#### FILED JUL 21, 2015 DOCUMENT NO. 04530-15 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Item 7

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### VOTE SHEET

July 21, 2015

**Docket No. 150085-EG** – Petition for approval of Florida Power & Light Company's demand-side management plan and request to cancel closed on call tariff sheets.

<u>Issue 1:</u> Is FPL's DSM Plan projected to meet the annual numeric conservation goals established by the Commission in Order No. PSC-14-0696-FOF-EU?

<u>Recommendation:</u> Yes. FPL's DSM Plan is cost-effective based upon the Rate Impact Measure (RIM) test and results in a net decrease in ratepayers' monthly rates. FPL's DSM Plan is projected to meet or exceed the annual numeric conservation goals set by the Commission in Order No. PSC-14-0696-FOF-EU.

The Commission should approve the programs contained in FPL's DSM Plan. In addition, the Commission should allow FPL to file for cost recovery of the programs in the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery (ECCR) clause proceeding. FPL, however, must still demonstrate that its expenditures to implement these programs are reasonable and prudent in order to recover the expenditures through the ECCR clause. Staff recommends that FPL may not discontinue its DSM programs or change its programs' rebate levels without seeking formal Commission approval.

Finally, staff recommends that FPL file its administrative program standards for all programs within 30 days of the Consummating Order in this docket and that the Commission grant staff administrative authority to review and approve these standards.

### APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED:

**REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:** 

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES	
MAJORITY MA	DISSENTING
Jahren Commission of the Commi	
Li En	

All Commissioners

Vote Sheet July 21, 2015

Item 7

**Docket No. 150085-EG** – Petition for approval of Florida Power & Light Company's demand-side management plan and request to cancel closed on call tariff sheets.

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 2:** Should the Commission approve FPL's request to cancel its closed Residential On Call Tariff Sheets? **Recommendation:** Yes. FPL expects to save approximately \$8.3 million in annual costs due to lower bill credits being provided to certain participants in the closed Residential On Call tariff that would be shifted to the similar Residential Load Control tariff. FPL also expects administrative savings from reduced system and reporting requirements associated with the closed tariff. These combined savings will ultimately result in a reduction of costs recovered through the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery clause. Staff further recommends that the Commission grant permission to administratively approve the notifications that FPL will submit to its customers affected by the change in tariffs.

# **APPROVED**

**Issue 3:** Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation:** No. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action on Issue 1 files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the PAA Order, a Consummating Order should be issued. If the Commission approves any programs, the programs should become effective on the date of the Consummating Order. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the PAA Order, the programs should not be implemented until after the resolution of the protest.

If Issue 2 is approved, the tariff should be cancelled effective as of the date of the Commission's vote. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the PAA Order, the tariff should remain in effect subject to refund pending resolution of the protest.

However, if there are no protests for Issues 1 and 2 the docket should remain open for staff's verification that the program standards have been filed by the utility and approved by staff. When the PAA issues become final and the program standards have been approved, this docket should be closed administratively.

## **APPROVED**