

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

Credit Opinion: NextEra Energy, Inc.

Global Credit Research - 27 Oct 2015

Juno Beach, Florida, United States

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	Baa1
Jr Subordinate Shelf	(P)Baa2
Pref. Shelf	(P)Baa3
NextEra Energy Capital Holdings, Inc.	
Outlook	Stable
Bkd Senior Unsecured	Baa1
Jr Subordinate Shelf	(P)Baa2
BACKED Pref. Shelf	(P)Baa3
Commercial Paper	P-2
Florida Power & Light Company	
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	A1
First Mortgage Bonds	Aa2
Commercial Paper	P-1
FPL Group Capital Trust I	
Outlook	Stable
BACKED Pref. Stock	Baa2

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Mihoko Manabe/New York City	212.553.1942
William L. Hess/New York City	212.553.3837

Key Indicators

[1]NextEra Energy, Inc.

	6/30/2015(L)	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2012	12/31/2011
(CFO Pre-W/C + Interest) / Interest	5.8x	5.4x	5.1x	4.5x	4.8x
(CFO Pre-W/C) / Net Debt	22.4%	21.1%	18.7%	15.6%	19.3%
RCF / Net Debt	17.8%	17.0%	14.5%	11.6%	16.2%
(CFO Pre-W/C) / Debt	21.9%	20.6%	18.4%	15.4%	19.0%
RCF / Debt	17.5%	16.7%	14.2%	11.4%	15.9%

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying [User's Guide](#).

Opinion

Rating Drivers

FPL: Strong foundation to NEE's credit profile

NEER: Growing, de-risking a leading clean-energy portfolio

NEP: Role as financing vehicle diminished for now amidst market turmoil

Hawaiian Electric acquisition: a strategic move to lower risk businesses

Balance sheet fortified going into capex peak in 2016

Corporate Profile

NextEra Energy, Inc. (NEE, Baa1 issuer rating) is one of the largest hybrid power and utility companies in the US. It is a holding company for Florida Power and Light Company (FPL, A1 issuer rating), a regulated electric utility in Florida, and NextEra Energy Capital Holdings, Inc. (NEECH, Baa1 senior unsecured for debt guaranteed by NEE), the principal debt financing vehicle for the non-FPL businesses. NEECH's largest subsidiary is NextEra Energy Resources (NEER, not rated), an intermediate holding company for NEE's independent power projects and its yieldco, NextEra Energy Partners, LP (NEP, not rated).

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

NEE's rating reflects its leading industry positions in the US as the owner of one of the strongest regulated electric utilities (FPL, 52% of LTM June 2015 consolidated EBITDA) as well as the largest portfolio of renewable power projects under NEECH (the remaining 48% of EBITDA). Over the past few years, NEE has been de-emphasizing merchant power activities, and focusing instead on lower-risk contracted or regulated businesses in a credit-positive strategic shift. NEE has fortified its balance sheet going into another construction wave at NEER in 2016, but will need continued good access to the bank and debt capital markets to maintain its liquidity.

For further detail, please refer to our Credit Opinions for NEECH (focused on the non-FPL aspects of NEE) and FPL.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

FPL: STRONG FOUNDATION TO NEE'S CREDIT PROFILE

FPL is NEE's legacy core asset. As one of the strongest regulated electric utilities in the US, FPL forms a strong foundation to NEE's credit profile. The political and regulatory environment for Florida utilities is stable, allaying some of the uncertainties that FPL's upcoming rate case in 2016 will entail. The company has good cost recovery mechanisms that produce consistently above-average credit metrics. Unusual among many US electric utilities, FPL has a growing service territory that will generate organic sales growth and new investment opportunities. FPL has minimal exposure to coal, which puts it in a good stead to comply with the Environmental Protection Agency's proposed Clean Power Plan. It is reliant on natural gas for the majority of its generation, however, and as a strategic response, the company has begun to invest in gas reserves both as a fuel cost hedge and a long-term investment.

