

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery
clause with generating performance incentive
factor. | DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
DATED: OCTOBER 30, 2015

NOTICE OF STIPULATIONS

The following stipulations have been entered into between the parties in this docket subject to Commission approval:

- ISSUE 2A: Yes, the Commission should approve as prudent DEF's actions to mitigate the volatility of natural gas, residual oil, fuel oil, and purchased power prices, as reported in DEF's April 2015 and August 2015 hedging reports.
- ISSUE 2C: No adjustments are needed to account for replacement costs associated with the July 2014 forced outage at the Hines plant.
- ISSUE 3A: Yes, the Commission should approve as prudent FPL's actions to mitigate the volatility of natural gas, residual oil, fuel oil, and purchased power prices, as reported in FPL's April 2015 and August 2015 hedging reports.
- ISSUE 3C: The total gain in 2014 under the Incentive Mechanism approved in Order No. PSC-13-0023-S-EI, was \$67,626,867. This amount should be shared between FPL and its customers, with FPL retaining \$12,976,102.
- ISSUE 3D: The appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under the Incentive Mechanism that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2014 through December 2014 is \$460,428.
- ISSUE 3E: The appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under the Incentive Mechanism that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for variable power plant O&M costs incurred to generate output for wholesale sales in excess of 514,000 megawatt-hours for the period January 2014 through December 2014 is \$2,259,986.
- ISSUE 3F: The appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under the Incentive Mechanism that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2015 through December 2015 is \$441,826.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED STIPULATIONS
DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
PAGE 2

- ISSUE 3G: The appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under the Incentive Mechanism that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for variable power plant O&M costs incurred to generate output for wholesale sales in excess of 514,000 megawatt-hours for the period January 2015 through December 2015 is \$2,759,649.
- ISSUE 3H: The appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under the Incentive Mechanism that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2016 through December 2016 is \$473,512
- ISSUE 3I: The appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under the Incentive Mechanism that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for variable power plant O&M costs incurred to generate output for wholesale sales in excess of 514,000 megawatt-hours for the period January 2016 through December 2016 is \$1,498,826.
- ISSUE 3J: This issue has been deferred until 2016 to allow FPL to continue negotiations for potential reimbursement of St. Lucie 2 replacement power costs associated with the extended refueling outage in 2014.
- ISSUE 3N: Yes.
- ISSUE 3O: This issue has been dropped with the understanding that any party may raise it again in the 2016 proceeding.
- ISSUE 3P: Yes. FPL has properly reflected in the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause the effects of acquiring the Cedar Bay facility and terminating the existing Cedar Bay power purchase agreement consistent with the terms of the settlement agreement between FPL and OPC approved in Docket No. 150075-EI.
- ISSUE 5A: Yes, the Commission should approve as prudent Gulf's actions to mitigate the volatility of natural gas, residual oil, fuel oil, and purchased power prices, as reported in Gulf's April 2015 and August 2015 hedging reports.
- ISSUE 6A: Yes, the Commission should approve as prudent TECO's actions to mitigate the volatility of natural gas, residual oil, fuel oil, and purchased power prices, as reported in TECO's April 2015 and August 2015 hedging reports.
- ISSUE 6C: The appropriate amount of capital costs for the Big Bend fuel conversion project that TECO should be allowed to recover through the Fuel Clause for the period January 2015 through December 2015 is \$3,744,426.

- ISSUE 6D: The appropriate amount of capital costs for the Big Bend fuel conversion project that TECO should be allowed to recover through the Fuel Clause for the period January 2016 through December 2016 is \$4,894,041.
- ISSUE 6E: No adjustments are needed to account for replacement costs associated with the June 2015 forced outage at Big Bend Unit 2.
- ISSUE 6F: Yes, the cost of the natural gas burned during the testing of natural gas as a co-fired fuel at Big Bend Station is appropriate for recovery.
- ISSUE 7: The appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2015 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive are as follows:
- | | |
|-------|----------------|
| Duke: | \$1,739,843 |
| Gulf: | \$ 677,983 |
| TECO: | \$1,479,981 |
| FPL: | Not applicable |
- ISSUE 8: The appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2016 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive are as follows:
- | | |
|-------|----------------|
| Duke: | \$2,704,668 |
| Gulf: | \$ 752,900 |
| TECO: | \$1,532,270 |
| FPL: | Not applicable |
- ISSUE 9: The appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2014 through December 2014 are as follows:
- | | |
|-------|---|
| FPL: | \$10,088,837 (over-recovery) refunded as part of mid-course correction approved by Order No. 15-0161-PCO-EI |
| Duke: | \$11,604,966 (over-recovery) |
| Gulf: | \$ 8,084,753 (over-recovery) |
| TECO: | \$ 2,919,025 (under-recovery) |
- ISSUE 10: The appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period of January 2015 through December 2015 are as follows:
- | | |
|-------|-----------------------------|
| FPL: | \$66,818,243 under-recovery |
| Duke: | \$67,126,064 over-recovery |
| Gulf: | \$11,285,334 over-recovery |
| TECO: | \$30,509,575 over-recovery |

