FILED FEB 20, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 02070-17 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1	FIODIDA	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
2		PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
3	In the Matter of:					
4		DOCKET NO. 160159-GU				
5	PETITION FOR APPROV SETTLEMENT AGREEMEN					
6	PERTAINING TO PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM'S 2016 DEPRECIATION STUDY, ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVE ACCOUNT, PROBLEMATIC PLASTIC PIPE REPLACEMENT, AND AUTHORIZED ROE.					
7						
8						
9		/				
10						
11						
12						
13						
14	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING				
15	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN				
16		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ				
17		COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN				
18	DAME.					
19	DATE:	Tuesday, February 7, 2017				
20	TIME:	Commenced at 11:00 a.m. Concluded at 11:35 a.m.				
21	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center				
22		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida				
23	REPORTED BY:					
24	REPORTED BI:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter				
25		(850) 413-6734				

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 APPEARANCES:

ANSLEY WATSON and ANDREW BROWN, ESQUIRES,
Macfarlane Law Firm, P.O. Box 1531, Tampa, Florida,
33601-1531, appearing on behalf of Peoples Gas System.

J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES REHWINKEL, DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL; and STEPHANIE A. MORSE, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o the Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

WALTER TRIERWEILER, ESQUIRE, FPSC General
Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of
the Florida Public Service Commission staff.

KEITH HETRICK, GENERAL COUNSEL, and MARY ANNE HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing as advisors to the Commission.

								000003
1			I	N D E X				
2								
3			W	ITNESSES				
4	NAME:						PAGE	NO.
5								
6								
7		***	No witne	esses app	peared	***		
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
		FLORIDA	PUBLIC	SERVICE	COMMIS	SION		

					0000	04
1			EXHIBITS			
2	NUMBER:			ID.	ADMTD.	
3	1	Comprehensive	Exhibit List	8	8	
4	2 - 12		on Comprehensive		8	
5	2 12	Exhibit List	On Complementative	O	O	
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PROCEEDINGS

2	

CHAIRMAN BROWN: All righty. I'd like to welcome you all to this Peoples Gas proceeding. I'd like -- and we're calling this hearing to order. The time is 11:00. Today is Tuesday, February 7th, 2017. And with that, staff, can you please read the notice.

MR. TRIERWEILER: By notice issued on January 17, 2017, by the Commission Clerk, this time and place has been set for a hearing in Docket 160159-GU. The purpose of the hearing is set forth more fully in the notice.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. And at this time, we'll take appearances, starting with Peoples Gas. And my understanding also is that Ansley Watson is on the telephone. But we'll begin with you, Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Yes. Andy Brown at Macfarlane

Ferguson. Ansley Watson is on the phone. With me today

from Peoples are: Carlos Aldazabal, who is the managing

director of regulatory affairs; Rick Wall, who is the

director of operations; Chris Kozinski (phonetic), who

is a senior environmental specialist; and Kandi Floyd,

the manager of regulatory affairs.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Brown.

Hi, Mr. Watson. Are you on there?

MR. WATSON: I'm on. The first time I've done

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

this in 46 years of coming there. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Forty-six years. Wow. Well, thank you for being with us today. 3 MR. WATSON: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Office of Public Counsel. 5 MS. MORSE: Good morning. I'm Stephanie Morse 6 7 with the Office of Public Counsel. With me is Charles Rehwinkel, the Deputy Public Counsel; also the Public 8 9 Counsel, J.R. Kelly; and Denise Vandiver, who is our 10 CPA. 11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Commission. 12 13 MR. TRIERWEILER: Good morning. I'm Walt 14 Trierweiler, Commission staff counsel. 15 MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton. I'm here as 16 your advisor today. I'd also like to make an appearance 17 for your General Counsel, Keith Hetrick. 18 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 19 Mr. Trierweiler, are there any preliminary matters to address? 2.0 21 MR. TRIERWEILER: Yes, Madam Chair, there are. 22 The staff will note the following: The parties have 23 entered into a joint stipulation agreement. The parties 24 are not going to call live witness testimony; however, 25 they do wish to present brief opening statements.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. We'll do that in just a moment, if you want to continue.

