### AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

February 16, 2015

#### HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer, Director Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re:

Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor

FPSC Docket No. 150001-EI

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and ten (10) copies of Tampa Electric Company's Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to portions of Forms 423-2, 423-2(a) and 423-2(b) for the month of December 2014.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

James D. Beasley

JDB/ne Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record (w/enc.)

COM

AFD \_

APA

ECO \_ ENG

GCL 7

IDM

CLK \_\_\_

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power	)	
Cost Recovery Clause and	)	DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
Generating Performance Incentive	)	
Factor.	)	FILED: February 16, 2015
	)	

## TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT AND MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to §366.093, Fla. Stat., Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "the company") submits the following Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to the company's Forms 423-2, 423-2(a) and 423-2(b) for the month of December 2014:

- 1. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a detailed justification for the requested confidential treatment of the highlighted portions of Tampa Electric's 423 Forms for the month of December 2014.
- 2. Tampa Electric requests that the information for which Tampa Electric seeks confidential classification not be declassified until the dates specified in Exhibit "B" to this request. The time periods requested are necessary to allow Tampa Electric's affiliated companies to negotiate future contracts without their competitors (and other Customers) having access to information which would adversely affect the ability of these affiliates to negotiate future contracts. The period of time requested will ultimately protect Tampa Electric and its Customers.
- The material for which classification is sought is intended to be and is treated by
   Tampa Electric and its affiliates as private and has not been disclosed.

WHEREFORE, Tampa Electric submits the foregoing as its request for confidential treatment and motion for temporary protective order relating to the information identified as Exhibit "A".

## DATED this 16th day of February 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES D. BEASLEY
J. JEFFRY WAHLEN
ASHLEY M. DANIELS
Ausley & McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
(850) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by hand delivery(\*) or electronic mail on this 16th day of February 2015 to the following:

Ms. Suzanne Brownless\*
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us

Ms. Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us

Ms. Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy Florida, Inc.
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Mr. Matthew R. Bernier Senior Counsel Duke Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 Matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Mr. Jon C Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Ms. Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 bkeating@gunster.com Mr. John T. Butler Assistant General Counsel - Regulatory Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard (LAW/JB) Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 john.butler@fpl.com

Mr. Kenneth Hoffman Vice President, Regulatory Relations Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859 ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Ms. Cheryl Martin
Director/Regulatory Affairs
Florida Public Utilities Company
911 South 8th Street
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034
Cheryl\_Martin@fpuc.com

Mr. Robert L. McGee, Jr.
Regulatory and Pricing Manager
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780
rlmcgee@southernco.com

Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone
Mr. Russell A. Badders
Mr. Steven R. Griffin
Beggs & Lane
Post Office Box 12950
Pensacola, FL 32591-2950
jas@beggslane.com
rab@beggslane.com
srg@beggslane.com

Mr. Robert Scheffel Wright
Mr. John T. LaVia, III
Gardner, Bist, Wiener, Wadsworth,
Bowden, Bush, Dee, LaVia & Wright, P.A.
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32308
Schef@gbwlegal.com
Jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Mr. James W. Brew
Mr. Owen J. Kopon
Ms. Laura A. Wynn
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20007-5201
jbrew@bbrslaw.com
owen.kopon@bbrslaw.com
laura.wynn@bbrslaw.com

ATTORNEY

## December 2014 Docket No. 150001-EI

### **Request for Specified Confidential Treatment**

#### FORM 423-2

Plant Name: Line(s)	Column	Justification
TECO United Bulk Terminal	G	(9) Disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair
Big Bend Station 1-12		the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services
Big Bend Station		on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla Stat.
1-6		Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility		to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the
Polk Station		effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility,
Polk Station		shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the
1		total transportation charges would be able to use that
		information in conjunction with the published delivered price
		at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the
		segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of
		transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep
		water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the
		transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation
		cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The
		disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a
		direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and

#### FORM 423-2

Plant Name: Line(s) Column

Justification

transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric in Docket No. 860001-EI-D. A copy of Mr. Rowe's Direct Testimony from the September 29, 1986 hearing in that docket is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and by reference made a part hereof.

