	FILED NOV 04, 2016 DOCUMENT NO. 08665 FPSC - COMMISSION (000001
1			
2	FLORIDA	BEFORE THE A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter of:		
4		DOCKET NO. 160003-GU	J
5	PURCHASED GAS ADJU	STMENT (PGA)	
6	TRUE-UP.	/	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING	
12	COMMISSIONERS	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN	
13	FARICIPATING.	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM	
14		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS	
15	DATE:	Wednesday, November 2, 2016	
16	TIME:	Commenced at 9:44 a.m.	
17	• • • •	Concluded at 9:47 a.m.	
18	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
19		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	
20	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR	
21		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734	
22			
23			
24			
25			
	FLORIDA :	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

APPEARANCES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GREGORY M. MUNSON, ESQUIRE, Gunster Law Firm, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1839, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company - Fort Meade and Florida City Gas.

J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES REHWINKEL; ERIK L. SAYLER; PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN; and STEPHANIE MORSE, ESQUIRES, Office of Public Counsel, c/o the Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MARGO LEATHERS and WESLEY TAYLOR, ESQUIRES, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

MARY ANNE HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, Advisor to the Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

		000003
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	WITNESSES	
4	NAME :	PAGE NO.
5	THOMAS KAUFMANN	10
6	Prefiled Testimony Inserted	10
7	KANDI M. FLOYD Prefiled Testimony Inserted	21
8	MICHELLE D. NAPIER Prefiled Testimony Inserted	55
9	ANDY SHOAF	
10	Prefiled Testimony Inserted	65
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

EXHIBITS	3
----------	---

1	EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER:	ID.	ADMTD.
3	1 Comprehensive Exhibit List	70	70
4	2 through 14	71	71
5	(as identified on Comprehensive Exhibit List)		
6			
7			
8			
9			
0			

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I'd like to -- there are five dockets, as you know, that we are going to address today, and we will be taking appearances all at once today. I know some folks have replaced other folks and made notices of appearances. But, please, when you enter your appearance, declare the dockets that you're entering the appearance for.

Also, I know that after the parties make their appearances, staff will be needing to make theirs. So we're going to start right now with Florida Power & Light.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Madam Chair.

John Butler appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. I'd also like to enter an appearance for Wade Litchfield in those three dockets, for Ken Rubin in the 02 docket, and Maria Moncada in the 01 and 07 dockets. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Duke.

MR. BERNIER: Good morning, Madam Chair. Matt Bernier with Duke Energy. I'd like to enter an appearance in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. I'd also like to enter an appearance for Dianne Triplett in those same three dockets, and for John Burnett in the 01 docket.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	0000
1	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
2	Gulf.
3	MR. BADDERS: Good morning. Russell Badders
4	on behalf of Gulf Power. With me I have Jeffrey A.
5	Stone, and Steve Griffin is also in this docket in 02,
6	01, and 07.
7	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
8	TECO.
9	MR. BEASLEY: Good morning, Madam Chair. Jim
10	Beasley in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets on behalf of Tampa
11	Electric Company. I'd also like to enter an appearance
12	for J. Jeffry Wahlen and Ashley M. Daniels in the same
13	dockets.
14	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
15	Mr. Moyle.
16	MR. MOYLE: Good morning.
17	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.
18	MR. MOYLE: Jon Moyle on behalf of the Florida
19	Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. And I'd also like
20	to enter an appearance for Karen Putnal.
21	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. And the dockets
22	that you will be
23	MR. MOYLE: Oh, I'm sorry. 01, 02, and 07.
24	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
25	MR. MOYLE: Thank you.
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. SPARKMAN: Good morning. My name is Paula Sparkman, and I'm here on behalf of Sebring Gas in the 04 docket.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Good morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MUNSON: Good morning. I'm Greg Munson. I'm here on behalf of Florida City Gas in the 03 and 04 dockets. Also here on behalf of Florida Public Utilities in the 01 and 02 dockets; Florida Public Utilities, FPUC-Fort Meade in the 03 docket; Florida Public Utilities, FPUC-Fort Meade, FPUC-Indiantown District, Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation in the 04 docket.

> CHAIRMAN BROWN: Very complicated. MR. MUNSON: I have notes. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Good morning.

MR. BREW: Good morning. James Brew for White Springs Agricultural Chemical/PCS Phosphate appearing in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. And I'd like to make an appearance for Laura Wynn.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Good morning, Mr. Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Madam Chairman, Commissioners. Robert Scheffel Wright and John T.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	00000
1	LaVia, III, appearing on behalf of the Florida Retail
2	Federation in the fuel docket, 0001. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
4	Good morning, Ms. Christensen.
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Good morning. Patricia
6	Christensen on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel.
7	I'd also like to put in an appearance for J.R. Kelly,
8	the Public Counsel; Charles Rehwinkel; Erik Sayler; and
9	Stephanie Morse in the 01, 02, 03, 04, and 07 dockets.
10	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you so much.
11	All right. Back to staff.
12	MS. TAN: Lee Eng Tan for the 02 docket, Margo
13	Leathers and Wesley Taylor for the 03 docket, Kelley
14	Corbari for the 04 docket, Charles Murphy and Bianca
15	Lherisson for the 07 docket, and Danijela Janjic and
16	Suzanne Brownless for the 01 docket.
17	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
18	MS. HELTON: And Mary Anne Helton. I'm here
19	as your advisor in all of the dockets.
20	* * * * *
21	CHAIRMAN BROWN: And now we will open up the
22	03 docket, which is the purchased gas adjustment.
23	Hi, Ms. Leathers.
24	MS. LEATHERS: Good morning.
25	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Are there any preliminary
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

matters?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LEATHERS: Yes, ma'am. We note that St. Joe Natural Gas Company and Peoples Gas System have both been excused from the hearing, and also there are proposed stipulations on all issues. All witnesses have been excused, and all the parties present have waived opening statements.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Again, this is a very streamlined proceeding today. I will note that. So let's go to the prefiled testimony for witnesses.

MS. LEATHERS: Yes, Chairman. Staff will ask that the prefiled testimony of all witnesses identified in Section VI of the Prehearing Order, that's page 4, be inserted into the record as though read.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: We'll go ahead and insert the prefiled testimony of all witnesses identified in Section VI of the Prehearing Order into the record as though read.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

THOMAS KAUFMANN

ON BEHALF OF FLORIDA CITY GAS

(Final True-Up)

DOCKET NO. 160003-GU

1		
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	Α.	My name is Thomas Kaufmann. My business address is
4		Elizabethtown Gas, 520 Green Lane, Union, New Jersey, 07083.
5		
6	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
7	Α.	I am currently employed as a Manager of Rates and Tariffs and
8		have responsibilities for Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc's., Florida
9		operating division d/b/a Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the
10		Company").
11		
12	Q.	BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
13		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
14	Α.	In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark with
15		a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in
16		accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from
17		Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison with a Masters of

Docket No. 160003-GU Florida City Gas – Kaufmann

1 Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional 2 responsibilities have encompassed financial analysis. 3 accounting, planning, and pricing in manufacturing and energy 4 services companies in both regulated and deregulated 5 industries. In 1977, I was employed by Allied Chemical Corp. as 6 a staff accountant. In 1980, I was employed by Celanese Corp. 7 as a financial analyst. In 1981, I was employed by Suburban 8 Propane as a Strategic Planning Analyst, promoted to Manager 9 of Rates and Pricing in 1986 and to Director of Acquisitions and 10 Business Analysis in 1990. In 1993, I was employed by 11 Concurrent Computer as a Manager, Pricing Administration. In 12 1996 I joined Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc., (formerly known as 13 NUI Utilities Inc.) as a Rate Analyst, was promoted to Manager 14 of Regulatory Support in August, 1997 and Manager of 15 Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named Manager of 16 Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.

17

18 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the comparison of
Actual versus Original estimate of the purchased gas adjustment
cost recovery factor and true-up provision for the period January,
2015 through December, 2015 for City Gas.

