the estimated population here was 19.5 million. Is it any wonder that the Indian River Lagoon is dying or that our springs are often too polluted to swim in? Municipalities around the state could expand their sewage treatment facilities to allow homes now on septic tanks to connect to sewage systems. The problem is that after the sewer line is installed on your street it costs the average homeowner \$10,000.00 to connect to the system. That's why we continue having all of that pollution leaching into our water ways. Cities and towns see no point in extending sewer systems if the residents can't afford the connection costs. Senator Alan Hayes (R. Lake County) introduced a bill in the last legislative session to address this issue utilizing as a funding source the same 33% of documentary stamp tax revenue referenced in Amendment 1. He did so to confuse and conflict the possibility of passing Amendment 1 since he's opposed to it. It could just be that while he was trying to do something to obfuscate the issue he stumbled upon a way to accomplish a result that few thought possible just a few years ago. Amendment 1 offers a realm of possibilities for solving problems and leaving Florida an even better place for our offspring.

Wild Turkey (Moleagris gallapava)

a feature of Everyday Birding

Black speeding missiles, breaking branches and thunderous crashes. My introduction to turkeys in the wild. Dramatic, exciting and a memory everlasting. I was on a Christmas Bird count in a deciduous forest in central Connecticut. This explosion of avian behavior was by far the event of the day. Certainly, not the slow, foraging behavior expected of a grounded eastern bird.

To this day, I cannot drive on Merritt Island NWR's Kennedy Parkway (SR 3) without looking up and down the adjoining, side dirt roads. Occasionally, I will see a flock of wild turkeys walking through, especially early in the morning. My favorite time is just before sunrise when the air is fresh and the sun is about to break above the horizon. The sky is red, orange, blue and slowly changing to an awakening day. It is quiet and I am there watching. I find the turkey to be extremely wary. However, I have observed a number of Florida wild turkeys in eastern central Florida at Tiger Bay State Forest, Gamble Place, Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge and numerous tracts of forested lands and pastures controlled by the St. John's River Water Management District.

The turkey didn't always grace our tables center stage on one particular day in November, nor did it expect to be such an iconic figure used to promote a national holiday. In fact, the wild turkey evolved upon this planet about 11 million years ago and has had quite a journey through evolution and travel to become what we see of it today.

In the early 1500's , the Spanish explorers traveled through North America bringing back native wild turkeys to Mexico and later European traders brought these birds back to Europe. The turkeys then were shipped to eastern Mediterranean countries, then to Spain and onto England , where the British associated them with the country, Turkey. Thus, the name "turkey", which has stuck to this day. The turkey successfully established some flocks in a few European countries, notably as far back into Europe as Germany. To complete their wayward journey the Pilgrims brought them back to the Atlantic Coast of America. Some were released into the wild where they mixed with the native species. Eventually other turkey subspecies evolved into six separate subspecies.

The most popular, abundant and most hunted subspecies is the Eastern Wild Turkey, estimated today at 5.1 - 5.3 million birds. We do have a much smaller flock of turkeys, numbering about 30,000, in Florida. The Florida Wild Turkey, also called the Oceola Wild Turkey is smaller, darker with wing feathers having smaller amounts of white feathers than other subspecies. The Florida Wild Turkey can also be distinguished by it overall green iridescent body feathers. (*continued on page 3*)



David Hartgrove

ATTACHMENT 5



he new Volusia County elected officials' roundtable has a logo, courtesy of the county. It has a meeting place, difto. And as of its first meeting Dec. 1, it has a lot on its plate besides a tasty catered lunch.

The group - born from the ashes of the now-defunct Volusia Council of Governments. which most agree had outlived its usefulness - included elected representatives from almost every city in Volusia County (Edgewater sent its city manager, but Mayor Michael Ignasiak says the city fully intends to participate.) The shared hope is that, by maintaining open lines of communication in a collegial atmosphere, local cities and county government can foster collaboration on the big issues that sprawl across city limits.

It's a chance local officials can't afford to miss. Division and inter-city strife can lead to muddled messaging and missed opportunities to secure state and federal help on local priorities. Already, Volusia County is at a significant disadvantage as County Councilman Doug Daniels pungently noted, "Volusia County is the weak man of Central Florida." He's right. In addition to wages far below national and regional averages, the county wrestles with big problems like underfunded schools, fractured social services and ____ despite recent wins like a Trader Joe's distribution Sellen .

10

hub and Speedway-area development — flagging economic development.

The group wasted little time, drawing up a list of five issues to focus on in the coming months and assembling committees for each area. They included:

 Transportation. The county lacks a strong network of local roads to take full advantage of the intersection of Interstates 95 and 4. Transportation planning should also consider public transit, air travel and bike-pedestrian trails that boost ecotourism.

• Water. As discussed at the Dec: 1 meeting, this is perhaps the most sprawling of the group's priorities. Members rattled off issues including curbing water pollution from septic tanks and other sources, ensuring an adequate drinking-water supply and managing flooding. • Beach driving. Beach management is a perennial hot-button issue in Volusia County. It's likely to heat up again in the coming months if a proposed amendment to the county charter makes it onto the ballot. • Homelessness. Ormond Beach Mayor and roundtable chairman Ed Kelley said, "This affects all of us -- even if we don't think it does." Adding it to the group's agenda drew little dissent, which is promising, especially in the aftermath of a consultant's report finding roughly equal numbers of any it start

TTO POTTTO A MUMILIE

homeless people on the east and west sides of the county.

 Community redevelopment agencies. The growth of special redevelopment districts which redirect tax money from county and cities into repairing geographically defined areas is ripe for countywide consensus-building.

It's clear that much of the roundtable's actual work will be accomplished inside these smaller, issue specific bodies, and there was much discussion at the Dec. 1 meeting about whether the committee meetings will be subject to Florida open-meetings laws. The answer, according to County Attorney Dan Eckert, is no. Whether they are or not, it would be a mistake to close them. When government officials meet and their business is not transparent to the public, they compromise their credibility

It's also evident that other issues will come up — though, as Deltona Mayor John Masiarczyk noted, the group already has enough to keep it busy "until 2019."

Nobody expects this new group to be a never-ending wellspring of amity; cities and the county will always find areas in which to disagree. But maintaining open lines of communication makes Volusia County's united governments stronger in fighting for what they can agree on — and better-equipped to deal with conflicting priorities.

ATTACHMENT 6

Innovative solution to fight septic pollution moving ahead

Posted: Thursday, July 17, 2014 5:00 am

Vero Beach Mayor Dick Winger says the city and the Indian River Neighborhood Association have succeeded in breaking the logjam at the state level that was preventing the installation of STEP systems to stop the flow of septic tank pollution into the lagoon.

Vero Utility Director Rob Bolton says he expects to apply for a permit for a pilot project in an island neighborhood within the next 60 days.

Bolton came up with the idea of using STEP – a modified/combined Septic Tank Effluent Pump system – last fall after Harbor Branch scientist Brian LaPointe revealed septic tanks on the barrier island and across the county are flooding the lagoon with nitrogen that feeds algae blooms and kills marine life. Outdated and leaky tanks also contaminate the waterway with bacteria and household chemicals.

STEP's biggest selling point is that it's only half as expensive as standard sewer installation, cutting approximate per-household cost for getting off septic from \$16,000 to \$8,000. STEP leaves existing septic systems in place as a backup while capturing household effluent before it goes into the ground and pumping it into the city's existing sewer system for treatment via a series of small diameter pipes that can be installed without tearing up streets or trenching yards.

When Bolton began investigating STEP as a solution he found state regulations appear to have contradictory clauses that bear on the technology. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection, which regulates septic systems, says it is OK to leave a septic system in place after a home is connected to city sewer, but Florida Department of Health regulations, which govern everything having to do with sewers, seem to prohibit leaving the systems in place.

Working with the city, Rep. Debbie Mayfield introduced a bill earlier this year to clarify the situation and make STEP systems legal in Florida. The bill passed in the Senate but got bogged down in the House Agriculture Committee and never came up for a vote.

Reacting to that setback, Winger on June 18 sent a letter to Governor Rick Scott's office asking for executive intervention.

"The FDEP says the hybrid system is lawful while the FDH say it is not," Winger wrote. "Since they are interpreting the same law it would be helpful ... if you would use your influence to bring the FDH interpretation to agree with the FDEP interpretation so we could start installing the STEP system and stop polluting the Indian River Lagoon by septic tanks."

Indian river Neighborhood Association executive director Dan Lamson wrote a similar letter, and on

11/21/2014

June 23 an official from the Division of Environmental Assessment and Restoration responded on behalf of Scott's office.

"DEP has the authority, under existing law and rule, to authorize the construction of sewer collection systems that incorporate elements of septic systems into their design and operation," the letter states.

Taking the cue, Bolton met with the state Health Department last week and planned to meet with DEP this week "to discuss details of what they will be looking for in the permit application.

"The permit application will have to include detailed plans of the system components, a clear description of how we are going to implement and administer the program, and a description of the area where it will be installed."

The pilot project area has not been selected yet, but Bolton mentioned the homes along Bethel Creek as a possibility. "We are refining our study of which systems cause the most pollution and that is where we will want to start," Bolton says.