The parties have waived the MR. TRIERWEILER: submission of briefs. The parties request submission of their motion to approve the joint settlement agreement intended to resolve all of the matters within this docket to a bench decision. It is staff's understanding that this hearing process satisfies the provisions in paragraph H on page 12 of the settlement agreement.

There will be no live witness testimony at this hearing. Commission staff wishes to present a verbal overview of the settlement agreement. parties have stipulated to the admissibility of all exhibits on the Comprehensive Exhibit List.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Well, we're going to go to the exhibit list first, before we get into the overview of the settlement agreement, as well as the opening statements. So let's move to exhibits, sir.

MR. TRIERWEILER: Staff has compiled a stipulated Comprehensive Exhibit List containing 12 exhibits. The list has been provided to the parties, the Commissioners, and the court reporter. This list is marked as the first hearing exhibit, and the other exhibits should be marked as set forth on the list. Staff will request that the Comprehensive Exhibit List,

1 marked as Exhibit No. 1, be entered into the record.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: We'll go ahead and actually move all exhibits, 1 through 12, into the record since they are all stipulated.

Seeing no objections. Thank you.

(Exhibits 1 through 12 marked for identification and admitted into the record.)

And now let's move on to opening statements.

The signatories to the settlement agreement each have six minutes. And with that, I will turn to Peoples Gas, Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Chairman, members of the Commission, this really may be a once-in-a-decade opportunity for the Commission's approval of what is essentially a win-win situation both for Peoples Gas and its customers. And I'd like to take just a few minutes to kind of outline how we got to this agreement.

This docket was initiated by Peoples' 2016 depreciation study, which, as filed, would have reduced the company's depreciation expense by about \$8.5 million for 2016. Peoples knew it would be filing this study during this time because the Commission's rule requires such a study to be filed by gas utilities at least every five years, and 2016 was Peoples' year to be filing such a study.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

As the time for filing approached, Peoples expected that the depreciation rates for a substantial portion of the assets comprising its distribution system would need to be reduced and would result in a reduction of annual expense. This settlement agreement would reduce depreciation expenses by a little more than \$16.1 million rather than the 8.5 million in Peoples' original filing.

The expectation of reduced depreciation expense presented the company with an opportunity to address a second issue, which was a problem with respect to the growing regulatory asset of its environmental reserve related to the assessment, remediation, and cleanup of old manufactured gas plant, or MGP sites. This reserve was last addressed in 1998 when an annual amortization amount of \$640,000 per year was authorized. While -- that amount was probably adequate at that time when most of the expense was related to assessment of the problems rather than remediation and cleanup of the sites for which Peoples was primarily responsible under state and federal environmental laws. That situation began to change several years ago, and at that time the expenses for the actual cleanup and subsequent monitoring became more significant and the reserve deficiency began to grow substantially. By the end of

2016, the reserve deficiency was slightly over

\$21 million, and at that time the company's accountants

had really -- before that time, for the past several

years, questioned how the company was going to be made

whole for the amounts already spent and the expenses to

come in the future.

2.0

As a result of that, Peoples has been in discussions with the Office of Public Counsel on this issue for the past couple of years. The anticipated reduction of depreciation expense presented an opportunity to increase the amortization of the environmental reserve without the need to file a full rate case.

A third issue addressed by this settlement agreement relates to Peoples' replacement of cast iron and bare steel pipe. The Commission approved Peoples' Cast Iron/Bare Steel Replacement Program in September 2012 to increase the safety of the Peoples distribution system, as urged by the U.S. Secretary of Transportation and the Pipeline Safety and Hazardous Materials Administration, or PHMSA.

Peoples began the accelerated replacement of cast iron and bare steel in 2013. Costs of this accelerated replacement are recovered through a surcharge that's established every year, with a true-up

for the inevitable variances in projected costs and projected recoveries. In the fifth year of the program, it costs a typical residential consumer about 46 cents per month.

2.0

At the same time, there were discussions regarding a reduction in depreciation expense and an increase in the amortization of environmental expenses. Peoples had been readying a petition seeking Commission authority to include various types of problematic plastic pipe as eligible replacements under the cast iron/bare steel replacement rider so that potentially unsafe infrastructure could also be replaced as recommended for several years by PHMSA advisories.