- ISSUE 11: The appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2016 through December 2016 are as follows:
- | | |
|-------|---|
| FPL: | \$66,818,243 to be collected (under-recovery) |
| Duke: | \$78,731,032 to be refunded (over recovery) |
| Gulf: | \$19,370,087 to be refunded (over-recovery) |
| TECO: | \$27,590,550 to be refunded (over-recovery) |
- ISSUE 12: The appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2016 through December 2016 are as follows:
- | | |
|-------|---|
| FPL: | \$3,023,588,111, which excludes prior period true up amounts, revenue taxes, the GPIF reward or penalty, or FPL's portion of the gains from its Incentive Mechanism. |
| Duke: | \$1,480,800,063 |
| Gulf: | \$400,060,296, including prior period true up amounts and revenue taxes |
| TECO: | \$668,014,513, which is adjusted by the jurisdictional separation factor, excluding the GPIF reward or penalty, and the revenue tax factor, but including the prior period true up amounts. |
- ISSUE 14A: Yes. FPL has properly reflected in its 2016 GPIF targets/ranges the effects of acquiring the Cedar Bay facility and terminating the existing Cedar Bay power purchase agreement consistent with the terms of the settlement agreement between FPL and OPC approved in Docket No. 150075-EI.
- ISSUE 17: The appropriate generation performance incentive factor (GPIF) reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2014 through December 2014 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF is as follows:
- | | |
|-------|---------------------|
| FPL: | \$23,303,114 reward |
| DEF: | \$8,613,797 penalty |
| Gulf: | \$2,648,312 reward |
| TECO: | \$1,258,600 reward |

ISSUE 18: The appropriate GPIF targets/ranges for the period January 2016 through December 2016 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF are shown in Tables 18-1 through 18-4 below:

| GPIF Targets / Ranges for the period January 2016 through December 2016 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Company | Plant/Unit | EAF | | | ANOHR | | |
| | | Target | Maximum | | Target | Maximum | |
| | | EAF (%) | EAF (%) | Savings (\$000's) | ANOHR BTU/KWH | ANOHR BTU/KWH | Savings (\$000's) |
| FPL | Ft. Myers 2 | 90.3 | 92.8 | 2,696 | 7,344 | 7,190 | 6,035 |
| | Martin 8 | 82.3 | 84.3 | 1,681 | 7,017 | 6,927 | 2,261 |
| | Manatee 3 | 92.6 | 95.1 | 2,127 | 7,011 | 6,873 | 3,765 |
| | St. Lucie 1 | 85.1 | 88.1 | 6,754 | 10,471 | 10,391 | 406 |
| | St. Lucie 2 | 92.5 | 95.5 | 6,470 | 10,270 | 10,175 | 439 |
| | Turkey Point 3 | 90.8 | 94.3 | 7,125 | 11,102 | 10,838 | 1,272 |
| | Turkey Point 4 | 84.6 | 87.6 | 5,710 | 11,082 | 10,872 | 861 |
| | Turkey Point 5 | 93.5 | 95.5 | 1,638 | 7,132 | 7,047 | 2,207 |
| | West County 1 | 90.8 | 93.3 | 2,759 | 6,967 | 6,772 | 5,750 |
| | West County 2 | 90.1 | 92.6 | 3,106 | 6,891 | 6,671 | 6,027 |
| | West County 3 | 91.7 | 94.2 | 2,777 | 6,851 | 6,673 | 5,883 |
| | Total | | | 42,843 | | | 34,906 |

Table 18-1

| GPIF Targets / Ranges for the period January 2016 through December 2016 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Company | Plant/Unit | EAF | | | ANOHR | | |
| | | Target | Maximum | | Target | Maximum | |
| | | EAF (%) | EAF (%) | Savings (\$000's) | ANOHR BTU/KWH | ANOHR BTU/KWH | Savings (\$000's) |
| DEF | Bartow 4 | 88.6 | 91.0 | 1,471 | 7,427 | 6,984 | 13,149 |
| | Crystal River 4 | 83.2 | 87.4 | 934 | 10,465 | 10,053 | 5,227 |
| | Crystal River 5 | 94.6 | 97.1 | 1,031 | 10,345 | 9,851 | 7,392 |
| | Hines 1 | 92.4 | 93.2 | 413 | 7,319 | 6,855 | 6,758 |
| | Hines 2 | 57.6 | 69.4 | 5,403 | 7,343 | 6,931 | 2,987 |
| | Hines 3 | 82.9 | 84.5 | 1,028 | 7,227 | 6,745 | 6,298 |
| | Hines 4 | 85.0 | 85.5 | 250 | 6,983 | 6,634 | 4,880 |
| | Total | | | 10,530 | | | 46,692 |