23

Docket No. 160003-GU Florida City Gas – Kaufmann

1	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORM PRESCRIBED
2		BY THIS COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?
3	A.	Yes. The Company has prepared the form prescribed by the
4		Commission attached as Schedule A-7, and identified as Exhibit
5		(TK-1).
6		
7	Q.	HAS CITY GAS PREPARED A SCHEDULE WHICH SHOWS
8		THE ACTUAL GAS COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE GAS
9		ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY FACTOR?
10	Α.	Yes. City Gas prepared Schedule A-7, attached, which
11		describes the total fuel cost for the period in question, recovery
12		of such cost from ratepayers through the Purchased Gas
13		Adjustment (PGA) Cost Recovery Factor, and remaining over or
14		under-recovery of gas cost.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT WAS THE TOTAL GAS COST INCURRED BY THE
17		COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED
18		DECEMBER 31, 2015?
19	Α.	As shown on Schedule A-7, Line 1, the total cost of gas for the
20		twelve months ended December 31, 2015 is \$22,913,103.
21		

1	Q.	WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF GAS COST
2		RECOVERED BY THE COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE
3		MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015?
4	Α.	The Company recovered \$22,192,540 from customer billings
5		plus an additional \$767,438 from margin sharing credits.
6		
7	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S ACTUAL TRUE-UP FOR THE
8		TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015?
9	Α.	The actual true-up amount, including adjustments, margin
10		sharing and interest, is an over-recovery of \$48,961.
11		
12	Q.	CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU ARRIVED AT THAT
13		AMOUNT?
14	Α.	Yes. As shown on Schedule A-7, the total fuel cost for the
15		period is \$22,913,103 and the total fuel revenues are
16		\$22,192,540. The difference between the fuel cost and fuel
17		recoveries is an under-recovery of \$720,563. This under-
18		recovery was offset by an adjustment of \$767,438 for margin
19		sharing, and an interest provision, during the period, of \$2,086.
20		The sum of these is an over-recovery of \$48,961.
21		

Q. WHAT IS THE FINAL OVER/UNDER RECOVERY FOR THE JANUARY 2015 THROUGH DECEMBER 2015 PERIOD TO BE INCLUDED IN THE 2016 PROJECTION?

- A. The final true-up amount for the period of January 2015 through
 December 2015 to be included in the 2016 projection is an overrecovery of \$979,356. This is the difference between the
 estimated under-recovery of \$930,395 that is included in the
 current cost recovery factor being collected during 2016 and the
 actual over-recovery of \$48,961.
- 10
- 11 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 12 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIRECT TESTIMONY (PROJECTIONS AND PGA CAP) OF THOMAS KAUFMANN ON BEHALF OF FLORIDA CITY GAS DOCKET NO. 160003-GU August 18, 2016

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

- 2 A. My name is Thomas Kaufmann. My business address is Elizabethtown
- 3 Gas, 520 Green Lane, Union, NJ 07083.
- 4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED, AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am currently employed as a Manager of Rates and Tariffs and have
responsibilities for Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the Company").

Q. BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND 8 EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.

In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J., with a 9 A. Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring in 10 accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from Fairleigh 11 Dickinson University, Madison, N.J., with a Masters of Business 12 13 Administration, majoring in finance. My professional responsibilities have encompassed financial analysis, accounting, planning, and pricing 14 in manufacturing and energy services companies in both regulated and 15 deregulated industries. In 1977, I was employed by Allied Chemical 16 Corp. as a staff accountant. In 1980, I was employed by Celanese 17 Corp. as a financial analyst. In 1981, I was employed by Suburban 18

1	Propane as a Strategic Planning Analyst, promoted to Manager of
2	Rates and Pricing in 1986 and to Director of Acquisitions and Business
3	Analysis in 1990. In 1993, I was employed by Concurrent Computer as
4	a Manager, Pricing Administration. In 1996 I joined NUI as a Rate
5	Analyst, was promoted to Manager of Regulatory Support in August,
6	1997 and Manager of Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named
7	Manager of Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.

8 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

9 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the revised estimate of the 10 Company's projection of gas costs for the period August 2016 through 11 December 2016 and the Company's projection of gas costs for the 12 period January 2017 through December 2017. In addition I will present 13 the development of the maximum rate to be charged to customers for 14 the period January 2017 through December 2017.

15 Q. HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORMS AS PRESCRIBED

- 16 BY THE COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?
- 17 A. Yes. The forms prescribed by the Commission are being filed at this
 18 time. Copies are attached to my testimony as Exhibit TK-2.
- 19
- 20

1 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE PROJECTION METHODOLOGY?

Yes. Under this methodology, which was adopted by Order No. PSC-2 Α. 93-0708-FOF-GU of this Commission on May 10, 1993 and modified in 3 Docket No. 980269-PU on June 10, 1998, gas companies are to project 4 their gas costs each twelve months for the ensuing twelve month period 5 ending in December. A per therm rate is developed for the weighted 6 average cost of gas (WACOG). This rate, based on the average of the 7 winter and summer seasons, would lead to over or under-recoveries of 8 gas costs in the two seasons. This problem is mitigated by establishing 9 a maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's 10 expected winter cost of gas, thereby eliminating a large under-recovery 11 in that season. The Company is then able to flex downward in the 12 summer in order to match market conditions and eliminate the potential 13 for a large over-recovery for the remainder of the period. 14

15 Q. WHAT IF THE ACTUAL COST EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM RATE AS 16 PROJECTED?

A. If re-projected gas costs for the remaining period exceed projected
 recoveries by at least 10% for the twelve month period, a mid-course
 correction may formally be requested by the Company.

20

1 Q. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DIFFERENCES THAT RESULT FROM

2 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL COSTS?

A. The forms take this into consideration. Form E-2 calculates the
projected differences using estimated figures, and form E-4 calculates
the final true-up using actual figures. These differences are flowed
back to customers through the true-up factor included in gas costs
billed in the subsequent twelve month period.

8 Q. ARE ANY FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION (FGT) RATE CHANGES 9 PROJECTED IN THIS FILING?

A. No, the FGT rates used in the preparation of this filing are those in
effect on August 1, 2016.

12 Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE CONTENTS OF THE SCHEDULES 13 SUBMITTED AS PART OF THIS FILING?

Yes. Schedule E-1 shows the projected period, January 2017 through 14 Α. December 2017. For 2017, the Company estimates the gas purchases 15 for resale will be 40,491,687 therms (Line 15) at a total cost of 16 \$28,821,057 (Line 11) with a resulting WACOG of 71.178 cents per 17 therm (Line 40) before the application of the true-up factor and the 18 Schedule E-4 shows the difference 19 regulatory assessment fee. between the estimated actual and actual true-up for the prior period, 20 January 2015 through December 2015, is an over-recovery of \$979,356 21 (Column 3, Line 4). The projected true-up for the current period, 22 January 2016 through December 2016, is an under-recovery of 23

1		\$2,292,715 (Column 4, line 4). The total true-up as shown on Schedule
2		E-4 is an under-recovery of \$1,313,360 for a true-up charge factor of
3		3.244 cents per therm that would be applied during the projected period
4		(Schedule E-1, Line 41). This true-up factor increases the gas cost
5		factor during the projected period to 74.421 cents per therm (Line 42)
6		before the regulatory assessment fee. With the regulatory assessment
7		fee added, the PGA factor is 74.796 cents per therm (Line 44) based on
8		the average of the winter and summer seasons.
9	Q.	DOES THE ANALYSIS FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD
10		SUMMARIZED ABOVE PROVIDE A SUFFICIENT BASIS TO SET
11		THE PGA CAP IN 2017?
12	Α.	No. As shown on Schedule E-1 (winter), City Gas has chosen to
13		establish a maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the
14		Company's expected winter cost of gas as follows:
15		Winter Average, per Therm
		Total Cost (Line 11) \$17,705,917
		Total Therm Sales (Line 27) 22,579,986
		(Line 11/ Line 27) \$0.78414
		True-up \$0.03244
		Before Regulatory Assessment \$0.81658
		Revenue Tax Factor 1.00503
		Purchased Gas Factor \$0.82068
16		

As shown above, the maximum levelized purchased gas factor basedon the Company's expected winter cost of gas is 81.658 cents per

1		therm before the regulatory assessment fee and 82.068 cents per
2		therm after the regulatory assessment fee. If approved by the
3		Commission, 82.068 cents per therm would be the maximum gas cost
4		factor that City Gas may charge its customers for the period January
5		2017 through December 2017.
6	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
7	A.	Yes, it does.
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 160003-GU FILED: 05/02/2016