The settlement agreement between Peoples and the Office of Public Counsel before you today for which both Peoples and the OPC seek your approval is the culmination of discussions between the parties on the three subjects that we've -- I've just referenced, as well as a fourth subject, which is a reduction in the bottom of the currently authorized range of Peoples' return on equity that was set in the company's last full rate case. And that number at the time of that rate case, the bottom number was 9.75 percent. Under this agreement, it will now be 9.25 percent. That reduction will be in effect from the time you approve this

settlement agreement until the earlier of either the effective date of base rates established in Peoples' next general base rate proceeding, which Peoples will not file before December 31 of 2020, unless the return on equity as reported in a future quarterly earnings surveillance report is below 9.25 percent or December 31, 2020. And the settlement agreement provides that Peoples cannot use the accrual or amortization of the MGP expense to drive its achieved return on equity below the bottom of the authorized range in effect at the time of the accrual or amortization.

2.0

It is unusual to be able to address issues this diverse in a docket initially involving only one of the issues, but we hope you will find that the approval of the settlement agreement before you today is in the public interest and in the interest of Peoples and its customers. Its approval will result in a substantial reduction in the company's annual depreciation expense, a more realistic amortization of the expenses associated with the cleanup of old MGP sites, and the accelerated replacement of portions of the company's distribution system comprised of plastic pipe that PHMSA has determined should be replaced to protect the public.

and expense that would be associated with a contested proceeding on even one of these issues, let alone all four.

As I said at the outset, this opportunity, an opportunity of this type presents itself maybe once a decade. We would urge the Commission to approve this settlement agreement as being in the public interest and in the interest of Peoples Gas System and its customers. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Brown.

Ms. Morse or Mr. Rehwinkel.

MS. MORSE: Thank you. Good morning, Madam Chair and Commissioners.

OPC conducted a careful review of PGS's depreciation study. We retained a depreciation expert, who thoroughly analyzed the data and provided us with recommendations concerning depreciation elements such as service lives as compared to PGS's historical data and compared to national infrastructure performance data.

During the course of this docket, as mentioned, we concluded that it would be in the public interest to address certain environmental cleanup and public safety concerns, while also appropriately addressing the depreciation issues raised in the initial petition.

2.0

As you know, PGS has been involved in a multi-year Commission-approved project to replace cast iron/bare -- and bare steel pipe within its network due to safety concerns and federal guidelines concerning the safe operation of gas utilities.

Additionally, the federal Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration issued a number of advisory bulletins concerning certain types of problematic plastic pipe manufactured prior to 1983 as subject to replacement due to its suseptibility to brittle, light cracking.

Also, PGS has been recording a very large and increasing reserve for incurred and expected manufactured gas site cleanup costs that loomed as a potential rate case-driving cost element.

We realized, as we worked through the depreciation issues, that the pace of capital recovery could be moderated to be within a range between our expert's proposal and the utility's proposal in such a way as to achieve a significant safety goal and facilitate a material environmental cleanup responsibility that this Commission has recognized is a customer responsibility, and to do so in a manner consistent with the existing earnings, framework, and rate structure.

In short, we believe the settlement results in an appropriate balance of public safety needs and the costs this agency has deemed to be recoverable from the customer. For these reasons, OPC believes that the settlement agreement is in the public interest. We urge your approval and are available to answer questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Morse.

At this time, staff will provide a brief overview of the settlement agreement for the Commissioners.

MS. WU: Good morning, Madam Chairman and Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Ms. Wu, could you just speak up a little bit louder, please?

MS. WU: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MS. WU: As the counsels of Peoples Gas System and the Office of Public Counsel just introduced, the settlement agreement stems from the company's 2016 depreciation study filed pursuant to Rule 25-7.045. On December 15th last year, the parties reached a settlement agreement to resolve all the matters and issues concerning the company's 2016 depreciation study and to address issues in three other areas.

First, with respect to depreciation, the settlement agreement, if approved, would result in a reduction in 2016 depreciation expense of \$16.1 million, which brings the existing overall expense of \$59.7 million down to \$43.6 million as reflected on page 13 of Exhibit C attached to the settlement agreement.