Table 18-2

| GPIF Targets / Ranges for the period January 2016 through December 2016 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Company | Plant/Unit | EAF | | | ANOHR | | |
| | | Target | Maximum | | Target | Maximum | |
| | | EAF (%) | EAF (%) | Savings (\$000's) | ANOHR BTU/KWH | ANOHR BTU/KWH | Savings (\$000's) |
| GULF | Crist 6 | 95.7 | 97.0 | 25 | 10,760 | 10,437 | 838 |
| | Crist 7 | 82.3 | 83.4 | 51 | 10,449 | 10,136 | 1,809 |
| | Daniel 1 | 92.9 | 95.0 | 10 | 10,698 | 10,377 | 455 |
| | Daniel 2 | 95.2 | 96.2 | 13 | 10,605 | 10,287 | 529 |
| | Smith 3 | 83.2 | 84.1 | 12 | 6,874 | 6,668 | 2,312 |
| | | Total | | | 111 | | |

Table 18-3

| GPIF Targets / Ranges for the period January 2016 through December 2016 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Company | Plant/Unit | EAF | | | ANOHR | | |
| | | Target | Maximum | | Target | Maximum | |
| | | EAF (%) | EAF (%) | Savings (\$000's) | ANOHR BTU/KWH | ANOHR BTU/KWH | Savings (\$000's) |
| TECO | Big Bend 1 | 78.7 | 82.0 | 383 | 10,683 | 10,473 | 1,399 |
| | Big Bend 2 | 68.7 | 72.3 | 894 | 10,460 | 10,025 | 2,528 |
| | Big Bend 3 | 76.6 | 79.5 | 649 | 10,654 | 10,441 | 1,337 |
| | Big Bend 4 | 76.9 | 80.6 | 673 | 10,458 | 10,075 | 2,660 |
| | Polk 1 | 81.5 | 83.7 | 154 | 10,191 | 9,837 | 1,320 |
| | Bayside 1 | 76.1 | 78.2 | 836 | 7,232 | 6,967 | 2,912 |
| | Bayside 2 | 83.1 | 84.9 | 1,711 | 7,484 | 7,267 | 2,816 |
| | Total | | | | 5,299 | | |

Table 18-4

- ISSUE 19: The appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2016 through December 2016 are as follows:
- FPL: \$3,128,284,160, which includes prior period true up amounts, revenue taxes, the GPIF reward or penalty, or FPL's portion of the gains from its Incentive Mechanism.
 - Duke: \$1,394,464,724
 - Gulf: \$402,708,608, including prior period true up amounts and revenue taxes.
 - TECO: \$715,605,063, which is adjusted by the jurisdictional separation factor. The amount is \$689,768,483, when the GPIF reward or penalty, the revenue tax factor, and the prior period true up amounts are applied.
- ISSUE 20: The appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2016 through December 2016 is 1.00072.

ISSUE 21: The appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2016 through December 2016 are as follows:

FPL: FPL proposes that the fuel factors be reduced as of the in-service date of Port Everglades Energy Center (PEEC) to reflect the projected jurisdictional fuel savings for PEEC. FPL is proposing the following separation factors for January 2016 through May 2016 and for June 2016 through December 2016:

- a) 2.898 cents/kWh for January 2016 through the day prior to the PEEC in-service date (projected to be May 31, 2016);
- b) 2.837 cents/kWh from the PEEC in-service date (projected to be June 1, 2016) through December 2016.

Duke: 3.677 cents per kWh (adjusted for jurisdictional losses)

Gulf: 3.650 cents/kWh

TECO: The appropriate factor is 3.671 cents per kWh before any application of time of use multipliers for on-peak or off-peak usage.