NEER: GROWING, DE-RISKING A LEADING CLEAN-ENERGY PORTFOLIO

NEER has a large, diverse generation portfolio, and is the top owner of wind and solar generation in the US. These clean energy resources obviate much of the heavy environmental expenditures that its coal-oriented peers are required to make, and provide opportunities to sell renewable energy mostly to investment-grade utilities seeking to comply with environmental mandates. NEER's credit metrics have improved from new cash flows generated by power plants that were completed over the last few years. Demand for renewable energy remains robust, and to meet it, NEER plans to spend \$12 billion in an ongoing wave of construction over the 2016-18 period that will increase its wind and solar generation capacity by roughly 25%. All of these projects are secured by long-term contracts, which will result in more stable cash flows, while reducing NEER's exposure to merchant activities that are riskier and have a weak outlook.

NEP: ROLE AS FINANCING VEHICLE DIMINISHED FOR NOW AMIDST MARKET TURMOIL

NEP is a yieldco subsidiary of NEER that went public in July 2014. Since June 2015, NEP's unit prices have fallen along with other yieldcos in a broad market downturn. The fall in unit prices has eliminated the prior cost-of-capital advantage of raising capital at NEP, so that for the near future, we expect little growth and financing activity at NEP. This market downturn caught NEP as it announced its acquisition of NET Midstream pipelines in August 2015. Given the weakness in the yieldco equity market, the \$1.5 billion of financing in the 3Q15 was more leveraged than initially planned from a NEE consolidated standpoint (about 75% debt financed, including the 25% equity credit we give to the \$700 million of equity units that NEE issued), with NEE stepping up to finance almost half of that amount rather than at NEP. From a credit perspective, NEP is still too small to have much impact on NEE's large balance sheet. As of 30 June 2015 (before the above-mentioned 3Q15 transactions), NEP accounted for only 4% of consolidated EBITDA and 8% of consolidated debt.

HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC ACQUISITION: A STRATEGIC MOVE TO LOWER RISK BUSINESSES

NEE is seeking new shareholder growth avenues beyond the next few years of identified projects and to circumvent the industry outlook for flat-to-declining power sales due to energy efficiency and new technologies. The company also wants to reduce business risk by increasing the proportion of regulated and contracted assets.

In keeping with NEE's strategic efforts to grow regulated businesses, NEE has shown a willingness to buy other utilities, as indicated by its pending acquisition of Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc., the parent company of Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Baa1 negative). This \$4.3 billion acquisition requires the approval of the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission, which has stated it may take until June 2016 to decide. We note that the proposed transaction has met with some local opposition, including the governor of Hawaii. If the acquisition is consummated as currently contemplated, it will not have a rating impact on NEE, since the proportion of regulated EBITDA on a pro forma basis goes up by only 5% from 52% of NEE consolidated currently, due to Hawaiian Electric's much smaller size and the 100% equity financing for the transaction.

BALANCE SHEET FORTIFIED GOING INTO CAPEX PEAK IN 2016

NEE's credit metrics have recovered with cash flow from operations before working capital changes to debt (CFO pre-WC / Debt) of 22.3% in LTM June 2015, steadily up from the nadir of 15.4% in 2012. These improvements were driven by rising cash flows at NEER from power plants coming on-line. In addition, NEE strengthened its balance sheet by issuing a significant amount of equity, including \$0.6 billion issued in December 2014 from the exercise of an equity forward sale agreement and \$1.2 billion issued in 2015 from the settlement of the equity forward contracts included in its equity units. A better capitalized balance sheet better positions the company for 2016, which will be another peak year for capex and related financings. Organic projects will push capex to the \$9 billion range in 2016 and potentially up to \$11 billion if Hawaiian Electric is acquired.

