### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### VOTE SHEET

#### August 9, 2016

FILED AUG 09, 2016 DOCUMENT NO. 06283-16 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

**Docket No. 160119-TP** – 2017 State certification §54.313 and §54.314, annual reporting requirements for high-cost recipients, and certification of support for eligible telecommunications carriers.

**Issue 1:** Should the Commission certify to the FCC and to USAC, by letter from the Chairman and through USAC's online portal, that BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Florida; Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink; Frontier Communications of the South, LLC; Frontier Florida LLC<sup>1</sup>; GTC, Inc. d/b/a FairPoint Communications; Knology of Florida, Inc. d/b/a WOW! Internet, Cable, and Phone; and Windstream Florida, Inc. are eligible to receive federal high-cost support, and have used the federal high-cost support in the preceding calendar year, and will use the federal high-cost support they receive in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended? **Recommendation:** Yes. Staff recommends that the Commission should certify to the FCC and USAC, by letter from the Chairman and through USAC's online portal, that BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Florida; Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink; Frontier Communications of the South, LLC; Frontier Florida LLC; GTC, Inc. d/b/a FairPoint Communications; Knology of Florida, Inc. d/b/a WOW! Internet, Cable, and Phone; and Windstream Florida, Inc. are eligible to receive federal high-cost support, and have used the federal high-cost support in the preceding calendar year, and will use the federal high-cost support, and have used the federal high-cost support in the preceding calendar year, and will use the federal high-cost support, they receive in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support, and have used the federal high-cost support in the preceding calendar year, and will use the federal high-cost support they receive in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

## APPROVED

<sup>1</sup> Formerly Verizon Florida LLC.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURE	CS
--------------------------	----

MAJORITY MARAN Julia Zulia Zulia Zulia Zulia Zulia

DISSENTING

**REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:** 

Vote Sheet

• August 9, 2016

**Docket No. 160119-TP** – 2017 State certification §54.313 and §54.314, annual reporting requirements for high-cost recipients, and certification of support for eligible telecommunications carriers.

Item 3

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 2:** Should the Commission certify to the FCC and to USAC, by letter from the Chairman and through USAC's online portal, that ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc.; Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM; Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone; and Smart City Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom are eligible to receive federal high-cost support, and have used the federal high-cost support in the preceding calendar year, and will use the federal high-cost support they receive in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended?

**Recommendation:** Yes. Staff recommends that the Commission should certify to the FCC and to USAC, by letter from the Chairman and through USAC's online portal, that ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc.; Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM; Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone; and Smart City Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom are eligible to receive federal high-cost support, and have used the federal high-cost support in the preceding calendar year, and will use the federal high-cost support they receive in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

# APPROVED

**Issue 3:** Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation:** Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action (in Issue 2 only) files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Consummating Order.

## **APPROVED**