It also results in a reduction in depreciation expense included in the company's cast iron/bare steel replacement rider surcharges. The Commission-approved 2017 surcharges will not be revised through the annual True-Up Mechanism of the rider. The 2017 expense reduction will be included in 2018 surcharges, not will (verbatim) be subject to Commission's approval.

Second, Peoples Gas System has environmental remediation liability associated with old manufactured gas plants, MGP. The company was approved a reserve accounting treatment to recover the related cost, but the authorized annual accrual amount has not been revisited since 1998. The MGP expense has increased because the company's responsibilities have evolved from assessment/monitoring to cleanup and monitoring.

The current estimated total MGP expense of \$55 million would require approximately 85 years to achieve full cost recovery with the existing authorized accrual rate.

To address this issue, the settlement agreement proposed four items: A, amortization of \$21 million current reserve balance deficiency over two years beginning in 2016.

B, amortization of at least \$32 million, including the aforementioned \$21 million of prudently incurred current and future MGP environmental liability over the period 2016 to 2020.

C, accrual of additional reasonably and prudently incurred MGP environmental expense over the years 2016 to 2020. The amount above \$55 million is subject to being contested by the Office of Public Counsel.

D, the accrual amortization of MGP expense would not be used by the company to drive the achieved ROE below the bottom of its authorized range.

Third, there are hundreds of miles of problematic plastic pipe, PPP, installed in the company's distribution system. The PPP was manufactured before the early '80s and has significant safety concerns. Also, in certain areas the PPP is interspersed with or connected to the cast iron/bare steel pipe that is being replaced now through a Commission-approved program. To address this issue, the settlement agreement proposes three items: A, to

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

include PPP replacement as eligible replacement for cost recovery until 2028.

B, to initiate PPP replacement while feasible to benefit ratepayers, with cast iron/bare steel pipe replacement still being the priority until 2022.

C, to revise the tariff, new plastic pipe materials identified as obsolete and potential safety threats to operation and the general public are considered eligible replacement.

The settlement agreement also proposes to reduce the bottom of the company's authorized earning rate from 9.75 percent to 9.25 percent, and to keep this bottom in effect until early of the effective date of new base rates established in a rate base proceeding resulting from the company's earnings falling below 9.25 percent prior -- prior to the last day of 2020, or the last day of 2020, and at that time the bottom will return to 9.75 percent.

The settlement agreement also states that the company will not file a request for a general base rate proceeding before December 31st, 2020, unless its earnings fall below 9.25 percent. And with that, staff concludes its overview of the settlement agreement.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Wu, for that overview. I know a lot of time and energy has gone into the preparation of the settlement agreement and -- along with staff's review of it. And I do want to thank the parties at the onset here for working together, as counsel described, on a diverse array of issues that are included in the settlement agreement. I think it really encapsulated a lot of issues that are outstanding. So with that, I want to thank the parties to come -- that are coming before us today with this for our consideration.

2.0

Commissioners, we are at the question point.

And I will open the floor up to you all for any questions, starting with Commissioner Polmann.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

I do understand that this item addresses hundreds of miles of pipe, and I will describe that as, in my words, being closest to the customer and being of significant importance to safety, as has been described. And as a result, if I may, I'd like to offer a few comments --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sure.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- in regard to monitoring activities and reporting, which, at the pleasure of my colleagues, could lead to some discussion. It is not my intention to suggest any

changes in the settlement language per se, but our discussion may concern the Commission's final order.

I trust everyone agrees, as we've heard, that natural gas pipeline safety, especially in the distribution system, is extremely important. As part of the settlement which we're asked to approve, we see continued and expanded work in the replacement of infrastructure that is needed for overall safety of the system and its customers.

My understanding is that the Commission routinely receives information from the company in the annual cast iron/bare steel replacement rider to verify the investments that were approved by the Commission; that the replacement is taking place in an efficient and effective manner; some monitoring activities that we've heard about; construction projects; notification sampling to verify the work; and fulfilling the data requests that we've made.