We believe management is motivated to defend NEE's ratings, which it considers as a competitive advantage in executing its business strategy. We also note that, in August 2015, NextEra also changed its dividend policy to increase dividend payouts from 55% in 2014 to 65% by 2018. The stable outlook incorporates the expectation that NEE will mitigate the credit-negative impact of higher dividends with rising cash flow from new projects at NEECH and a lower business risk profile from reduced merchant activities.

Liquidity Profile

Over the next four quarters through 2016, FPL is projected to maintain adequate liquidity. NEECH's liquidity will be constrained by the need to finance a spike in its already large capital program, to repay and to refinance a substantial amount of maturing debt, and to provide for material contingent calls related to its hedging and marketing activities. However, NEECH has demonstrated good access to bank and debt capital markets to maintain its liquidity.

FPL: FPL's CFO pre-WC at the current run-rate (\$3.6 billion in LTM June 2015) would meet the majority of the \$3.9 - \$4.3 billion of projected capex in 2016. FPL can bridge its funding gap with its \$3.2 billion of credit facilities, which backstop its CP program. In 2016, \$500 million of these commitments expire; the remainder terminates in 2020. FPL's credit facilities do not contain a material adverse change clause. Other than minor amortization payments on its storm securitization bonds, FPL does not have a large debt maturity until November 2017 when \$300 million of first mortgage bonds come due.

NEECH: NEECH's CFO pre-WC at the current run-rate (calculated as NEE consolidated minus FPL) of \$2.6 billion in LTM June 2015 would meet only half of its 2016 projected capex of \$5.2 - \$5.6 billion. Its core credit

facility is a \$4.9 billion revolver, which backs up its CP program. In 2016, \$750 million of these commitments expire; the remainder terminates in 2020. This facility does not contain a material adverse change clause. In addition, NEECH had \$1.7 billion of availability under several term loans and revolvers at NEER and NEP as of 30 June 2015. As of that date, NEECH had \$4.3 billion of current maturities, including amortization of project debt at NEER.

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook incorporates the expectation that a faster rate of dividend growth would be sufficiently supported by a concomitant increase in stable cash flow from regulated and contracted assets as the company moves away from volatile merchant activities. We base our outlook on NEE maintaining cash flow from operations before working capital changes-to-debt (CFO pre-WC / Debt) in the 21%-23% range, about where it is currently. Given the plan to increase dividend payouts, we will focus more than previously on CFO pre-WC - Dividends / Debt, which we expect will be sustained in the 16%-17% range (17.5% as of LTM June 2015). We will also monitor the dividend payout metric, calculated as dividends divided by Net Profit After-tax Before Unusual Items, kept below 70% (48% as of LTM June 2015). The outlook also assumes that a balanced financing strategy will maintain the percentage of holding company debt below 40% (35% on a GAAP reported basis as of 30 June 2015).

What Could Change the Rating - Up

An upgrade is unlikely in the foreseeable future, in light of the significant capital projects ahead of NEE, and its willingness to take part in sizable M&A transactions. Longer term, an upgrade is possible if NEE substantially reduces debt at NEECH/NEER and its unregulated activities while improving its cash flow metrics, such as consolidated CFO pre-WC / Debt sustained above 30%.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

A downgrade is possible if NEE is unsuccessful in increasing cash flow from its investments as it plans while lowering its business risk and maintaining balanced financial strategies, so that its consolidated CFO pre-WC / Debt is sustained below 20%; CFO pre-WC - Dividends / Debt below 16%; dividend payouts around 70%; and the percentage of holding company debt to total consolidated debt around 40%.

Other Considerations

NEE's capital structure is made complex by an array of financings used (e.g., hybrids, tax equity, the yieldco) at a growing number of entities under NEECH. The three-notch gap between the NEE holding company's Baa1 and FPL's A1 issuer ratings reflects not only the differences in their business risk, but also the degree of structural subordination of holding company debt obligations to a substantial amount of debt at the operating assets. NEE has a relatively high percentage of holding company debt obligations compared to its peers. NEE's ultimate holding company has no debt of its own, but as of 30 June 2015, guaranteed 35% of reported consolidated debt that resides at NEECH. NEECH's debt is structurally subordinated to project-related debt (32% of consolidated debt), mostly at NEER, and debt at FPL (33% of consolidated debt).