ISSUE 22: The appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class are shown below:

FPL: The appropriate fuel cost recovery line loss multipliers are provided in the chart below

NOTICE OF PROPOSED STIPULATIONS
DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
PAGE 9

| GROUPS | RATE SCHEDULE | JANUARY - DECEMBER |
|--------|--|----------------------------------|
| | | Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier |
| A | RS-1 first 1,000 kWh | 1.00313 |
| A | RS-1 all additional kWh | 1.00313 |
| A | GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1 | 1.00313 |
| A-1 | SL-1, OL-1, PL-1 ⁽¹⁾ | 1.00313 |
| B | GSD-1 | 1.00305 |
| C | GSLD-1, CS-1 | 1.00205 |
| D | GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET | 0.99278 |
| E | GSLD-3, CS-3 | 0.96536 |
| A | GST-1 On-Peak | 1.00313 |
| | GST-1 Off-Peak | 1.00313 |
| A | RTR-1 On-Peak | - |
| | RTR-1 Off-Peak | - |
| B | GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) On-Peak | 1.00305 |
| | GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) Off-Peak | 1.00305 |
| C | GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) On-Peak | 1.00205 |
| | GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) Off-Peak | 1.00205 |
| D | GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) On-Peak | 0.99349 |
| | GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) Off-Peak | 0.99349 |
| E | GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) On-Peak | 0.96536 |
| | GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) Off-Peak | 0.96536 |
| F | CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak | 0.99234 |
| | CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak | 0.99234 |

NOTICE OF PROPOSED STIPULATIONS
DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
PAGE 10

| GROUPS | RATE SCHEDULE | JUNE - SEPTEMBER |
|--------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier |
| B | GSD(T)-1 On-Peak | 1.00305 |
| | GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak | 1.00305 |
| C | GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak | 1.00205 |
| | GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak | 1.00205 |
| D | GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak | 0.99349 |
| | GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak | 0.99349 |

DEF: See Table 22-1 below:

| Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Group | Delivery Voltage Level | Line Loss Multiplier |
| A | Transmission | 0.9800 |
| B | Distribution Primary | 0.9900 |
| C | Distribution Secondary | 1.0000 |
| D | Lighting Service | 1.0000 |

Table 22-1

FPUC: The appropriate line loss multiplier is 1.0000.

Gulf: See Table 22-2 below:

| Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Group | Rate Schedules | Line Loss Multipliers |
| A | RS, RSVP, RSTOU, GS,GSD, GSDDT, GSTOU, OSIII, SBS(1) | 1.00773 |
| B | LP, LPT, SBS(2) | 0.98353 |
| C | PX, PXT, RTP, SBS(3) | 0.96591 |
| D | OSI/II | 1.00777 |

(1) Includes SBS customers with a contract demand in the range of 100 to 499 kW
(2) Includes SBS customers with a contract demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 kW
(3) Includes SBS customers with a contract demand over 7,499 kW

Table 22-2

TECO: See Table 22-3 below:

| Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Metering Voltage Schedule | Line Loss Multiplier |
| Distribution Secondary | 1.0000 |
| Distribution Primary | 0.9900 |
| Transmission | 0.9800 |
| Lighting Service | 1.0000 |

Table 22-3

ISSUE 23: The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses is shown in Tables 23-1 through 23-9:

NOTICE OF PROPOSED STIPULATIONS
DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
PAGE 12

FPL: See Tables 23-1 through 23-4 below (which also include the fuel recovery loss multiplier listed in Issue 22)

| GROUPS | RATE SCHEDULE | JANUARY 2016 - MAY 2016 | | |
|--------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Average Factor | Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier | Fuel Recovery Factor |
| A | RS-1 first 1,000 kWh | 2.898 | 1.00313 | 2.580 |
| A | RS-1 all additional kWh | 2.898 | 1.00313 | 3.580 |
| A | GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1 | 2.898 | 1.00313 | 2.907 |
| A-1 | SL-1, OL-1, PL-1 ⁽¹⁾ | 2.679 | 1.00313 | 2.687 |
| B | GSD-1 | 2.898 | 1.00305 | 2.907 |
| C | GSLD-1, CS-1 | 2.898 | 1.00205 | 2.904 |
| D | GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET | 2.898 | 0.99278 | 2.877 |
| E | GSLD-3, CS-3 | 2.898 | 0.96536 | 2.798 |
| A | GST-1 On-Peak | 4.037 | 1.00313 | 4.050 |
| | GST-1 Off-Peak | 2.420 | 1.00313 | 2.428 |
| A | RTR-1 On-Peak | - | - | 1.143 |
| | RTR-1 Off-Peak | - | - | (0.479) |
| B | GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) On-Peak | 4.037 | 1.00305 | 4.049 |
| | GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) Off-Peak | 2.420 | 1.00305 | 2.427 |
| C | GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) On-Peak | 4.037 | 1.00205 | 4.045 |
| | GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) Off-Peak | 2.420 | 1.00205 | 2.425 |
| D | GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) On-Peak | 4.037 | 0.99349 | 4.011 |
| | GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) Off-Peak | 2.420 | 0.99349 | 2.404 |
| E | GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) On-Peak | 4.037 | 0.96536 | 3.897 |
| | GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) Off-Peak | 2.420 | 0.96536 | 2.336 |
| F | CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak | 4.037 | 0.99234 | 4.006 |
| | CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak | 2.420 | 0.99234 | 2.401 |