Further, it's my understanding from staff, the company's annual filing on the Cast Iron and Bare Steel Replacement Program also includes important information regarding the amount and the location of the pipes that have been replaced.

I appreciate the company's efforts in this regard, and I strongly encourage that the company

continue to work with our staff in a consistent and cooperative manner. I believe this is of utmost importance, and I'm optimistic in this regard.

Again, I have no interest in changing any of the language. My hope is that other utilities will follow suit and continue to cooperate and simply respond to the data requests that we make and provide the information that I believe is simply critical for us to do our job, answer to the monitoring activities specifically in regard to the public health and safety. In the gas system, that's of paramount importance to the public interest across Florida.

Thank you, Madam Chairman. I appreciate the opportunity to comment.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner Polmann. I agree with all of your comments too.

Commissioners, I do have a couple of questions, but I want to defer to you all if you have any questions.

All right. Seeing none, Peoples, I looked at the data request that staff sent you regarding the time frame for the pipeline replacement and when that's going to occur and how many miles Peoples anticipates will be replaced each year, and I just need more clarification on that.

MR. BROWN: I think I'll have Rick Wall 1 address that. He's more well versed in that than I am. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Good morning, Mr. Wall. 4 5 MR. WALL: Good morning. CHAIRMAN BROWN: So time frame and miles to 6 7 replace each year. MR. WALL: Yes. First, I'd like to just 8 9 respond to the safety comments, and safety is Peoples 10 Gas's utmost concern in its operations every single day. 11 We strive to ensure that we have the highest standards 12 of safety across our distribution and transmission 13 systems within the state. 14 As it relates to the cast iron/bare steel, 15 today we're replacing approximately 48 miles a year. That's over the defined 10-year program. 16 17 As it relates to the Aldyl-A pipe, or the PPP 18 that we're speaking about here today, that is also 19 targeted to be 48 miles a year. We're going to begin 2.0 this summer. We've already identified a target area in 21 the Orlando area based on our DIMP program, which 22 identifies risk factors. 23 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Uh-huh. 24 MR. WALL: And it has a clear criteria that 25 identifies that that is the best subject area to start

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

in. We expect to do somewhere between 25 and 30 miles

here in 2017, beginning in midsummer and ending at the

end of the year. And then beginning in '18, we will

move right up to the 48-miles-a-year schedule as well

with the PPE material.

2.0

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I appreciate that. That is very clear. And you're going to continue to update the Commission on what -- what frequency basis?

MR. WALL: We have an ongoing program where we're constantly in communication with Mr. Moses' field inspection team, and we file regular reports as far as our pipeline replacement. Kandi Floyd can speak to that specifically through the surveillance program.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Yeah.

MR. BROWN: In addition, there are quarterly report filings that will contain that information in the future as it becomes available.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you.

When did PHMSA include that requirement for plastic pipes in its advisory?

MR. WALL: PHMSA started producing alerts related to this particular material back in the late 1990s and has continued to report. There have been several major pipeline incidents, I think three to date here both in the United States and in Puerto Rico, that

have been part of the process.

2.0

The pipe material actually was originally built or more manufactured in the early 1960s and has gone through six generations. But the most problematic components of that pipe are in the first 30 years, or up to 1983.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. And I commend you for bringing this before us in this global settlement agreement here with other issues too. I think it's an important program and I'm very supportive of it. So thank you.

But Public -- I do want to turn to Public

Counsel. I love asking you this question during -
whenever a settlement agreement is before us, and if you

could highlight to us some of the customer protections

you see in this settlement agreement and -- go ahead.

Other than the low end of the ROE.

MR. REHWINKEL: Charles Rehwinkel with Public Counsel.

The customer benefits that we see in this agreement are, paramount, safety. And I think Exhibit 12 is a -- it talks about the Aldyl-A pipe and some of the issues that the brittle and cracking pipe have caused. That's a fundamental concern of ours. That was a concern of ours when we were involved in the

settlement relating to the inspection process earlier in 2016.

2.0

Mr. Brown is correct that for the last two years, maybe even a little longer, Peoples has come to us and has been keeping us posted on the manufactured gas issues that, to us, were looming as a large, multimillion dollar cost driver that could end up pushing Peoples into a rate case.

