

COMMISSIONERS:  
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
JULIE I. BROWN  
JIMMY PATRONIS

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF ECONOMICS  
GREG SHAFER  
DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6410

## Public Service Commission

July 6, 2015

James D. Beasley  
Ausley & McMullen  
P.O. Box 391  
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0391  
Email: [jbeasley@ausley.com](mailto:jbeasley@ausley.com)

**STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST**  
*via email*

**Re: Docket 150147-EG – Petition of Tampa Electric Company for approval of the phased closure of its Residential Load Management Program**

Dear Mr. Beasley:

By this letter, Commission staff requests the following information from Tampa Electric Company (TECO):

1. Please refer to Bates pages 3 and 24 of the Company's response to Staff's First Data Request and to page 5 of the petition. Each page lists a different date by which TECO proposes to complete its phase-out of the Prime Time program (*i.e.*, "end of 2016", July 1, 2016, and July 31, 2016, respectively). Please clarify the date by which TECO proposes to complete its phase-out of the program.
2. Please refer to Bates pages 4, 5, and 25 of the Company's response to Staff's First Data Request. Bates page 4 states that "[t]he table below shows the number of participants that were on Tampa Electric's Prime Time program at the start of each year since 2005...". However, the number of participants shown for each year on Bates page 5 is less than the "average participants" shown for each year on Bates page 25. During a period of generally trending attrition, one would expect beginning-of-year numbers to be greater as opposed to less than the average numbers for the year. Please explain.
3. Please refer to Bates page 12 of the Company's response to Staff's First Data Request. Please explain the reason(s) for the downward trend in interruptions ("Number of Controls in Year") between 2005 and 2014.
4. Please refer to Bates page 20 of the Company's response to Staff's First Data Request. Please show how the "Summer kW Savings" and "Winter kW Savings" amounts were derived for both the Prime Time and Energy Planner programs.

5. Please refer to Bates page 20 of the Company's response to Staff's First Data Request. Please clarify the units in which the "Annual Energy savings" are expressed for both the Prime Time and Energy Planner programs.
6. Please refer to Bates page 24 of the Company's response to Staff's First Data Request and to page 4 of the petition regarding TECO's cessation of the removal of customers from the Prime Time program. Please confirm the actual date on which the "systematic phased closure process" of the Prime Time program was suspended.
7. Please refer to Bates pages 2 and 24 of the Company's response to Staff's First Data Request. Item "d" on page 2 describes the process by which TECO gradually increased the rate at which customers were removed from the Prime Time program to 1,600 customers per month. Page 24 indicates the intent to remove 14,000 customers (2,333/month) between December 31, 2015 and June 30, 2016. Please explain how TECO proposes to accommodate an increase of nearly 50 percent in the customer removal rate without experiencing a reduction in the quality of customer service.
8. Would TECO propose to remove Tariff Sheets 3.110, 3.111, and 3.112 from the Company's approved tariffs if the Commission were to approve this petition?

Please file all responses electronically no later than Friday, July 17, 2015, from the Commission's website at [www.floridapsc.com](http://www.floridapsc.com), by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6495 if you have any questions.

Thank you,

*/s/ Don Rome*

Don Rome  
Public Utility Analyst  
[drome@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:drome@psc.state.fl.us)

CDR

cc: Office of Commission Clerk