

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: August 8, 2017

TO: Carlotta S. Stauffer, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk

FROM: Marissa Friedrich, Public Utility Analyst I, Division of Economics

RE: Docket No. 20170078-WU – Request for approval of an increase to convenience fess charged to customers, by Wildwood Water Company, Inc.

Please include the attached email correspondence into the docket file.

RECEIVED-PPSC
2017 AUG -8 PM 1:29
COMMISSION
CLERK

Marissa Friedrich

From: Marissa Friedrich
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 11:48 AM
To: 'ggm69@comcast.net'; 'wildwood@abs.us.com'
Cc: Shannon Hudson
Subject: Wildwood Water Co. (170078-WU)
Attachments: 25-30.445.doc

Mr. Mills,

Based on our earlier phone conversation, there are a couple different options you can choose from to address the \$1.00 increase per customer from ABS. This increase request can be addressed during a limited proceeding or a staff-assisted rate case (SARC). The limited proceeding has a lower filing fee and is slightly less involved than a SARC. However, both of these processes would evaluate the \$1.00 increase per ABS and all of Wildwood's miscellaneous service charges as we spoke about but the SARC process would evaluate everything else as well. If you do not want to file for a limited proceeding or a SARC, we could evaluate Wildwood's miscellaneous service charges during this open tariff filing which there is no filing fee for but, the \$1.00 increase per ABS would not be addressed since it would affect all ratepayers because this charge is already factored into the base facility charge.

I've attached Rule 25-30.445, Florida Administrative Code, which lists out all of the filing requirements and what to expect during a limited proceeding. If you decide to file for a limited proceeding, the filing fee would be \$500 pursuant to Rule 25-30.020, Florida Administrative Code, since Wildwood has the existing capacity to serve between 201 and 500 ERCs. The filing fee for a SARC for Wildwood would be \$1,000 which is based on the utility's ERC capacity as well.

Please let me know which option is most preferable and don't hesitate to call with any questions.

Best,

Marissa Friedrich
Public Utility Analyst I
Division of Economics
Florida Public Service Commission
P: (850) 413-6473
F: (850) 413-6474



Marissa Friedrich

From: Marissa Friedrich
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 9:26 AM
To: 'ggm69@comcast.net'; 'wildwood@abs.us.com'
Cc: Shannon Hudson
Subject: RE: Wildwood Water Co. (170078-WU)

Mr. Mills,

Just following up- Have you decided on which proceeding you would like to pursue for Wildwood in regards to the \$1 ABS increase? Please let me know your decision as soon as possible.

Please don't hesitate to call if you have any questions.

Marissa Friedrich
Public Utility Analyst I
Division of Economics
Florida Public Service Commission
P: (850) 413-6473
F: (850) 413-6474



From: Marissa Friedrich
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 11:48 AM
To: 'ggm69@comcast.net'; 'wildwood@abs.us.com'
Cc: Shannon Hudson
Subject: Wildwood Water Co. (170078-WU)

Mr. Mills,

Based on our earlier phone conversation, there are a couple different options you can choose from to address the \$1.00 increase per customer from ABS. This increase request can be addressed during a limited proceeding or a staff-assisted rate case (SARC). The limited proceeding has a lower filing fee and is slightly less involved than a SARC. However, both of these processes would evaluate the \$1.00 increase per ABS and all of Wildwood's miscellaneous service charges as we spoke about but the SARC process would evaluate everything else as well. If you do not want to file for a limited proceeding or a SARC, we could evaluate Wildwood's miscellaneous service charges during this open tariff filing which there is no filing fee for but, the \$1.00 increase per ABS would not be addressed since it would affect all ratepayers because this charge is already factored into the base facility charge.

I've attached Rule 25-30.445, Florida Administrative Code, which lists out all of the filing requirements and what to expect during a limited proceeding. If you decide to file for a limited proceeding, the filing fee would be \$500 pursuant to Rule 25-30.020, Florida Administrative Code, since Wildwood has the existing capacity to serve between 201 and 500 ERCs. The filing fee for a SARC for Wildwood would be \$1,000 which is based on the utility's ERC capacity as well.

Please let me know which option is most preferable and don't hesitate to call with any questions.

Best,

Marissa Friedrich

Public Utility Analyst I

Division of Economics

Florida Public Service Commission

P: (850) 413-6473

F: (850) 413-6474



Marissa Friedrich

From: Marissa Friedrich
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2017 11:07 AM
To: 'ggm69@comcast.net'
Subject: Wildwood Water Co. (170078-WU)
Attachments: Wildwood.pdf

Mr. Mills,

Attached is a copy of the letter that was sent out to you last week in reference to Docket No. 170078-WU. Please don't hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Best,

Marissa Friedrich
Public Utility Analyst I
Division of Economics
Florida Public Service Commission
P: (850) 413-6473
F: (850) 413-6474



Marissa Friedrich

From: Marissa Friedrich
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2017 9:39 AM
To: 'ggm69@comcast.net'; 'wildwood@abs.us.com'
Subject: Wildwood Water Co.

Mr. Mills,

After speaking with you Monday, it is my understanding that you would like Commission staff to evaluate Wildwood's miscellaneous service charges and the possibility of imposing a single disconnect charge. However, in a letter addressed to the Commission, the utility originally requested to increase its convenience fees by \$1 due to an increase imposed by ABS; therefore Docket No. 170078-WU was established. After researching the utility's original request to increase its convenience fees, staff found that a limited proceeding or staff assisted rate case was necessary since the convenience fees of ABS are currently embedded in the utility's base facility charge, a reoccurring monthly charge.

At this time, it appears the utility is not interested in pursuing either of the proceedings necessary to evaluate its original request in Docket No. 170078-WU. Therefore, Docket No. 170078-WU should be closed. The utility should file a withdrawal of its original request with the Clerk's office to close Docket No. 170078-WU.

In order for staff to evaluate Wildwood's miscellaneous service charges and the possible implementation of a disconnect charge, the utility should file a separate request with the Clerk's office so a new docket pertaining to this secondary request can be established. Additionally, the utility is required to file the necessary cost justification pursuant to Section 367.091, F.S. I would be happy to work with you on the necessary cost justification by sending you examples from past cases.

Please don't hesitate to call with any questions.

Marissa Friedrich
Public Utility Analyst I
Division of Economics
Florida Public Service Commission
P: (850) 413-6473
F: (850) 413-6474