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		
3		OF
4		KANDI M. FLOYD
5		
б	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7		
8	A.	My name is Kandi M. Floyd. My business address is 702
9		N. Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602.
10		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12		
13	A.	I am employed by Peoples Gas System ("Peoples") as
14		Manager of State Regulatory, having held that position
15		since 2003.
16		
17	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and
18		professional qualifications.
19		
20	A.	I hold a B.A. in Business Administration from Saint Leo
21		University. From 1995 to 1997, I worked in a series of
22		positions within the Regulatory Affairs Department of
23		Tampa Electric Company. In 1998, I joined Peoples as a
24		Regulatory Coordinator in the Regulatory and Gas Supply
25		Department. In 2001, I became Peoples' Energy
1		

2020	1	
1		Conservation/Regulatory Coordinator, and held that
2		position until assuming my current position in 2003.
3		
4	Q.	What are your primary responsibilities in your current
5		position with Peoples?
6		
7	A.	As Manager of State Regulatory, I am responsible for
8		managing the Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") and Energy
9		Conservation Cost Recovery filings as well as various
10		regulatory activities of Peoples.
11		
12	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain
13		schedules for use in this proceeding?
14		
15	A.	Yes. I have caused to be prepared as Composite Exhibit
16		KMF-1 the following schedule with respect to the final
17		true-up for the period January 2015 through December
18		2015: A-7 - Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery.
19		
20	Q.	What was Peoples' cost of gas to be recovered through
21		the PGA clause for the period January 2015 through
22		December 2015?
23		
24	A.	As shown on Schedule A-7 in KMF-1, the cost of gas
25		purchased, adjusted for company use, was \$138,539,694.

	T	
1	Q.	What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the
2		period January 2015 through December 2015?
3		
4	A.	The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost of
5		gas was \$135,087,128.
6		
7	Q.	What was the final true-up amount for the period January
8		2015 through December 2015?
9		
10	A.	The final true-up amount for the period, including
11		interest and adjustments, is an under-recovery of
12		\$3,452,566.
13		
14	Q.	Is this amount net of the estimated true-up for the
15		period January 2015 through December 2015, which was
16		included in the January 2016 through December 2016 PGA
17		factor calculation?
18		
19	A.	No. The final true-up net of the estimated true-up for
20		the period January 2015 through December 2015 is an
21		under-recovery of \$5,631,989.
22		
23	Q.	Is this the final under-recovery amount to be included
24		in the January 2017 through December 2017 projection?
25		
I		3

1	A.	Yes.					
2		100.					
3	Q.	Does	this	conclude	VOUR	testimony?	
4	2.	DOCS	CILLO	concrude	your	cescimony.	
5	A.	Yes.					
6	A.	162.					
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
I.						1	

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		KANDI M. FLOYD
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by whom you
7		are employed, and in what capacity?
8		
9	A.	My name is Kandi M. Floyd. My business address is
10		Peoples Gas System, 702 North Franklin Street, P.O. Box
11		2562, Tampa, Florida 33601-2562. I am employed by
12		Peoples Gas System ("Peoples" or the "Company") and am
13		the Manager of State Regulatory.
14		
15	Q.	Please describe your educational and employment
16		background.
17		
18	A.	I have a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Business
19		Administration from Saint Leo University. From 1995 to
20		1997, I was employed in a series of positions within the
21		regulatory affairs department of Tampa Electric Company.
22		In 1998, I joined Peoples Gas System as a Regulatory
23		Coordinator in the Regulatory and Gas Supply Department.
24		In 2001, I became the Energy Conservation / Regulatory
25		Administrator and in 2003 became the Manager of State

1		Regulatory for Peoples Gas System. In this role, I am
2		responsible for managing the Purchased Gas Adjustment
3		("PGA") and Energy Conservation Cost Recovery filings as
4		well as various regulatory activities for Peoples.
5		
6	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?
7		
8	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe generally the
9		components of Peoples' cost of purchased gas and
10		upstream pipeline capacity. In my testimony, I also
11		explain how Peoples' projected weighted average cost of
12		gas ("WACOG") for the January 2017 through December 2017
13		period was determined and the resulting requested
14		maximum PGA ("Cap").
15		
16	Q.	Please summarize your testimony.
17		
18	A.	I will address the following areas:
19		
20		1. How Peoples will obtain its gas supplies during the
21		projected period.
22		2. Estimates and adjustments used to determine the
23		amount of gas to be purchased from Peoples' various
24		available sources of supply during the projected period.
25		3. Projections and assumptions used to estimate the
	l	2

purchase price to be paid by Peoples for such gas 1 2 supplies. 3 4. The components and assumptions used to develop Peoples' projected WACOG including the projected true-up 4 5 balance to be collected or refunded. 6 7 What is the appropriate final purchased gas adjustment Q. true-up amount for the period January 2015 through 8 December 2015? 9 10 11 Α. The final PGA true-up amount for the year 2015 is an under-recovery of \$5,631,989. 12 13 14 Q. What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment true-up amount for the period January 2016 through December 15 2016? 16 17 As shown on Schedule E-4, the estimated PGA true-up Α. 18 amount for 2016 is an over-recovery of \$6,539,298. 19 20 is the total purchased gas adjustment 21 Q. What true-up amount to be refunded during the period January 2017 22 23 through December 2017? 24 The total PGA true-up amount to be refunded in 2017 is A. 25

1		an over-recovery of \$907,309.
2		
3	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain
4		schedules for use in this proceeding?
5		
6	A.	Yes. Composite Exhibit KMF-2 was prepared by me or
7		under my supervision.
8		
9	Q.	Please describe how Peoples will obtain its gas supplies
10		during the projected period of January 2017 through
11		December 2017.
12		
13	A.	All natural gas delivered through Peoples' distribution
14		system is received through three interstate pipelines
15		and one intrastate pipeline. Gas is delivered through
16		Florida Gas Transmission Company ("FGT"), through
17		Southern Natural Gas Company ("Southern"), through
18		Gulfstream Natural Gas System ("Gulfstream") and through
19		SeaCoast Gas Transmission ("SeaCoast"). Receiving gas
20		supply through multiple upstream pipelines provides
21		valuable flexibility and reliability to serve customers.
22		
23	Q.	In general, how does Peoples determine its sources of
24		supply?
25		
		4

Peoples evaluates, selects and utilizes sources 1 Α. of natural gas supply on the basis of its "best value" gas 2 3 acquisition strategy. For a source of supply to be identified as a "best value," it must offer the best 4 5 combination of price, reliability of supply, and flexibility, consistent with Peoples' obligation as a 6 public utility to provide safe, adequate and efficient 7 service to the general public. Through a competitive 8 bidding process, Peoples has a portfolio of supply 9 sources from numerous third-party suppliers that reflect 10 balance between cost, reliability and operational 11 flexibility. 12 13 Q. Could Peoples purchase all third party supplies in 14 advance for a long term at the lowest available fixed 15 price in order to provide increased stability to its 16 cost of gas? 17 18 Peoples' quantity requirements for system supply 19 Α. No. 20 gas vary significantly from year to year, season to season, month to month and, in particular, from day to 21 The demand for gas on the Peoples system can often day. 22 23 vary dramatically within a month from the lowest to the highest requirement of its customers. The actual takes 24 of gas out of the Peoples system by transport customers 25

1	ı	
1		varies significantly from day to day. Since significant
2		portions of the total transportation volumes are
3		received by Peoples at a uniform daily rate, Peoples is
4		forced to increase or decrease the volumes purchased for
5		its own system supply by significant increments in order
6		to maintain a balance between receipts and deliveries of
7		gas each day. As a consequence, Peoples must buy a
8		portion of its total system requirements under swing
9		contract arrangements, and meet extreme variations in
10		delivered volumes by relying on swing gas, peaking gas,
11		pipeline balancing volumes and pipeline no notice
12		service at the prevailing rates for such services.
13		
14	Q.	How did Peoples estimate the amount of gas to be
15		purchased from various sources during the projected
16		period of January 2017 through December 2017?
17		
18	A.	Peoples' projected gas purchases are based on the
19		Company's preliminary total throughput of therms
20		delivered to customers projected for 2017, including
21		both sales of Peoples' system supply and transportation
22		deliveries of third party gas purchased by end-users of
23		Peoples. The throughput was then adjusted for the
24		anticipated level of transportation service.
25		
		6