The city likely has the power to impose the sewer work on neighborhoods but City Manager Jim O'Connor says the city would probably be looking for resident buy-in before going ahead.

"It will be our job to convince residents that they will have a good, economical system that will also help protect the lagoon," O'Connor says.

The city might sweeten the pot for the first residents who go along with the plan.

"If it cost \$6,000 to go on STEP, we might pay \$3,000 and they would pay \$3,000," says Mayor Winger. "I would like to see us have a permit by the end of the year and have a pilot project built next year to begin the process of getting off septic tanks. This is something the city can do to help the lagoon."

ATTACHMENT 7

Rose Bay



Governing Board

News, meetings and notices

Permitting

Water conservation

Water supply

Water bodies, watersheds and storm water

Agriculture

Online tools, GIS, data

Local governments

Careers

Recreation and land

STEM and education

Publications and videos

Budget, procurement and financial information

Contact us





Water bodies, watersheds and storm water

Rose Bay

In the years before Florida's explosive growth, Rose Bay was a productive estuary in the Halifax River in Volusia County. Good water quality and the bay's proximity to the Atlantic Ocean (near Ponce de Leon Inlet) once provided vital nursery grounds and habitat for shellfish and young estuarine and offshore fish species. Over time, however, the byproducts of growth — stormwater runoff and leaking septic systems — degraded the bay's beauty and productivity.



The new U.S. Highway 1 bridge is part of the restoration work at Rose Bay.

Rose Bay, a part of the Northern Coastal Basin, faced a handful of major water quality problems, including:

- Runoff from storm water Storm water carried nutrients (such as fertilizers), sediments (such as dirt and asphalt pieces) and other pollutants (such as grease and chemicals) into the bay.
- Leakage from septic systems Wastewater leaking from residential septic systems seeped into the bay. This nutrient pollution fuels algal blooms that cloud the water and adds to a layer of organic sediment throughout the bay when dead algae fall to the bay's floor. Despite improvements in area water quality, increased bacteria levels continue to make safe shellfish harvesting impossible and raise other potential public health concerns.



Restricted water flow — Two causeways reduced water flow and circulation.

Solutions

The St. Johns River Water Management District worked with residents and local governments to form a coalition of agencies to pursue solutions to pollution problems and restore Rose Bay. The District, the city of Port Orange and Volusia County coordinated efforts through the Rose Bay Task Force. A comprehensive outline for a five-point restoration plan was developed and partnerships established with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps).

In this section

Northern Coastal Basin Home

Rose Bay

Northern Coastal Basins Initiative

Meet the technical team

Related topics

- Restoring our coastal wetlands
- Northern Coastal Basin Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) Plan, 2003
- Order these documents on CD_ROM:
- Northern Coastal Basin Bathymetric Survey – Technical Memorandum No. 49
- Northern Coastal Basin Reconnais@ance Report – July 1998
- Northern Coastal Basin Surface Water Improvement and Management (SWIM) Plan, 2003

ATTACHMENT 8

Chapter 367 Section 111 - 2012 Florida Statutes - The Florida Senate



2012 Florida Statutes

<u>Title XXVII</u> RAILROADS AND OTHER REGULATED UTILITIES	Chapter.36Z WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEMS	SECTION 111 Service.
	Entire Chapter	CARLES BRIDE

367.111 Service.-

(1) Each utility shall provide service to the area described in its certificate of authorization within a reasonable time. If the commission finds that any utility has failed to provide service to any person reasonably entitled thereto, or finds that extension of service to any such person could be accomplished only at an unreasonable cost and that addition of the deleted area to that of another utility company is economical and feasible, it may amend the certificate of authorization to delete the area not served or not properly served by the utility, or it may rescind the certificate of authorization. If utility service has not been provided to any part of the area which a utility is authorized to serve, whether or not there has been a demand for such service, within 5 years after the date of authorization for service to such part, such authorization may be reviewed and amended or revoked by the commission.

(2) Each utility shall provide to each person reasonably entitled thereto such safe, efficient, and sufficient service as is prescribed by part VI of chapter 403 and parts I and II of chapter 373, or rules adopted pursuant thereto; but such service shall not be less safe, less efficient, or less sufficient than is consistent with the approved engineering design of the system and the reasonable and proper operation of the utility in the public interest. If the commission finds that a utility has failed to provide its customers with water or wastewater service that meets the standards promulgated by the Department of Environmental Protection or the water management districts, the commission may reduce the utility's return on equity until the standards are met.

History.-s. 1, ch. 71-278; s. 3, ch. 76-168; s. 1, ch. 77-457; s. 53, ch. 78-95; ss. 1, 2, ch. 79-49; ss. 14, 25, 26, ch. 80-99; ss. 2, 3, ch. 81-318; ss. 15, 26, 27, ch. 89-353; s. 4, ch. 91-429; s. 10, ch. 93-35; s. 185, ch. 94-356.



Media

Senator List Find Your Legislators District Maps Vote Disclosures Committees Committee List Committee Publications Search Bill Search Tips Statute Search Tips Site Search Tips

Senators

Session Bills Calendars Journals Reports Appropriations Executive Appointments

Executive Suspensions Redistricting Laws Statutes Constitution Laws of Florida 🗗 Order - Legistore 🗗

Press Releases Publications Videos Photos Speeches Topics **Video Broadcast** Schedule About **Historical Information** F Opportunities Senate Kids (PDF) **Visit Us Contact Us** Award

President's Office Majority Office Secretary's Office Secretary's Office Reference Glossary FAQ Help Publications Links Archives Advisory Opinions Public Records

Offices

Quick Links

- Preface to Florida Statutes
 (2014) [PDF]
- Table of Section Changes (2014) (PDF)
- General Laws Conversion
 Table (2014) [PDF]
- <u>Table Tracing Session Laws to</u> <u>Florida Statutes (PDF)</u>
- Statute Search Tips
- <u>Archived Statutes (Prior to</u> 2010)

Connect with the Senate

F E S Senate Tracker Login Sign Up Tracker Help Plug-ins Adobe Acrobat Reader WinZip C

Chapter 367 Section 121 - 2012 Florida Statutes - The Florida Senate



Home > Laws > 2012 Florida Statutes > Title XXVII > Chapter 367 > Section 121

2012 Florida Statutes

<u>Title XXVII</u> RAILROADS AND OTHER REGULATED UTILITIES	<u>Chapter 367</u> WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEMS	SECTION 121 Powers of commission.
	Entire Chapter	

367.121 Powers of commission.-

(1) In the exercise of its jurisdiction, the commission shall have power:

(a) To prescribe fair and reasonable rates and charges, classifications, standards of quality and measurements, and to prescribe service rules to be observed by each utility, except to the extent such authority is expressly given to another state agency.

(b) To prescribe, by rule, a uniform system and classification of accounts for all utilities, which rules, among other things, shall establish adequate, fair, and reasonable depreciation rates and charges.

(c) To require such regular or emergency reports from a utility, including, but not limited to, financial reports, as the commission deems necessary and, if the commission finds a financial report to be incomplete, incorrect, or inconsistent with the uniform system and classification of accounts, to require a new report or a supplemental report, either of which the commission may require to be certified by an independent certified public accountant licensed under chapter 473.

(d) To require repairs, improvements, additions, and extensions to any facility, or to require the construction of a new facility, if reasonably necessary to provide adequate and proper service to any person entitled to service or if reasonably necessary to provide any prescribed quality of service, except that no utility shall be required to extend its service outside the geographic area described in its certificate of authorization, or make additions to its plant or equipment to serve outside such area, unless the commission first finds that the utility is financially able to make such additional investment without impairing its capacity to serve its existing customers.

(e) To employ and fix the compensation for such examiners and technical, legal, and clerical employees as it deems necessary to carry out the provisions of this chapter.

(f) To adopt, by affirmative vote of a majority of the commission, rules pursuant to ss. <u>120.536</u>(1) and <u>120.54</u> to implement and enforce the provisions of this chapter.

(g) To exercise all judicial powers, issue all writs, and do all things necessary or convenient to the full and complete exercise of its jurisdiction and the enforcement of its orders and requirements.

(h) To order interconnections of service or facilities between utilities, and to approve any plant capacity charges or wholesale service charges or rates related thereto, provided the commission first finds that the utility is financially able to make such additional investment as is required without impairing its capacity to serve its existing customers.

(i) To require the filing of reports and other data by a public utility or its affiliated companies, including its parent company, regarding transactions or allocations of common costs, among the utility and such affiliated companies. The commission may also require such reports or other data necessary to ensure that a utility's ratepayers do not subsidize nonutility activities.

(j) To seek relief in circuit court including temporary and permanent injunctions, restraining orders, or any other appropriate order, because the Legislature finds that violations of commission orders or rules, in connection with the impairment of a utility's operations or service, constitute irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Such remedies shall be in addition to and supplementary to any other remedies available for enforcement of agency action under s. <u>120.69</u> or the provisions of this chapter. The commission shall establish procedures implementing this section by rule.