In the Commission's Order No. 12645 issued in Docket No. 830001-EU on November 3, 1983 (In re: Investigation of Fuel Adjustment Clauses of Electric Utilities), the Commission prescribed the current 423 Form filings. In so doing, the Commission observed:

Next, we must determine whether any portion of the monthly reports should be accorded confidential treatment. We agree that certain portions of the confidential information. However, many portions of the monthly reports will not. The proprietary information for all types of fuel is transportation. Any breakout of transportation costs must be confidentially. In addition, F.O.B. mine prices for coal is proprietary in nature as is the price of fuel oil. Disclosure of separate

#### FORM 423-2

Plant Name: Line(s) Column Justification

transportation or F.O.B. mine prices would have a direct impact on a utility's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services. Disclosure of fuel oil prices would have an indirect effect upon bidding suppliers. Suppliers would be reluctant to provide significant price concessions to an individual utility if prices were disclosed because other purchasers would seek similar concessions.

The vigorous competition discussed in Mr. Rowe's earlier testimony, as recognized by the Commission, justifies proprietary confidential treatment of the information in Column G.

Disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods and services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. This information would inform other potential suppliers as to the price Tampa Electric is willing to pay for coal. This would give present and potential coal suppliers information which could be harmful to Tampa Electric's interests in negotiating coal supply agreements. This is much the same as paragraph (1) under Form 423-1(a) regarding No. 2 oil suppliers.

#### FORM 423-2

Plant Name: Line(s)	Column	Justification
TECO United	Н	(10) The disclosure of this information "would impair the
Bulk Terminal		Not Z
Big Bend Station 1-12		efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on
		favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. As was
Big Bend Station 1-6		stated in (1), Columns G and H both need confidential
		protection because disclosure of either column will enable
United Bulk		
Terminal Transfer		competitors to determine the segmented transportation charges.
Facility Polk Station		Accordingly, the same reasons discussed in (1) likewise apply
•		with regard to Column H.
Polk Station 1		

Plant Name: Line(s)	Column	Justification
TECO United Bulk Terminal	G	This column discloses the value of shorthaul and loading
Big Bend Station		charges on a \$/Ton basis, which is a negotiated price the
		disclosure of which would adversely impact Tampa Electric
Big Bend Station 1-6		in future negotiations for this component of the overall
United Bulk		price, which, in turn, would adversely impact Tampa
Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station		Electric's customers.
Polk Station		
TECO United Bulk Terminal	Н	(11) If the original invoice price is made public, one can
Big Bend Station		subtract the original invoice price from the publicly disclosed
		delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility
Big Bend Station 1-6		and thereby determine the segmented river transportation cost.
United Bulk Terminal Transfer		Disclosure of the river transportation cost "would impair the
Facility Polk Station		efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on
1		favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional
Polk Station		justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the
1		rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2
		(United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

Plant Name:	Column	Justification
Line(s)		
TECO United Bulk Terminal	J	(12) This information, like that contained in Column H,
Big Bend Station 1-12		would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented
		transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price
Big Bend Station 1-6		at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility. This would be
United Bulk Terminal Transfer		done by subtracting the base price per ton from the delivered
Facility Polk Station		price at United Bulk Terminal, thereby revealing the river
1		barge rate. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of
Polk Station		Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable
<u> </u>		terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional
		justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the
		rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2
		(United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

Plant Name: Line(s)	Column	Justification
TECO United Bulk Terminal	L	(13) This information, if publicly disclosed, would enable a
Big Bend Station 1-12		competitor to back into the segmented waterborne transportation costs using the already publicly disclosed
Big Bend Station 1-6		delivered price of coal at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer
United Bulk Terminal Transfer		Facility. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa
Facility Polk Station		Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms."
1		Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification
Polk Station 1		appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale
		for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (United Bulk
		Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

Plant Name: Line(s)	Column	Justification
TECO United Bulk Terminal	G	(14) Disclosure of the effective purchase price in Column G
Big Bend Station 1-12		"would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for
Big Bend Station		goods or services on favorable terms." Section
1-6		366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Such disclosure would enable a
United Bulk Terminal Transfer		competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost
Facility Polk Station		using the publicly disclosed delivered price for coal at the
1		United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility. This would be
Polk Station		done by subtracting the effective purchase price per ton
		from the price per ton delivered at United Bulk Terminal,
		thereby revealing the river barge rate. Additional
		justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of
		the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2
		(United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend
		Station). Such disclosure would also adversely affect
		Tampa Electric's ability to negotiate future coal supply
		contracts.