How are revenues derived from Peoples' Swing Service 1 Q. Charge accounted for through the PGA? 2 3 Customers who participate in the NaturalChoice program Α. 4 5 pay a Swing Service Charge. The Swing Service Charge covers costs included in the PGA for balancing the 6 difference between marketer-supplied 7 gas and the customers' actual consumption. The revenues from the 8 Swing Service Charge are credited to the PGA to offset 9 this expense. 10 11 How did you estimate the purchase price to be paid by 12 Q. Peoples for each of its available sources of gas supply? 13 14 The price to be paid for natural gas is estimated based 15 Α. on an evaluation of historical prices for gas delivered 16 to the FGT, Southern, and Gulfstream systems, futures 17 reported on the New contracts as York Mercantile 18 Exchange and forecasts of market prices for the 19 20 projection period of January 2017 through December 2017. These prices are then adjusted to reflect the potential 21 for implied volatility increases and unexpected and 22 23 unforeseen increases due to market forces particularly in the monthly and daily markets for natural gas prices 24 in the projection period. 25

Referring to Schedules E-3 (A) through (G) of Composite 1 Q. Exhibit KMF-2, please explain the components of these 2 3 schedules and the assumptions that were made in developing the Company's projections. 4 5 Schedule E-3 (G) is a compilation of the monthly data 6 Α. that appears on Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the 7 corresponding months of January 2017 through December 8 2017. In Schedules E-3 (A) through (F), Column (A) 9 indicates the applicable month for all data on the page. 10 In Column (B), "FGT" indicates that the volumes are to 11 be purchased from third party suppliers for delivery via 12 interstate pipeline transportation. "SOUTHERN" FGT 13 indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a 14 Southern third party supplier for delivery via 15 interstate pipeline transportation. "GULFSTREAM" 16 indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a 17 party supplier for delivery via Gulfstream third 18 transportation. "SEACOAST" interstate pipeline 19 20 indicates the volumes are to be purchased from a third party supplier for delivery via SeaCoast intrastate 21 pipeline transportation. "THIRD PARTY" indicates that 22 23 the volumes are to be purchased directly from various third party suppliers for delivery into FGT, Southern, 24 or Gulfstream. 25

In Column (C), "PGS" means the purchase will be for 1 Peoples' system supply and will become part of Peoples' 2 3 total WACOG. None of the costs of gas or transportation for end-use purchases made by end-use customers of 4 5 Peoples are included in Peoples' WACOG. In Column (D), purchases of pipeline transportation services from FGT 6 under Rate Schedules FTS-1, FTS-2, and FTS-3 are split 7 into two components, commodity (or "usage") and demand 8 (or "reservation"). Both Peoples and end-users pay the 9 usaqe charge based on the actual amount of 10 qas transported. The FTS-1, FTS-2, and FTS-3 commodity 11 costs shown include all related transportation charges 12 including usage, fuel and ACA charges. The FTS-1, FTS-13 14 2, and FTS-3 demand component is a fixed charge based on the maximum daily quantity of FTS-1, FTS-2, and FTS-3 15 firm transportation capacity reserved. Similarly, the 16 transportation rates of Southern and Gulfstream also 17 consist of two components, a usage charge and 18 а SeaCoast consists of reservation charge, and 19 one 20 component, a demand charge. Individual Transportation Service customers reimburse Peoples or directly pay the 21 upstream pipeline for all pipeline reservation charges 22 23 associated with the transportation capacity that Peoples reserves and uses on their behalf. 24

25

1	
1	Also in Column (D), "NO NOTICE TRANSPORTATION SERVICE"
2	(or "NNTS") means FGT's no notice service provided to
З	Peoples on a fixed charge basis for use when Peoples'
4	actual use exceeds scheduled quantities. "SWING
5	SERVICE" means the demand and commodity component of the
6	cost of third party supplies purchased to meet Peoples
7	"swing" requirements for supply that fluctuate on a day-
8	to-day basis. "STORAGE DEMAND" means the demand
9	component related to third party storage costs.
10	"COMMODITY" means third party purchases of gas
11	transported on FGT, Southern, Gulfstream or SeaCoast.
12	Column (E) shows the monthly quantity in therms of gas
13	purchased by Peoples for each category of system supply.
14	Column (F) shows the gas purchased by end-users for
15	transportation.
16	Column (G) is the total of Columns (E) and (F) in each
17	row.
18	Columns (H), (I), (J) and (K) show the corresponding
19	third party supplier commodity costs, pipeline
20	transportation commodity costs, pipeline transportation
21	reservation costs, and other charges (e.g., balancing
22	charges), respectively. These costs are determined
23	using the actual amounts paid by Peoples. In the case
24	of end-user transportation, these costs are reimbursed
25	to Peoples or paid directly to FGT. All ACA and fuel
	10

1	I	
1		charges are included in the commodity costs in Column
2		(I) and, therefore, are not shown in Column (K). Column
3		(L) in each row is the sum of Columns (H), (I), (J) and
4		(K) divided by Column (G).
5		
6	Q.	Please explain the components of these schedules and the
7		assumptions that were made in developing the Company's
8		projections.
9		
10	A.	Schedule E-1 shows the Cost of Gas Purchased, Therms
11		Purchased, and Cents per therm for all rate classes.
12		
13		The costs associated with various categories or items
14		are shown on lines 1 through 14. Line 6 on Schedule E-1
15		includes legal expenses associated with various
16		interstate pipeline dockets such as tariff filings,
17		seasonal fuel filings and certification proceedings. In
18		addition, legal and consulting expenses have been
19		included because Southern is due to file a rate case in
20		early 2018 pursuant to a settlement reached with their
21		shippers during Southern's prior pre-rate case filing.
22		The procedural schedule for this docket will most likely
23		be issued in April or May, 2017 and it is anticipated
24		that FERC will suspend implementation of Southern's
25		requested rates for the full term of the suspension
		11

period (approximately 5 months). It is anticipated 1 that PGS will incur costs during the last quarter of 2 3 2017 to prepare consultants and legal counsel for the filing that is due to be made by Southern no later than 4 5 March 1, 2018. Southern's proposed rates will not impact this cap filing due to the timing of the anticipated 6 suspension period. These expenses have historically 7 8 been included for recovery through the Purchased Gas 9 Adjustment Clause because they are fuel related The volumes consumed for similar categories expenses. 10 11 or items are shown on lines 15 through 27, and the resulting effective cost per therm rate for each similar 12 category or item is contained on lines 28 through 45. 13 Line 6 also includes expenses related to a recent Energy 14 Trading and Risk Management (ETRM) system replacement. 15 This system manages fuel transactions related to 16 procuring supply. 17 18 The data shown on Schedule E-1 is calculated from 19 20 Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the months of January 2017 through December 2017. 21 22 What information is presented on Schedule E-1/R 23 Q. of Composite Exhibit KMF-2? 24 25

1	A.	Schedule E-1/R of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 shows seven
2		months actual and five months estimated data for the
3		current period from January 2016 through December 2016
4		for all customer classes.
5		
6	Q.	What information is presented on Schedule E-2 of
7		Composite Exhibit KMF-2?
8		
9	A.	Schedule E-2 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 shows the amount
10		of the prior period over/under recoveries of gas costs
11		that are included in the current PGA calculation.
12		
13	Q.	What is the purpose of Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit
14		KMF-2?
15		
16	A.	Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 simply shows the
17		calculation of the estimated true-up amount for the
18		January 2016 through December 2016 period. It is based
19		on actual data for seven months and projected data for
20		five months.
21		
22	Q.	What information is contained on Schedule E-5 of
23		Composite Exhibit KMF-2?
24		
25	A.	Schedule E-5 of Composite Exhibit KMF-2 is statistical
	l	13

1	1	
1		data that includes the projected therm sales and numbers
2		of customers by customer class for the period from
3		January 2017 through December 2017.
4		
5	Q.	Has Peoples changed its methodology for calculating the
6		monthly PGA factor and how does this impact the PGA cap
7		factor for which the company seeks approval?
8		
9	A.	On April 5, 1998, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-
10		99-0634-FOF-GU as a Proposed Agency Action in Docket No.
11		981698-GU, and the Order subsequently became final.
12		This Order approved Peoples Gas' request for approval of
13		a methodology for charging separate Purchased Gas
14		Adjustment factors for different customer classes. On
15		February 22, 2016, by its Order No. PSC-16-0081-PAA-GU,
16		in Docket No. 150218-GU, the Commission approved
17		Peoples' methodology to discontinue charging multiple
18		PGA factors for different classes of customers and
19		revert to the methodology originally adopted by the
20		Commission in Order 24463 that calculates a single PGA
21		factor for all classes. Peoples requested approval to
22		revert to the single PGA factor for several reasons
23		including market changes resulting in increased
24		participation of commercial customers migrating to
25		transportation service as described earlier in my
	I	14