(k) To assess a utility for reasonable travel costs associated with reviewing the records of the utility and its affiliates when such records are kept out of state. The utility may bring the records back into the state

Quick Links

- Preface to Florida Statutes (2014) [PDF]
- <u>Table of Section Changes</u> (2014) [PDF]
- General Laws Conversion
 Table (2014) [PDF]
- Table Tracing Session Laws to Florida Statutes (PDF)
- Statute Search Tips
- Archived Statutes (Prior to 2010)

ATTACHMENT 9



January 3, 2015

WFS #7014038

Mr. Bob Hillman North Peninsula Utilities Corporation 115 E. Granada Blvd., Suite 12 Ormond Beach, FL 32176

North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (NPUC) Service Availability Charge Development

Dear Mr. Hillman:

This letter serves as a follow up to my previous letters regarding the Service Availability Charge development for North Peninsula Utilities Corporation. As you are aware, The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) requested a conference call to discuss questions the FPSC had regarding *"Docket No. 130209-SU - Application for expansion of certificate (CIAC) (new wastewater line extension charge) by North Peninsula Utilities Corporation"*. This call was held on October 20, 2014. During that call, FPSC staff requested to differ the discussion of the Service Availability Charge. After that call, I provided the model to Ms. Daniel and Ms. Bruce with the FPSC for their use in analyzing the fee Service Availability Charge that had been developed for NPUC.

Prior to this conference call, I had a discussion with FPSC staff in late August/early September 2014 and prepared an update to the original request for the Service Availability Charge and included an additional detail schedule for the FPSC to use in tying the service availability charge calculation to the Wastewater Facilities Plan prepared by Hartman Consultants, LLC. A copy of the updated report is attached to this letter. The Service Availability Charge calculated in the updated report was \$1,011.00 per Equivalent Residential Connection (ERC).

Engineering and Planning | Energy Efficiency and Sustainability | Financial and Economic Consulting | National Preparedness and Interoperability 407.872.2467 | fax: 888.326.6864 | 200 South Orange Ave., Suite 1550, Orlando, Florida 32801 | www.willdan.com Mr. Bob Hillman January 3, 2015

Summary

I have received no additional questions from the FPSC staff in the 60 plus days since the conference call and provision of the model on October 20, 2014. Therefore, per my previous recommendation, I would recommend that NPUC update its Service Availability Policy and related Main Extension Charge to \$1,011.00 per ERC as this charge will recover the costs associated with the sewage collection system as is provided for in the Florida Administrative Code Guidelines.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide technical expertise you desire. If you have any questions, comments, or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted, WILLDAN FINANCIAL SERVICES

Jara Hollis

Tara L. Hollis, CPA, MBA Principal Consultant

Attachments:

- Email to FPSC Staff regarding the model used to develop the Service Availability Charge (October 2014)
- Email to FSPC Staff regarding update to the calculation of the Service Availability Charge (September 2014)
- Original Service Availability Charge calculation (July 2014)

cc: Gerald C. Hartman, PE, BCEE, ASA – Hartman Consultants, LLC



ATTACHMENTS



Tara Hollis

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Tara Hollis Monday, October 20, 2014 3:06 PM 'sbruce@psc.state.fl.us'; 'pdaniel@psc.state.fl.us' North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) NPUC Updated - to FPSC.xlsx

Hi Patti and Sonica,

I know you wanted to defer discussion of the Service Availability Charge calculation that we did for NPUC while you are looking at all of the information provided by the Utility, but I wanted to send you the excel file that we used to develop the initial rates that were include in the July 18, 2014 letter which was then updated with the inclusion of Schedule 1A in my September 11, 2014 letter. I thought it might help to better trace where some of the numbers are coming from.

1

Thanks, Tara

Tara Hollis, CPA, MBA | Principal Consultant Willdan Financial Services | Celebrating 50 years of service 200 S. Orange Avenue, Suite 1550, Orlando, FL 32801 T. 407.872.2467 ext. 1160 | F. 888.326.6864 | C. 407.730.1327 email: thollis@willdan.com | www.willdan.com

Tara Hollis

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Tara Hollis Thursday, September 11, 2014 8:17 PM 'sbruce@psc.state.fl.us' North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Service Availability Charge Development - Updated.pdf

Hi Ms. Bruce,

Per our recent discussion, I have revised the letter regarding the service availability fees as well as included a new schedule, Schedule 1A, that breaks down the improvements both by year and account number. These capital improvements are further categorized as to whether they are part of the phased improvements or other miscellaneous annual improvements over the 10 year projection period. Before I send these to the Utility, would you please review and let me know if you have any questions or need additional information. If I need to follow another process to get this into the formal record, please let me know.

Thanks, Tara

Tara Hollis, CPA, MBA | Principal Consultant Willdan Financial Services | Celebrating 50 years of service 200 S. Orange Avenue, Suite 1550, Orlando, FL 32801 T. 407.872.2467 ext. 1160 | F. 888.326.6864 | C. 407.730.1327 email: thollis@willdan.com | www.willdan.com

WILLDAN Celebrating 50 years of service

July 18, 2014 Revised September 11, 2014

WFS #7014038

Mr. Bob Hillman North Peninsula Utilities Corporation 115 E. Granada Blvd., Suite 12 Ormond Beach, FL 32176

North Peninsula Utilities Corporation Service Availability Charge Development

Dear Mr. Hillman:

Willdan Financial Services (WFS), is pleased to present herein the development of the Service Availability Charge including the Main Extension Charge for North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (NPUC). This letter, issued September 11, 2014 revises the letter that was previously issued on July 18, 2014 to include additional detail in the development of the Service Availability Charge.

These charges have been developed based on the Guidelines for Designing the Service Availability Policy, Section 25-30.580, Florida Administrative Code as required by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC). This letter will discuss the development of the Service Availability Charge as well as several of the items needed to file the Application for Approval of New or Revised Service Availability Policy or Charges with the FPSC (Section 25-30.565, Florida Administrative Code). **Attachment A** includes a copy of both of these sections from the Florida Administrative Code.

Development of Service Availability Charge – Main Extension Charge

A Wastewater Facilities Plan ("Plan") for North Peninsula Utilities Corporation was completed in July 2014. As included in the Plan prepared by Hartman Consultants, LLC, the Utility will need a 3-Phase plan to provide service in its expanded service area. Phase 1 is expected to meet the immediate needs of the expanded service area and

Mr. Bob Hillman July 18, 2014 Revised September 11, 2014

provide infrastructure to accommodate future phases. The Phase 1 activities are anticipated to cost approximately \$658,000 and are expected to be implemented in the 2015 to 2017 timeframe. The Phase 2 plan is provided to meet the anticipated growth, build out of the existing projects, and to accommodate the flows from the Volusia County Utility Department service area. The Phase 2 project is anticipated to cost approximately \$683,000 and be completed in the 2018 to 2020 timeframe. The Phase 3 project again provides for build out, growth, and an existing area in the southern portion of the expanded NPUC service area. This phase completes the locations where central wastewater service has been desired. The Phase 3 project is anticipated to cost approximately \$332,000 and is expected to be implemented within the 2021 to 2025 timeframe. Additionally, throughout the projection period, other upgrades of approximately \$372,000 are anticipated to continue to maintain and improve the system. The projected Capital Improvement Plan for Year 1 (2014) through Year 10 (2023) is shown on **Schedule 1A**.

Schedule 1 included in Attachment B, presents the Utility Plant in Service by NARUC Account. The beginning balance is based on information contained in the 2013 Annual Report. As shown, the Utility Plant in Service costs for the system are \$898,717. After the aforementioned improvements are put in place, the Utility Plant in Service will total approximately \$2,944,495. Schedule 2 presents the anticipated annual depreciation for the 10-year projection period. Schedule 3 presents the current and projected Accumulated Depreciation for the Plant in service for each year in the projection period. Schedule 4 presents the Net Utility Plant in Service based on the annual and accumulated depreciation calculated on Schedules 2 and 3.

Based on the Guidelines for Designing a Service Availability Charge (Section 25-30.580, Florida Administrative Code):

(1) The maximum amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction, net of amortization, should not exceed 75% of the total original cost, net of accumulated depreciation, of the utility's facilities and plant when the facilities and plant are at their designed capacity; and

(2) The minimum amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction should not be less than the percentage of such facilities and plant that is represented by the water transmission and distribution and sewage collection systems.



Page | 2

Mr. Bob Hillman July 18, 2014 Revised September 11, 2014

The Utility's current collection system has the hydraulic capacity to serve approximately 600 ERCs. With the additions of the 8.0" force main and the 6.0" force main, that total hydraulic capacity of the system will be increased to 1,241 ERCs. With these two improvements in place, the total Utility Plant in Service for the Sewage Collection System will be approximately \$1,255,046 (Accounts 360 and 361 on **Schedule 1**). Dividing the sewage collection system costs by the total hydraulic capacity of the sewage collection system costs by the total hydraulic capacity of the sewage collection system (\$1,255,046 / 1,241 ERCs) results in a Service Availability Charge related to the Main Extensions of approximately \$1,011.00 per ERC or \$5.78 per gpd). As stated in NPUC's application to extend its Certificated Service Area, the Utility will not pursue a plant charge at the present time.