Plant Name: Line(s)	Column	Justification
TECO United Bulk Terminal	Н	This column discloses the value of additional shorthaul and
Big Bend Station 1-12		loading charges on a \$/Ton basis, which is a negotiated
Big Bend Station		price the disclosure of which would adversely impact
1-6		Tampa Electric in future negotiations for this component of
United Bulk Terminal Transfer		the overall price, which, in turn, would adversely impact
Facility Polk Station 1		Tampa Electric's customers.
Polk Station		
TECO United Bulk Terminal	I	(15) Disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely
Big Bend Station		affect the ability of Tampa Electric affiliate, Gatliff Coal, to
Big Bend Station		negotiate favorable rail rates. Disclosure of the rail rates
1-6		paid would effectively eliminate any negotiating leverage
United Bulk Terminal Transfer		and could lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the
Facility Polk Station		ultimate detriment of Tampa Electric and its customers.
1		Accordingly, disclosure of this information "would impair
Polk Station		the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or
1		services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla.
		Stat.

Plant Name:	Column	Justification
Line(s)		
TECO United Bulk Terminal	J	This column discloses the value of other rail charges on a
Big Bend Station		\$/Ton basis, which is a negotiated price the disclosure of
1-12		
n. n. 10 1		which would adversely impact Tampa Electric in future
Big Bend Station 1-6		negotiations for this component of the overall price, which,
1-0		negotiations for this component of the overall price, which,
United Bulk		in turn, would adversely impact Tampa Electric's
Terminal Transfer		
Facility Polk Station		customers.
1		
22 192 190 197		
Polk Station		
1		
TECO United	K	(16) These columns contained information the disclosure of
Bulk Terminal		which "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract
Big Bend Station 1-12		which would impair the eriors of Tampa Electric to contract
		for goods or services on favorable terms." Section
Big Bend Station		266 002(2)(d) El- Stat E-d of the enhancement de-
1-6		366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Each of these columns provides
United Bulk		specific information on segmented transportation costs which
Terminal Transfer		
Facility Polk Station		are the primary objects of this request. Additional
1		justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the
Polk Station		rationale for confidentiality for Column G on 423-2 (United
1		Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

Plant Name: Line(s)	Column	Justification
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-12	L	(17) See item (16) above.
Big Bend Station 1-6		
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station		
Polk Station		
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-12	M	(18) See item (16) above.
Big Bend Station 1-6		
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1		
Polk Station		

Plant Name: Line(s)	Column	Justification
TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-12	N	(19) See item (16) above.
Big Bend Station 1-6		
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station 1		
Polk Station 1 TECO United Bulk Terminal Big Bend Station 1-12	O	(20) See item (16) above.
Big Bend Station 1-6		
United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility Polk Station		
Polk Station		

Plant Name:	Column	Justification	
Line(s)			
TECO United	P	(21) See item (16) above.	
<b>Bulk Terminal</b>			
Big Bend Station			
1-12			
Big Bend Station			
1-6			
United Bulk			
Terminal Transfer			
Facility			
Polk Station			
1			
Polk Station			
1			

#### EEFORE THE

	in the second se			
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
3				
4	In the Matter of DOCKET NO. B60001-EI-D			
5	Confidentiality of Fuel Cost			
6	Recovery Data. : AFTERNOON SESSION VOLUME II			
. 7	Pages 113 through 278			
8	RECIEVED  DIVINION OF PEGABOS & REPORTING FPSC Hearing Room			
. 9	OCT 8 1989 Fletcher Building 101 East Gaines Street			
10	. Tallahassee, Florida 32301			
	Florida Public Service Commission . Monday, September 29, 1986			
11				
12	Met pursuant to adjournment at 1:00			
13	BEFORE: CHAIRMAN JOHN R. MARKS, III, Chairman			
14	COMMISSIONER GERALD L. GUNTER COMMISSIONER RATIE NICHOLS			
15	COMMISSIONER MICHAEL McK. WILSON			
16	COMMISSIONER JOHN T. HERNDON			
.17	APPEARANCES:			
18	(As heretofore noted.)			
19				
2 D				
21				
22				
2.5				
23	REPORTED BY: CAROL C. CAUSSEAUX, CSR, RPR JANE FAUROT			
2.4 :	DOCUMENT NO. JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR OFFICIAL COMMISSION REPORTERS			
25	10020-66			
. ,25	10-5-26			
	EXCELLE A SERVICE COMMENTER			