1	1	
1		testimony. Under the approved methodology, costs will
2		be spread equally among all customers utilizing the PGA.
3		As such the WACOG for which Peoples seeks approval as
4		the annual cap is a factor of \$.90908 per therm as shown
5		in Schedule E-1. This annual cap will be applicable to
6		all rate classes.
7		
8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9		
10	A.	Yes, it does.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		15

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED THIRD REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		KANDI M. FLOYD
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by whom you
7		are employed, and in what capacity?
8		
9	A.	My name is Kandi M. Floyd. My business address is
10		Peoples Gas System, 702 North Franklin Street, P.O. Box
11		2562, Tampa, Florida 33601-2562. I am employed by
12		Peoples Gas System ("Peoples" or the "Company") and am
13		the Manager of State Regulatory.
14		
15	Q.	Please describe your educational and employment
16		background.
17		
18	A.	I have a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Business
19		Administration from Saint Leo University. From 1995 to
20		1997, I was employed in a series of positions within the
21		regulatory affairs department of Tampa Electric Company.
22		In 1998, I joined Peoples Gas System as a Regulatory
23		Coordinator in the Regulatory and Gas Supply Department.
24		In 2001, I became the Energy Conservation / Regulatory
25		Administrator and in 2003 became the Manager of State

1		
1		Regulatory for Peoples Gas System. In this role, I am
2		responsible for managing the Purchased Gas Adjustment
3		("PGA") and Energy Conservation Cost Recovery filings as
4		well as various regulatory activities for Peoples.
5		
6	Q.	What is the purpose of your third revised testimony in
7		this docket?
8		
9	A.	The purpose of my third revised testimony is to describe
10		generally the components of Peoples' cost of purchased
11		gas and upstream pipeline capacity. In my testimony, I
12		also explain how Peoples' projected weighted average
13		cost of gas ("WACOG") for the January 2017 through
14		December 2017 period was determined and the resulting
15		requested maximum PGA ("Cap").
16		
17	Q.	Please summarize your third revised testimony.
18		
19	A.	I will address the following areas:
20		
21		1. How Peoples will obtain its gas supplies during the
22		projected period.
23		2. Estimates and adjustments used to determine the
24		amount of gas to be purchased from Peoples' various
25		available sources of supply during the projected period.
l		2

3. Projections and assumptions used to estimate the 1 2 purchase price to be paid by Peoples for such gas 3 supplies. The components and assumptions used to develop 4. 4 5 Peoples' projected WACOG including the projected true-up balance to be collected or refunded. 6 7 8 What is the appropriate final purchased gas adjustment Q. true-up amount for the period January 2015 through 9 December 2015? 10 11 The final PGA true-up amount for the year 2015 is an 12 Α. under-recovery of \$5,631,990. 13 14 What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment true-up 15 Q. amount for the period January 2016 through December 16 2016? 17 18 As shown on Schedule E-4, the estimated PGA true-up 19 Α. amount for 2016 is an over-recovery of \$6,718,674. 20 21 What is the total purchased gas adjustment true-up 22 Q. 23 amount to be refunded during the period January 2017 through December 2017? 24 25

1		
1	А.	The total PGA true-up amount to be refunded in 2017 is
2		an over-recovery of \$1,086,685.
3		
4	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain
5		schedules for use in this proceeding?
6		
7	A.	Yes. Third Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2 was prepared
8		by me or under my supervision.
9		
10	Q.	Please describe how Peoples will obtain its gas supplies
11		during the projected period of January 2017 through
12		December 2017.
13		
14	A.	All natural gas delivered through Peoples' distribution
15		system is received through three interstate pipelines
16		and one intrastate pipeline. Gas is delivered through
17		Florida Gas Transmission Company ("FGT"), through
18		Southern Natural Gas Company ("Southern"), through
19		Gulfstream Natural Gas System ("Gulfstream") and through
20		SeaCoast Gas Transmission ("SeaCoast"). Receiving gas
21		supply through multiple upstream pipelines provides
22		valuable flexibility and reliability to serve customers.
23		
24	Q.	In general, how does Peoples determine its sources of
25		supply?
		4

Peoples evaluates, selects and utilizes sources 1 Α. of natural gas supply on the basis of its "best value" gas 2 3 acquisition strategy. For a source of supply to be identified as a "best value," it must offer the best 4 5 combination of price, reliability of supply, and flexibility, consistent with Peoples' obligation as a 6 public utility to provide safe, adequate and efficient 7 service to the general public. Through a competitive 8 bidding process, Peoples has a portfolio of supply 9 sources from numerous third-party suppliers that reflect 10 balance between cost, reliability and operational 11 flexibility. 12 13 Q. Could Peoples purchase all third party supplies in 14 advance for a long term at the lowest available fixed 15 price in order to provide increased stability to its 16 cost of gas? 17 18 Peoples' quantity requirements for system supply 19 Α. No. 20 gas vary significantly from year to year, season to season, month to month and, in particular, from day to 21 The demand for gas on the Peoples system can often day. 22 23 vary dramatically within a month from the lowest to the highest requirement of its customers. The actual takes 24 of gas out of the Peoples system by transport customers 25

	1	
1		varies significantly from day to day. Since significant
2		portions of the total transportation volumes are
3		received by Peoples at a uniform daily rate, Peoples is
4		forced to increase or decrease the volumes purchased for
5		its own system supply by significant increments in order
6		to maintain a balance between receipts and deliveries of
7		gas each day. As a consequence, Peoples must buy a
8		portion of its total system requirements under swing
9		contract arrangements, and meet extreme variations in
10		delivered volumes by relying on swing gas, peaking gas,
11		pipeline balancing volumes and pipeline no notice
12		service at the prevailing rates for such services.
13		
14	Q.	How did Peoples estimate the amount of gas to be
15		purchased from various sources during the projected
16		period of January 2017 through December 2017?
17		
18	A.	Peoples' projected gas purchases are based on the
19		Company's preliminary total throughput of therms
20		delivered to customers projected for 2017, including
21		both sales of Peoples' system supply and transportation
22		deliveries of third party gas purchased by end-users of
23		Peoples. The throughput was then adjusted for the
24		anticipated level of transportation service.
25		
	I	6

How are revenues derived from Peoples' Swing Service 1 Q. Charge accounted for through the PGA? 2 3 Customers who participate in the NaturalChoice program Α. 4 5 pay a Swing Service Charge. The Swing Service Charge covers costs included in the PGA for balancing the 6 difference between marketer-supplied 7 gas and the customers' actual consumption. The revenues from the 8 Swing Service Charge are credited to the PGA to offset 9 this expense. 10 11 How did you estimate the purchase price to be paid by 12 Q. Peoples for each of its available sources of gas supply? 13 14 The price to be paid for natural gas is estimated based 15 Α. on an evaluation of historical prices for gas delivered 16 to the FGT, Southern, and Gulfstream systems, futures 17 reported on the New contracts as York Mercantile 18 Exchange and forecasts of market prices for the 19 20 projection period of January 2017 through December 2017. These prices are then adjusted to reflect the potential 21 for implied volatility increases and unexpected and 22 23 unforeseen increases due to market forces particularly in the monthly and daily markets for natural gas prices 24 in the projection period. 25

Referring to Schedules E-3 (A) through (G) of Third 1 Q. Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2, please explain the 2 components of these schedules and the assumptions that 3 were made in developing the Company's projections. 4 5 Schedule E-3 (G) is a compilation of the monthly data 6 Α. that appears on Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the 7 corresponding months of January 2017 through December 8 2017. In Schedules E-3 (A) through (F), Column (A) 9 indicates the applicable month for all data on the page. 10 In Column (B), "FGT" indicates that the volumes are to 11 be purchased from third party suppliers for delivery via 12 interstate pipeline transportation. "SOUTHERN" FGT 13 14 indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a third party supplier for delivery via 15 Southern interstate pipeline transportation. "GULFSTREAM" 16 indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a 17 party supplier for delivery via Gulfstream third 18 transportation. "SEACOAST" interstate pipeline 19 20 indicates the volumes are to be purchased from a third party supplier for delivery via SeaCoast intrastate 21 pipeline transportation. "THIRD PARTY" indicates that 22 23 the volumes are to be purchased directly from various third party suppliers for delivery into FGT, Southern, 24 or Gulfstream. 25

