

**Collin Roehner**

---

**From:** Collin Roehner on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 9:27 AM  
**To:** 'James P Kelly'  
**Subject:** RE: FPL Rate increase Docket Number 160021-E1

Good morning Mr. Kelly,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 160021-E1 and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Sincerely,

Collin D. Roehner  
Commission Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida, 32301  
(850) 413-7123

-----Original Message-----

From: James P Kelly [[mailto:jp\\_kelly@comcast.net](mailto:jp_kelly@comcast.net)]  
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 9:25 AM  
To: Records Clerk  
Cc: [maxiwcell@yahoo.com](mailto:maxiwcell@yahoo.com); Richard Durgin; Joyce Russenberger  
Subject: FPL Rate increase Docket Number 160021-E1

Ms Carlotta Stauffer

Commission Clerk

Florida Public Service Commission

2549 Shumard Oak Blvd

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Dear Ms Stauffer,

As I was unable to attend the public hearings concerning the FPL rate increase, please enter these remarks as my testimony regarding docket number 160021-E1 when the final hearing begins on Aug 22, 2016.

My name and address where I am a FPL customer is James P Kelly, 10800 Palazzo Way Apt 305, Fort Myers, FL 33913-7138.

The requested rate increase is unreasonable in the light of the present conditions in Florida including low interest rates, higher than normal unemployment and stagnant economic growth. It appears that the major benefit of this requested

rate increase is an increase in profits to FPL and its employees and shareholders. In times of increasing activity rate increases are sometimes necessary to pay for increased capacity and improved infrastructure.

Please ask the Public Service Commission to carefully consider all these factors and refuse the FPL rate hike. I can support rate increases that are used for reducing reliance on fossil fuels and improving efficiency, but not rate increases that go towards making the wealthy wealthier.

Thank you,

James P Kelly