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# BEPORE THE PLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PREPARED TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN R. ROWE, JR.

5 Q. Will you please state your name, address and occupation.

6 7

8

9

1

2

3

4

My name is John R. Rowe, Jr. My business address is 702

North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am

Assistant Vice President of Tampa Electric Company.

10

11 Q. Please describe your educational background and business experience.

13

14 A

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

educated in the public schools of Birmingham, Mt. Alabama: Evansville, Indiana; סמב Lebanon. I was graduated in June, 1962 Pennsulvania. Georgia Institute of Technology with a Bachelor of Science degree in Industrial Management, and from the University of South Florida in March, 1971 with a Master of Business Administration degree. I am a Certified Public Accountant licensed to practice in Florida and a member of the American Institute of CPAs, the Florida Institute of CPAs, and the National Association of Accountants. I joined Tampa Electric Company in July, 1962 and I have served in various capacities in the Personnel, Customer Accounting,

Credit, General Accounting and Budget Departments over the past 23 years. I became Assistant Controller in 1974, Controller in 1981, and I was elected to my present position as Assistant Vice President in April, 1984. responsibilities include coordination accounting and regulatory matters before this Commission (FPSC) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). I have presented testimony before this Commission in other proceedings.

10

1

3

5

6

7

8

What is the purpose of your testimony? 11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The purpose of my testimony is to respond to various areas 13 A. of Commission and company concern regarding the confidentiality of certain fuel cost information supplied I intend to demonstrate why some of to the Commission. the data which is supplied in regular monthly reports on fuel costs to this Commission should be excluded from public disclosure as being "proprietary confidential business information" as defined by Section 366.093, Florida Statutes.

22

Does Tampa Electric object to providing the Commission 23 a report detailing all purchases fuel, with 24 transportation and fuel handling services? 25

Tampa Electric does not object to continuing to No. furnish to the Commission the information it needs to review company expenditures for fuel, transportation and fuel handling services. However, as I will interests is clearly in the best later, it ratepayers and the company for this Commission to continue certain of this information submitted as "Specified Confidential."

What portion of the report should be confidential?

The cost of water transportation of coal which is billed to the regulated company by an affiliated company should be treated as confidential. Tampa Electric formed a water transportation system for the transport of coal in the 1950's which not only provides the necessary services for Tampa Electric but also enjoys additional economies as it provides competitive services to outside customers. This system has saved our electric customers many millions of dollars in transportation costs over the years and these savings are likely to continue in the future so long as the affiliated companies are able to maintain their competitive edge. Through this transportation system Tampa Electric's affiliated companies are able to move coal by river barges from sources in Kentucky, Oklahoma and

1.6.4.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

71

12

73

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Illinois to a terminal on the east bank of the Mississippi River south of New Orleans for the purpose of off-loading, storing and transferring coal to ocean-going barges for transport to Tampa. These services are performed by TECO Transport and Trade companies: Mid-South Towing Company, which handles the coal by river barge; Electro Coal Transfer Corporation, which operates the storage and transfer facility and Gulf Coast Transit Company which provides ocean-going tugs and barges to move coal across the Gulf of Mexico.

Q. Are Tampa Electric affiliates faced with competition?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

79

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, the market for bulk commodity transportation is very competitive. Aside from the coal transportation services performed for Tampa Electric, the TECO Transport and Trade affiliates currently transport ccal and other bulk commodities for other customers as well. The affiliates anticipate that additional markets for coal will develop in Florida for both industrial and electric power generation purposes, and hope to capture a portion of the transportation demand created by those markets. This market is very competitive.

Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates are not engaged solely in the one-way transportation of coal, however.

Mid-South Towing Company has provided, and continues to provide, both upstream and downstream transportation services for other bulk commodities, including grain and phosphate products. Electro-Coal Transfer Corporation is involved in the direct vessel-to-vessel transfer of grain and other bulk commodities in addition to the transfer of coal and coke on diverse routes, including phosphates from Florida to New Orleans, and grain from New Orleans to international markets.

As commercial enterprises, the affiliates face significant competition for each of the other transportation, transfer and storage services that they perform. Operators on the

inland waterways include approximately 2,000 individual. carriers. In size these carriers range from operators of

single towboats to those operating large fleets of vessels and barges. Only a very small percentage of inland

waterway traffic is subject to regulation. Exempt

carriers are not required to publish revenues, operating

data rates or financial information.

With reference to the river transportation of coal and ---- other bulk commodities, Mid-South Towing Company's

principal competitors include, among others: the Ohio

River Company; American Commercial Barge Line Company;

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

70

20

21

23

24

Dravo Mechling Corporation; and The Valley Line Company. Mid-South Towing also faces intermodal competition from the railroads.

Electro-Coal Transfer Corporation competes with others for storage -services. transfer bns performance of Electro-Coal's principal competitors with both shoreside capabilities ground storage transfer and International Marine Terminal; Burnside Terminals, Inc.; and New Orleans Bulk Terminal. A portion of the transfer market is also served by companies whose operations are mid-stream in the Mississippi River. Principal among these is Cooper-Smith Company.

Finally, Gulfcoast Transit Company competes with manv other companies to provide ocean-going tug and barge transportation service. Principal among those competitors Dixie Carriers, Inc.; St. Phillips Towing Company; Sheridan Towing Company; Red Circle Transport Company; and Beker Industries, Inc.

Of cost information expose the disclosure DECOM the 22 Q. affiliates to substantial competitive harm?

The cost of rendering bulk commodity transportation Yes. 25

service over a given distance varies little from one commodity to another. On a per-ton unit basis, it costs a barge company the same amount to transport a ton of grain, for example, as to transport a ton of coal. Rates for bulk commodity transportation service also tend to show little variation from one commodity to the next.

highly competitive environment, In such company's market share is constantly at risk and must be carefully safeguarded. Competitors who are able to discern, either directly or indirectly, a given company's costs or profit margin are in a position to capture a portion of that company's market share by anticipating its and selectively undercutting its prices. future bids Similarly, such knowledge permits the company's customers, who may be paying different prices for similar services, to bargain for more favorable terms from the company and among its competitors.

The primary determinants of a company's profit margin, of course, are its costs and prices. Not surprisingly, given the relative ease with which both costs and prices may be translated from one type of bulk commodity shipment to another, competitors take great pains to conceal their costs and prices from each other. This fact accounts for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

the scarcity of published information concerning the financial workings of the unregulated segment of the industry.

Tampa Electric's competitors and customers are aware that Tampa Electric's water transportation expense represents the affiliates' costs including a return on equity. company's cost represents the limit of its vulnerability in the sense that it cannot long survive in circumstances where costs exceed revenues. Sustained "underpricing" that perceived margin would have a devastating effect upon the affiliates' business, and would make retention of market shares impossible. Competitors would be given access to very valuable information which will enable those firms to price their service to their zovantage.

18 Q. How does the operation of the competitive business affect
the cost of Tampa Electric's affiliated company
transportation expense?

The increased volumes allow for economies of scale that cannot be realized if Tampa Electric were the only customer of the affiliate. Moreover, since fixed costs are allocated between services provided to Tampa Electric

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

and others on a per ton basis, the backhaul and transfer of grain and other bulk commodities reduces the amount of Tampa Electric. the allocated to costs fixed affiliates' backhaul and other outside customer activity is lost or diminished, or if transfer activity is lost due to the disclosure of its competitive position, the cost of Tampa Electric vould coal transportation to affiliates' the hurt which Actions proportionately. competitive position will, therefore, increase the cost of electricity supplied to Tampa Electric's customers. Commission therefore should carefully avoid the disclosure of the affiliates' costs and prices.