⁽¹⁾ WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

Table 23-1

NOTICE OF PROPOSED STIPULATIONS
DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
PAGE 13

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2016 THROUGH MAY 2016

OFF PEAK: ALL OTHER HOURS

| GROUPS | RATE SCHEDULE | JUNE - SEPTEMBER | | |
|--------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Average Factor | Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier | Fuel Recovery Factor |
| B | GSD(T)-1 On-Peak | 5.434 | 1.00305 | 5.451 |
| | GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak | 2.568 | 1.00305 | 2.576 |
| C | GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak | 5.434 | 1.00205 | 5.445 |
| | GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak | 2.568 | 1.00205 | 2.573 |
| D | GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak | 5.434 | 0.99349 | 5.399 |
| | GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak | 2.568 | 0.99349 | 2.551 |

Table 23-2

NOTICE OF PROPOSED STIPULATIONS
DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
PAGE 14

| GROUPS | RATE SCHEDULE | JUNE 2016 - DECEMBER 2016 | | |
|--------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Average Factor | Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier | Fuel Recovery Factor |
| A | RS-1 first 1,000 kWh | 2.837 | 1.00313 | 2.519 |
| A | RS-1 all additional kWh | 2.837 | 1.00313 | 3.519 |
| A | GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1 | 2.837 | 1.00313 | 2.846 |
| A-1 | SL-1, OL-1, FL-1 ⁽¹⁾ | 2.622 | 1.00313 | 2.630 |
| B | GSD-1 | 2.837 | 1.00305 | 2.846 |
| C | GSLD-1, CS-1 | 2.837 | 1.00205 | 2.843 |
| D | GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET | 2.837 | 0.99278 | 2.817 |
| E | GSLD-3, CS-3 | 2.837 | 0.96536 | 2.739 |
| A | GST-1 On-Peak | 3.952 | 1.00313 | 3.964 |
| | GST-1 Off-Peak | 2.369 | 1.00313 | 2.376 |
| A | RTR-1 On-Peak | - | - | 1.118 |
| | RTR-1 Off-Peak | - | - | (0.470) |
| B | GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) On-Peak | 3.952 | 1.00305 | 3.964 |
| | GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) Off-Peak | 2.369 | 1.00305 | 2.376 |
| C | GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) On-Peak | 3.952 | 1.00205 | 3.960 |
| | GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) Off-Peak | 2.369 | 1.00205 | 2.374 |
| D | GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) On-Peak | 3.952 | 0.99349 | 3.926 |
| | GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) Off-Peak | 2.369 | 0.99349 | 2.354 |
| E | GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) On-Peak | 3.952 | 0.96536 | 3.815 |
| | GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) Off-Peak | 2.369 | 0.96536 | 2.287 |
| F | CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak | 3.952 | 0.99234 | 3.922 |
| | CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak | 2.369 | 0.99234 | 2.351 |

⁽¹⁾ WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

Table 23-3

| GROUPS | RATE SCHEDULE | JUNE 2016 - SEPTEMBER 2016 | | |
|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Average Factor | Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier | Fuel Recovery Factor |
| B | GSD(T)-1 On-Peak | 5.319 | 1.00305 | 5.335 |
| | GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak | 2.514 | 1.00305 | 2.522 |
| C | GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak | 5.319 | 1.00205 | 5.330 |
| | GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak | 2.514 | 1.00205 | 2.519 |
| D | GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak | 5.319 | 0.99349 | 5.284 |
| | GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak | 2.514 | 0.99349 | 2.498 |

Table 23-4

DEF: See Tables 23-5 through 23-7 below:

| Fuel Cost Factors (cents/kWh) GSD-1, GSDD-1, SS-1, CS-1, CST-1, CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3, SS-3, IS-1, IST-1, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2, LS-1 | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | | | Time of Use | |
| Group | Delivery Voltage Level | First Tier Factor | Second Tier Factors | Levelized Factors | On-Peak | Off-Peak |
| A | Transmission | -- | -- | 3.608 | 4.860 | 3.034 |
| B | Distribution Primary | -- | -- | 3.645 | 4.910 | 3.065 |
| C | Distribution Secondary | -- | -- | 3.682 | 4.960 | 3.097 |
| D | Lighting Secondary | -- | -- | 3.445 | -- | -- |

