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Re: Docket No. 160159-GU, Petition for approval of 2016 Depreciation Study by Peoples Gas System.

Ms. Floyd,
Staff has reviewed Peoples Gas System's response to Staff's Second Data Request and the Company's Second Revised 2016 Depreciation Study. The Staff Report is attached herein. Please provide your response to the report by November 7, 2016.

Should you have any questions, or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact Jenny Wu at (850) 413-7005.

Sincerely,



Jenny Wu
Economic Analyst
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Office of Public Counsel
Ms. P. Brown, Regulatory Affairs, Peoples Gas System
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## Staff Report

This report represents Staff's initial position. The report consists of four sections:
A. Information - Includes information necessary to understand staff's proposals.
B. Questions - Includes specific questions about Peoples Gas System's (PGS or Company) depreciation study.
C. Staff's Initial Proposals - Includes staff's proposals for which staff seeks PGS' concurrence or exceptions.
D. Summary Tables - These tables provide staff's initial position on inputs, rates, reserve transfers, and resulting depreciation expense for all accounts.

## A. Information

## Commission Rounding Convention

Staff recalculated PGS' proposed depreciation rates for each account shown in Attachment A "Comparison of Rates and Components" based on the Commission rounding convention. These recalculated rates are what staff considers as PGS' proposal. The rounding conventions are:

Remaining lives over 20 years: Rounded to the nearest whole year
Remaining lives less than 20 years: Rounded to one decimal place
Net salvage \%: Rounded to the nearest whole number
Reserve \%:
Depreciation rates:

Rounded to two decimal places
Rounded to one decimal place

## General Statement

The only areas addressed in the staff report are those areas where staff disagrees with the Company proposals, or where there is a need for further clarification, information or input. In your response, please identify areas of concurrences and differences, and any additional input that PGS believes would be pertinent.

## B. Questions

1. Please refer to PGS' responses to Staff's First Data Request (DR), No. 3 and Staff's Second DR, No. 2. In its response to First DR, No. 2, PGS indicated that the Company's 5-year forecast included "CNG projects - approximately \$5M annually." In its response to Second DR, No. 2b, PGS indicated that the " $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ is for two separate proposed Compressed Natural Gas stations, [. . .] Both installations are estimated begin
construction in 2017 and be in service by the end of that year." Please explain the discrepancy.
2. Please refer to PGS' response to Staff's Second DR, No. 6, a and b, page 12. Please elaborate on the statement "Plant Accounting reviews these asset classes annually and works with operations to identify assets that should be retired," given that as of 2012, PGS has not performed physical plant inventories for the discussed assets.
3. Please refer to pages 11 and 17 of the 2nd Revised 2016 Depreciation Study filed on October 25, 2016, for the following questions.
a. On page 11, PGS indicated that Account 30100 - Organization Costs is a nondepreciable account. On page 17, PGS indicated that Account 30100 has depreciation reserve of $\$ 3,116$, and PGS proposed to transfer negative $\$ 3,116$ reserve to this account. Please explain why this non-depreciable Account 30100 was recorded depreciation reserve in the amount of $\$ 3,116$.
b. Referring to page 11, Account 30200 - Franchise \& Consents, it appears that the investment in this account was fully recovered in 2014, and there was no investment in 2015 in the account. Does PGS expect to have any plan for additional investment in the account for the next five years? Can this account be closed? Pleas explain.

## C. Staff's Initial Proposals

On June 28, 2016, PGS filed its 2016 Depreciation Study (Study). Since then there have been two sets of Staff's Data Requests and one set of OPC's Data Request have been issued; and an informal information meeting between the PGS' technical staff, the Commission staff and OPC staff. On October 14, 2016, PGS filed its Revised Study; on October 25, 2016, PGS filed its Second Revised Study. Based on its review of PGS's original and revised studies, and PGS' responses to all the data requests, staff has no further concerns, apart from the aforementioned questions, at this point of time.

A depreciation study provides an opportunity to review the present positing of the investment recovery and determine whether any changes should be made to the existing pattern of recovery (depreciation rates). The remaining life depreciation rate is a fallout of several inputs including the average service life, age, remaining life, reserve percentage, and net salvage. The average service life refers to the overall period the account is expected to serve the public and is projected based on experience or estimates. The average remaining life is the remaining period of service which can be expected from the equipment or the plant asset under study. As part of the review process, staff considered the prudence of company planning, including additions and retirements, technological impacts, retirement and salvage practices, and other related activities.

Based on the information and data provided in the docket, staff verified the appropriateness of the combination of depreciation components (i.e. age, average service life and survivor curve shape) proposed by the Company, and calculated the remaining life rate as well as the theoretical reserve.

The actual reserve was more than the theoretical reserve for most of the accounts. Staff's proposal to reallocate the positive reserve imbalance is shown below.

