BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| In re: Petition for approval of revised underground residential distribution tariff, by Tampa Electric Company. | DOCKET NO. 150103-EIORDER NO. PSC-15-0273A-TRF-EIISSUED: July 6, 2015 |

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

ART GRAHAM, Chairman

LISA POLAK EDGAR

RONALD A. BRISÉ

JULIE I. BROWN

JIMMY PATRONIS

AMENDED ORDER APPROVING TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY’S REVISED

UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL DISTRIBUTION TARIFF

BY THE COMMISSION:

BACKGROUND

On April 1, 2015, Tampa Electric Company (TECO) filed a petition for approval of its revised underground residential distribution (URD) tariff. These tariffs reflect the additional costs the customer must pay for initial service above the standard overhead service. The tariffs, approved herein, are shown in Attachment A. TECO’s current charges were approved in Order No. PSC-12-0499-TRF-EI (2012 Order).[[1]](#footnote-1) Our staff issued one data request to TECO. We have jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 366.03, 366.04, 366.05, and 366.06, Florida Statutes.

Rule 25-6.078, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), defines investor-owned utilities’ (IOU) responsibilities for filing updated URD tariffs. IOUs are required to file supporting data and analyses for URD tariffs at least once every three years. The URD tariffs provide standard charges for underground service in new residential subdivisions and represent the additional costs the utility incurs to provide underground service in place of standard overhead service. The cost of standard overhead construction is recovered through base rates from all ratepayers. In lieu of overhead construction, customers have the option of requesting underground facilities. Costs for underground construction have historically been higher than for standard overhead construction and the additional cost is paid by the customers as contribution-in-aid-of construction (CIAC). Typically the URD customer is the developer of the subdivision.

DECISION

TECO’s URD charges are based on two standard model subdivisions: (1) a 210-lot low density (LD) subdivision, and (2) a 176-lot high density (HD) subdivision. While actual construction may differ, the model subdivisions are designed to reflect average subdivisions. The design of the HD subdivision is the same as in 2012; however, TECO stated that it made modifications to the LD subdivision design to increase reliability. Specifically, TECO added transformers and adjusted the length of primary cable and service laterals.

Table 1 displays the currently approved and proposed URD differentials for the LD and HD subdivisions. The charges shown are per-lot charges.

**Table 1**

**Comparison of URD Differential Per Lot**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Current Differential Per Lot** | **Proposed Differential Per Lot** |
| 210-Lot Low Density | $440.31 | $373.86[[2]](#footnote-2) |
| 176-Lot High Density | $103.95 | $47.64 |

As shown in the table above, the differentials per-lot have decreased for both subdivisions. The calculation of TECO’s proposed URD charges are based on 1) updated labor and material costs, and 2) calculation of operational costs.

Updated labor and material costs. The installation costs of both underground and overhead facilities include the material and labor costs to provide primary, secondary, and service distribution lines as well as transformers. The costs of poles are specific to overhead service while the costs of trenching and backfilling are specific to underground service. The current URD charges are based on 2012 labor and material costs, and the proposed charges are based on 2015 costs. Table 2 compares the per-lot 2012 and 2015 underground and overhead labor and material costs for the two subdivisions.

**Table 2**

**Labor and Material Costs per Lot\***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2012 Costs** | **2015 Costs** | **Difference** |
| **Low Density** |
| Underground labor/material costs | $2,049 | $2,127 | $78 |
| Overhead labor/material costs  | $1,205 | $1,269 | $64 |
| Per lot differential | $844 | $858 | $14 |
| **High Density** |
| Underground labor/material costs | $1,619 | $1,638 | $19 |
| Overhead labor/material costs | $947 | $979 | $32 |
| Per lot differential | $672 | $659 | -$12 |

\*Numbers are rounded to whole dollars.

As indicated in the table above, the changes in total labor and material cost differentials are minimal for the two model subdivisions. Documentation provided by TECO indicates some labor and material costs such as for secondary lines and transformers increased, while other costs decreased, resulting in a minimal net-effect.

Calculation of operational costs. Rule 25-6.078(4), F.A.C., provides that the differences in Net Present Value (NPV) of operational costs between overhead and underground systems, including average historical storm restoration costs over the life of the facilities, be included in the URD charge. Operational costs include operations and maintenance (O&M) costs and capital costs. The inclusion of the operational costs are intended to capture longer term costs and benefits of undergrounding. TECO used its actual historical O&M and capital expenses for the period 2012 through 2014 to calculate the operational difference for overhead and underground facilities.

Table 3 below compares the 2012 and 2015 NPV calculations of operational cost differentials between overhead and underground systems on a per-lot basis.

**Table 3**

**NPV of Operational Costs Differential per Lot\***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2012 Calculation** | **2015 Calculation** | **Difference** |
| **Low Density** |
| Underground | $988 | $906 | -$82 |
| Overhead  | $1,392 | $1,390 | -$2 |
| Per lot differential | -$404 | -$484 | -$80 |
| **High Density** |
| Underground | $483 | $432 | -$52 |
| Overhead | $1,051 | $1,044 | -$7 |
| Per lot differential | -$568 | -$612 | -$44 |

\*Numbers are rounded to whole dollars.

TECO used the same methodology as approved in Order No. PSC-09-0784-TRF-EI for calculating the NPV of operational costs.[[3]](#footnote-3) TECO’s NPV calculation used a 35-year life of the facilities and a 7.29 percent discount rate. We note that operational costs may vary among IOUs as result of differences in size of service territory, miles of coastline, regions subject to extreme winds, age of the distribution system, or construction standards.

For LD subdivision lots, the proposed labor and material differential is a $14 increase from current costs. The operational cost savings not only offset the $14 increase, but serve to reduce the proposed differential an additional $66 from the current differential. For the HD subdivision lots, the proposed labor and material differential is a $12 decrease from the current differential. The operational cost savings add an additional decrease of $44 from the current differential, for a total decrease of $56 from the current differential.

Having reviewed TECO’s proposed URD charges and associated tariffs, its accompanying work papers, and data request responses, we find that the proposed URD tariffs and associated charges are reasonable and hereby approve them effective June 18, 2015.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Tampa Electric Company’s proposed Underground Residential Distribution tariff contained on Attachment A is hereby approved effective June 18, 2015. It is further

ORDERED that if a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of this order, this tariff shall remain in effect, with any revenues held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket shall be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

 By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 6th day of July, 2015.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Carlotta S. Stauffer |
|  | CARLOTTA S. STAUFFERCommission Clerk |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.
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NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

 The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

 Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

 The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed action files a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on July 27, 2015.

 In the absence of such a petition, this Order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

 Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.
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1. Order No. PSC-12-0499-TRF-EI, issued September 27, 2012, in Docket No. 120073-EI, In re: Petition for approval of revised tariffs for underground residential distribution and contribution-in-aid-construction, by Tampa Electric Company. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. $374 is calculated as follows: $858 (Table 1-2) + ($484) (Table 1-3) = $374. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Order No. PSC-09-0784-TRF-EI, issued November 19, 2009, in Docket No. 090164-EI, In re: Petition for approval of revised tariff sheets for underground residential distribution service, by Tampa Electric Company. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)