13
14 Q. Is Tampa Electric satisfied with the Commission's current reporting requirements?

No. The company believes that the Commission's current requirements for public disclosure run an unnecessary risk of placing Tampa Electric at a disadvantage in its ability to contract for fuel transportation services on the most favorable terms. This disclosure can also affect prices paid by Tampa Electric under existing contracts which depend on or could benefit further from outside business to reduce costs to Tampa Electric. Further, I believe that public disclosure of coal prices paid (without

2. How does this Commission's fuel cost reporting

transportation costs) does not increase competition among suppliers of coal but rather it serves to decrease the advantage of the purchaser in negotiating lower prices. If potential vendors of coal knew from public disclosure the prices being paid or paid in the past, I believe their tendency in bidding or reporting coal prices tend to center around known prices. In this way, the vendors know they can offer a price lower than the price that has been paid and exactly how much lower their price will be. If the present and past prices paid were not publicly available, the vendors would have to bid as low as they could in hopes that they would bid the best price to win the contract since they have no published guide to tell them how low to quote.

During all of this discussion you should keep in mind that Tampa Electric has no objection to continuing its full disclosure of fuel transportation cost information to the Commission on a specified confidential basis. The company is proud of its innovative transportation system and desires to continue to share all relevant transportation cost information with the Commission on a confidential basis.

V476

requirements affect the confidentiality of the proprietary transportation cost information?

3

4

5

6

8

9

2

. 1

The Commission must be very careful in its requirements for public disclosure of various segments of fuel cost proprietary protect the order to in information requirement information. A transportation cost publicly report any one segment of costs could enable competitors and transportation customers to calculate the information sought to be protected.

11

12

13

14

10

Please illustrate how confidential information could be derived if the Commission's reporting requirements are changed?

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 A.

This was fully discussed in Docket No. 830001-PU. On April 24, 1984, the Commission considered Tampa Electric's request for reconsideration of Order No. 12645, entered in the same docket (Generic Fuels: Issues). Tampa Electric sought reconsideration of that portion of Order No. 12645 pertaining to which cost information should be considered confidential. Tampa Electric's specific concern was that disclosure of F.O.B mine mouth and F.O.B plant price for coal, in conjunction with available delivered prices at terminal facilities, would result in the disclosure of

e}dh; -

proprietary confidential business information. The Commission agreed and determined that the F.O.B. mine mouth and plant prices should be reported on a "specified confidential" basis. The same circumstances exist today and the need for confidential treatment is just as critical now as it was in 1983.

7

8

9

0.

A.

ı

2

3

4

5

6

Eow would you recommend the Commission approach its outy of implementing Section 366.093, Florida Statutes?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the case of Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates, the test should be: is there a reasonable probability the information in question will that disclosure of adversely affect the affiliated company and, ultimately, so, then the . Tampa Electric and its Customers? II information should be treated as specified confidential exempt from public disclosure. information which is Section 366.093, Florioz Statutes, does EDDEEL not require a 100% probability of harm. In the case of bids other contractual data, the test is only whether it would "impair" the efforts of the public utility contract for services on favorable terms. If certain information is disclosed and the disclosure only aids but does not guarantee a competitor's ability to compete with Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates, then this

be protected, even though its information ought to disclosure would not <u>cuarantee</u> that the competitor will take business away from the Tampa Electric affiliate. more stringent or absolute a standard for confidentiality would demand more than is required under the statute. Stated differently, if the statute is administered in such a way as to prohibit only the disclosure of a specific cost, but not a myriad of related information bits or "hints" which enable a resourceful competitor to pinpoint or come very close to the specific cost, then the protection afforded by the statute will indeed be hollow. In short, we advocate fully disclosing to the Commission the information it needs to perform its utility oversight function but because of the extreme sensitivity of the information, we advocate disclosing as little as possible to publicly accessible sources. Utility customers are the ultimate beneficiaries of this protection, and we hope the Commission will continue to administer the statute in a manner which maximizes such protection.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

73

74

15

16

.17

18

19

21 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

22

23 A. Yes, it does.

24

(End of Prefiled Direct Testimony)