We felt like the opportunity was unique to give the customers an opportunity to take care of costs that would -- this Commission has a long history of precedent to say these are costs that the customers are responsible for that can be taken care of with the existing cost structure and with the existing earnings framework that this Commission has established. So it was a unique opportunity to take care of things that would allow the customers' bills to stay in the realm of where they are today and keep these liabilities from visiting on future customers down the road in enormous magnitude.

So we think that, in addition to the bottom of the range being moved down, it helps ensure us that Peoples will not have to file a rate case and will be able to absorb these costs without causing the customers' rates to increase. And the cast iron/bare

steel rider, the impacts that we see there with adding the Aldyl-A pipe and the other types of problematic pipe in there will not put enormous pressure on the customers' bills and will, coming back full circle, provide safety for the customers. And so we feel like it is an excellent opportunity to take care of all these issues.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Rehwinkel. I appreciate that explanation. So just to be clear, are customer rates changing at all?

MR. REHWINKEL: Madam Chairman, there are no -- nothing in this agreement calls for customer rates to change. The -- as we go forward in the riders, Peoples will be -- the riders could change in a relatively minor way as we go forward, as they finish their bare steel program and they ramp up their plastic pipe replacement program. There's only so much that you can replace, is our understanding, in any given year. There's only so many crews that are out there, and they're going to be optimizing the replacement to take out as much of the problematic pipe as possible and also keep rates relatively where they are because they are in a competitive business in the gas supply world.

So we feel comfortable that they're not changing this year. In the future, there may be changes

up or down, but we'll see. But nothing in the agreement 1 calls for changes in the customer rates. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. I appreciate your response. 4 Commissioners, any questions or comments 5 before I call for a vote? 6 7 Seeing none, at this time, I'd -- we're ready for a motion. 8 Commissioner Brisé. 9 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Madam Chair. 10 After, you know, hearing the comments and reviewing the 11 12 record, I think that the settlement that is before us is in the public interest. It recognizes the balance 13 14 between the cost and the impact on consumers, as well as 15 managing what needs to happen with the replacement of pipes, addressing public safety concerns, as well as 16 17 managing the environmental cleanup aspect of this as well. So with that, Madam Chair, I move the acceptance 18 of the settlement. 19 20 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 21 **COMMISSIONER PATRONIS:** Second. 22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Any further 23 discussion? Commissioner Polmann? 24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: 25 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Seeing none, all those

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

in favor of the settlement -- of the motion, signify by 1 2 saying aye. 3 (Vote taken.) All right. It passes unanimously. 4 At this time, I would like, if we can, to get 5 a motion to close the docket pending the filing time for 6 7 an appeal. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Move to close the 8 9 docket. **COMMISSIONER PATRONIS:** Second. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: All those in favor, say aye. 12 (Vote taken.) All right. Thank you. 13 14 Staff, are there any other matters to address? 15 MR. TRIERWEILER: No, Madam Chair, there are not. Staff will note that this resolves all matters 16 17 within this docket. Staff will also note that the final 18 order will be issued no later than February 27, 2017. 19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Seeing no 20 additional -- yes, Mr. Rehwinkel. 21 MR. REHWINKEL: Madam Chairman, I want to 22 thank Peoples for working with us the way they have, but 23 I really want to thank your staff. We brought this to 24 them. We interrupted the schedule that they had in

25

place.

1	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Uh-huh, you sure did.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. REHWINKEL: And they worked but I want
4	to say that they worked, they bent over backwards, they
5	facilitated making this work for the customers' benefit,
6	and we really appreciate that. I want to thank all of
7	them.
8	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes, they did, I agree with
9	you, as well as the Prehearing Officer on this docket.
10	MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN BROWN: And with that, if there are
12	no other additional comments seeing none, this
13	meeting is adjourned. This hearing is adjourned.
14	Sorry.
15	(Hearing adjourned at 11:35 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
8	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true
9	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
11	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 20th day of February, 2017.
14	
15	
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	Official FPSC Hearings Reporter Office of Commission Clerk
18	(850) 413-6734
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2.4	