

**Sandra Soto**

---

**From:** Sandra Soto on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Friday, December 30, 2016 2:36 PM  
**To:** 'Bill Shallcross'  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Angela Charles; Ashley Quick; Collin Roehner; CAO - Bureau of Consumer Assistance  
**Subject:** FW: Docket 160101-WS  
**Attachments:** UI rate increase edit.docx

Good Afternoon Mr. Shallcross

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 160101-WS and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Sincerely,

**Sandra Soto**  
Commission Deputy Clerk I  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
(850) 413-6010

---

**From:** Bill Shallcross [<mailto:wshallcross@cfl.rr.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 30, 2016 9:49 AM  
**To:** Records Clerk; Walter Trierweiler  
**Cc:** [sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us); [kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us)  
**Subject:** Docket 160101-WS

Bill Shallcross has shared a OneDrive file with you. To view it, click the link below.

 [UIF notice of rate increase tabulation.xlsx](#)

---

Again, not my job but the revenue projections in UIF's recently mailed NOTICE OF FILING dated December 27, 2016 has egregiously sloppy and careless writing lacking in uniformity and thereby obscuring comprehension for the average consumer. I have taken the time to edit – attached – as it should have been written.

But if the goal is truly to inform – and frankly these notices are written not for the general public, but rather to fulfill the letter of the law, not the spirit – the data should have been presented in a much superior tabular format that is easy to absorb/comprehend at a glance – not by drilling down into poorly written, dense and repetitive verbiage.

I have taken the liberty of compiling that tabulation, also attached.

Perhaps it needs to/should be republished.

Bill

William Shallcross  
551 Carlisle Ave.  
Altamonte Springs, Florida 32714  
[wshallcross@cfl.rr.com](mailto:wshallcross@cfl.rr.com)  
(M) 321-356-6400

P.S. This is the only element that was clearly written, and the model for the others:

The Utility has requested a permanent revenue increase/decreases for its water and wastewater systems as follows (although revenue increases are requested for systems the rates requested may decrease as a result of the Utility's request for single system-wide rates):

**Comment [BS1]:** This sentence is unclear, even to me. Current rates may go down, even if an increase is requested? Or the requested rates may be lowered by the PSC?

Charlotte County: A \$362,377, or 30.3% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$1,559,165 for its wastewater system.

Highlands County: A \$13,745, or 19.8% increase for its water system, and \$18,926, or 24.4% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increases would produce annual revenues of \$83,115 for its water system and \$91,617 for its wastewater system.

Lake County - Pennbrooke: A \$162,961, or 42.6% increase for its water system, and a \$33,600, or 6.5% decrease for its wastewater system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$545,187 for its water system and \$484,522 for its wastewater system decrease.

Lake County – Lake Utility Services: A \$41,730, or 0.8% increase for its water system, and \$542,544, or 23.5% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increases would produce annual revenues of \$5,526,342 for its water system and \$2,848,232 for its wastewater system.

Lee County: A \$64,787, or 5.5% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$1,234,018 for its wastewater system.

Marion County: A \$68,885, or 33.1% increase for its water system, and \$38,048, or 78.8% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increases would produce annual revenues of \$277,302 for its water system and \$86,327 for its wastewater system.

Orange County: A \$258,990 or 221.2% [sic] increase for its water system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$376,082 for its water system.

Pasco County: A \$329,885, or 36.5% increase for its water system, and \$152,640, or 30.0% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increases would

produce annual revenues of \$1,232,717 for its water system and \$661,378 for its wastewater system.

| Pasco County -\_Labrador: A \$67,286, or 22.0% increase for its water system, and a \$21,075, or 3.3% decrease for its wastewater system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$372,528 for its water system and \$618,296 for its wastewater system decrease.

| Pinellas County: A \$170,080, or 107.6% [sic] for its water system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$328,195 for its water system.

| Pinellas County – Tierra Verde: A \$107,812, or 10.8% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$1,104,024 for its wastewater system.

| Pinellas County – Mid County: A \$472,792, or 26.4% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$2,262,812 for its wastewater system.

Polk County: A \$5,879, or 1.6% decrease for its water system, and a \$90,089, or 13.6% increase for it wastewater system. The requested decrease/increase would produce annual revenues of \$352,150 for its water system and \$750,728 for its wastewater system increase.

| Seminole County: A \$1,631,780, or 158.2% [sic] increase for its water system, and a \$26,532, or ~~3.16%~~ 3.2% decrease for its wastewater system. The requested increase/decrease would produce annual revenues of \$2,663,351 for its water system and \$813,604 for its wastewater system decrease.

| Seminole County –\_Longwood: A \$34,554, or 4.3% increase for its wastewater system. The requested increase would produce annual revenues of \$843,366 for its wastewater system.

|

Seminole County - Sanlando: A \$18,462, or 0.4% decrease for its water system, and ~~a an increase of~~ \$2,391,091, or 58.7% increase for its wastewater system. The requested decrease/increase would produce annual revenues of \$4,613,652 for its water system and \$6,466,632 for its wastewater system increase.

Excel Online Sign in

Bill's OneDrive UIF notice of rate increase tabulation in Browser Print Share Data ...

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1										
2		<b>APPLICATION FOR INCREASE IN WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES IN CHARLOTTE, HIGHLANDS, LAKE, LEE, MARION, ORANGE, PASCO, PINELLAS, POLK AND SEMINOLE COUNTIES BY UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA</b>								
3			<b>Water</b>			<b>Wastewater</b>				
4		Franchise	Amount	Percent	Projected Annual Revenue	Amount	Percent	Projected Annual Revenue		
5		Charlotte County				\$ 362,377	30.3%	\$ 1,559,165		
6		Highlands County	\$ 13,745	19.8%	\$ 83,115	\$ 18,926	24.4%	\$ 91,617		
7		Lake County - Pennbrooke	\$ 162,961	42.6%	\$ 545,187	\$ (33,600)	-6.5%	\$ 484,522		
8		Lake County - Lake Utility Services	\$ 41,730	0.8%	\$ 5,526,342	\$ 542,544	23.5%	\$ 2,848,232		
9		Lee County				\$ 64,787	5.5%	\$ 1,234,018		
10		Marion County	\$ 68,885	33.1%	\$ 277,302	\$ 38,048	78.8%	\$ 86,327		
11		Orange County	\$ 258,990	<b>221.2%</b>	\$ 376,082					
12		Pasco County	\$ 329,885	36.5%	\$ 1,232,717	\$ 152,640	30.0%	\$ 661,378		
13		Pasco County - Labrador	\$ 67,286	22.0%	\$ 372,528	\$ (21,075)	-3.3%	\$ 618,296		
14		Pinellas County	\$ 170,080	<b>107.6%</b>	\$ 328,195					
15		Pinellas County - Tierra Verde				\$ 107,812	10.8%	\$ 1,104,024		
16		Pinellas County - Mid County				\$ 472,792	26.4%	\$ 2,262,812		
17		Polk County	\$ (5,879)	-1.6%	\$ 352,150	\$ 90,089	13.6%	\$ 750,728		
18		Seminole County	\$ 1,631,780	<b>158.2%</b>	\$ 2,663,351	\$ (26,532)	-3.2%	\$ 813,604		

Sheet1