25

1

#### **Date of Declassification:**

<b>FORM</b>	LINE(S)	<b>COLUMN</b>	<b>DATE</b>
423-2	1 – 12	G,H	02/16/2017
423-2(a)	1 - 12	G,H,J,L	02/16/2017
423-2(b)	1 – 12	G-P	02/16/2017

#### Rationale:

#### Coal and Coal Transportation Data

- 1. Tampa Electric also seeks protection of the coal and coal transportation contract information specified as confidential for a minimum period of two years.
- 2. The need for two or more years of confidentiality is vital not only to Tampa Electric and its ratepayers, but to the vendors of coal and coal transportation services as well.
- 3. Bidders for the sale of coal will always seek to optimize their profit margin. Full knowledge of the prices paid by the utility for coal enables the bidder to increase the price bid and thereby optimize the bid from the viewpoint of the seller and to the detriment of the ratepayer. Tampa Electric firmly believes that the disclosure of information on prices paid within the last two years will increase the price Tampa Electric will be required to pay for coal and will be detrimental to ratepayers.
- 4. Recent bids received by Tampa Electric contained a \$4.17 per ton spread between the bids. The low bid undoubtedly would have been higher with full knowledge of prices paid by Tampa Electric. Bidders will always seek to optimize their profits by submitting bids that are as high as the market will bear. If market data is disclosed which discourages suppliers from bidding competitively, they will increase their bids to the level of past payments to other suppliers by the buyer.
- 5. The disclosure of rail transportation rates will result in demands by <u>other</u> shippers to lower any rates which are above the disclosed rates. The effect of disclosure will be to increase the lower rate as the transportation provided will seek to protect the rates charged on other routes. The delay of this disclosure for two years will be of direct benefit to ratepayers by delaying any increases that might occur as a result of such disclosure.

#### Exhibit "B"

- 6. Gatliff Coal and TECO Transport & Trade sell coal and bulk commodity transportation services in the open non-regulated marketplace. The prices at which their goods and services are sold are not publicly disclosed anywhere by publication or voluntary dissemination because it would materially lessen their competitive posture with customers other than Tampa Electric. Outside customers who negotiate for coal or coal transportation services are placed at a competitive advantage for these goods or services if they know the cost of the goods or services.
- 7. An analyst for an outside customer of Gatliff or TECO Transport who reads the written transcripts of public fuel hearings or reads the written orders of the FPSC can easily discover that until November 1, 1988, Tampa Electric paid cost for coal from Gatliff and for coal transportation from TECO Transport. Further, the publication of the stipulation agreement between the parties in 1988 indicated that the initial benchmark price was close to cost and subsequent testimony indicates the revised contract escalates from cost.
- 8. As long as an outside customer does not know how such an escalation clause changes price, the cost cannot be calculated. However, publicizing the price of coal or coal transportation services will tell an outside customer how much the escalation has been and make it easy for him to calculate cost. Because of seasonality of costs in both businesses, a full year's cost data is necessary for an accurate cost measurement.
- 9. A second year must pass before one full year can be compared with a second year to measure the escalation accurately. So a perceptive vendor seeks two years of data to make his cost estimates. The competitive industries recognize that data beyond two years is not helpful to them, as enough factors may change in that time frame for costs to be much different from what was incurred. Any date less than two full years old is extremely valuable to outside customers in contracting for services with Gatliff or TECO Transport. The difference of small amounts per ton can mean millions of dollars' difference in cost.
- 10. A loss of outside business by Gatliff or TECO Transport will affect not only Gatliff or TECO Transport, but if large enough it could affect the credibility of the companies. The prices negotiated with Tampa Electric by these vendors took into consideration their costs and revenues at the time of negotiation, including the revenues from outside customers. A significant loss of outside business could cause Gatliff or TECO Transport to fail, since under market pricing regulation Tampa Electric will not make up the difference to them in cost. In turn, a failure of these vendors would leave Tampa Electric and its customers with only higher cost alternatives for Blue Gem coal and for coal transportation to Tampa, a higher cost that would be paid by Tampa Electric's ratepayers. So the continued credibility of Gatliff and TECO Transport is important to protect Tampa Electric's ratepayers from higher cost alternatives.