

**Ashley Quick**

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 13, 2016 4:35 PM  
**To:** Consumer Correspondence  
**Cc:** Angie Calhoun  
**Subject:** Docket #160021

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Angie Calhoun  
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2016 9:09 AM  
To: Ruth McHargue  
Subject: FW: PSC Contact Form

FPL rate protest.

-----Original Message-----

From: Benjamin Legaspi  
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2016 8:52 AM  
To: Consumer Contact  
Subject: FW: PSC Contact Form

-----Original Message-----

From: [contact@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us) [<mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us>]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 9:25 PM  
To: Webmaster  
Cc: [mark@lipsman.net](mailto:mark@lipsman.net)  
Subject: PSC Contact Form

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Mark Lipsman  
Company:  
Primary Phone:  
Secondary Phone:  
Email: [mark@lipsman.net](mailto:mark@lipsman.net)

Response requested? No  
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

Why is FPL seeking a rate increase to build a new plant in Okeechobee when they're doing everything they can to oppose solar power in Florida? Just Google "fpl opposes solar" for an idea of what they're up to--more cynical corporate maneuvering to advantage themselves while ratepayers pick up the tab. I'm not interested in paying higher rates to fuel

their expansionist ambitions. If they invested more in conservation and renewable energy like solar, they wouldn't need the rate increase. Even Georgia has more solar capacity at this point than Florida, according to an article in the Tampa Bay Times: <http://www.tampabay.com/news/business/energy/florida-utilities-say-solar-doesnt-work-in-the-sunshine-state-but-it-sure/2215830>