Table 23-5

| Fuel Cost Factors (cents/kWh) RS-1, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | | | Time of Use | |
| Group | Delivery Voltage Level | First Tier Factor | Second Tier Factors | Levelized Factors | On-Peak | Off-Peak |
| C | Distribution Secondary | 3.353 | 4.353 | 3.634 | 4.895 | 3.056 |

Table 23-6

| Fuel Cost Factors (cents/kWh) GS-1, GST-1, GS-2 | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | | | Time of Use | |
| Group | Delivery Voltage Level | First Tier Factor | Second Tier Factors | Levelized Factors | On-Peak | Off-Peak |
| A | Transmission | -- | -- | 3.574 | 4.814 | 3.006 |
| B | Distribution Primary | -- | -- | 3.611 | 4.864 | 3.037 |
| C | Distribution Secondary | -- | -- | 3.647 | 4.913 | 3.067 |

Table 23-7

Gulf: See Table 23-8 below:

| Group | Rate Schedules* | Line Loss Multipliers | Fuel Cost Factors ¢/KWH | | |
|-------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | Standard | Time of Use | |
| | | | | On-Peak | Off-Peak |
| A | RS, RSVP, RSTOU, GS,GSD, GSDT, GSTOU, OSIII, SBS(1) | 1.00773 | 3.678 | 4.494 | 3.342 |
| B | LP, LPT, SBS(2) | 0.98353 | 3.590 | 4.387 | 3.261 |
| C | PX, PXT, RTP, SBS(3) | 0.96591 | 3.526 | 4.308 | 3.203 |
| D | OSI/II | 1.00777 | 3.631 | N/A | N/A |

*The recovery factor applicable to customers taking service under Rate Schedule SBS is determined as follows: (1) customers with a contract demand in the range of 100 to 499 kW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule GSD; (2) customers with a contract demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 kW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule LP; and (3) customers with a contract demand over 7,499 kW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule PX.

Table 23-8

TECO: See Table 23-9 below:

| <u>Metering Voltage Level</u> | <u>Fuel Charge Factor (cents per kWh)</u> | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------|
| Secondary | 3.676 | |
| RS Tier I (Up to 1,000 kWh) | 3.361 | |
| RS Tier II (Over 1,000 kWh) | 4.361 | |
| Distribution Primary | 3.639 | |
| Transmission | 3.602 | |
| Lighting Service | 3.627 | |
| Distribution Secondary | 3.937 | (on-peak) |
| | 3.564 | (off-peak) |
| Distribution Primary | 3.898 | (on-peak) |
| | 3.528 | (off-peak) |
| Transmission | 3.858 | (on-peak) |
| | 3.493 | (off-peak) |

Table 23-9

- ISSUE 24A: Yes. For the Crystal River 3 Uprate project, the amount to be included is \$56,510,403, which was approved by the Commission in a bench vote at Hearing on August 18, 2015. At Hearing, on August 18, 2015, the FPSC approved DEF's stipulation with the parties to leave the Levy portion of the NCRC charge at \$0 for 2016 and 2017.
- ISSUE 25A: Yes. As approved by the Commission at its October 19, 2015 Special Agenda Conference, FPL has included \$34,249,614.
- ISSUE 25B: The appropriate 2016 projected non-fuel revenue requirements for West County Energy Center Unit 3 (WCEC-3) to be recovered through the Capacity Clause is \$145,515,209.
- ISSUE 25C: Yes. FPL has properly reflected in the capacity cost recovery clause the effects of acquiring the Cedar Bay facility and terminating the existing Cedar Bay power purchase agreement consistent with the terms of the settlement agreement between FPL and OPC approved in Docket No. 150075-EI.
- ISSUE 28: The appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2014 through December 2014 are as follows:
Duke: \$13,962,445 under-recovery.
Gulf: \$893,047 under-recovery.
FPL: \$2,951,171 under-recovery.
TECO: \$140,386, over-recovery.
- ISSUE 29: The appropriate final capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2015 through December 2015 are as follows:
Duke: \$24,680,810 under-recovery
Gulf: \$910,906 over-recovery
FPL: \$7,699,316 over-recovery
TECO: \$2,063,383 over-recovery
- ISSUE 30: The appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2016 through December 2016 are as follows:
Duke: \$38,643,256, to be collected (under-recovery).
Gulf: \$17,859, to be refunded (over-recovery).
FPL: \$4,748,145, to be refunded (over-recovery).
TECO: \$2,203,769, to be refunded (over-recovery).

