BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Analysis of IOUs' hedging practices. DOCKET NO. 170057-EI

ORDER NO. PSC-17-0181-PCO-EI

ISSUED: May 17, 2017

ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION

On February 28, 2017, this docket was opened by Commission staff to analyze the natural gas hedging practices of Florida's investor-owned utilities in order to determine whether natural gas hedging should be continued and, if so, whether any changes should be made to current hedging practices. A staff workshop was held on February 21, 2017, in which Florida Power & Light Company (FPL), Duke Energy Florida, LLC (DEF), Tampa Electric Company (TECO), Gulf Power Company (Gulf Power), the Office of Public Counsel (OPC), and several other interested parties, including the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG) participated. Post workshop comments were filed on March 6, 2017, by all four investor-owned utilities, FIPUG, White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc., d/b/a PCS Phosphate (PCS) and the Sierra Club. By petition, dated April 4, 2017, PCS has requested permission to intervene in this proceeding. This docket has been set for hearing on September 27 and 28, 2017.

Petition for Intervention

In its petition, PCS states that it is a manufacturer of fertilizer products with plants and operations located within DEF's electric service territory and receives service under various DEF rate schedules. PCS contends that it is substantially and directly affected by the outcome of these proceedings since it is a large customer of DEF that has been charged the costs of DEF's historic hedging activities through the fuel charge and also bears the risk of fuel price volatility in future base rate and fuel clause recoveries. PCS has also taken positions on utility hedging practices in prior fuel clause proceedings and actively participated in the hedging workshops.

Standard for Intervention

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C), persons, other than the original parties to a pending proceeding, who have a substantial interest in the proceeding and who desire to become parties may petition for leave to intervene. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed at least five (5) days before the final hearing, must conform with Rule 28-106.201(2), F.A.C., and must include allegations sufficient to demonstrate that the intervenor is entitled to participate in the proceeding as a matter of constitutional or statutory right or pursuant to Commission rule, or that the substantial interests of the intervenor are subject to determination or will be affected through the proceeding. Intervenors take the case as they find it.

To have standing, the intervenor must meet the two-prong standing test set forth in Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So. 2d 478, 482 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981). The intervenor must show (1) that he will suffer injury in fact which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, hearing, and (2) that

ORDER NO. PSC-17-0181-PCO-EI DOCKET NO. 170057-EI PAGE 2

this substantial injury is of a type or nature which the proceeding is designed to protect. The first aspect of the test deals with the degree of injury. The second deals with the nature of the injury. The "injury in fact" must be both real and immediate and not speculative or conjectural. International Jai-Alai Players Assn. v. Florida Pari-Mutuel Commission, 561 So. 2d 1224, 1225-26 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1990). See also, Village Park Mobile Home Assn., Inc. v. State Dept. of Business Regulation, 506 So. 2d 426, 434 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987), rev. den., 513 So. 2d 1063 (Fla. 1987) (speculation on the possible occurrence of injurious events is too remote).

As a retail customer of DEF, PCS meets the two-prong standing test established in <u>Agrico</u>; therefore, its petition for intervention shall be granted. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.039, F.A.C., PCS takes the case as it finds it.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Ronald A. Brisé, as Prehearing Officer, that the Petition to Intervene filed by White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs, is hereby granted as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that all parties to this proceeding shall furnish copies of all testimony, exhibits, pleadings and other documents which may hereinafter be filed in this proceeding, to:

James W. Brew Laura A. Wynn Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eight Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201 Phone: (202) 342-0800

Fax: (202)342-0807 jbrew@smxblaw.com law@smxblaw.com ORDER NO. PSC-17-0181-PCO-EI DOCKET NO. 170057-EI PAGE 3

By ORDER of Commissioner Ronald A. Brisé, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>17th</u> day of <u>May</u>, <u>2017</u>.

RONALD A. BRISÉ

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (850) 413-6770 www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

SBr

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.