GRID INDICATED RATINGS

Given the almost 50/50 mix between FPL and NEER segment assets, we analyze NEE using both our regulated utility and unregulated utility rating methodologies. NEE's Baa1 rating reflects a company that combines a A-quality utility and a Baa-quality unregulated power company. Both grids indicate a Baa1 rating, in line with NEE's actual rating. If NEE becomes significantly more regulated as it plans, the unregulated utility methodology will become less relevant in assessing the company on a consolidated basis.

Rating Factors

NextEra Energy, Inc.

Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities Industry Grid [1][2]	Current LTM 6/30/2015		[3]Moody's 12-18 Month Forward ViewAs of 10/16/2015	
Factor 1 : Regulatory Framework (25%)	Measure	Score	Measure	Score
a) Legislative and Judicial Underpinnings of	A	A	A	A

the Regulatory Framework				
b) Consistency and Predictability of Regulation	Aa	Aa	Aa	Aa
Factor 2 : Ability to Recover Costs and Earn Returns (25%)				
a) Timeliness of Recovery of Operating and Capital Costs	Baa	Baa	Baa	Baa
b) Sufficiency of Rates and Returns	Baa	Baa	Baa	Baa
Factor 3 : Diversification (10%)				
a) Market Position	Aa	Aa	Aa	Aa
b) Generation and Fuel Diversity	A	A	A	A
Factor 4 : Financial Strength (40%)				
a) CFO pre-WC + Interest / Interest (3 Year Avg)	5.3x	A	6.1x - 6.3x	Aa
b) CFO pre-WC / Debt (3 Year Avg)	18.9%	Baa	22% - 24%	A
c) CFO pre-WC - Dividends / Debt (3 Year Avg)	13.9%	Baa	17% - 19%	A
d) Debt / Capitalization (3 Year Avg)	49.8%	Baa	46% - 48%	Baa
Rating:				
Grid-Indicated Rating Before Notching Adjustment		Baa1		A3
HoldCo Structural Subordination Notching	-1	-1	-1	-1
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		Baa2		Baa1
b) Actual Rating Assigned		Baa1		Baa1

Unregulated Utilities and Unregulated Power Companies Industry Grid [1][2]	Current LTM 6/30/2015		[3]Moody's 12-18 Month Forward ViewAs of 10/16/2015	
Factor 1 : Scale (10%)	Measure	Score	Measure	Score
a) Scale (USD Billion)	Aa	Aa	Aa	Aa
Factor 2 : Business Profile (40%)				
a) Market Diversification	A	A	A	A
b) Hedging and Integration Impact on Cash Flow Predictability	Baa	Baa	Baa	Baa
c) Market Framework & Positioning	Baa	Baa	Baa	Baa
d) Capital Requirements and Operational Performance	Baa	Baa	Baa	Baa
e) Business Mix Impact on Cash Flow Predictability	A	A	A	A
Factor 3 : Financial Policy (10%)				
a) Financial Policy	Baa	Baa	Baa	Baa
Factor 4 : Leverage and Coverage (40%)				
a) (CFO Pre-W/C + Interest) / Interest (3 Year Avg)	5.3x	Baa	5x - 5.2x	Baa
b) (CFO Pre-W/C) / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)		NA		
c) RCF / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)		NA		
b) (CFO Pre-W/C) / Debt (3 Year Avg)	18.9%	Ba	19% - 21%	Baa
c) RCF / Debt (3 Year Avg)	14.8%	Ba	14% - 15%	Ba
Rating:				
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		Baa2		Baa2
b) Actual Rating Assigned		Baa1		Baa1

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. [2] As of 6/30/2015(L); Source: Moody's Financial Metrics [3] This represents Moody's forward view; not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant

acquisitions and divestitures

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees,

agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.