As of July 1, 2014, the Utility provides service to 571 connections which represent 585 ERCs. Of these 571 meters, 570 are provided service through 3/4" meters with 1 utilizing a 3.0" meter. As shown on **Schedule 5**, through Year 10 of the projection period, it is anticipated that there will be approximately 1,194 ERCs connected to the system. While there are existing developer agreements, they are minimal and represent approximately 10 of the over 600 ERCs anticipated to connect to the system throughout the projection period.

Based on the Utility Plant in Service in Year 10, the Minimum level of Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) is 40.42%. **Schedule 5** presents the CIAC Analysis for the 10year projection period including annual projections for Utility Plant in Service, Accumulated Depreciation, Contributions in Aid of Construction, Accumulated Amortization of CIAC, and the Contribution Level. For the 10 years included in the analysis, the maximum calculated contribution level is 73.85% which is projected in Year 10.

Summary

Based on our analysis, we recommend that NPUC update its Service Availability Policy and related Main Extension Charge to \$1,011.00 per ERC. As calculated above, this charge will recover the costs associated with the sewage collection system as is provided for in the Florida Administrative Code Guidelines.



Page | 3



Mr. Bob Hillman July 18, 2014 Revised September 11, 2014

We appreciate the opportunity to provide technical expertise you desire. If you have any questions, comments, or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted, WILLDAN FINANCIAL SERVICES

Jara Hollis

Tara L. Hollis, CPA, MBA Principal Consultant

Attachment A – Section 25-30.565, Florida Administrative Code and Section 25-30.580, Florida Administrative Code

Attachment B - Supporting Schedules

cc: Gerald C. Hartman, PE, BCEE, ASA – Hartman Consultants, LLC



ATTACHMENT A

Section 25-30.565, Florida Administrative Code, Application for Approval of New or Revised Service Availability Policy or Charges

Section 25-30.580, Florida Administrative Code, *Guidelines for Designing Service* Availability Policy



25-30.565 Application for Approval of New or Revised Service Availability Policy or Charges.

(1) Each application for a service availability policy or charges shall be filed in original and six copies.

(2) Upon filing an application for a new or revised service availability charge or policy, the utility shall provide notice pursuant to Rule 25-30.4345, F.A.C.

(3) A filing fee as required in Rule 25-30.020, F.A.C., shall be submitted at the time of application.

(4) Each application shall include the following, if applicable:

(a) A statement describing how the notice provisions have been complied with, including a copy of the actual notice(s).

(b) The name of the applicant, the applicant's principal place of business and each local office from which company operations are conducted. The applicant's name shall be as it appears on the certificate issued by the Commission if one has been issued.

(c) The number of the Commission order, if any, which previously considered the charges or service availability policy for the system involved.

(d) A statement explaining the basis for the requested changes in charges and conditions.

(e) A schedule showing the original cost of any existing treatment plants, the water transmission and distribution system, and the sewage collection system, by Uniform System of Accounting account numbers as required by Rule 25-30.115, F.A.C., and the related capacity of each system as of 90 days prior to application.

(f) A detailed statement of accumulated depreciation for the plant listed in paragraph (e) above as of 90 days prior to application.

(g) A schedule showing the number of active customers on line 90 days prior to the time of application by meter size, by customer class, and the related equivalent residential connections (ERC) as defined in subsection 25-30.515(8), F.A.C. Describe the method by which an ERC is defined.

(h) A detailed statement defining the capacity of the treatment facilities in terms of ERCs as used in developing the proposed service availability charges.

(i) A detailed statement defining the capacity of the distribution or collection system in terms of ERCs as used in developing the proposed service availability charges.

(j) Provide a list of outstanding developer agreements.

(k) For each developer agreement state whether the agreement is designed to result in contributed property, other than the approved system capacity charge, within the next 24 months; an estimate of the value of the contributed property to be added to the utility's books; and a description of the property.

(1) A schedule showing total collections of contributions-in-aid-of-construction (CIAC) as of 90 days prior to the date of application. Detail any prepaid CIAC by amount, the related reserved ERCs, and the anticipated connection date. Reference any appropriate developer agreements.

(m) A detailed statement of accumulated amortization of CIAC as listed in (l) above as of 90 days prior to application.

(n) Copies of approvals or permits for construction and operation of treatment facilities.

(o) A detailed statement by a registered professional engineer showing the cost, by Uniform System of Accounting account numbers, and capacity of proposed plant expansion, and a timetable showing projected construction time.

(p) A detailed statement by a registered professional engineer showing how the proposed construction will affect the capacity of the existing systems.

(q) If the expansion or plant upgrading is being undertaken to comply with the mandates of local, state or federal regulatory authorities, copies of the order(s) or correspondence directing the expansion or upgrading.

(r) A schedule showing the projected growth rate for utilization of the existing plant and line capacity and future plant and line capacity.

(s) A summary schedule of how the proposed service availability charge was calculated.

(t) A schedule showing, by meter size, the cost of meters, connecting fittings, meter boxes or enclosures and also showing sufficient data on labor and any other applicable costs to allow the determination of an average cost for meter installation by type.
 (u) A statement of the existing and proposed on-site and off-site main installation charges or policy.

(v) The company's present capital structure, including the cost of debt in the present capitalization. The availability and cost of other sources of financing the proposed expansion or upgrading of the system also shall be given.

(w) An original and three copies of the proposed tariff sheets.

(5) Upon filing of the application and supporting exhibits, the utility shall place copies thereof at its local office of the utility

serving the area affected by the charges and conditions, and such copies shall be made available for public inspection. (6) Each utility shall demonstrate the appropriateness of the requested service availability charges and conditions.

Specific Authority 367.121(1), 367.101 FS. Law Implemented 367.101 FS. History-New 6-14-83, Amended 11-10-86, 11-30-93, 5-29-08.

25-30.580 Guidelines for Designing Service Availability Policy.

A utility's service availability policy shall be designed in accordance with the following guidelines:

(1) The maximum amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction, net of amortization, should not exceed 75% of the total original cost, net of accumulated depreciation, of the utility's facilities and plant when the facilities and plant are at their designed capacity; and

(2) The minimum amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction should not be less than the percentage of such facilities and plant that is represented by the water transmission and distribution and sewage collection systems.

Specific Authority 367.101, 367.121(1) FS. Law Implemented 367.101 FS. History-New 6-14-83, Formerly 25-30.58, 25-30.058, Amended 1-31-00.

ATTACHMENT B

Supporting Schedules



NARUC Account	Beginning	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
	Balance (1)	(2014)	(2015)	(2016)	(2017)	(2018)	(2019)	(2020)	(2021)	(2022)	(2023)
351 Organization 352 Franchises 353 Land and Land Rights 354 Structures and Improvements 355 Power Generation Equipment 360 Collection Sewers - Force 361 Collection Sewers - Gravity 363 Services to Customers 370 Receiving Wells 371 Pumping Equipment 380 Treatment and Disposal Equipment Total Total	\$ - 6,310 46,800 163,469 - 321,528 5,410 29,139 14,124 14,913 297,024 \$ 698,717	\$ - 6,310 46,800 163,469 321,528 5,410 29,139 14,124 14,913 297,024 \$ 898,717	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 203,021 41,200 571,676 14,510 80,839 14,124 157,813 297,024 \$ 1,556,404	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 203,021 41,200 604,176 14,510 80,839 14,124 157,813 <u>297,024</u> \$ 1,588,904	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 203,021 41,200 636,676 14,510 80,839 14,124 177,813 <u>297,024</u> <u>\$ 1,641,404</u>	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 203,021 93,726 915,589 96,601 136,773 14,124 299,909 <u>388,945</u> \$ 2,324,885	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 203,021 108,726 965,589 116,601 136,773 14,124 299,909 <u>418,945</u> \$ 2,439,885	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 213,021 116,226 1,015,589 116,601 136,773 14,124 314,909 <u>433,945</u> <u>\$ 2,537,385</u>	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 213,021 116,226 1,078,955 136,091 194,593 14,124 485,043 <u>475,245</u> <u>\$ 2,889,495</u>	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 213,021 116,226 1,098,955 136,091 194,593 14,124 465,043 475,245 \$ 2,909,495	\$ 93,087 36,310 46,800 213,021 116,226 1,118,955 136,091 194,593 14,124 485,043 <u>490,245</u> <u>\$ 2,944,495</u>

Schedule 1 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Utility Plant in Service