- ISSUE 31: The appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2016 through December 2016 are as follows:
FPL: Jurisdictionalized, \$321,148,426 for the period January 2016 through December 2016, excluding prior period true-ups, revenue taxes, nuclear cost recovery amount, and WCEC-3 jurisdictional non-fuel revenue requirements.
Duke: \$358,842,970.
Gulf: \$85,495,331.
TECO: \$30,473,670.
- ISSUE 32: The appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2016 through December 2016 are as follows:
FPL: The projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be recovered over the period January 2016 through December 2016 is \$496,417,572, including prior period true-ups, revenue taxes, the nuclear cost recovery amount and WCEC-3 revenue requirements.
Duke: The appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount, excluding nuclear cost recovery, is \$397,772,416. The appropriate nuclear cost recovery amount is that which is approved in Issue 24A.
Gulf: \$85,539,016 including prior period true-up amounts and revenue taxes.
TECO: The total recoverable capacity cost recovery amount to be collected, including the true-up amount and adjusted for the revenue tax factor, is \$28,290,255.
- ISSUE 33: The appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2016 through December 2016 are as follows:
FPL: The appropriate jurisdictional separation factors are:
 FPSC 94.67506%
 FERC 5.32494%
Duke: Base – 92.885%, Intermediate – 72.703%, Peaking – 95.924%, consistent with the Revised and Restated Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-13-0598-FOF-EI.
Gulf: 97.07146%.
TECO: The appropriate jurisdictional separation factor is 1.0000000.
- ISSUE 34: The appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2016 through December 2016 are shown in Tables 34-1 through 34-4 below:

FPL: See Table 34-1 on the next page.

| ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2016 THROUGH DECEMBER 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|--|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| RATE SCHEDULE | Jan 2016 - Dec 2016 Capacity Recovery Factor | | | | 2016 WCC-3 Capacity Recovery Factor | | | | Total Jan 2016 - Dec 2016 Capacity Recovery Factor | | | |
| | (\$/kW) | (\$/kw/h) | RDC (\$/kW) ⁽¹⁾ | SDD (\$/kW) ⁽²⁾ | (\$/kW) | (\$/kw/h) | RDC (\$/kW) | SDD (\$/kW) | (\$/kW) | (\$/kw/h) | RDC (\$/kW) ⁽¹⁾ | SDD (\$/kW) ⁽²⁾ |
| RS/RTT1 | - | 0.00348 | - | - | - | 0.00140 | - | - | - | 0.00488 | - | - |
| GS/CS1 | - | 0.00326 | - | - | - | 0.00140 | - | - | - | 0.00466 | - | - |
| GSD/SSDT/HLFT1 | 1.09 | - | - | - | 0.46 | - | - | - | - | 1.55 | - | - |
| OS2 | - | 0.00240 | - | - | - | 0.00126 | - | - | - | 0.00366 | - | - |
| GSLD/GSLDT/CS/CS1/HLFT2 | 1.22 | - | - | - | 0.56 | - | - | - | - | 1.78 | - | - |
| GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CS12/HLFT3 | 1.19 | - | - | - | 0.51 | - | - | - | - | 1.70 | - | - |
| GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CS13 | 1.22 | - | - | - | 0.66 | - | - | - | - | 1.88 | - | - |
| SST1 | - | - | \$0.15 | \$0.07 | - | - | \$0.06 | \$0.03 | - | - | \$0.21 | \$0.10 |
| SST1D/SS1D2/SS1D3 | - | - | \$0.15 | \$0.07 | - | - | \$0.06 | \$0.03 | - | - | \$0.22 | \$0.10 |
| QLCD/QLCG | 1.35 | - | - | - | 0.63 | - | - | - | - | 1.98 | - | - |
| QLCT | 1.28 | - | - | - | 0.55 | - | - | - | - | 1.83 | - | - |
| ME | 1.38 | - | - | - | 0.66 | - | - | - | - | 2.04 | - | - |
| OL1/SL1/R1 | - | 0.00059 | - | - | - | 0.00036 | - | - | - | 0.00095 | - | - |
| SL2/GSCU1 | - | 0.00225 | - | - | - | 0.00064 | - | - | - | 0.00289 | - | - |

Duke: See Table 34-2 below.