Staff's initial proposals listed below are either general in nature (e.g., the theoretical reserve proposal) or specific to a particular account (e.g., a proposal to close Account 38600 - Other Property Cust Premise). For each item listed below, please state whether PGS agrees with staff's proposal. If PGS does not agree with staff's proposal, please indicate why, and provide a counter proposal.

Staff proposes that the Company to close Account 38600 - Other Property Customer Premise. There has been no asset recorded in this account for many years, and the Company does not anticipate any additional investment in the account for the next five years.

Staff's proposed reserve allocation is reflected in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Reserve Allocation - Staff's Initial Proposal


## D. Summary Tables

Summary tables for staff's proposed inputs, rates, and resulting depreciation expense follow. Overall, staff's initial position results in an decrease to expense of $\$ 8,448,312$ fro depreciation rates effective on January 1, 2017.

*Denotes a Reserve Transfer

| Account <br> Number | Account Title | le 3: Compar <br> Depreciation Rate <br> (\%) | ison of Expe rent <br> Annual <br> Expense $\qquad$ <br> (\$) |  | Depreciation Rate (\%) $\qquad$ | Staff Propos Annual Expense $\qquad$ (\$) | Change In <br> Expense <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37402 | Land Rights | 1.3 | 36,873 |  | 1.3 | 36,873 | 0 |
| 37500 | Structures \& Improvements | 2.5 | 485,400 |  | 2.5 | 485,400 | 0 |
| 37600 | Mains Steel | 4.2 | 16,183,321 |  | 2.6 | 10,018,247 | $(6,165,075)$ |
| 37602 | Mains Plastic | 3.1 | 12,440,610 |  | 3.0 | 12,039,300 | $(401,310)$ |
| 37800 | Meas \& Reg Station Eqp Gen | 3.4 | 439,449 |  | 3.3 | 426,524 | $(12,925)$ |
| 37900 | Meas \& Reg Station Eqp City | 3.4 | 1,175,928 |  | 3.3 | 1,141,342 | $(34,586)$ |
| 38000 | Services Steel | 6.6 | 3,060,839 |  | 5.3 | 2,457,946 | $(602,893)$ |
| 38002 | Services Plastic | 5.0 | 12,375,252 |  | 4.5 | 11,137,727 | $(1,237,525)$ |
| 38100 | Meters | 5.9 | 3,718,933 |  | 5.9 | 3,718,933 | 0 |
| 38200 | Meter Installations | 4.5 | 2,212,883 |  | 4.5 | 2,212,883 | 0 |
| 38300 | House Regulators | 3.6 | 526,800 |  | 3.6 | 526,800 | 0 |
| 38400 | House Regulator Installs | 4.5 | 896,178 |  | 4.4 | 876,263 | $(19,915)$ |
| 38500 | Meas \& Reg Station Eqp Ind | 3.1 | 281,762 |  | 3.1 | 281,762 | 0 |
| 38700 | Other Equipment | 6.3 | 371,017 |  | 6.3 | 371,017 | 0 |
| 39201 | Vehicles up to $1 / 2$ Tons | 11.2 | 899,997 |  | 11.4 | 916,068 | 16,071 |
| 39202 | Vehicles from 1/2-1 Tons | 12.7 | 834,288 |  | 13.0 | 853,996 | 19,708 |
| 39204 | Trailers \& Other | 4.0 | 46,140 |  | 4.0 | 46,140 | 0 |
| 39205 | Vehicles over 1 Ton | 7.4 | 130,968 |  | 7.5 | 132,738 | 1,770 |
| Account | Account Title | 9.4 | 0 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30300 | Misc Intangible Plant | 4.0 | 32,613 |  | 4 | 32,613 | 0 |
| 30301 | Custom Intangible Plant | 6.7 | 1,723,078 |  | 6.7 | 1,723,078 | 0 |
| 39000 | Structures \& Improvements | 2.5 | 3,749 |  | 2.5 | 3,749 | 0 |
| 39100 | Office Furniture | 6.7 | 98,506 |  | 6.7 | 98,506 | 0 |
| 39101 | Computer Equipment | 12.5 | 661,711 |  | 12.3 | 651,123 | $(10,587)$ |
| 39102 | Office Equipment | 6.7 | 61,779 |  | 6.7 | 61,779 | 0 |
| 39300 | Stores Equipment | 4.0 | 51 |  | 3.9 | 50 | (1) |
| 39400 | Tools, Shop \& Garage Equip | 6.6 | 402,988 |  | 6.7 | 409,094 | 6,106 |
| 39401 | CNC Station Equipment | 0.0 | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 39500 | Laboratory Equipment | 5.0 | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 39600 | Power Operated Equipment | 6.4 | 177,643 |  | 6.3 | 174,867 | $(2,776)$ |
| 39700 | Communication Equipment | 8.4 | 406,704 |  | 8.3 | 401,862 | $(4,842)$ |
| 39800 | Miscellaneous Equipment | 5.9 | 27,626 |  | 6 | 28,094 | 468 |
|  | Total |  | 58,698,073 |  |  | 50,250,805 | $(8,448,312)$ |
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