	Yea			Year 2)	rear 3	2	rear 4		Year 5		Year 6	2	Year 7		Year 8		Year 9 (2022)	Y	ear 10		Total
	NARUC Account	(2014)		(2015)	(2016)		2017)	—	(2018)		(2019)		(2020)		(2021)		(2022)		2023)		IVIAI
Pha	sed Improvements																					
					P	hase 1					F	Phase 2					F	hase 3				
254	Omeniantian		•	03.087	5	11436 1	\$		s	_	s	-	S	-	s	-	s	-	s		s	93,087
352	Franchises		Ŷ	30,000	Ť	-	•	-	•	-	•	-	•	-		-		-				30,000
353	Land and Land Rights			-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
354	Structures and Improvements			39.552		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		39,552
355	Power Generation Equipment			41,200		-		-		52,526		-		-		-		-		-		93,726
360	Collection Sewers - Force			250,148		-		-		278,913		-		-		43,366		-		-		572,427
361	Collection Sewers - Gravity			9,100		-		-		82,091		-		-		19,490		-		-		110,681
363	Services to Customers			51,700		-		-		55,934		-		-		57,820		-		-		165,454
370	Receiving Wells			-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		
371	Pumping Equipment			142,900		-		-		122,096		-		-		170,134		-		-		435,130
380	Treatment and Disposal Equipment				_	•		-	_	91,921	_	-		-		41,300		<u> </u>	•	•		133,221
	Subtotal - Phased Improvements		\$	657,687	\$	-	<u>\$</u>	-	<u>\$</u>	683,481	<u>\$</u>	-	\$	-	<u>\$</u>	332,110	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	-	<u>\$</u>	1,673,278
Oth	er Miscellaneous Projects																					
351	Organization		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-
352	Franchises			-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
353	Land and Land Rights			-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
354	Structures and improvements			-		-		-		-		-		10,000		-		-		-		10,000
355	Power Generation Equipment			-		-		-		-		15,000		7,500		-		-		-		22,500
360	Collection Sewers - Force			-		32,500		32,500		-		50,000		50,000		20,000		20,000		20,000		225,000
361	Collection Sewers - Gravity			-		-		-		-		20,000		-		-		-		-		20,000
363	Services to Customers			-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
370	Receiving Wells			-		-		-		•		-		45 000		-		-		-		25 000
371	Pumping Equipment			-		-		20,000		-		20,000		15,000		-		-		15 000		60 000
380	Treatment and Disposal Equipment							-	-		_	30,000		15,000	-		-			15,000	~	272,500
	Subtotal - Other Miscellaneous		<u>\$</u>		<u>Ş</u>	32,500	\$	52,500	\$		\$	115,000	\$	97,500	\$	20,000	\$	20,000	<u> </u>	33,000	2	512,500
Tota	al Capital Improvement Plan		\$	657,687	\$	32,500	\$	52,500	\$	683,481	\$	115,000	\$	97,500	\$	352,110	\$	20,000	\$	35,000	\$	2,045,778

Schedule 1A North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Capital Improvement Plan

	NARUC Account	Average Service Life in Years	Depreciation Rate	Y (;	ear 1 2014)	Y (2	'ear 2 2015)		Year 3 (2016)		Year 4 (2017)		Year 5 (2018)		Year 6 (2019)	(Year 7 (2020)		Year 8 (2021)	('ear 9 2022)	Y(ear 10 2023)
351	Organization	40	2.500%	\$	-	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327
352	Franchises	40	2.500%		158		908		908		908		908		908		908		908		908		900
353	Land and Land Rights				-		-		-		-										-		-
354	Structures and Improvements	27	3.704%		-		7,519		7,519		7,519		7,519		7,519		7,890		4,067				
355	Power Generation Equipment	17	5.882%		-		2,424		2,424		2,424		5,513		6,396		6,837		6,837		6,837		0,037
360	Collection Sewers - Force	27	3.704%		-		21,173		22,377		23,581		33,911		35,763		37,614		39,961		40,702		41,443
361	Collection Sewers - Gravity	40	2.500%		135		363		363		363		2,415		2,915		2,915		3,402		3,402		3,402
363	Services to Customers	35	2.857%		390		2,310		2,310		2,310		3,908		3,908		3,908		5,560		5,560		5,560
370	Receiving Wells	25	4.000%		565		565		565		565		565		565		565		565		565		565
371	Pumping Equipment	17	5.882%		877		9,283		9,283		10,460		17,642		17,642		18,524		28,532		28,532		28,532
380	Treatment and Discosal Equipment	15	6.667%		-				-		-		25,930	_	27,930	_	28,930	_	31,683		31,683	_	32,683
000	Total			\$	2,125	\$	46,872	\$	48,076	\$	50,457	\$	100,638	\$	105,873	<u>\$</u>	110,418	<u>\$</u>	123,842	\$	120,516	<u>\$</u>	122,257
	Percent of Total Utility Plant in Service			0.	236%	3.	.012%	3	3.026%	3	3.074%	4	4.329%		4.339%	4	.352%		4.286%	4	.142%	4	.152%

Schedule 2 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Annual Depreciation

		F	Beginning		Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5		Year 6		Year 7	Year 8		Year 9		rear 10
	NARUC Account	B	alance (1)		(2014)		(2015)		(2016)		(2017)		(2018)		(2019)		(2020)	 (2021)	_	(2022)		(2023)
	NAROC Account			_	(<u> </u>									_						
251	Organization	s		s	-	s	2.327	s	4,654	\$	6,981	\$	9,308	\$	11,635	\$	13,962	\$ 16,289	\$	18,616	\$	20,943
352	Franchises	Ť	5,674	•	5,832	·	6,740		7,648		8,556		9,464		10,372		11,280	12,188		13,096		14,004
353	Land and Land Rights		· -		-		-		-		-		-				-			-		-
354	Sinctures and Improvements		163,469		163,469		170,988		178,507		186,026		193,545		201,064		208,954	213,021		213,021		213,021
355	Power Generation Equipment				-		2,424		4,848		7,272		12,785		19,181		26,018	32,855		39,692		46,529
360	Collection Source - Force		321 528		321.528		342,701		365,078		388,659		422,570		458,333		495,947	535,908		576,610		618,053
264	Collection Sewers - Force		2 773		2 908		3 271		3.634		3.997		6,412		9,327		12,242	15,644		19,046		22,448
301	Collection Sewers - Gravity		2,770		20 130		31 449		33 759		36,069		39,977		43,885		47,793	53,353		58,913		64,473
363	Services to Customers		20,745		20,100		1 066		1 631		2 196		2 761		3 326		3,891	4,456		5.021		5,586
370	Receiving Wells		(64)		1 007		11.000		20.650		31 010		48 661		66 303		84 827	113.359		141.891		170,423
371	Pumping Equipment		1,116		1,993		11,270		20,009		01,013		200.054		350,004		370,814	411 407		443 180		475 863
380	Treatment and Disposal Equipment		297,024	_	297,024	_	297,024		297,024		297,024	_	322,934	-	330,004	-	1 004 700	 4 400 570	-	1 500 006	~	1 651 242
	Total	\$	820,269	<u>Ş</u>	822,394	\$	869,266	<u>\$</u>	917,342	<u>\$</u>	967,799	\$	1,068,437	<u>Ş</u>	1,1/4,310	<u>ş</u>	1,284,728	\$ 1,408,570	2	1,529,086	<u>ې</u>	1,001,343

Schedule 3 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Accumulated Depreciation

		Beginning		Year 1		Year 2	Year 3		Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7		Year 8	Year 9	١	(ear 10
	NARUC Account	Balance (1)		(2014)		(2015)	 (2016)	_	(2017)	 (2018)	 (2019)	(2020)		(2021)	 (2022)		(2023)
351 352 353 354 355 360 361 363 370 371	Organization Franchises Land and Land Rights Structures and Improvements Power Generation Equipment Collection Sewers - Force Collection Sewers - Gravity Services to Customers Receiving Wells Pumping Equipment	\$ - 63 46,80 - 2,63 39 14,18 13,79	5 5 7 7 8 7	478 46,800 - - 2,502 - 13,623 12,920	s	90,760 29,570 46,800 32,033 38,776 228,975 11,239 49,390 13,058 146,537	\$ 88,433 28,662 46,800 24,514 36,352 239,098 10,876 47,080 12,493 137,254	\$	86,106 27,754 46,800 16,995 33,928 248,017 10,513 44,770 11,928 146,794	\$ 83,779 26,846 46,800 9,476 80,941 493,019 90,189 96,796 11,363 251,248 65,991	\$ 81,452 25,938 46,800 1,957 89,545 507,256 107,274 92,888 10,798 233,606 68,061	\$ 79,125 25,030 46,800 90,208 519,642 104,359 88,900 10,233 230,082 54,131	S	76,798 24,122 46,800 - - 83,371 543,047 120,447 141,240 9,668 371,684 63,748	\$ 74,471 23,214 46,800 - 76,534 522,345 117,045 135,680 9,103 343,152 32,065	\$	72,144 22,306 46,800 - - 69,697 500,902 113,643 130,120 8,538 314,620 14,382
380	Total	\$ 78,44	<u> </u>	76,323	\$	687,138	\$ 671,562	\$	673,605	\$ 1,256,448	\$ 1,265,575	\$ 1,252,657	\$	1,480,925	\$ 1,380,409	\$	1,293,152

Schedule 4 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Net Utility Plant in Service