| Rate Class | Capacity Cost Recovery Factor | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Cents / kWh | Dollars / kW-month |
| Residential | 1.418 | |
| General Service Non-Demand | 1.100 | |
| At Primary Voltage | 1.089 | |
| At Transmission Voltage | 1.078 | |
| General Service 100% Load Factor | 0.779 | |
| General Service Demand | | 3.94 |
| At Primary Voltage | | 3.90 |
| At Transmission Voltage | | 3.86 |
| Curtable | | 2.32 |
| At Primary Voltage | | 2.30 |
| At Transmission Voltage | | 2.27 |
| Interruptible | | 3.14 |
| At Primary Voltage | | 3.11 |
| At Transmission Voltage | | 3.08 |
| Standby Monthly | | 0.383 |
| At Primary Voltage | | 0.379 |
| At Transmission Voltage | | 0.375 |
| Standby Daily | | 0.182 |
| At Primary Voltage | | 0.180 |
| At Transmission Voltage | | 0.178 |
| Lighting | 0.217 (cents/kWh) | |

Table 34-2

Gulf: See Table 34-3 below:

| Rate Class | Capacity Cost Recovery Factor | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Cents / kWh | Dollars / kW-month |
| RS, RSVP, RSTOU | 0.919 | |
| GS | 0.812 | |
| GSD, GSDT, GSTOU | 0.705 | |
| LP, LPT | | 2.98 |
| PX, PXT, RTP, SBS | 0.581 | |
| OS-I/II | 0.123 | |
| OSIII | 0.544 | |

Table 34-3

TECO: See Table 34-4 below:

| Rate Class and Metering Voltage | Capacity Cost Recovery Factor | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | Cents / kWh | Dollars / kW |
| RS Secondary | 0.178 | |
| GS and TS Secondary | 0.166 | |
| GSD, SBF Standard | | |
| Secondary | | 0.530 |
| Primary | | 0.520 |
| Transmission | | 0.520 |
| GSD Optional | | |
| Secondary | 0.123 | |
| Primary | 0.122 | |
| IS, SBI | | |
| Primary | | 0.430 |
| Transmission | | 0.420 |
| LS1 Secondary | 0.021 | |
| Table 34-4 | | |

ISSUE 35: The new factors should be effective begin with the first billing cycle for January 2016 through the last billing cycle for December 2016. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2016, and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2016, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the recovery factors became effective. The new factors shall continue in effect until modified by this Commission.

ISSUE 36: Yes. The Commission should approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. The Commission should direct staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with the Commission’s decision.

ISSUE 37: This docket is an on-going docket and should remain open.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED STIPULATIONS
DOCKET NO. 150001-EI
PAGE 22

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 30th day of October, 2015:

/s/ Suzanne S. Brownless

SUZANNE S. BROWNLESS

Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

(850) 413-6199

sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery
clause with generating performance incentive
factor.

DOCKET NO. 150001-EI

DATED: OCTOBER 30, 2015

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the NOTICE OF STIPULATIONS has been filed with
Office of Commission Clerk and a copy has been furnished to the following by electronic mail,
this 30th day of October, 2015:

Ausley & McMullen
James D. Beasley/J. Jeffrey Wahlen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com

Beggs & Lane
Jeffrey A. Stone/Russell A. Badders
Steven R. Griffin
Post Office Box 12950
Pensacola, FL 32591-2950
jas@beggslane.com
rab@beggslane.com;
srg@beggslane.com

Tampa Electric Company
Paula K. Brown, Administrator-
Regulatory Coordinator
Post Office Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601-0111
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Florida Public Utilities Company
Cheryl Martin, Director
Regulatory Affairs
1641 Worthington Road
Suite 220
West Palm Beach, FL 33409-6703
cheryl_martin@chpk.com

Florida Power & Light Company
Kenneth Hoffman, V.P., Regulatory
Relations
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858
Ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.
Beth Keating
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee, FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

James W. Brew/Owen J. Kopon
Stone Law Firm
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007-5201
jbrew@smxblaw.com
ojk@smxblaw.com
laura.wynn@smxblaw.com

Office of Public Counsel
J.R. Kelly/P. Christensen/C. Rehwinkel
E. Saylor/ John Truitt
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us;
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us;
Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us;
Saylor.erik@leg.state.fl.us
Truitt.John@leg.state.fl.us

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Karen Putnal
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com

Gulf Power Company
Robert L. McGee, Jr.
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780
rlmcgee@southernco.com
lroddy@southernco.com

Duke Energy
Dianne M. Triplett
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Diane.triplett@duke-energy.com

John Butler
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Blvd.
Juno Beach, FL 33408
John.Butler@fpl.com

Matthew Bernier
106 East College Avenue Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Robert Scheffel Wright
John T. LaVia, III
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32308
schef@gbwlegal.com
jlavia@gbwlegal.com

/s/ Suzanne S. Brownless

SUZANNE S. BROWNLESS
Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
(850) 413-6199
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us