

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for limited proceeding for
Recovery of incremental storm restoration costs
Related to Hurricane Matthew by Florida Power
& Light Company.

DOCKET NO.: 160251-EI
FILED: March 27, 2017

**FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S
PETITION TO INTERVENE**

Pursuant to sections 120.569, 120.57, Florida Statutes, and rules 25-22.039, 28-106.201 and 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code, the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), through its undersigned counsel, files its Petition to Intervene. In support thereof, FIPUG states the following:

1. Name and address of agency. The affected agency is the Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

2. Name and address of Petitioner. The name and address of the Petitioner is:

Florida Industrial Power Users Group
c/o Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850) 681-3828
Facsimile: (850) 681-8788

3. Petitioner's representatives. Copies of all pleadings, notices and orders in this docket should be provided to:

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Karen A. Putnal
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850) 681-3828
Facsimile: (850) 681-8788
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com

4. Notice of docket. Petitioner received notice of this docket by a review of the Commission's website.

5. Statement of Substantial Interests. FIPUG is an ad hoc association consisting of industrial users of electricity in Florida. The cost of electricity constitutes a significant portion of FIPUG members' overall costs of production. FIPUG members require adequate, reasonably-priced electricity in order to compete in their respective markets.

6. In this case, the Commission will consider Florida Power and Light Company's ("FPL") request to recover storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Matthew and the replenishment of FPL's Storm Reserve, to a total of \$318.5 million. These costs will be recovered from FPL's customers, including FIPUG members.

7. FIPUG's interests are of the type that this proceeding is designed to protect. *See, Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation*, 406 So.2d 478 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1981). The purpose of the proceeding is to evaluate FPL's request to recover storm related restoration costs resulting from Hurricane Matthew. Thus, the purpose of the proceeding coincides with FIPUG's substantial interests, which is to ensure that the rates its members who receive electrical service from FPL are charged fair, just and reasonable rates.

8. Disputed Issues of Material Fact. Disputed issues of material fact include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Are the costs for which FPL seeks recovery from customers reasonable?
- b. Has FPL correctly calculated the interim storm cost recovery factors that are proposed to go into effect on March 1, 2017, for recovery of estimated restoration costs associated with Hurricane Matthew?
- c. What are the final, actual restoration costs for Hurricane Matthew that FPL may recover from customers?
- d. Based on the final, actual restoration costs for Hurricane Matthew that FPL is authorized to recover, by what amount, if any, did FPL over- or

under-recover those costs in the twelve months that the interim storm cost recovery factors were in effect?

- e. How should FPL credit to or recover from customers the over- or under-recovery?

FIPUG reserves all rights to raise additional issues in accordance with the Commission's rules and the Order Establishing Procedure in this case.

9. Disputed Legal Issues. Disputed legal issues include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Has FPL met its burden of proof in this matter?

10. Statement of Ultimate Facts Alleged. Ultimate facts include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Are the costs claimed by FPL related to Hurricane Matthew reasonable?

11. Rules and statutes justifying relief. The rules and statutes that entitle FIPUG to intervene and participate in this case include, but are not limited to:

- a. Section 120.569, Florida Statutes;
- b. Section 120.57, Florida Statutes;
- c. Section 366.04(1), Florida Statutes;
- d. Section 366.06, Florida Statutes;
- e. Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code;
- f. Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code;
- g. Rule 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code.

12. Relief. FIPUG requests that it be permitted to intervene as a full party in this docket.

13. Position of FPL. The undersigned is authorized to represent that FPL does not oppose FIPUG's Petition to Intervene.

WHEREFORE, FIPUG requests that the Commission enter an order allowing it to intervene and participate as a full party in this docket.

/s/ Jon C. Moyle

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Karen A. Putnal
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850)681-3828
Facsimile: (850)681-8788
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com

Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Petition to Intervene has been furnished by electronic mail this 27th day of March, 2017, to the following:

Suzanne S. Brownless
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us

Tallahassee, FL 32301
kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
noriega.tarik@leg.state.fl.us

J.R. Kelly, Esq.
Charles J. Rehwinkel
Erik Sayler
Patty Christensen
Tarik Noriega
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street, room 812

Kevin Donaldson
Florida Power & Light
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
Kevin.donaldson@fpl.com

/s/ Jon C. Moyle

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.