								-									
	Be Bala	ginning ance (1)		Year 1 (2014)		Year 2 (2015)		Year 3 (2016)		Year 4 (2017)	Year 5 (2018)	Year 6 (2019)	Year 7 (2020)	Year 8 (2021)	Year 9 (2022)		Year 10 (2023)
Capacity (ERCs) Existing Connections Additional Connections (ERCs)		600 585		600 585 -		900 585 32		900 617 115		900 732 135	1,241 867 150	1,241 1,017 47	1,241 1,064 58	1,241 1,122 24	1,24 1,14 2	1 6 4	1,241 1,170 24
Utility Plant In Service Accumulated Depreciation	\$ \$	898,717 820,269	\$ \$	898,717 822,394	\$ \$	1,556,404 869,266	\$ \$	1,588,904 917,342	\$ \$	5 1,641,404 967,799	\$ 2,324,885 \$ 1,068,437	\$ 2,439,885 \$ 1,174,310	\$ 2,537,385 \$ 1,284,728	\$ 2,889,495 \$ 1,408,570	\$ 2,909,49 \$ 1,529,08	5\$ 6\$	\$ 2,944,495 \$ 1,651,343
Contributions in Aid of Construction Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$ \$	640,944 640,944	\$ \$	640,944 640,944	\$ \$	867,896 644,361	\$ \$	984,161 652,987	\$ \$	5 1,120,646 5 665,636	\$ 1,580,777 \$ 696,360	\$ 1,628,294 \$ 738,172	\$ 1,686,932 \$ 782,414	\$ 1,838,306 \$ 830,488	\$ 1,862,57 \$ 880,58	0 \$ 8 \$	\$ 1,886,834 \$ 931,814
Contribution Level				0.00%		32.53%		49.31%		67.55%	70.39%	70.33%	72.21%	68.05%	71.14%		73.85%
Requested Charge: Plant Charge Main Extension Charge Total	\$ <u>\$</u>	1,011 1,011															
Minimum CIAC Maximum CIAC		42.62% 75.00%															

Schedule 5 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - CIAC Analysis



July 18, 2014 WFS #7014038

Mr. Bob Hillman North Peninsula Utilities Corporation 115 E. Granada Blvd., Suite 12 Ormond Beach, FL 32176

North Peninsula Utilities Corporation Service Availability Charge Development

Dear Mr. Hillman:

Willdan Financial Services (WFS), is pleased to present herein the development of the Service Availability Charge including the Main Extension Charge for North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (NPUC). These charges have been developed based on the Guidelines for Designing the Service Availability Policy, Section 25-30.580, Florida Administrative Code as required by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC). This letter will discuss the development of the Service Availability Charge as well as several of the items needed to file the Application for Approval of New or Revised Service Availability Policy or Charges with the FPSC (Section 25-30.565, Florida Administrative Code). Attachment A includes a copy of both of these sections from the Florida Administrative Code.

Development of Service Availability Charge – Main Extension Charge

A Wastewater Facilities Plan ("Plan") for North Peninsula Utilities Corporation was completed in July 2014. As included in the Plan prepared by Hartman Consultants, LLC, the Utility will need a 3 Phase plan to provide service in its expanded service area. Phase 1 is expected to meet the immediate needs of the expanded service area and provide infrastructure to accommodate future phases. The Phase 1 activities are anticipated to cost approximately \$658,000 and are expected to be implemented in the 2015 to 2017 timeframe. The Phase 2 plan is provided to meet the anticipated growth, build out of the existing projects, and to accommodate the flows from the Volusia

Engineering and Planning | Energy Efficiency and Sustainability | Financial and Economic Consulting | National Preparedness and Interoperability 407.872.2467 | fax: 888.326.6864 | 200 South Orange Ave., Suite 1550, Orlando, Florida 32801 | www.willdan.com

Mr. Bob Hillman July 18, 2014

County Utility Department service area. The Phase 2 project is anticipated to cost approximately \$683,000 and be completed in the 2018 to 2020 timeframe. The Phase 3 project again provides for build out, growth, and an existing area in the southern

portion of the expanded NPUC service area. This phase completes the locations where central wastewater service has been desired. The Phase 3 project is anticipated to cost approximately \$332,000 and is expected to be implemented within the 2021 to 2025 timeframe. Additionally, throughout the projection period, other upgrades of approximately \$372,000 are anticipated to continue to maintain and improve the system.

Schedule 1 included in Attachment B, presents the Utility Plant in Service by NARUC Account. The beginning balance is based on information contained in the 2013 Annual Report. As shown, the Utility Plant in Service costs for the system are \$898,717. After the aforementioned improvements are put in place, the Utility Plant in Service will total approximately \$2,944,495. Schedule 2 presents the anticipated annual depreciation for the 10-year projection period. Schedule 3 presents the current and projected Accumulated Depreciation for the Plant in service for each year in the projection period. Schedule 4 presents the Net Utility Plant in Service based on the annual and accumulated depreciation calculated on Schedules 2 and 3.

Based on the Guidelines for Designing a Service Availability Charge (Section 25-30.580, Florida Administrative Code):

(1) The maximum amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction, net of amortization, should not exceed 75% of the total original cost, net of accumulated depreciation, of the utility's facilities and plant when the facilities and plant are at their designed capacity; and

(2) The minimum amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction should not be less than the percentage of such facilities and plant that is represented by the water transmission and distribution and sewage collection systems.

The Utility's current collection system has the hydraulic capacity to serve approximately 600 ERCs. With the additions of the 8.0" force main and the 6.0" force main, that total hydraulic capacity of the system will be increased to 1,241 ERCs. With these two improvements in place, the total Utility Plant in Service for the Sewage Collection System will be approximately \$1,190,046 (Accounts 360 and 361 on Schedule 1).



Mr. Bob Hillman July 18, 2014

Dividing the sewage collection system costs by the total hydraulic capacity of the sewage collection system (\$1,190,046 / 1,241 ERCs) results in a Service Availability Charge related to the Main Extensions of approximately \$959.00 per ERC or \$5.48 per gpd). As stated in NPUC's application to extend its Certificated Service Area, the Utility will not pursue a plant charge at the present time.

As of July 1, 2014, the Utility provides service to 571 connections which represent 585 ERCs. Of these 571 meters, 570 are provided service through 3/4" meters with 1 utilizing a 3.0" meter. As shown on **Schedule 5**, through Year 10 of the projection period, it is anticipated that there will be approximately 1,194 ERCs connected to the system. While there are existing developer agreements, they are minimal and represent approximately 10 of the over 600 ERCs anticipated to connect to the system throughout the projection period.

Based on the Utility Plant in Service in Year 10, the Minimum level of Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) is 40.42%. **Schedule 5** presents the CIAC Analysis for the 10year projection period including annual projections for Utility Plant in Service, Accumulated Depreciation, Contributions in Aid of Construction, Accumulated Amortization of CIAC, and the Contribution Level. For the 10 years included in the analysis, the maximum calculated contribution level is 74.99% which is projected in Year 10.

Summary

Based on our analysis, we recommend that NPUC update its Service Availability Policy and related Main Extension Charge to \$1,050.00 per ERC. As calculated above, this charge will recover the costs associated with the sewage collection system as is provided for in the Florida Administrative Code Guidelines.



Mr. Bob Hillman July 18, 2014

We appreciate the opportunity to provide technical expertise you desire. If you have any questions, comments, or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted, WILLDAN FINANCIAL SERVICES

Jara Hollis

Tara L. Hollis, CPA, MBA Principal Consultant

Attachment A – Section 25-30.565, Florida Administrative Code and Section 25-30.580, Florida Administrative Code

Attachment B - Supporting Schedules

cc: Gerald C. Hartman, PE, BCEE, ASA – Hartman Consultants, LLC



ATTACHMENT A

Section 25-30.565, Florida Administrative Code, Application for Approval of New or Revised Service Availability Policy or Charges

Section 25-30.580, Florida Administrative Code, *Guidelines for Designing Service* Availability Policy



25-30.565 Application for Approval of New or Revised Service Availability Policy or Charges.

(1) Each application for a service availability policy or charges shall be filed in original and six copies.

(2) Upon filing an application for a new or revised service availability charge or policy, the utility shall provide notice pursuant to Rule 25-30.4345, F.A.C.

(3) A filing fee as required in Rule 25-30.020, F.A.C., shall be submitted at the time of application.

(4) Each application shall include the following, if applicable:

(a) A statement describing how the notice provisions have been complied with, including a copy of the actual notice(s).

(b) The name of the applicant, the applicant's principal place of business and each local office from which company operations are conducted. The applicant's name shall be as it appears on the certificate issued by the Commission if one has been issued.

(c) The number of the Commission order, if any, which previously considered the charges or service availability policy for the system involved.

(d) A statement explaining the basis for the requested changes in charges and conditions.

(e) A schedule showing the original cost of any existing treatment plants, the water transmission and distribution system, and the sewage collection system, by Uniform System of Accounting account numbers as required by Rule 25-30.115, F.A.C., and the related capacity of each system as of 90 days prior to application.

(f) A detailed statement of accumulated depreciation for the plant listed in paragraph (e) above as of 90 days prior to application.

(g) A schedule showing the number of active customers on line 90 days prior to the time of application by meter size, by customer class, and the related equivalent residential connections (ERC) as defined in subsection 25-30.515(8), F.A.C. Describe the method by which an ERC is defined.