In Column (C), "PGS" means the purchase will be for 1 Peoples' system supply and will become part of Peoples' 2 3 total WACOG. None of the costs of gas or transportation for end-use purchases made by end-use customers of 4 5 Peoples are included in Peoples' WACOG. In Column (D), purchases of pipeline transportation services from FGT 6 under Rate Schedules FTS-1, FTS-2, and FTS-3 are split 7 into two components, commodity (or "usage") and demand 8 (or "reservation"). Both Peoples and end-users pay the 9 usaqe charge based on the actual amount of 10 qas transported. The FTS-1, FTS-2, and FTS-3 commodity 11 costs shown include all related transportation charges 12 including usage, fuel and ACA charges. The FTS-1, FTS-13 14 2, and FTS-3 demand component is a fixed charge based on the maximum daily quantity of FTS-1, FTS-2, and FTS-3 15 firm transportation capacity reserved. Similarly, the 16 transportation rates of Southern and Gulfstream also 17 consist of two components, a usage charge 18 and а SeaCoast consists of reservation charge, and 19 one 20 component, a demand charge. Individual Transportation Service customers reimburse Peoples or directly pay the 21 upstream pipeline for all pipeline reservation charges 22 23 associated with the transportation capacity that Peoples reserves and uses on their behalf. 24

25

Also in Column (D), "NO NOTICE TRANSPORTATION SERVICE" 1 (or "NNTS") means FGT's no notice service provided to 2 3 Peoples on a fixed charge basis for use when Peoples' actual exceeds scheduled quantities. "SWING use 4 5 SERVICE" means the demand and commodity component of the cost of third party supplies purchased to meet Peoples 6 "swing" requirements for supply that fluctuate on a day-7 to-day basis. "STORAGE DEMAND" means the demand 8 component related to third party storage 9 costs. "COMMODITY" means third party purchases of 10 qas transported on FGT, Southern, Gulfstream or SeaCoast. 11 Column (E) shows the monthly quantity in therms of gas 12 purchased by Peoples for each category of system supply. 13 14 Column (F) shows the gas purchased by end-users for transportation. Column (G) is the total of Columns (E) 15 and (F) in each row. Columns (H), (I), (J) and (K) show 16 the corresponding third party supplier commodity costs, 17 transportation commodity costs, pipeline pipeline 18 transportation reservation costs, and other charges 19 20 (e.g., balancing charges), respectively. These costs are determined using the actual amounts paid by Peoples. 21 In the case of end-user transportation, these costs are 22 reimbursed to Peoples or paid directly to FGT. All ACA 23 and fuel charges are included in the commodity costs in 24 Column (I) and, therefore, are not shown in Column (K). 25

1		Column (L) in each row is the sum of Columns (H), (I),
2		(J) and (K) divided by Column (G).
3		
4	Q.	Please explain the components of these schedules and the
5		assumptions that were made in developing the Company's
6		projections.
7		
8	A.	Schedule E-1 shows the Cost of Gas Purchased, Therms
9		Purchased, and Cents per therm for all rate classes.
10		
11		The costs associated with various categories or items
12		are shown on lines 1 through 14. Line 6 on Schedule E-1
13		includes legal expenses associated with various
14		interstate pipeline dockets such as tariff filings,
15		seasonal fuel filings and certification proceedings. In
16		addition, legal and consulting expenses have been
17		included because Southern is due to file a rate case in
18		early 2018 pursuant to a settlement reached with their
19		shippers during Southern's prior pre-rate case filing.
20		The procedural schedule for this docket will most likely
21		be issued in April or May, 2017 and it is anticipated
22		that FERC will suspend implementation of Southern's
23		requested rates for the full term of the suspension
24		period (approximately 5 months). It is anticipated
25		that PGS will incur costs during the last quarter of
	I	11

2017 to prepare consultants and legal counsel for the 1 filing that is due to be made by Southern no later than 2 3 March 1, 2018. Southern's proposed rates will not impact this cap filing due to the timing of the anticipated 4 5 suspension period. These expenses have historically been included for recovery through the Purchased Gas 6 Adjustment Clause because they are fuel related 7 The volumes consumed for similar categories 8 expenses. or items are shown on lines 15 through 27, and the 9 resulting effective cost per therm rate for each similar 10 category or item is contained on lines 28 through 45. 11 Line 6 also includes expenses related to a recent Energy 12 Trading and Risk Management (ETRM) system replacement. 13 14 This system manages fuel transactions related to procuring supply. 15 16 The data shown on Schedule E-1 is calculated from 17 Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the months of January 18 2017 through December 2017. 19 20 What information is presented on Schedule E-1/R of Third 21 Q. Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2? 22 23 Schedule E-1/R of Third Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2 Α. 24 shows seven months actual and five months estimated data 25 12

	l	
1		for the current period from January 2016 through
2		December 2016 for all customer classes.
З		
4	Q.	What information is presented on Schedule E-2 of Third
5		Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2?
6		
7	A.	Schedule E-2 of Third Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2
8		shows the amount of the prior period over/under
9		recoveries of gas costs that are included in the current
10		PGA calculation.
11		
12	Q.	What is the purpose of Schedule E-4 of Third Revised
13		Composite Exhibit KMF-2?
14		
15	A.	Schedule E-4 of Third Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2
16		simply shows the calculation of the estimated true-up
17		amount for the January 2016 through December 2016
18		period. It is based on actual data for seven months and
19		projected data for five months.
20		
21	Q.	What information is contained on Schedule E-5 of Third
22		Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2?
23		
24	A.	Schedule E-5 of Third Revised Composite Exhibit KMF-2 is
25		statistical data that includes the projected therm sales
	I	13

1	1	
1		and numbers of customers by customer class for the
2		period from January 2017 through December 2017.
3		
4	Q.	Has Peoples changed its methodology for calculating the
5		monthly PGA factor and how does this impact the PGA cap
6		factor for which the company seeks approval?
7		
8	A.	On April 5, 1998, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-
9		99-0634-FOF-GU as a Proposed Agency Action in Docket No.
10		981698-GU, and the Order subsequently became final.
11		This Order approved Peoples Gas' request for approval of
12		a methodology for charging separate Purchased Gas
13		Adjustment factors for different customer classes. On
14		February 22, 2016, by its Order No. PSC-16-0081-PAA-GU,
15		in Docket No. 150218-GU, the Commission approved
16		Peoples' methodology to discontinue charging multiple
17		PGA factors for different classes of customers and
18		revert to the methodology originally adopted by the
19		Commission in Order 24463 that calculates a single PGA
20		factor for all classes. Peoples requested approval to
21		revert to the single PGA factor for several reasons
22		including market changes resulting in increased
23		participation of commercial customers migrating to
24		transportation service as described earlier in my
25		testimony. Under the approved methodology, costs will
	I	14

i	I	
1		be spread equally among all customers utilizing the PGA.
2		As such, the WACOG for which Peoples seeks approval as
3		the annual cap is a factor of \$.89761 per therm as shown
4		in Schedule E-1. This annual cap will be applicable to
5		all rate classes.
6		
7	Q.	Why did Peoples file a Third amended petition together
8		with your Third Revised Testimony and Third Revised
9		Composite Exhibit KMF-2?
10		
11	Α.	The Third amended petition and accompanying Third
12		revised testimony and Third Revised Composite Exhibit
13		KMF-2 result from errors identified in Schedule E-1, Row
14		6 "Other" and E-1/R "Other". The corrected schedule
15		causes a reduction in PGA Cost and results in changes to
16		Schedules E-2, E-4 and E-5 and an adjusted PGA cap
17		factor in Schedule E-1.
18		
19	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
20		
21	А.	Yes, it does.
22		
23		
24		
25		
	l	15

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 160003-GU: PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT (PGA) TRUE-UP

Direct Testimony (Final True Up) of Michelle D. Napier On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

- **Q**. Please state your name and business address. 1 2 Α. My name is Michelle D. Napier. My business address is 1641 Worthington Road, Suite 220, West Palm Beach, FL 33409. 3 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? A. I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company as the Senior 5 6 Regulatory Analyst. Can you please provide a brief overview of your educational and **Q**. 7 employment background? 8 I graduated from University of South Florida in 1986 with a BS degree in 9 A. Finance. I have been employed with FPUC since 1987. During my employment 10 at FPUC, I have performed various roles and functions in accounting, 11 management and most recently, regulatory accounting (PGA, conservation, 12 earnings surveillance reports, regulatory reporting). 13 What is the purpose of your testimony at this time? 14 0. To advise the Commission of the actual over/under recovery of the 15 Α.
- December 31, 2015, as compared to the true-up amount previously reported

16

Purchased Gas Adjustment for the period January 1, 2015 through

Docket No. 160003-GU

000056

1		for that period which was based on six months actual and six months
2		estimated.
3	Q.	Please state the actual amount of over/under recovery of the Purchased
4		Gas Adjustment for January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015.
5	А.	During January 2015 through December 2015, FPUC under-recovered
6		\$1,038,219.
7	Q.	How does this amount compare with the estimated true-up amount,
8		which was allowed by the Commission during the November 2015
9		hearing?
10	А.	As recognized in Order No. PSC-15-0543-FOF-GU, in Docket No. 150003-
11		GU, FPUC had an anticipated over-recovery of \$932,608, based upon six
12		months of actual and six months of projected data.
13	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits at this time?
14	A.	Yes. In conjunction with my testimony, I have also prepared and am filing
15		composite Exhibit MDN-1, containing Schedule A-7, Final Fuel
16		Over/Under Recovery for the Period January 2015 through December 2015.
17	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
18	A.	Yes.

