

Sandra Soto

From: Office of Commissioner Brown
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 10:03 AM
To: 'John McCord'; Office of Commissioner Brown; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Patronis; Records Clerk; negron.joe@fla.senate.gov
Subject: RE: Vero Beach- Indian River Shores

Mr. McCord,

On behalf of Chairman Brown, we have received your email dated September 5, 2016. The Commission Clerk has placed a copy of your email in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 160049-EU, In re: Petition by the Town of Indian River Shores for Modification of Territorial Order Based on Changed Legal Circumstances Emanating from Article VIII, Section 2(c) of the Florida Constitution. Thank you for providing the Commission with your comments.

Sincerely,
Katherine Fleming

Katherine E. Fleming
Chief Advisor to Chairman Brown
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

From: John McCord [<mailto:jmccord@me.com>]
Sent: Monday, September 05, 2016 11:31 AM
To: Office of Commissioner Brown; commissioner.brice@psc.state.fl.us; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Patronis; Records Clerk; negron.joe@fla.senate.gov
Subject: Vero Beach- Indian River Shores

To all, please help us. The hearing on September 13 will determine whether the PSC will protect an unregulated monopoly Vero Utility or set up a hearing to let the citizens of IRS make their case to exit Vero Utilities. What is being lost in this case is the quality of VB's utility service. It is standard procedure for service to go down. Last winter for example the utility ceased service to the water district and all of Vero Beach, 30,000 residents were on bottled water for 1 week. Vero cannot afford to provide comparable service to a regulated IOU. Because of its financial condition Vero uses the utility as a taxing vehicle and IRS residents do not even get to vote in Vero elections. Is it the PSC 's charge to protect the Public or unregulated monopoly utilities? Please help us. Please.

Sent from my iPad