(h) A detailed statement defining the capacity of the treatment facilities in terms of ERCs as used in developing the proposed service availability charges.

(i) A detailed statement defining the capacity of the distribution or collection system in terms of ERCs as used in developing the proposed service availability charges.

(j) Provide a list of outstanding developer agreements.

(k) For each developer agreement state whether the agreement is designed to result in contributed property, other than the approved system capacity charge, within the next 24 months; an estimate of the value of the contributed property to be added to the utility's books; and a description of the property.

(1) A schedule showing total collections of contributions-in-aid-of-construction (CIAC) as of 90 days prior to the date of application. Detail any prepaid CIAC by amount, the related reserved ERCs, and the anticipated connection date. Reference any appropriate developer agreements.

(m) A detailed statement of accumulated amortization of CIAC as listed in (l) above as of 90 days prior to application.

(n) Copies of approvals or permits for construction and operation of treatment facilities.

(o) A detailed statement by a registered professional engineer showing the cost, by Uniform System of Accounting account numbers, and capacity of proposed plant expansion, and a timetable showing projected construction time.

(p) A detailed statement by a registered professional engineer showing how the proposed construction will affect the capacity of the existing systems.

(q) If the expansion or plant upgrading is being undertaken to comply with the mandates of local, state or federal regulatory authorities, copies of the order(s) or correspondence directing the expansion or upgrading.

(r) A schedule showing the projected growth rate for utilization of the existing plant and line capacity and future plant and line capacity.

(s) A summary schedule of how the proposed service availability charge was calculated.

(t) A schedule showing, by meter size, the cost of meters, connecting fittings, meter boxes or enclosures and also showing sufficient data on labor and any other applicable costs to allow the determination of an average cost for meter installation by type.

(u) A statement of the existing and proposed on-site and off-site main installation charges or policy.

(v) The company's present capital structure, including the cost of debt in the present capitalization. The availability and cost of other sources of financing the proposed expansion or upgrading of the system also shall be given.

(w) An original and three copies of the proposed tariff sheets.

(5) Upon filing of the application and supporting exhibits, the utility shall place copies thereof at its local office of the utility

serving the area affected by the charges and conditions, and such copies shall be made available for public inspection. (6) Each utility shall demonstrate the appropriateness of the requested service availability charges and conditions.

Specific Authority 367.121(1), 367.101 FS. Law Implemented 367.101 FS. History-New 6-14-83, Amended 11-10-86, 11-30-93, 5-29-08.

25-30.580 Guidelines for Designing Service Availability Policy.

A utility's service availability policy shall be designed in accordance with the following guidelines:

(1) The maximum amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction, net of amortization, should not exceed 75% of the total original cost, net of accumulated depreciation, of the utility's facilities and plant when the facilities and plant are at their designed capacity; and

(2) The minimum amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction should not be less than the percentage of such facilities and plant that is represented by the water transmission and distribution and sewage collection systems.

Specific Authority 367.101, 367.121(1) FS. Law Implemented 367.101 FS. History-New 6-14-83, Formerly 25-30.58, 25-30.058, Amended 1-31-00.

ATTACHMENT B

Supporting Schedules



		E	leginning	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4		Year 5	Year 6		Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	`	Year 10
	NARUC Account	Ba	alance (1)	 (2014)	 (2015)	 (2016)	 (2017)		(2018)	 (2019)	_	(2020)	 (2021)	 (2022)	·	(2023)
351	Organization	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 93,087	\$ 93,087	\$ 93,087	\$	93,087	\$ 93,087	\$	93,087	\$ 93,087	\$ 93,087	\$	93,087
352	Franchises		6,310	6,310	36,310	36,310	36,310		36,310	36,310		36,310	36,310	36,310		36,310
353	Land and Land Rights		46,800	46,800	46,800	46,800	46,800		46,800	46,800		46,800	46,800	46,800		46,800
354	Structures and Improvements		163,469	163,469	203,021	203,021	203,021		203,021	203,021		213,021	213,021	213,021		213,021
355	Power Generation Equipment		-	-	41,200	41,200	41,200		93,726	108,726		116,226	116,226	116,226		116,226
360	Collection Sewers - Force		321,528	321,528	571,676	571,676	596,676		875,589	910,589		950,589	1,013,955	1,033,955		1,053,955
361	Collection Sewers - Gravity		5,410	5,410	14,510	14,510	14,510		96,601	116,601		116,601	136,091	136,091		136,091
363	Services to Customers		29,139	29,139	80,839	80,839	80,839		136,773	136,773		136,773	194,593	194,593		194,593
370	Receiving Wells		14,124	14,124	14,124	14,124	14,124		14,124	14,124		14,124	14,124	14,124		14,124
371	Pumping Equipment		14,913	14,913	157,813	157,813	187,813		309,909	309,909		329,909	500,043	500,043		500,043
380	Treatment and Disposal Equipment		297,024	 297,024	 297,024	 297,024	 297,024	_	388,945	 433,945		458,945	 500,245	 500,245		540,245
	Total	\$	898,717	\$ 898,717	\$ 1,556,404	\$ 1,556,404	\$ 1,611,404	\$	2,294,885	\$ 2,409,885	\$	2,512,385	\$ 2,864,495	\$ 2,884,495	<u>\$</u>	2,944,495

Schedule 1 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Utility Plant in Service

	NARUC Account	Average Service Life in Years	Depreciation Rate	Y	ear 1 2014)	Ň	(ear 2 (2015)		Year 3		Year 4 (2017)		Year 5 (2018)		Year 6 (2019)		Year 7 (2020)	Ň	(ear 8		Year 9 (2022)	Y	ear 10 2023)
	10 110 0 7 0000112							_		······			(/					د		·		······	
351	Organization	40	2.500%	\$	-	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327	\$	2,327
352	Franchises	40	2,500%		158		908		908		908		908		908		908		908		908		908
353	Land and Land Rights				-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
354	Structures and Improvements	27	3.704%		-		7,519		7,519		7,519		7,519		7,519		7,890		4,067		-		-
355	Power Generation Equipment	17	5.882%		-		2,424		2,424		2,424		5,513		6,396		6,837		6,837		6,837		6,837
360	Collection Sewers - Force	27	3.704%		-		21,173		21,173		22,099		32,429		33,726		35,207		37,554		38,295		39,035
361	Collection Sewers - Gravity	40	2.500%		135		363		363		363		2,415		2,915		2,915		3,402		3,402		3,402
363	Services to Customers	35	2.857%		390		2,310		2,310		2,310		3,908		3,908		3,908		5,560		5,560		5,560
370	Receiving Wells	25	4.000%		565		565		565		565		565		565		565		565		565		565
371	Pumping Equipment	17	5.882%		877		9,283		9,283		11,048		18,230		18,230		19,406		29,414		29,414		29,414
380	Treatment and Disposal Equipment	15	6.667%		-		-		-				25,930	_	28,930		30,596		33,350	_	33,350		36,016
	Total			\$	2,125	\$	46,872	\$	46,872	\$	49,563	\$	99,744	\$	105,424	\$	110,559	\$	123,984	\$	120,658	\$	124,064
	Percent of Total Utility Plant in Service			0	.236%	з	3.012%	3	3.012%	:	3.076%	4	4.346%		4.375%	4	4.401%	4	.328%	4	1.183%	4	.213%

Schedule 2 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Annual Depreciation

		E	Beginning		Year 1		Year 2	Year 3	Year 4		Year 5		Year 6	Year 7		Year 8 (2021)	Year 9	`	Year 10
	NARUC Account	Ba	alance (1)		(2014)		(2015)	 (2010)	 (2017)	****	(2010)		(2019)	 (2020)		(2021)	 (2022)		(2023)
351	Organization	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,327	\$ 4,654	\$ 6,981	\$	9,308	\$	11,635	\$ 13,962	\$	16,289	\$ 18,616	\$	20,943
352	Franchises		5,674		5,832		6,740	7,648	8,556		9,464		10,372	11,280		12,188	13,096		14,004
353	Land and Land Rights		-		-		-	-	-		-		-	-		-	-		-
354	Structures and Improvements		163,469		163,469		170,988	178,507	186,026		193,545		201,064	208,954		213,021	213,021		213,021
355	Power Generation Equipment		-		-		2,424	4,848	7,272		12,785		19,181	26,018		32,855	39,692		46,529
360	Collection Sewers - Force		321,528		321,528		342,701	363,874	385,973		418,402		452,128	487,335		524,889	563,184		602,219
361	Collection Sewers - Gravity		2,773		2,908		3,271	3,634	3,997		6,412		9,327	12,242		15,644	19,046		22,448
363	Services to Customers		28,749		29,139		31,449	33,759	36,069		39,977		43,885	47,793		53,353	58,913		64,473
370	Receiving Wells		(64)	501		1,066	1,631	2,196		2,761		3,326	3,891		4,456	5,021		5,586
371	Pumping Equipment		1,116		1,993		11,276	20,559	31,607		49,837		68,067	87,473		116,887	146,301		175,715
380	Treatment and Disposal Equipment		297,024		297,024	_	297,024	 297,024	 297,024		322,954	_	351,884	 382,480	_	415,830	 449,180	-	485,196
	Total	\$	820,269	\$	822,394	\$	869,266	\$ 916,138	\$ 965,701	\$	1,065,445	\$	1,170,869	\$ 1,281,428	\$	1,405,412	\$ 1,526,070	<u>\$</u>	1,650,134