- 2 -

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 160003-GU - In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up.

(Actual/Estimated and Projections)

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF MICHELLE D. NAPIER

On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is Michelle D. Napier. My business address is 1641 Worthington
 Road, Suite 220, West Palm Beach, Florida 33409.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 5 A. I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC) as the Senior
 6 Regulatory Analyst.
- Q. Can you please provide a brief overview of your educational and employment
 background?
- 9 A. I graduated from University of South Florida in 1986 with a BS degree in
 10 Finance. I have been employed with FPUC since 1987. During my
 11 employment at FPUC, I have performed various roles and functions in
 12 accounting, management and most recently, regulatory accounting (PGA,
 13 conservation, earnings surveillance reports, regulatory reporting).
- Q. Are you familiar with the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) clause of the
 Company and the associated projected and actual revenues and costs?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?
- 18 A. My testimony will establish the PGA "true-up" collection amount, based on

1		actual January 2015 through June 2016 data and projected July through
2		December 2016 data. My testimony will describe the Company's forecast of
3		pipeline charges and commodity costs of natural gas for 2017. Finally, I will
4		summarize the computations that are contained in composite exhibit MDN-2
5		supporting the January through December 2017 projected PGA recovery (cap)
6		factor for the FPUC consolidated gas division
7	Q.	Which schedules have you included in your Exhibit MDN-2??
.8	А.	The Company has previously filed True-Up schedules A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-
9		5, A-6 and A-7 in this proceeding. Exhibit MDN-2, which is included with
10		my testimony, contains Schedules E-1, E-1/R, E-2, E-3, E-4, and E-5 for the
11		FPUC consolidated gas division. These schedules support the calculation of the
12		PGA recovery (cap) factor for January through December 2017.
13	Q.	Have there been any changes in the PGA filing compared to the prior year?
14	А.	Yes. As shown on Schedule E-1R, the Company revised projected purchased
15		gas costs for the period July 2016 - December 2016, reducing the amount to
16		more accurately reflect the expectation of these costs for the remainder of the
17		year.
18	Q.	Please describe how the forecasts of pipeline charges and commodity costs of
19		gas were developed for the projection period.
20	А.	The purchases for the gas cost projection model are based on projected sales to
21		traditional non-transportation service customers. Florida Gas Transmission
22		Company's (FGT) FTS-1, FTS-2, NNTS-1 and ITS-1 effective charges
23		(including surcharges) and fuel rates, based on the prices from the FGT rate

2 of 8

case settlement, were used for the entire projection period. As is further 1 2 explained herein, the Company has also included costs related to further 3 expansion in Palm Beach County. The expected costs of natural gas purchased by the Company during the projection period were developed using actual 4 5 prices paid during relevant historical periods and the Henry Hub natural gas futures pricing through the end of the projection period. The forecasts of the 6 7 commodity costs were then adjusted to reflect the unexpected potential market 8 increases in the projection period.

9 Q. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted average cost of gas are
10 developed for the projection period.

- 11 A. The Company has forecasted the 2017-weighted average cost of gas using the 12 projected monthly pipeline demand costs, less the projected cost of capacity 13 temporarily relinquished to third parties, the projected pipeline usage and no-14 notice costs and the projected supplier commodity costs. The sum of these 15 costs are then divided by the projected therm sales to the traditional non-16 transportation customers resulting in the projected weighted average cost of 17 gas and ultimately the PGA recovery (cap) factor, as shown on Schedule E-1. 18 Capacity shortfall, if any, would be satisfied by gas and capacity repackaged and delivered by another FGT capacity holder. If other services become 19 20 available and it is economic to dispatch supplies under those services, the 21 Company will utilize those services as part of its portfolio.
- 22 Q. Please describe any additional planned expansion opportunities.

DOCKET NO. 160003-GU

1	А.	The Company continues to pursue the opportunity to expand and reinforce its
2		distribution system in Palm Beach County. This expansion (Palm Beach
3		Connector) was initially scheduled to begin this year but has been delayed until
4		2017. The Palm Beach connector will enable FPUC to move additional
5		capacity to western Palm Beach County to support an expanding area. This
6		potential project includes a planned large residential and commercial
7		community by Minto in the far western portion of Palm Beach County. In
8		addition to providing for the potential growth at Minto, the Palm Beach
9		connector will allow FPUC to move additional capacity to the eastern part of
10		Palm Beach County, which is becoming increasingly more constrained. This
11		potential for additional capacity from the Palm Beach connector will help
12		FPUC to reinforce the southeastern section of its distribution system, as well as
13		continue expanding in the area.

14 Q. Are the pipeline capacity and supply costs associated with expansions15 appropriate for recovery in the PGA docket?

A. Yes. Historically, the Commission has allowed recovery, through the clause, of
 upstream transmission pipeline capacity, transportation and related supply
 costs associated with service expansions to new areas.

Q. Did you include costs of other expansions or interconnects related to Florida
Division of Chesapeake Utilities (CFG) in the calculations of your true-up and
projected amounts?

A. Yes. There is a local distribution company (LDC) to LDC interconnect with
 TECO/PGS and CFG for pressure stabilization of CFG's system in Hernando

- County. In addition, there is an interconnection to CFG's facilities for
 Gulfstream's Baseball City Gate southward through Davenport and Haines
 City.
- 4 Q. Please explain how these costs incurred by CFG are recoverable under the
 5 PGA clause.
- A. Consistent with the prior year, the modified cost allocation methodology and
 revised purchased gas adjustment calculation approved by the Commission by
 Order No. PSC-15-0321-PAA-GU, issued August 10, 2015, has been applied
 to allocate these costs.
- 10Q.Will there be a Phase II (Swing Service Rider) and if so, what will the Rider11entail?
- Yes. On April 11, 2016, Docket No. 160085, Florida Public Utilities, Florida 12 A. 13 Division of Chesapeake Utilities (CFG), Florida Public Utilities Indiantown 14 and Ft. Meade Divisions (the Companies) filed a joint petition for approval of the Swing Service Rider with this Commission. The Swing Service Rider 15 16 proposes that the allocation of all costs be expanded to include transportation 17 service customers on FPUC's system (i.e., customers who are not part of the 18 current PGA mechanism) as well as shippers on CFG's system that are not part 19 of the TTS pools. The Companies believe that these customers ultimately 20 should bear their fair portion of the intrastate capacity costs. However, the 21 Companies recognize that shippers for these larger classes of customers 22 provide a service under contracts that will likely need to be amended to adjust

1		for the revised cost allocations and systems need to be implemented to allow
2		for billing of these charges to transportation customers and/or shippers.
3	Q.	What is the estimated effect of Swing Service Rider on PGA factor?
4	А.	The Company anticipates future savings related to Swing Service Rider since
5		the costs will be allocated over a broader base of customers. However, the
6		anticipated savings are not reflected in this filing.
7	Q.	Have the appropriate related costs been included in the Projections for 2017?
8	А.	Yes, as more specifically reflected in Schedule E-1 and E-3 of Exhibit MDN-2,
9		the Company has included the costs of existing and planned interstate and
10		intrastate capacity agreements, as well as the costs associated with the
11		approved Phase I capacity allocation methodology as described above.
12	Q.	Did you include costs in addition to the costs specific to purchased gas in the
13		calculations of your true-up and projected amounts?
14	A.	Yes, included with our purchased gas costs are consulting (Pierpont and
15		McLelland, LLC) and legal expenses (Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.) for
16		assistance in the development and enactment of the consolidation and
17		allocation of interstate and intrastate pipeline capacity (Swing Service Rider), a
18		mechanism designed to reduce the allocated cost of the total delivered price of
19		natural gas to customers subject to the PGA. Additionally, the Company has
20		included costs associated with a software tool (Cardinal Technology) used by
21		the Company to manage customer usage and assist in determining the gas
22		supply needs for the rate classes subject to the PGA. These costs directly