Schedule 3 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Accumulated Depreciation

		Beg	ginning	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3		Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	`	ear 7	Year 8	Year 9	١	Year 10
	NARUC Account	Bala	nce (1)	 (2014)	 (2015)	 (2016)	,	(2017)	 (2018)	 (2019)	(2020)	 (2021)	 (2022)		(2023)
351	Organization	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 90,760	\$ 88,433	\$	86,106	\$ 83,779	\$ 81,452	\$	79,125	\$ 76,798	\$ 74,471	\$	72,144
352 353	Franchises Land and Land Rights		636 46,800	478 46,800	29,570 46,800	46,800		46,800	46,800	46,800		46,800	46,800	46,800		46,800
354 355	Structures and Improvements Power Generation Equipment		-	-	32,033 38,776	24,514 36,352		16,995 33,928	9,476 80,941	1,957 89,545		4,067 90,208	- 83,371	- 76,534		- 69,697
360 361	Collection Sewers - Force Collection Sewers - Gravity		- 2,637	- 2,502	228,975 11,239	207,802 10,876		210,703 10,513	457,187 90,189	458,461 107,274		463,254 104,359	489,066 120,447	470,771 117,045		451,736 113,643
363	Services to Customers		390 14 188	-	49,390 13.058	47,080 12,493		44,770 11.928	96,796 11.363	92,888 10,798		88,980 10,233	141,240 9,668	135,680 9,103		130,120 8,538
371	Pumping Equipment		13,797	12,920	146,537	137,254		156,206	260,072	241,842		242,436	383,156 84,415	353,742 51.065		324,328 55.049
380	Total	\$	- 78,448	\$ 76,323	\$ 687,138	\$ 640,266	\$	645,703	\$ 1,229,440	\$ 1,239,016	\$,230,957	\$ 1,459,083	\$ 1,358,425	\$	1,294,361

Schedule 4 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - Net Utility Plant in Service

	Ba Ba	Beginning Balance (1)		Year 1 (2014)	Year 2 (2015)			Year 3 (2016)		Year 4 (2017)	Year 5 (2018)	Year 6 (2019)	Year 7 (2020)	Year 8 (2021)	Year 9 (2022)		Year 10 (2023)	
Capacity (ERCs)		600		600		900		900		900 732	1,241	1,241	1,241	1,241	1,2 1 1	41 46	1,241 1 170	
Existing Connections Additional Connections (ERCs)		505		-		32		115		135	150	47	58	24	.,.	24	24	
Utility Plant In Service Accumulated Depreciation	\$ \$	898,717 820,269	\$ \$	898,717 822,394	\$ \$	1,556,404 869,266	\$ \$	1,556,404 916,138	\$ \$	\$ 1,611,404 \$ 965,701	\$ 2,294,885 \$ 1,065,445	\$ 2,409,885 \$ 1,170,869	\$ 2,512,385 \$ 1,281,428	\$ 2,864,495 \$ 1,405,412	\$ 2,884,4 \$ 1,526,0	95 70	\$ 2,944,495 \$ 1,650,134	
Contributions in Aid of Construction Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$ \$	640,944 640,944	\$ \$	640,944 640,944	\$ \$	869,144 644,380	\$ \$	989,894 653,071	\$ \$	\$ 1,131,644 \$ 665,984	\$ 1,597,625 \$ 697,438	\$ 1,646,975 \$ 740,369	\$ 1,707,875 \$ 785,980	\$ 1,860,185 \$ 835,456	\$ 1,885,3 \$ 886,9	85 84	\$ 1,910,585 \$ 939,948	
Contribution Level				0.00%		32.71%		52.61%		72.12%	73.22%	73.17%	74.89%	70.23%	73.50%		74.99%	
Requested Charge: Plant Charge Main Extension Charge Total	\$	1,050 1,050																
Minimum CIAC Maximum CIAC		40.42% 75.00%																

Schedule 5 North Peninsula Utilities Corporation (249S) Wastewater Utility Plant Accounts - CIAC Analysis

ATTACHMENT 10

Mission:

To protect, promote & improve the health of all people in Florida through integrated state, county & community efforts.



Rick Scott Governor

John H. Armstrong, MD, FACS State Surgeon General & Secretary

Vision: To be the Healthiest State in the Nation

December 5, 2014

Bob Hillman, NPUC P.O. Box 2803 Ormond Beach, Florida 32176

Mr. Hillman,

The Environmental Health Section of the Florida Department of Health in Volusia County concluded a study of onsite septic system suitability in November 2013. One of the geographic areas that was reviewed in the study was the North Peninsula (Ormond by the Sea) area. This study used objective factors to calculate an index score for each of the 71 geographic areas in the study. The objective factors included the average permeability of the soils, proximity of the area to a water body, age of the septic system, depth to the wet season water table, drinking water supply and density of homes. We then ranked the 71 areas based on the index score from 1 which was excellent for septic to 71 which was poor for septic.

In general the North Peninsula Ormond by the Sea area has soils with a high permeability rate. The results of the study found that the North Peninsula area ranked 67, 68 and 70th out of 71 areas in Volusia County which corresponds to being better suited for central sewer use. This means that the soils are excessively drained allowing waste water from septic systems to flow quickly through it allowing for less treatment of the effluent before it reaches the ground water table. Many of the homes in the North Peninsula area were built in the 1950's and 1960's. These older homes often do not have newer septic systems. The newer septic systems have effluent filters and two compartment septic tanks which help to provide a better treatment system for the septage.

The North Peninsula area also has some large sections of land with high wet season water tables. Older septic systems located in these high water table areas do not provide the required setback between the bottom of the drain-fields and the water tables. The high permeability rate and older septic systems accompanied with the fact that the area has a high density of homes creates the possibility for surface water (Halifax River and Atlantic Ocean) and ground water to become contaminated with nitrates.

For further information see the full report at: <u>http://volusia.floridahealth.gov/programs-and-services/environmental-health/index.html</u>.

Sincerely,

James McRae, R.S., M.P.H. Environmental Supervisor

Florida Department of Health in Volusia County Environmental Health 121 W. Rich Ave. • DeLand, FL 32720-4212 PHONE: 386-822-6240 • FAX: 386-822-6251 www.FloridasHealth.com www.Volusiahealth.com TWITTER:HealthyFLA FACEBOOK:FLDepartmentofHealth YOUTUBE: fldoh

Phone Calls Summary

- Volusia County No Objection Mr. Mike Ulrich, Director of Utilities They have no objection. The septic tank issue is clear in the County Ordinance, no letter is needed. He will consider the pelican Dunes situation after NPUC is certificated since it is presently outside of the NPUC certificated service area. He remembers the discussions on the south side which are premature at this time until FPSC acts.
- Ormond Beach No Objection Several City staff are happy we are addressing the issue. The City has addressed the issue inside the City limits, but do not wish to attempt solving the problem outside the City limits without the County's financial and institutional support.
- 3) Florida Department of Health in Volusia County Definitely support our efforts. The FDOH has identified the problem and ranked the area as unsuitable for septic tanks. Mr. James McRae the Environmental Supervisor for Volusia County will write a support letter. The FDOH is the lead agency in the septic tank matter.
- 4) Kingston Shores (package WWTP plant) The HOA manager said they had full support to discontinue their package Water Treatment Plant and connect to Ormond Beach and pay the fees and costs to do so. That assessment will be done this year. They are very happy that NPUC does not charge an impact fee. The manager agreed it was fair to pay (subject to BOD and Annual Mtg vote) for a little more than one hundred thousand for approximately 100 units to be connected to NPUC. They expect the assessment to be considered for 2016 and to be on-line with NPUC either in 2016 or 2017 and decommission their package WWTP plant and recover their land for other uses.
- 5) Oceanaire Condos They have agreed to pay for the short connection (gravity service is adjacent to the condos) and pay the SAC fee once established. These condos are expected to be on line in 2015.
- 6) FDEP Ms. Denise Judy of FEDP is well aware of the NPUC proposed program, discussing with Volusia County and Ormond Beach, the FBC application by NPUC and other activities. She said in an email to Mr. Hartman, that the FDEP position on programs like NPUC's is quite clear and has been stated numerous times for the Florida Keys, Wekiva Basin, Indian River Lagoon, Halifax River and numerous other locations. The preference is for a higher level of treatment achievable through a central sewerage system which better protects the environment. She said there are numerous items in Oculus which state the above.
- 7) SJRWMD Mr. John Wharton talked with Mr. Bill Tredik who works in surface water quality and is involved in the Indian River Lagoon restoration efforts and is knowledgeable of the Halifax River. Mr. Tredik gave a presentation (as a SJRWMD representative) that addressed the environmental benefits of central sewerage systems

over small package WWTP's and septic tanks. The SJRWMD surface water quality presentations support central sewerage systems such as NPUC.