- influence the Company's PGA factor and are appropriate for recovery through
 the PGA clause.
- 3 Q. Please explain how these costs were determined to be recoverable under the
 4 PGA clause.
- 5 А. The costs the Company has included are PGA-related costs and were not 6 anticipated or included in the cost levels used to establish the current base 7 rates. To be clear, these costs are not tied to the Company's internal staff 8 involvement in purchased gas procurement and administration. Instead, these 9 costs are associated with external contracts, which were unanticipated, but are 10 integrally related to the gas purchase function. These costs either relate to fuel savings, or to the Company's efforts to protect current fuel savings, and 11 12 directly benefit our customers. These are costs that have historically been 13 allowed for recovery through the PGA and are not being recovered through the Companies' base rates. 14
- Q. What is the appropriate final PGA true-up amount for the periodJanuary through December 2015?
- A. As shown on Schedule E-4, the final PGA true-up amount for the period
 January through December 2015 is an under-recovery of \$1,970,827, inclusive
 of interest.
- Q. What is the projected PGA true-up amount for the period January throughDecember 2016?
- A. As also shown on Schedule E-4, the projected PGA true-up amount is an over recovery of \$1,642,359, inclusive of interest, for the period January through

7 of 8

1		December 2016.
2	Q.	What is the projection period for this filing?
3	A.	The projection period is January through December 2017.
4	Q.	What is the total projected PGA true-up amount to be collected from or
5		refunded to customers for the period January through December 2017?
6	A.	As shown on Schedule E-4, the total net under-recovery to be collected for the
7		period January through December 2017 is \$328,468.
8	Q.	What is the appropriate PGA recovery (cap) factor for the period January
9		through December 2017?
10	A.	As shown on Schedule E-1, the PGA recovery (cap) factor is 98.148ϕ per
11		therm for the period January through December 2017.
12	Q.	What should be the effective date of the PGA recovery (cap) factor for billing
13		purposes?
14	A.	The PGA recovery (cap) factor should be effective for all meter readings
15		during the period of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017.
16	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
17	A.	Yes.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		
3	In Re	: Purchased Gas Recovery) Docket No. 160003-GU) Submitted for filing
4)
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHARLES A. SHOAF ON
6		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
7		
8	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by whom
9		you are employed and in what capacity.
10	Α.	Charles A. Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe,
11		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in the
12		capacity of V-President and Regulatory Affairs.
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
14	Α.	My purpose is to discuss the final true-up for
15		the period January 2015 through December 2015.
16		Exhibits
17	Q.	Would you please identify the Composite Exhibit which
18		you are sponsoring with this Testimony?
19	Α.	Yes. As Composite Exhibit CAS-1, I am sponsoring the
20		following schedule with respect to the final
21		true-up for period January 2015 through December 2015.
22		Schedule A-7 - Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery
23	Q.	Was this schedule prepared under your direction
24		and supervision?
25	Α.	Yes, it was.

-1-

1		Final True-Up January 2015 - December 2015
2	Q.	What were the total therm sales for the period January
3		2015 through December 2015?
4	Α.	Total therm sales were 946,013 therms.
5	Q.	What were total therm purchases for the period January
6		2015 through December 2015?
7	Α.	Total therm purchases were 981,510.
8	Q.	What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the
9		PGA for the period January 2015 through December 2015?
10	Α.	The cost of gas purchased for January 2015 through
11		December 2015 was \$510,792.56.
12	Q.	What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the
13		period January 2015 through December 2015?
14	Α.	The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost
15		of gas was \$507,153.
16	Q.	What is the total true-up provision for the period
17		January 2015 through December 2015?
18	Α.	The total true-up provision, including interest, is an
19		under-recovery of \$3,679.08 for the period.
20	Q.	What is the amount of estimated true-up included for
21		January 2015 thru December 2015 in the January 2016
22		through December 2016 PGA factor calculation?
23	Α.	The amount of estimated true-up for the period January
24		thru December 2015 included in the January 2016 through
25		December 2016 PGA factor calculation was an under-

-2-

1		recovery of \$1,734.00.
2	Q.	What is the final over/under-recovery for the January
3		through December 2015 period to be included in the
4		January 2017 through December 2017 projection?
5	Α.	The final under-recovery for the current period to be
6		included in the January 2017 through December 2017
7		projection is \$1,945.08.
8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9	Α.	Yes
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		
3	In Re:	Purchased Gas Recovery) Docket No. 160003-GU) Submitted for filing
4) August 19, 2016
5		
6		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDY SHOAF ON
7		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
8		
9	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by whom
10		you are employed and in what capacity.
11	Α.	Andy Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe,
12		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in the
13		capacity of V-President and Regulatory Affairs.
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
15	Α.	My purpose is to submit known and estimated gas
16		costs and therm sales from January 1, 2016 through
17		December 31, 2016, used in developing the maximum twelve
18		month levelized purchased gas cost factor to be applied
19		to customer bills from January 1, 2017 through
20		December 31, 2017.
21	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits in conjunction with
22		your testimony?
23	Α.	Yes, I have prepared and filed on August 19, 2016
24		Schedules E-1 through E-5.
25	Q.	What Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor does

-1-

1		St. Joe Natural Gas seek approval through its petition for
2		the period January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017?
3	Α.	76.20 cents per therm
4	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5	Α.	Yes
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Exhibits.

MS. LEATHERS: We've compiled a stipulated Comprehensive Exhibit List, which includes the prefiled exhibits attached to the witnesses' testimony in this case. The list has been provided to the parties, the Commissioners, and the court reporter. This list is marked as at first hearing exhibit, and the other exhibits should be marked as set forth in this list.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Okay. Let's go to moving the exhibits.

MS. LEATHERS: Yes, Chairman. We'd request at this time that the Comprehensive Exhibit List marked as Exhibit No. 1 be entered into the record.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Seeing no objections, we'll go ahead and move into the record Exhibit 1.

(Exhibit 1 marked for identification and admitted into the record.)

And how about Exhibits 2 through 14?

MS. LEATHERS: Yes, Chairman. At this time we'd move Exhibits 2 through 14, move them into the record as set forth in the Comprehensive Exhibit List.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Seeing no objections, we will go ahead and move in Exhibits 2 through 14 into the record as set forth in the Comprehensive Exhibit List.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	0000
1	(Exhibits 2 through 14 marked for
2	identification and admitted into the record.)
3	All right. Staff, we are on the analysis of
4	the stipulation.
5	MS. LEATHERS: The decision, yes.
6	CHAIRMAN BROWN: The decision.
7	Okay. Commissioners, we have proposed
8	stipulations which are set forth on pages 5 through 6 of
9	the Prehearing Order. They're Issues 1 through 6. Is
10	there any discussion, or can I get a motion?
11	Commissioner Brisé.
12	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure, Madam Chair. Upon
13	review of the stipulations containing all the issues
14	related to this docket, I move that we accept the
15	stipulation as stated.
16	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner
19	Edgar.
20	Commissioners, further discussion? Seeing
21	none, all those in favor of the motion, say aye.
22	(Vote taken.)
23	Okay. It passes unanimously. Thank you very
24	much.
25	Are there any other matters to address in the
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

03 docket?

MS. LEATHERS: Chairman, there are no other matters, but since the Commission has made a bench decision, post-hearing filings are not necessary and the final order will be issued by November 22nd, 2016.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Leathers. Again, thank you for your time on this docket.

MS. LEATHERS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And to all the parties.

We'll adjourn the 03 docket. And please let me know if I'm moving too swiftly. Again, I appreciate all of the parties and the staff and the time that's gone into all of these clause dockets today. We've got a very nice presentation here today.

(Hearing adjourned at 9:47 a.m.)

	000073
1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	COUNTY OF LEON) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 4th day of November, 2016.
13	Diffed finite fell day of Nevember, 2010.
14	
15	
16	Linda Boles LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	Official FPSC Hearings Reporter Office of Commission Clerk
18	(850)413-6734
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION